

Case No. TO-2005-0336
MASTER LIST OF ISSUES BETWEEN SBC AND NAVIGATOR
NETWORK INTERCONNECTION ARCHITECTURE

Issue Statement	Issue No.	Attachment and Section(s)	CLEC Language	CLEC Preliminary Position	SBC MISSOURI Language	SBC MISSOURI Preliminary Position
Should the ICA obligate SBC to continue to provide network elements that are no longer required to be provided under applicable law or should the ICA clearly state that SBC is required to provide only UNEs that it is lawfully obligated to provide under Section 251(c)(3) of the Act?	#1	NIA Introduction	This Attachment 11: Network Interconnection Architecture to the Agreement describes the technical arrangement by which CLEC and SBC MISSOURI will interconnect their networks in the event that CLEC operates as a Facility-Based Provider in a given SBC MISSOURI Local Exchange Area. The arrangements described herein do not apply to the provision and utilization of UNEs which are addressed in Attachment 6: Unbundled Network Elements.	Navigator disagrees with the use of the word “Lawful”. UNEs are UNEs, and if the FCC determines that CLECs are no longer “impaired” without access to a particular network element, it will find that the network element need no longer be unbundled and SBC would have no further obligation to price them at cost-based rates.	This Attachment 11: Network Interconnection Architecture to the Agreement describes the technical arrangement by which CLEC and SBC MISSOURI will interconnect their networks in the event that CLEC operates as a Facility-Based Provider in a given SBC MISSOURI Local Exchange Area. The arrangements described herein do not apply to the provision and utilization of Lawful UNEs which are addressed in Attachment 6: Lawful Unbundled Network Elements.	See Lawful UNE ISSUE

Key: **Bold** represents language proposed by SBC and opposed by CLECs.
Underline language represents language proposed by CLEC and opposed by SBC.