Exhibit No.: Issues:

Witness: Type of Exhibit: Sponsoring Party:

Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared: Allocation of Liabilities; Asset Listing Michael J. Getz Surrebuttal Testimony Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE EO-2004-0108 March 1, 2004

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EO-2004-0108

FILFE APR 1 6 2004

Missouri Public Service Commission

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL J. GETZ

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri March 1, 2004

Exhibit No.__ Case No(s). 5-0-2004 -Date 3 25-01 XF Rptr_

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ļ

¢

Ι.	ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES	2
<i>II</i> .	FIXED ASSET LISTINGS	4

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the Application of Union Electric Company (d/b/a AmerenUE) for an order authorizing the sale, transfer and assignment of certain assets, real estate, leased property, easements and contractual agreements to Central Illinois Public Service Company (d/b/a AmerenCIPS) and, in connection therewith, certain other related transactions.

Case No. EO-2004-0108

<u>AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL J. GETZ</u>

)

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

Michael J. Getz, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

 My name is Michael J. Getz. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I am employed by Ameren Services Company as Director Plant Accounting in the Controllers' Function.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Surrebuttal Testimony consisting of pages 1 through 5, including Appendix A, all of which has been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2004-0108 on behalf of Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Michael J. Getz

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of March

My Commission Expires: 12/10/06

Notary Public

VALERIE W. WHITEHEAD Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOUP! Jefferson County My Commission Expires: Dec. 10, 2006

1		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		MICHAEL J. GETZ
4		CASE NO. EO-2004-0108
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	A.	My name is Michael J. Getz and my business address is One Ameren Plaza,
7		1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri, 63103.
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	A.	I am employed by Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services") as Director
10		Plant Accounting in the Controllers' Function.
11	Q.	Please provide your educational background and employment history.
12	A.	My educational background and employment history are outlined in Appendix A
13		attached to this surrebuttal testimony.
14	Q.	Please describe your duties and responsibilities as Director Plant Accounting.
15	A.	The attached Appendix A describes the duties and responsibilities of my current
16		position.
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
18	Α.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the rebuttal testimonies
19		of witnesses for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Ms. Janis
20		E. Fischer and Mr. Greg R. Meyer. Specifically, I address these witnesses'
21		comments or recommendations pertaining to the allocation of general liabilities of
22		Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE to Central Illinois Public Service
23		Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS, and regarding the AmerenUE's fixed asset records.

î

1 Q. Can you be more specific?

.

;

2	A.	Yes. In no particular order, these witnesses make various claims which
3		eventually drive their recommendation that the Metro East transfer, aside from
4		other concerns raised by them and other witnesses, is detrimental to the public
5		interest. It is claimed by these witnesses (1) that in their view of the filing, and
6		based on information provided by AmerenUE, no liabilities, except in the
7		environmental area, would be transferred to AmerenCIPS from AmerenUE as
8		they currently exist; (2) that AmerenUE has not provided a listing of its liabilities,
9		or liabilities to be assigned; and (3) that the assignment of liabilities arising from
10		services provided by Ameren Services to AmerenUE and AmerenCIPS is
11		questionable. Mr. Gary Weiss, in his surrebuttal testimony, responds to the issue
12		they raise regarding services provided by Ameren Services Company.
13	I.	ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES.
13 14	I. Q.	ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES. Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues.
14	Q.	Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues.
14 15	Q.	Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues. It may be there has been some confusion over the matter of what liabilities are to
14 15 16	Q.	Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues. It may be there has been some confusion over the matter of what liabilities are to be assigned, which needs to be clarified. It has always been AmerenUE's
14 15 16 17	Q.	Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues. It may be there has been some confusion over the matter of what liabilities are to be assigned, which needs to be clarified. It has always been AmerenUE's intention to assign at the time of closing an appropriate share of AmerenUE
14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues. It may be there has been some confusion over the matter of what liabilities are to be assigned, which needs to be clarified. It has always been AmerenUE's intention to assign at the time of closing an appropriate share of AmerenUE liabilities to AmerenCIPS. The Asset Transfer Agreement requires an assignment
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues. It may be there has been some confusion over the matter of what liabilities are to be assigned, which needs to be clarified. It has always been AmerenUE's intention to assign at the time of closing an appropriate share of AmerenUE liabilities to AmerenCIPS. The Asset Transfer Agreement requires an assignment of AmerenUE liabilities that relate to or are associated with the business being
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Please address the allocation of general liabilities issues. It may be there has been some confusion over the matter of what liabilities are to be assigned, which needs to be clarified. It has always been AmerenUE's intention to assign at the time of closing an appropriate share of AmerenUE liabilities to AmerenCIPS. The Asset Transfer Agreement requires an assignment of AmerenUE liabilities that relate to or are associated with the business being transferred. The business being transferred, of course, can be generically

l		entry will be made on the books of AmerenCIPS to reflect this change in
2		liabilities. The allocation of this and other liabilities to be assigned will be made
3		using currently used and recognized allocation factors. In this example, the
4		accrued payroll related to the AmerenUE departments currently operating in
5		Illinois would be allocated to AmerenCIPS.
	~	
6	Q.	Has AmerenUE been able to identify the AmerenUE liabilities at issue?
7	A.	Yes. Specific liabilities related to the Illinois property and businesses were
8		included on the original proposed journal entries submitted with the filing. The
9		supporting detail information was provided near the time of the due date for
10		Staff's testimony in this proceeding, and apparently Staff did not have time to
11		review that before its testimony was due.
12	Q.	Setting aside the proper assignment of liabilities, can you foresee any
12	Q.	betting aside the proper assignment of habilities, can you foresee any
13	Q.	detriment to ratepayers?
	Q. A.	
13	_	detriment to ratepayers?
13 14	A.	detriment to ratepayers? No.
13 14 15	А. Q.	detriment to ratepayers? No. Please explain.
13 14 15 16	А. Q.	detriment to ratepayers? No. Please explain. The simplest answer is to note that rates are not being set in this proceeding.
13 14 15 16 17	А. Q.	<pre>detriment to ratepayers? No. Please explain. The simplest answer is to note that rates are not being set in this proceeding. Rates are set in the context of a rate case when all elements of the cost-of-service</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18	А. Q.	 detriment to ratepayers? No. Please explain. The simplest answer is to note that rates are not being set in this proceeding. Rates are set in the context of a rate case when all elements of the cost-of-service can be examined. These accounting entries, whatever they may be, do not control
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	А. Q.	detriment to ratepayers? No. Please explain. The simplest answer is to note that rates are not being set in this proceeding. Rates are set in the context of a rate case when all elements of the cost-of-service can be examined. These accounting entries, whatever they may be, do not control the determination of just and reasonable rates. I doubt Staff means or intend that
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	А. Q.	detriment to ratepayers? No. Please explain. The simplest answer is to note that rates are not being set in this proceeding. Rates are set in the context of a rate case when all elements of the cost-of-service can be examined. These accounting entries, whatever they may be, do not control the determination of just and reasonable rates. I doubt Staff means or intend that the accounting of liabilities in this proceeding will foreclose related ratemaking

.

Ŧ

	case. For example, if Staff contends that a disproportionate share of an expense
	has been allocated to AmerenUE, no doubt it will make a disallowance
	recommendation of some sort.
II.	FIXED ASSET LISTINGS.
Q.	Has AmerenUE provided the detail of the assets to be transferred to
	AmerenCIPS to the Staff?
A.	Yes, the detail of the plant assets as of December 31, 2003 for gas and electric
	transmission, distribution, and general plant to be transferred was provided in the
	response to MPSC data request 0028 on January 29, 2004. The assets were listed
	at the retirement unit level within each FERC account.
Q.	Why did the balance of the electric plant to be transferred to AmerenCIPS
	decrease from the original filing?
Α.	After AmerenUE filed its Application in this case, it was discovered that the Iowa
	transmission lines and property were erroneously included in the listing of assets
	to be transferred to AmerenCIPS. These assets total approximately \$7.0 million
	and will be retained by AmerenUE.
Q.	Will the balance of assets to be transferred, if the filing is approved, be
	different in a material way from that provided in the response to MPSC data
	request 0028?
A.	No. The applicable assets have been identified as reported on the data request
	response. Since the data request listed the assets at a point in time, the only
	changes will be due to normal addition and retirement activity that will occur
	between December 31, 2003 and the date of the final approval. AmerenUE does
	Q. A. Q. Q.

1 not anticipate any material differences in plant assets.

2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

3 A. Yes, it does.

.

Ŧ

QUALIFICATIONS OF MICHAEL J. GETZ

My name is Michael J. Getz and my business address is One Ameren Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103. My business residence is in St. Louis.

3

My educational background consists of a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from Bradley University received in 1982. In 1983, I obtained my Certified Public Accountant certification in the State of Illinois. In 1988, I received my Masters of Business Administration degree from Bradley University, and obtained my Certified Management Accountant certification in 1996.

I began my employment at Central Illinois Light Company in 1984 in the plant accounting department. During my career I have supervised the accounts payable, general accounting, and plant accounting groups.

My duties as Director Plant Accounting include overseeing and maintaining the fixed asset records and the work order system.

Appendix A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

÷.

?

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been sent to all parties of record this 1st day of March, 2004 by electronic mail (e-mail) or U.S. Mail.

/s/ Joseph H. Raybuck_