

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2 STATE OF MISSOURI

3 _____

4

5 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

6 Rulemaking Hearing

7 April 3, 2012

8 Jefferson City, Missouri

9 Volume 1

10

11 _____

12

13 In The Matter Of A Proposed Amendment)

 To 4 CSR 240-20.065, The Commission's) File No.

14 Net Metering Rule) EX-2012-0193

15

16

17

18 MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding

 CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

19 KEVIN D. GUNN, Chairman

 TERRY M. JARRETT

20 ROBERT S. KENNEY,

 COMMISSIONERS

21

22 REPORTED BY:

 Jennifer Leibach, CCR Number 1108

23 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

24

25

A P P E A R A N C E S

WENDY TATRO, Attorney at Law

Ameren Missouri

1901 Chouteau Avenue

St. Louis, Missouri 63103

FOR: Ameren Missouri

MEGHAN McCLOWRY, Staff Counsel

CULLY DALE, Staff Counsel

Public Service Commission

200 Madison Street

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

573.751.6651

FOR: The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission

LEWIS MILLS, attorney at Law

Office of Public Counsel

200 Madison Street

P.O. Box 2230

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

573.751.5565

FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the public

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. We're here today for a rulemaking hearing in Case Number EX-2012-0193, which concerns Staff's Proposed Amendment to the Commission's Net Metering Rule.

We'll begin today, this is just a rulemaking hearing, so we're not going to swear in witnesses and so forth, but Mr. Mills, you indicated you wanted to go first, so I'll let you make your comment.

MR. MILLS: I did, thank you, Judge. I've got another prehearing starting at the same time across the hall. I just wanted to get on the record that Public Counsel has no objection to the proposed rule changes. I reviewed the comments of the Staff and Union Electric and I have no objection to the changes that they've proposed and their comments as well.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.

MR. MILLS: Thank you very much.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: And I suppose you should identify yourself for the record.

MR. MILLS: I'm Lewis Mills, Public Counsel.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Mr. Chairman, any questions of Public Counsel.

CHAIRMAN GUNN: None.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Mills, you may go next

1 door.

2 I'll go ahead with Staff comments at this
3 point.

4 MS. McCLOWRY: Staff filed their comments in
5 this case yesterday and they have no objections to Ameren
6 Missouri's comments that were filed yesterday as well. I
7 have Lena Mantle and Dave Elliott here if you have any
8 questions for them.

9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman, do you have any
10 questions for Staff?

11 CHAIRMAN GUNN: No, I do not.

12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. All right. Then I see
13 Ameren Missouri's in the room.

14 MS. TATRO: Good morning, Wendy Tatro for
15 Ameren Missouri. We filed our comments yesterday. I think
16 they're self-explanatory. I do have Wade Miller from our
17 regulatory group with me and available to answer any
18 questions that the Commissioners might have.

19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, any
20 questions for Ameren?

21 CHAIRMAN GUNN: No questions.

22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Jarrett, do you
23 have any questions for Ameren?

24 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I do not have any
25 questions. Thank you.

1 MS. TATRO: Good morning, sir.

2 CHAIRMAN GUNN: Did you indicate whether you
3 had objected to Staff comments?

4 MS. TATRO: I don't think we did. We have no
5 objection. I think Staff just kind of has a summary.

6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I wanted to clarify that also
7 from Staff. What you filed yesterday was a summary of the
8 changes that are already in the rule rather than making new
9 changes to the rule; is that correct:

10 MS. MANTLE: This is Lena Mantle for the
11 Staff. Yes, that is correct. I went through each of the
12 changes, offered an explanation for the change. We did have
13 two -- when going through it yesterday, came across two
14 different spots where I think the language can be more clear
15 and that is offered in our comments. That would be what is
16 in red. One was to fully identify the co-generation rule is
17 in two places, Chapter 20 and Chapter 3. And the other was
18 we asked that the company supply some information to Staff
19 and that's pretty generic. I narrowed that down to who at
20 Staff they should send had a information to so that we can
21 make sure the appropriate staff review it.

22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right.

23 MS. MANTLE: So those were our two suggested
24 changes to what is being proposed.

25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And Ms. Tatro, you indicated

1 Ameren does not disagree with those changes?

2 MS. TATRO: I believe those changes are
3 appropriate.

4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And Staff agrees with
5 Ameren's proposed changes?

6 MS. MANTLE: Staff agree.

7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Is there anyone else in the
8 room who wanted to make a comment? Mr. Chairman, anything
9 you want to add?

10 CHAIRMAN GUNN: No, I love it when everybody
11 agrees. Good job.

12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Jarrett, do you
13 have anything you want to add?

14 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I don't have anything
15 to add. Thank you very much for being here today.

16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: With that, then, we are
17 adjourned. Thank you.

18 (End of Proceedings.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2 STATE OF MISSOURI)

) ss:

3 COUNTY OF GASCONADE)

4

5 I, JENNIFER L. LEIBACH, Registered Professional

6 Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, CCR #1108, and Certified

7 Realtime Reporter, the officer before whom the foregoing

8 matter was taken, do hereby certify that the witness/es whose

9 testimony appears in the foregoing matter was duly sworn by

10 me; that the testimony of said witness/es was taken by me to

11 the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting

12 under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related

13 to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which

14 this matter was taken, and further that I am not a relative

15 or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the

16 parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in

17 the outcome of the action.

18

19 _____

20 Court Reporter

21

22

23

24

25