FILED
May 02, 2023
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No. 140

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Variable Fuel,

Rush Island

Witness: Shawn E. Lange, PE

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2022-0337

Date Testimony Prepared: February 15, 2023

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SHAWN E. LANGE, PE

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

CASE NO. ER-2022-0337

Jefferson City, Missouri February 2023

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	SHAWN E. LANGE, PE
4 5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI
6	CASE NO. ER-2022-0337
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
8	VARIABLE FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE1
9	FORCED OUTAGE RATE2
10	RUSH ISLAND ENERGY CENTER3

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		SHAWN E. LANGE, PE	
4 5		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI	
6		CASE NO. ER-2022-0337	
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
8	A.	My name is Shawn E. Lange, and my business address is Missouri Public	
9	Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.		
10	Q.	Are you the same Shawn E. Lange that filed direct testimony in this proceeding?	
11	A.	Yes, I am.	
12	EXECUTIV	VE SUMMARY	
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?	
14	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to update Staff's calculation of variable	
15	fuel and purchased power expense, update forced outage calculation, and to provide an update		
16	to the cost and timeline assumptions to the transmission system upgrades necessary for the		
17	retirement o	f Rush Island.	
18	VARIABLI	E FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE	
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony regarding variable fuel and	
20	purchased p	ower expense?	
21	A.	The purpose of this section of my rebuttal testimony is to describe changes to	
22	Staff's direct variable fuel and purchase power results. Staff estimates the variable fuel and		
23	purchased power expense for Ameren Missouri to be \$405,526,511.		

- Q. Explain what changed from Staff's direct variable fuel and purchase power results.
- A. Since Staff's direct filing, Staff has become aware of a formula error in the calculation of market prices. Please refer to Staff witness Justin Tevie's rebuttal testimony.
 - Q. How do the market prices affect the variable fuel expense?
- A. Staff uses a production cost model to perform a simulation of a utility's energy generation, energy sales, and energy purchases. The production cost model simulates the dispatch of each coal or natural gas-fired power plant based upon the market prices associated with that generator's node. In each hour of the simulation, the total generation from all sources is then summed and compared against the purchased energy required to satisfy load. If total generation exceeds purchased energy, then net purchases are recorded for that hour. Conversely, if total generation is less than purchased energy, net purchases are recorded. In that way, net sales and purchases within the market are determined for each hour of the simulation.
- Q. Did Staff perform updates to any other production cost model run in this proceeding?
- A. Yes, Staff modeled Rush Island unit 1 and Rush Island unit 2 consistent with the Midcontinent Independent System Operator ("MISO") dispatch prior to the System Support Resource ("SSR") designation. The Rush Island unit 1 and Rush Island unit 2 generation and operating hours results for prior to and after the SSR designation was provided to Staff witness Claire Eubanks for use in her determination of a Rush Island adjustment.

FORCED OUTAGE RATE

Q. Are there errors in the calculation of the forced outage rate?

1 Yes. There was a formula error that caused the wrong cell to be pulled when A. 2 calculating the forced outage rate. 3 Q. Is this change reflected in Staff's updated fuel expense discussed above? 4 A. No. This correction will be reflected in the Staff's true-up case. 5 **RUSH ISLAND ENERGY CENTER** 6 What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony regarding the Rush Island Energy Q. 7 Center? 8 A. Ameren Missouri provided an update to Staff regarding anticipated costs and 9 timelines associated with the transmission upgrades necessary to ensure system reliability when 10 Rush Island retires. 11 What are the updated costs of the transmission upgrades? Q. 12 A. The total anticipated costs for all of the necessary transmission upgrades is 13 **. The changes to timeline are included in Confidential Schedule SEL-r1. Q. 14 Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony? 15 Yes, it does. A.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust Its Revenues for Electric Service Case No. ER-2022-0337
AFFIDAVIT OF SHAWN E. LANGE, PE
STATE OF MISSOURI)
COUNTY OF COLE) ss.
COMES NOW SHAWN E. LANGE, PE and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and
lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Shawn E. Lange, PE; and that
the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.
Further the Affiant sayeth not. Shawn E. Lange, PE
JURAT
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this day of
February 2023.
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

SCHEDULE SEL-r1

HAS BEEN DEEMED

CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY