## ERRATA SHEET

Direct Testimony of: Cary G. Featherstone

Case Number:

ER-2004-0034/HR-2004-0024

Date Filed:

December 9, 2003

FILED

APR 2 9 2004

Missouri Public Service Commission

Page	Lines	Correction	on	Reason	
9	5-6	Change to read: A. The consalvage amounts for the five between 1998 and 2002 for were:	-year period	Clarification	
9	7-13	Replace the table in its entir	ety to read:	Correction	
	Year	Cost of Removal	Salvage	Net Salvage	
	1998	\$ 303,736	\$ (177,357)	\$ 126,379	
	1999	1,916,892	(90,577)	1,826,315	
	2000	3,811,253	(854,021)	2,957,232	
	2001	1,439,615	(717,872)	721,743	
	2002	2,479,058	(708,507)	1,770,550	
	[Source:	MPS electric Data Request N	o. 276]		
11	1-2	Change to read: February 22 Merchant created a company Merchant Energy Partners P (MEPPH) to supply power to	y known as leasant Hill, LLC	Clarification	

Signature

Case No(s). Rptr 45

amount was included in Accounting Schedule 9, Income Statement, on both a total Company and jurisdictional basis.

Q. What were the cost of removal expenditures and salvage amounts for the fiveyear period?

A. The cost of removal and salvage amounts for the five-year period between 1998 and 2002 for MPS electric were:

7 8

Year	Cost of Removal	Salvage	Net Salvage
1998	\$ 303,736	\$ (177,357)	\$ 126,379
1999	1,916,892	(90,577)	1,826,315
2000	3,811,253	(854,021)	2,957,232
2001	1,439,615	(717,872)	721,743
2002	2,479,058	(708,507)	1,770,550

 [Source: MPS electric Data Request No. 276]

Q. Why did Staff use a five-year average to determine the level of cost of removal and salvage value to include in the revenue requirement?

A. A five-year average was used because the costs of removal and salvage amounts fluctuated from year to year during the period examined. Using a five-year average for fluctuating costs removes or smoothes out the differences from one year to the next. Averaging costs to mitigate the impact of fluctuations is commonly used in the ratemaking process and is consistent with how other costs have been treated in this case. The average over the last five years is the most representative of the annual normal on-going level of expense for this case.

Q. Have cost of removal and salvage value been treated this way in prior Aquila rate cases?

- February 22, 1999. Aquila Merchant created a company known as Merchant Energy Partners

  Pleasant Hill, LLC (MEPPH) to supply power to MPS.
- Q. Did Aquila Merchant build a new generating facility in Missouri to supply the power under the PPA with MPS?
- A. Yes. Aquila Merchant and subsequent operating partner, Calpine Corporation (Calpine), completed construction of a 585-megawatt combined cycle unit at the Aries Power Plant site located at Pleasant Hill, Missouri in 2002.
  - Q. When did the Aries combined cycle unit become fully operational?
- A. The partners identified the Aries Combined Cycle Generating Facility (Aries Combined Cycle Unit or Aries Plant) was completed and ready to generate electricity as a combined cycle unit by February 2002. The combined cycle plant provides to MPS 200 megawatts during October through March and 500 megawatts during April through September starting in 2002 through May 31, 2005, to MPS under the terms of the PPA entered into on February 22, 1999. Aries provided 320 megawatts of peaking capacity service to MPS during the summer of 2001 under the same capacity contract.
  - Q. What is Aquila's interest in the Aries Combined Cycle Unit?
- A. Aquila effectively owns 50% of this unit. Calpine, the partner which effectively owns the other 50% of the plant, is the operating partner of the Aries Combined Cycle Unit through a Partnership Agreement. On January 12, 2000, Aquila, then called UtiliCorp, entered into a Partnership Agreement with Calpine for the construction, ownership and operation of the Aries Combined Cycle Unit. The actual Partnership Agreement is entitled "Amended And Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of MEP Pleasant Hill, LLC a Delaware Limited Liability Company" (Case No. ER-2001-672, Data Request