

Exhibit No.:

Issues:

Low Income Weatherization Assistance

Witness:

John Buchanan

Sponsoring Party:

Missouri Department of Economic

Development - Division of Energy

Type of Exhibit:

Direct Testimony

Case No.:

ER-2014-0370

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Filed
June 30, 2015
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2014-0370

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN BUCHANAN

ON

BEHALF OF

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

DIVISION OF ENERGY

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2, 2014

(Revenue Requirement)

DED-DE Exhibit No. 350
Date 6:16:15 Reporter AT
File No. ER. 2014 - 0370

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light) Company's Request for Authority to Implement a) General Rate Increase for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2014-0370			
AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN A. BUCHANAN			
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)			
John A. Buchanan, of lawful age, being duly sworn on his oath, deposes and states:			
1. My name is John A. Buchanan. I work in the City of Jefferson, Missouri, and I am employed			
by the Missouri Department of Economic Development as Senior Planner, Division of			
Energy.			
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony on behalf of			
the Missouri Department of Economic Development - Division of Energy.			
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the			
questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.			
John A. Buchanan			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31 th day of March, 2015			
Notary Public Notary Public			
My commission expires: KAY A. JOHANNPETER Notary Public Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Cole County My Commission Expires: Aug. 4, 2015 Commission # 11561987 Notary Public NOTARY PUBLIC OF MISSOURI OF MISSOURI OF MISSOURI			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	4
III.	FEDERAL LOW INCOME WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	7
V.	KCP&L'S WEATHERIZATION PROGRAM	10

I. INTRODUCTION

1

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

- 2 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. John Buchanan, Missouri Department of Economic Development, Division of Energy, 301
 West High Street, Suite 720, Jefferson City, Missouri.
- 5 Q. What is the Division of Energy?
 - A. The Division of Energy ("DE") is a division of the Missouri Department of Economic Development ("DED") and is the designated state energy office in Missouri responsible for the administration of several federal energy programs and grants including the federal Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program ("LIWAP" or "Weatherization"). The DE is also responsible for administering the federal State Energy Program ("SEP") established by the United States Congress in 1978, which is managed nationally by the United States Department of Energy ("USDOE"). The SEP consists of several statewide energy efficiency programs administered by the DE and funded by the USDOE. The DE is vested with the powers and duties set forth in Section 640.150, RSMo.
- 15 Q. What is your position with the Missouri Division of Energy?
- 16 A. I am the Senior Planner in the DE's Energy Policy and Resources Program.
- 17 Q. On whose behalf are you testifying?
- 18 A. I am testifying on behalf of the DE, an intervenor in these proceedings.
- 19 Q. Please describe your educational background and business experience.
 - A. I joined the Missouri Department of Natural Resources' ("DNR") DE in July of 1980 as director of the Missouri Residential Conservation Service Program ("RCS"); a Congressionally mandated investor-owned electric and natural gas utility Demand-Side Management initiative. In 1986, I was promoted to serve as the Senior Planner within the

Director's Office at the DE. In this capacity, I was involved in a variety of programs and projects addressing energy, environmental, and natural resource issues. In October 1995, I was appointed as a Senior Planner within the Policy and Planning Unit at the DE where my responsibilities include preparation of testimony filed in general rate or other cases by the DNR before the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission"), energy efficiency program design and development, energy emergency planning, energy supply and price monitoring and energy-related policy development. Governor Jay Nixon, by Executive Order 13-03, transferred the DE from the DNR to the DED effective August 28, 2013. Prior to my employment with the DNR, I served as Special Assistant to the Mayor, City of Columbia, Missouri for two years. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from Columbia College. I am also a former Fellow of Missouri University, where I received my Master of Science in Public Administration.

- Q. Are you currently working with Missouri utilities to implement energy efficiency initiatives?
- A. Yes. Since 1980 I have worked directly with investor-owned regulated electric and natural gas utilities on several energy efficiency initiatives including the federal RCS. This was the first nationwide utility-sponsored non-low-income residential energy efficiency program administered under the guidance of the USDOE. In addition, the DE is a charter member of several electric and natural gas energy efficiency collaboratives authorized by the Commission. I serve as DE's designated representative on the following utility efficiency collaboratives:
 - 1) Ameren Missouri (electric and gas);
 - 2) Empire District Electric Company;

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- John Buchanan Case No. ER-2014-0370 1 3) Empire District Gas Company; 4) Laclede Gas Company; 2 5) Liberty Utilities (formerly Atmos Energy Corporation) 3 6) Missouri Gas Energy; and, 4 5 7) Summit Natural Gas Company of Missouri. 6 7 8 9 program.
- - I currently participate in Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L" or "Company") Demand-Side Management Advisory Group ("DSMAG") meetings and currently work with KCP&L on issues regarding its Income Eligible Weatherization ("IEW")

II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in these proceedings?
- A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to address KCP&L's IEW program. I will specifically offer testimony regarding the need to address continuity issues among current Missouri investor-owned low-income weatherization programs; and, the LIWAP.
- Q. What recommendations do you have regarding KCP&L's IEW?
- A. To assist KCP&L in its on-going energy efficiency initiatives that address the needs of lowincome residential customers and to help those customers improve or reduce energy consumption in an effort to lower utility bills, promote timely utility bill payments; and, to reduce or avoid service disconnections, the DE recommends that the Commission:
 - At the conclusion of KCP&L's current Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act ("MEEIA") cycle in December 2015, require KCP&L to continue the IEP independent of MEEIA. KCP&L should be allowed to recover any outstanding program costs, throughput disincentive and incentive component for the period that

 the program was under MEEIA through its Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanisms ("DSIM"); and,

Authorize KCP&L to recover customer contributions to annual low-income weatherization service program expense in base rates, consistently and in keeping with the Commission's funding approval for weatherization services provided by all other Missouri regulated investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities (with the exception of KCP&L – Greater Missouri Operations ("KCP&L/GMO").

Q. How do program participants benefit from low-income residential weatherization?

A. Home heating is a high cost for individuals with low incomes. Overall, low-income households that qualify for Weatherization spend more of their income on energy needs compared to non-low-income households. The decision and ability to pay one's utility bill often compete with other necessities. Many low-income individuals served by KCP&L live in older homes equipped with older, less-efficient heating systems and generally lack energy-efficiency measures such as insulation. Weatherization reduces space heating fuel consumption, lowering the cost of home heating and potentially the cost of other energy uses. Weatherization is also a cost-effective means to help low-income individuals or families pay their energy bills year after year for the life of the energy-efficiency product.

Q. How do other customers and the State benefit from low-income residential weatherization?

A. Weatherization has been shown to have a positive impact on households' ability to maintain service, make timelier and more complete utility bill payments and to reduce arrearages. For example, two separate evaluations found:

Direct Testimony of John Buchanan Case No. ER-2014-0370

2

1

3 4 5

6 7

8

10 11

12 13

14

16

15

18

17

19

The average number of disconnects for participants declined (by 133%) compared to the control group.¹

The percent of payments made by participants increased by an average of 5% compared to the control group.²

[T]he program benefited them by reducing their bills, improving the safety and comfort of their home, lowering their energy use, and providing energy education.³

According to the Weatherization Assistance Program Technical Assistance Center⁴:

Weatherization creates non-energy benefits as well, including increased property value, reduced incidence of fire, reduced utility arrearages and bad debt, federal taxes generated from employment, income generated from indirect employment, avoided costs of unemployment benefits, and reduced pollution.

By supporting energy efficiency, rate-payers benefit from reduced collection costs. Improved affordability which results in higher utility service subscription levels also allows for greater sharing of fixed system costs across customers.

Tax-payers benefit from low-income weatherization because it reduces the amount of state and federal assistance needed to pay higher utility bills. The State also benefits from improved health and well-being of a particularly vulnerable population. Weatherization activities conducted by local community action agencies can also work to keep money in local economies.

¹ Residential Low Income Weatherization Program Evaluation, The Cadmus Group, page 16, July 2012.

³ Ameren Low-Income Weatherization Program, Final Evaluation Report, APPRISE, December 2009, page E12.
⁴ "Short and Long-Term Perspectives: The Impact on Low-Income Consumers of Forecasted Energy Price Increases in 2008 and a Cap-and-Trade Carbon Policy in 2030, ORNL/CON-503", Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, December - 2007; January MIDWINTER UPDATE, "Estimating the National Effects of the U.S. Department of Energy's Weatherization Assistance Program with State-Level Data: A Metaevaluation Using Studies from 1993 to 2005", ORNL/CON-493, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, September, 2005; and the EIA ORNL/TM-2010/66, EIA February 2010 Short Term Energy Outlook.

Q. Are there utility benefits from low-income energy efficiency services?

A. Yes. In addition to looking at energy-efficiency from the household perspective, it is beneficial to examine the benefits of a low-income energy-efficiency program from the perspective of energy service providers. Extensive research has found that low-income energy-efficiency programs result in substantial non-energy savings to utilities. These non-energy savings include reductions in working capital expense, uncollectible accounts, credit and collection expenses, and other benefits.

III. FEDERAL LOW INCOME WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Q. Please describe the federal Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program.

A. In response to the energy crisis of the early 1970s, Congress established the Weatherization program. As Missouri's state energy office, the DE administers the federal program. The Weatherization program provides cost-effective energy-efficient home improvements to Missouri's low-income households, especially the elderly, children, those with physical disadvantages, and others most affected by high utility costs. The program's goal is to lower utility bills and improve comfort while ensuring health and safety. Today, Weatherization is the nation's and Missouri's oldest and largest residential energy efficiency program. Since its inception in 1977, over 180,000 Missouri homes have been weatherized through the LIWAP.

The LIWAP uses a "whole house retrofit" approach to building improvement. Participating houses undergo a thorough energy audit for leaks and substandard or malfunctioning equipment (such as heaters), followed by repairs and equipment replacement conducted by trained weatherization professionals. After repairs are complete, a second

home audit is performed to verify that retrofits were installed properly. Work on eligible homes is performed by 19 subgrantees, which consist of Community Action Agencies or local non-profit agencies situated to serve every region of Missouri. The DE administers the grant from USDOE and liaisons with the USDOE for receipt, processing and administration of the federal grant funds. The DE processes all required reporting and responds to federal and state auditors. The DE also administers the subgrant process by which funds are provided to the local agency subgrantees by obtaining budgets, allocating funds and drafting subgrant documents for execution by the DE and each agency. Once subgrants are executed, the DE provides technical and fiscal training, technical monitoring (desk reviews and field inspections) and fiscal oversight (desk and on-site review of agency processes and program compliance) of the subgrantees.

Q. What are the current sources of funding to weatherize homes of low-income residents of Missouri administered by the DE?

A. Funding comes primarily from two sources⁵, the federal government and four Missouri utilities. Funding from federal sources is part of the DE's allocation from the USDOE, under a formula allocation based on population, local climatic conditions, and the cost of heating and cooling for low-income residences.⁶

The second source of funding is from customer and shareholder contributions provided by Missouri investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities. DE administers utility weatherization funds approved by the Commission in various cases for four utilities:

⁵ Low Income Heating Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) funds have been used in the past and will be used in the 2014/2015 LIWAP program year to weatherize homes, but have not been available on an annual basis. LIHEAP funds will not be addressed in testimony.

⁶ See http://www1.eere.energy.gov/wip/wap allocation.html

Direct Testimony of

20

21

22

23

24

John Buchanan Case No. ER-2014-0370 1 1) Ameren Missouri (electric); 2 2) Ameren Missouri (natural gas); 3) Laclede Gas Company; and, 3 4) Liberty Gas. 4 5 DE administers utility weatherization funds consistent with the guidelines of the federal Weatherization program. Regardless of source, funds are passed through the DE to the 6 7 various subgrantees to provide vital weatherization services, under federal administrative guidelines, throughout the state. Utility weatherization funds are used to improve the energy 8 efficiency and health and safety of the homes of eligible utility customers in their respective 9 10 service territories. O. Please identify regulated investor owned utility-based weatherization fund sources that 11 are not administered by the DE. 12 13 A. The Commission has authorized weatherization programs for the following Missouri 14 regulated investor-owned electric and natural gas companies: 1) Empire District Electric Company; 15 2) Empire District Gas Company; 16 3) Missouri Gas Energy; 17 4) Kansas City Power & Light Company; 18 5) Kansas City Power & Light Company/Greater Missouri Operations Company; and, 19

6) Summit Natural Gas Company of Missouri.

These utilities operate their weatherization program independent of Missouri's federal LIWAP. Each utility provides supplemental funding to the community action agencies that deliver federal LIWAP within their Missouri service territory through individual cooperative agreements. Generally, the utility programs are designed to align with the federal program

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- allowing for greater leveraging of federal funding for in-state weatherization. This is a key reason to create uniformity and consistency in utility weatherization programs.
- 3 Q. Which electric utilities operate their weatherization program under MEEIA?
- 4 A. Only KCP&L and KCP&L/GMO operate their weatherization programs under MEEIA.

5 IV. KCP&L'S WEATHERIZATION PROGRAM

- 6 Q. Please describe KCP&L's weatherization program.
- A. KCP&L's weatherization program is described by the Company's current tariff in Sheet 1.91 and Sheet 1.92.
 - Q. What is KCP&L's annual weatherization budget?
- A. For program year 2014, KCP&L's weatherization budget was \$573,888.00 supporting weatherization services by 5 local community action agencies within KCP&L's Missouri service territory. The Company reimburses each agency for weatherization expenses incurred on a monthly basis.
- Q. Please describe weatherization continuity issues among current Missouri investorowned low-income weatherization programs.
 - With the exception of KCP&L and KCP&L/GMO, the Commission has authorized funding for weatherization services provided by Missouri regulated investor-owned utilities through customer contributions in base rates. In addition to program consistency, base rate recovery is preferable to recovery through the MEEIA cost recovery mechanism for reasons of program continuity. Commission authorization for all utility-sponsored weatherization funding through base rates works to ensure continuity between all investor-owned energy utilities in Missouri. Investor-owned electric utilities offer MEEIA on a voluntary basis.

Including weatherization programs through MEEIA does not insure that weatherization will be offered if a utility does not participate in MEEIA. Recently there have also been delays and disagreement regarding proposed MEEIA portfolios. Lapses between approved MEEIA plans could disrupt continued availability of weatherization services.

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. The Missouri State Legislature in 2009⁷ recognized that low-income programs, including weatherization, provide several benefits beyond energy efficiency and are in the public interest. DE's recommended funding approach assures program consistency and continuity and allows the recovery of annual weatherization funding as costs occur. Significant strides have been made by Missouri investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities, including KCP&L, to implement low-income energy efficiency programs in Missouri. These investments over the past two decades should continue to be strongly supported at adequate levels to ensure their on-going success. The DE respectfully recommends, therefore, that the Commission address and authorize the following:

- At the conclusion of KCP&L's current MEEIA cycle in December 2015, require
 KCP&L to continue the IEP independent of MEEIA. KCP&L should be allowed to
 recover any outstanding program costs, throughput disincentive and performance
 incentive components for the period that the program was under MEEIA through the
 Company's DSIM; and,
- Authorize KCP&L to recover customer contributions to annual low-income weatherization service program funding through base rates consistent and in keeping with the Commission's funding approval for weatherization services provided by all

⁷ Section 393.1075, RSMo, Missouri Senate Bill 376, August 2009.

Direct Testimony of John Buchanan Case No. ER-2014-0370

other Missouri regulated investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities (with the exception of KCP&L/GMO).

- 3 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 4 A. Yes. Thank you.