



MORRIS WOODRUFF

Secretary WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

Commissioners

DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 **JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102** 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov

November 2, 2016

Mike Downing, Director Department of Economic Development 301 W. High Street P.O. Box 1157 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE: 4 CSR 240-4.050 Limitation on Appearance before Commission

Dear Mr. Downing:

The Public Service Commission proposes the rule 4 CSR 240-4.050, Limitation on Appearance before Commission. This rule will set forth the standards of conduct to promote the public trust and maintain public confidence in the commission's integrity and impartiality with regard to pending filings and cases.

The proposed rule does not implicate the takings clause of the U.S. Constitution, because the proposed rule does not involve the taking of real property.

The Commission has performed the small business analysis required by Section 536.300, RSMo Supp. 2013, and includes the small business impact statement with this filing. Proposed Rule 4 CSR 240-4.050 does not impose any requirement that "will cause direct and significant economic burden upon a small business, or that is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business." The Commission certifies that it has determined that the proposed rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses.

Please find enclosed a copy of the Proposed Rule, a Small Business Impact Statement and, for your signature, a Public Entity Cost Affidavit. Please review and sign the Affidavit at

Mr. Mike Downing November 2, 2016 Page 2

your earliest convenience so that the Commission may proceed with publishing the proposed rule.

Please let me know if you have any questions concerning this proposed rule.

Sincerely,

Michael Bushmann

Senior Regulatory Law Judge

(573) 751-4393 (telephone)

(573) 526-6010 (facsimile)

Michael.bushmann@psc.mo.gov (e-mail)

Michael Berhammen

Enclosure

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 4—Standards of Conduct

4 CSR 240-4.050 Limitation on Appearance before Commission

PURPOSE: To set forth the standards of conduct to promote the public trust and maintain public confidence in the commission's integrity and impartiality with regard to pending filings and cases.

No person who has served as a member of the office of the commission shall, after termination of service with the office of the commission, appear before the commission in relation to any contested case that existed while that person served with the office of the commission.

AFFIDAVIT PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
COUNTY OF COLE)	
sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opini	ent of Economic Development, first being duly ion that the cost of proposed rule, 4 CSR 240-he aggregate to this agency, any other agency sion thereof.
	Mike Downing Director Department of Economic Development
Subscribed and sworn to before me this commissioned as a notary public within Missouri, and my commission expires on	day of, 2016, I am the County of, State of
	Notary Dublic

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: 10/27/2016

Rule Number:

4 CSR 240-4.050

Name of Agency Preparing Statement:

Public Service Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement:

Michael Bushmann, Reg. Law Judge

Phone Number:

751-4393

Email: Michael.Bushmann@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement:

Morris Woodruff, Secretary

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

None. There is little impact on small businesses other than a requirement to comply with this ethics rule.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Involvement of small businesses or entities regulated by the Commission was not necessary for the development of this proposed rule.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No adverse effect is anticipated.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

None.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No monetary cost or benefit is anticipated by the rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes ___ No XX__

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.