Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Anne E. Ross Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Date Testimony Prepared: April 15, 2004

Large Customer Annualization Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: GR-2004-0209

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

. . .

In about all all and the second and

 \mathbf{a}

UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ANNE E. ROSS

JUL 1 3 2004

FILED

Missouri Public Service Commission

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2004-0209

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2004

> Exhibit No. 835 Case No(s). FR- 2004-0209 Date 6-21-04 Rotr 835

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates) for Gas Service in the Company's) Missouri Service Area)

Case No. GR-2004-0209

AFFIDAVIT OF ANNE E. ROSS

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss **COUNTY OF COLE**)

18.

Anne E. Ross, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of April, 2004.

Notary Public

DAWN L. HAKE Notary Public - State of Missouri County of Cole Commission Expires Jan 9, 2005

My commission expires

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	ADJUSTMENTS TO LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMERS
4	
	i -

'n

.

ŝ

•			
1		DIRECT TESTIMONY	
2	1	OF	
3		ANNE E. ROSS	
4		MISSOURI GAS ENERGY	
5		CASE NO. GR-2004-0209	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	A.	Anne E. Ross, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.	
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
9	A.	I am a Regulatory Economist with the Missouri Public Service Commission	
10	(Commission).		
11	Q.	Please describe your educational background.	
12	A.	I graduated from the University of Missouri – Columbia in 1986 with an	
13	undergraduate degree in Business Administration. I graduated from the University of		
14	Missouri – Columbia, with a Masters of Business Administration in 1989.		
15	Q .	Please describe your work experience.	
16	A .	I have been employed with the Commission as a Regulatory Economist since	
17	1989. I have also been an adjunct professor at Columbia College since 1989.		
18	Q.	What has been the nature of your duties while in the employ of the	
19	Commission?		
20	A .	The general nature of my duties at the Commission have included Class Cost	
21	of Service, Rate Design, Large Customer Analysis, and the design of programs for lower		
22	income natural gas customers.		
23	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?	

î

1

	Direct Testimony of Anne E. Ross		
1	Α.	Yes. Attachment 1 is a list of the gas cases in which I have filed testimony.	
2	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?	
3	А.	The purpose of my direct testimony is to describe the revenue adjustments that	
4	the Staff is proposing for the Company's Large Volume Service customers.		
5	ADJUSTMENTS TO LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMERS		
6	Q.	What types of modifications are made to Large Volume Service (LVS)	
7	customers' test year usage?		
8	А.	Typical adjustments include adjustments for customers coming on or going off	
9	the system during the test year; for accounts that take service on more than one tariff during		
10	the year; and weather normalization to adjust for abnormal weather during the test year.		
11	Q.	What is done if a customer comes on the system during the test year?	
12	А.	In this instance, billing units and revenues are increased to reflect a full 12	
13	months of usage.		
14	Q.	Why?	
15	А.	To adjust the test year so that it reflects the addition of that customer on a	
16	going-forward basis.		
17	Q.	What is done if a customer goes off the system during the test year?	
18	А.	In that case, the customer's billing units, and the associated revenue, is	
19	decreased, since the customer is no longer on the system, and will not provide any revenue for		
20	the Company		
21	Q.	What type of adjustment is made if a customer takes service under two or more	
22	rate classes during the test year?		

ŧ

Direct Testimony of Anne E. Ross

Q.

A. This is called rate-switching. In this case, the customer's usage and revenue is removed from the original class, and added to that of the new class, as it is assumed that, in a normal year, the customer will take service under only one tariff or rate class.

4

£

Why would customers switch from one rate to another?

A. The nature of a customer's operations may change, and taking service under a different tariff is now more appropriate, or is even required by the Company's tariff, or the customer may find it to be economical to switch to another customer class.

8 Q. Are you sponsoring Staff adjustments to reflect the revenue effect of LVS
9 customers coming on/going off the MGE system and rate-switching?

A. Yes. Adjustment S-7.4 to the Company's revenue requirement reflects the
revenue effect to the LVS class revenues resulting from customers switching to and from the
Company's LVS tariff. Adjustment S-2.17 adjusts the Small General Service and Large
General Service class revenues for the effects of customer rate-switching between these
classes and the Large Volume class.

15

Q. Are the customers in the Large Volume Service tariff class weather-sensitive?

A. Yes. Schedule 2 shows the aggregate usage of these customers plotted against
heating degree days, for the Kansas City, Joplin and St. Joseph areas. As can be seen from
the graphs, these customers' usage shows a linear response to weather, with usage increasing
as the outside temperature decreases.

20 Q. Are you making weather adjustments for the Large Volume Service 21 customers?

A. Yes. Adjustment S-7.5 reflects the weather adjustment to the Company's
revenue requirement for the Large Volume Service customers.

Direct Testimony of Anne E. Ross

Q. How was this adjustment determined?

A. Using individual customer records supplied by the Company, I accounted for
the effect of customer rate-switching on volumes and customer numbers. I also removed the
records of any customers who were not in the LVS customer class as of December 31, 2003.
Finally, I removed one large customer from the St. Joseph records, as it appeared to be a
customer that peaks in the summer. The remaining records were used to calculate a weathernormalization adjustment to the LVS class revenues.

8

9

1

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes.

CASES FILED BEFORE COMMISSION

CASE NO. COMPANY GR-90-50 Kansas Power and Light GR-90-120 Laclede Gas Company GR-90-152 Associated Natural Gas GR-90-198 Missouri Public Service Gas GR-91-249 United Cities Gas Company GR-91-291 Kansas Power and Light GR-92-165 Laclede Gas Company GR-93-42 St. Joseph Light and Power - Gas GR-93-47 United Cities Gas Company GR-93-172 Missouri Public Service Gas GR-93-240 Western Resources GR-94-220 Laclede Gas Company GA-94-127 Tartan Energy Company GR-95-160 United Cities Gas Company GR-96-193 Laclede Gas Company GR-96-285 Missouri Gas Energy GR-99-42 St. Joseph Light and Power - Gas GR-2002-356 Laclede Gas Company GR-2003-517 AmerenUE GR-2004-0072 Aquila Networks

ð

Large Customer Response to Weather Missouri Gas Energy Rate Case No. GR-2004-0209



è