BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a) AmerenUE's Tariffs Increasing Rates for) Electric Service Provided to Customers in the) Company's Missouri Service Area.) Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission) Complainant,) V. Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE

Respondent.

ORDER CLARIFYING SCHEDULE FOR FILING REBUTTAL <u>TESTIMONY</u>

Issue Date: January 24, 2007

Effective Date: January 24, 2007

The procedural schedule for this case requires the parties to file rebuttal testimony on all issues except class cost of service and rate design on January 31, 2007. Rebuttal testimony on class cost of service and rate design issues is to be filed on February 5. The procedural schedule required a similar separation for those issues when direct testimony was filed on December 15 and December 29. In an order issued on November 20, the Commission directed the parties to file direct testimony regarding AmerenUE's proposed fuel adjustment clause along with the class cost of service and rate design issues on December 29. That order was, however, silent on when the parties should file rebuttal testimony concerning the fuel adjustment clause. To avoid any confusion or conflict, the Commission will clarify that rebuttal testimony concerning the fuel adjustment clause should be filed along with rebuttal testimony regarding class cost of service and rate design issues on February 5.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The procedural schedule is clarified to provide that rebuttal testimony from all parties concerning AmerenUE's proposed fuel adjustment clause is to be filed no later than February 5, 2007.

2. This order shall become effective on January 24, 2007.

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Morris L. Woodruff, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 24th day of January, 2007.