municipality like University City in converting to either mercury or high pressure sodium?

- A Now, in University City we have offered to change the incandescent fixtures to mercury or high pressure sodium at no charge to the customer.
- Q So these tariffs which are in effect here would not apply under the terms of the stipulation?
  - A That's correct.
- And what type of conversion do you plan to make in University City, mercury or high pressure sodium?

  Is that their decision?
  - A That would be up to University City.
- Q Looking at this rate sheet, what would be appropriate under lamp and fixture for residential areas?
- City--and perhaps they could best address that question-has various sizes of lights. So, depending on the residential
  area, or a commercial area, perhaps even some sort of a
  small industrial area, they might want different types of
  lights. So I really couldn't address very well what they
  might want. The high pressure sodium light in the 250 watt
  size is fairly large for a residential area.
- You indicated that you were looking into the availability of a 100 watt high pressure sodium. Will that be available in time to make such a conversion?

# Missouri Public Fornice Commission

	and the second s
•	A I would believe it would be.
2	6 So the municipalities that might be able to
	make a conversion under the terms of this agreement, if it's
•	adopted by the Commission, will have available in the form
\$ 2	of tariffs filed for this Commission a 100 watt high
6	pressure sodium alternative?
7	A. Well, I don't have responsibility for filing
8	those tariffs. But I believe we can develop such costs for
9	such a lighting fixture.
10	EXAMINER CADIEUX: Mr. Brady.
11	MR. BRADY: Perhaps, in an effort to under-
12	stand what's involved here, as far as this specific proceed-
13	ing is concerned, I might ask the company if they would agree
14	to stipulate, and maybe help the Commissioner, that all that
15	is involved here is the conversion from incandescent lights
16	in University City to mercury vapor lights. Can that be
17	stipulated to?
18	WITNESS ZDELLAR: If that's what you want.
19	MR. BRADY: A non-incandescent?
20	MR. BARNES: It is our understanding that
21	University City has requested only mercury vapor lights, so
22	far.
23	CHAIRMAN SLAVIN: Will there be a witness
24	from University City who can answer my question on that?
25	MR. BRADY: Yes, there will be, Commissioner

Slavin.

•

•

EXAMINER CADIEUX: Nr. Carl.

MR. CARL: Just a very brief question apropos of the Banner Iron Works exhibit that you put in the record.

FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. CARL

Would you state for the record what the costs of those items are and, also, state whether the patterns are readily available and whether those parts can be made?

A In the cost quotation from Banner Iron Works, again, to Mr. Chris Kelleher of Union Electric, Item 1 referred to before was the 231 pattern post which was \$1,204. The pattern price was \$9,000. And I understand that there is not a pattern available for that particular device.

In Item 2, Pattern No. 48, definition or description is arm, in quantities of 8 to 10 the price would be \$98.70 each. The pattern price is \$360. And I don't know if a pattern is currently available for that or not.

- Q Well, it says that Items 2, 3, and 4 have existing patterns.
- A. Okay. Pardon me. That pattern is available.

  Item No. 3, Pattern No. 49, description is
  a flame, again, in quantities of 8 to 10 are \$16 each. The
  pattern price is \$120. The pattern is currently available
  at Banner.

Item 4, Pattern No. 49A, description is a flame, in quantities, again, of 8 to 10 the price is \$16 each. The pattern price is \$120. A pattern is available.

A May I ask you one thing.

were you aware when you submitted ...'s exhibit that the company -- and there will be, we understand, another witness to this point. But were you aware that the company had a number, which will be established later, but that the company had in stock in its yards this number 231 pole?

A I am aware that the company has some of those poles at the present time.

EXAMINER CADIEUX: Mr. Kay.

MR. KAY: I have one question for the witness that the Commissioner referred to.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KAY:

On Page 5, or Sheet 43, the change of the relocation charge. My question is: Is it the company's position that if a new technologically advanced type of light or bulb comes out that is smaller in size but more efficient, it would then be the customer who would bear the cost of replacing existing bulb with a more efficient bulb even though it's smaller?

A The definition of the size of the bulb, which I've already addressed, is lumen output, not physical size

	of the bulb or the watts of the bulb.
*	9 But that is not what that Paragraph 3.e. says
	It says lamp size.
	A By lamp size, I have said earlier that we're
5	talking about lumen output.
6	Q That's your definition of lamp size?
7	A. Yes.
8	MR. KAY: I have no further questions.
9	CHAIRMAN SLAVIN: Mr. Barnes, do we have any-
10	where in your definitions, other than through interpretation
11	larger and smaller?
1.2	MR. BARNES: Not directly. If you'll look
13	on Page 39(M) of the exhibit, you'll see under lamp and
14	fixture that we list them according to lumens and watts.
15	That is, I guess, as close to a definition of lump size as
16	we can get.
17	EXAMINER CADIEUX: Any further questions of
18	this witness?
19	(No response.)
20	EXAMINER CADIEUX: If none, the witness is
21	excused.
22	(Witness excused.)
23	
24	EXAMINER CADIEUX: Any objections to Company's
25	Exhibits 6, 7, and 8?

### Missoni Gulle Lorsie Commission

	MR. BRADY: University City has none.
	MR. KAY: None.
	EXAMINER CADIBUX: Hearing no objections,
those exi	hibits are received at this time.
	(EXHIBITS NOS. 6 TO 8 WE'RE RECEIVED IN
EVIDENCE	AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
	EXAMINER CADIEUX: Let's take a ten-minute
recess at	t this point.
	(A recess was taken.)
	EXAMINER CADIEUX: The hearing will come to
order.	
	Mr. Barnes, you may call your next witness
	MR. BARNES: I would like to call
Mr. Merri	ll Jack Gillum to the stand.
	(Witness sworn.)
MERRILL J	ACK GILLUM testified as follows:
DIRECT EX	AMINATION BY MR. BARNES:
	Q Please state your name and address for the
record.	
	A. My name is Merrill Jack Gillum. I reside i
Pairview	Heights, Illinois.
	D By whom are you employed and in what capaci
	A. I'm employed by Union Electric Company. I'

# Misson Public Sorries Commission

the manager of the Page District of the company's transmission and distribution department.

- o Please brie " describe your work experience.
- I have been employed by Union Electric Since
  I graduated from college in 1948. From June, 1948, to
  August, 1949, I was a student engineer assigned to various
  functions within Union Electric. From August, 1949, to
  June, 1950, I was an assistant engineer assigned to distribution service where I worked with feeder loading and
  balance in the St. Louis City and County.

From 1950 to 1960, I was assigned to the company's East St. Louis Regional District. While there I worked on a wide range, a wide variety, of engineering duties including substation Jesign and location, overhead distribution planning, street lighting, and the investigation of various customer engineering complaints. From 1960 to 1963, I was assigned to engineering and construction as a project engineer for the design and construction of the 161 kv Cape to Joppa transmission line.

Since 1963, I have held a number of positions within the transmission and distribution function. I was supervising engineer of distribution design, supervising engineer of customer substation and street lighting, superintendent of job processing, superintendent of distribution engineering in the North District, and manager of the

	Serkeley District. Since 1970, I have been manager of the
?	Page District. And, as such, I am responsible for the
	engineering, estimating, and construction of overhead and
<b>\</b>	underground residential distribution facilities in the Page
5	District, which includes University City.
6	Q Please describe your educational background.
7	A I received a Bachelor of Science degree in
8	electrical engineering from Purdue University in 1948.
9	Q Are you a registered professional engineer?
10	A I am a registered professional engineer in
11	the state of Illinois.
12	Ω Do you have any engineering affiliations?
13	A. I'm a member of the Illinois and National
14	Society of Professional Engineers.
15	Q Are you familiar with the subject matter of
16	this proceeding?
17	A. Yes. Union Electric has proposed to amend
18	its tariffs so as to relieve the company from having to
19	perform maintenance of incandescent standards, brackets,
20	fixtures, and lamps after December 31, 1980.
21	University City and Mr. James Carl have
22	intervened in this case. The city and the subdivision in
23	which Mr. Carl resides lie within the service area of my
24	district. All Union Electric engineering contacts with the
25	intervenors have been through my district. And I have

personally been involved in many of these contacts.

- What is the purpose of your testimony?
- a I would like to do three things. First, I will discuss the various options available to current incandescent street light customers if the Commission approves the proposed tariff change. Second, I will review the agreement that has been reached by all the parties in this case. Thirdly, I would like to discuss some of the points raised at the hearing held in St. Louis County on September 16.
- What options are available to current
  incandescent street light customers if the Commission approves
  the company s proposed tariff changes?
- A Basically, there are four options. First,
  Union Electric will convert incandescent lights to an
  equivalent or larger size non-incandescent lamp at no charge
  to the customer. The company will continue to own and maintain the street lighting system and charge the applicable
  monthly rate.

Under this option granite or cast-iron standard installations will be replaced in place or on existing circuitry with standard fixtures. Concrete standard or wood pole bracket arm incandescent installations will be upgraded to non-incandescent lighting by simply replacing the luminaire. Series underground circuitry will normally be converted to multiple, again, with no charge to the

customer for that work.

I might add that if a customer does elect this option, the company will continue maintenance of the incandescent lighting to the best of its ability, subject to the availability of parts from salvage or stock, while engineering, estimating, right of way, and construction activities are under way.

The second option would pertain to customers who do not elect the first option. Existing incandescent lights would be continued in service until replacement or major circuit repairs are required at which time the first option could be exercised. No maintenance to incandescent standards, brackets, fixtures, or lamps will be performed by Union Electric under this option.

incandescent cast-iron or granite standard installations
from the company. Series circuit supply stations will not
be included. The customer will wire to mutually agreed
sources of multiple power available from the company's
distribution system. Existing series underground circuitry
owned by Union Electric will be available for customer use
if acceptable for their purposes. The purchase price for
the standards and luminaires will be \$1 where supplied by
series circuitry. Installations which have been converted
to multiple supply by Union Electric at no cost to the

# Misson Public Form Commission

	quetomer will be at a negotiated price.
	The fourth option applies only to cast-inch and
2	red granite installations and is covered by a stipulation
4	and agreement that I would now like to review with you.
\$	Mr. Gillum, I have given you what has been
6	marked Joint Exhibit 9. And I ask you to identify it.
7	A This is the stipulation and agreement that
3	the parties have entered into.
9	Q Has this agreement been signed?
10	A Yes, it has.
11	Q Do the signatures appear on the last page
12	thereof?
13	A Yes, they do.
14	
15	
16	arrived at after extended negotiations between Union Electric University City, and Mr. James Carl?
17	
18	
19	And I assume that, by its company signature,
20	the Union Electric Company supports the stipulation and
21	agreement?
22	A Yes, sir.
	MR. BARNES: Mr. Examiner, at this time we
23	would like to have your permission to have Mr. Gillum read
24	the stipulation and agreement into the record. Hormally,
25	he would just summarize it. However, this particular

### Missouri Bublic Torrice Commission

3

7

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agreement is so finely and intricately worded that I just wonder whether any kind of summary would do it justice on the record. So we have timed it; it will take about five minutes to read this brief stipulation into the record word for word, if we have your permission to do so. CHAIRMAN SLAVIN: Couldn't we just present it in the record as if read, Mr. Barnes, since we're all capable of reading? MR. BARNES: We could. But sometimes I find it advisable to have a key document in the testimony itself, in the record, rather than as an exhibit. That's the only reason. EXAMINER CADIEUX: Considering that it's only a little over three pages, that request will be granted. I would ask that the witness not speed up too fast in reading this because sometimes that causes a problem in getting a clear record. WITNESS GILLUM: Okay. MR. BARNES: Does University City go along with our request? MR. BRADY: We have no objection. I think it speaks for itself, but we have no objection. If the company wants it read in, that's fine. BY MR. BARNES:

Mr. Gillum, would you please proceed.

2
•
4
1
4
- 18
was:
3
480
*
6
7
#
8
9
- AFF
10
-GAP , ANDE
11
Allek -day
12
1.2
1800 TOO
13
13
13
13
13
13
13
13 14 15 16
13 14 15 16
13 14 15 16
13
13 14 15 16
13 14 15 16 17
13 14 15 16
13 14 15 16 17
13 14 15 16 17 18
13 14 15 16 17 18
13 14 15 16 17
13 14 15 16 17 18
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
13 14 15 16 17 18
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

24

25

The parties to the Stipulation and Agreement are the City of University City, Missouri, James L. Carl and Union Electric Company together constituting the parties of record in this proceeding.

"For the purpose of resolving all issues before the Missouri Public Service Commission in this proceeding, the parties hereto stipulate and agree as follows:

- "1. Union Electric Company agrees to request permission from the Missouri Public Service Commission to extend the effective date of the proposed revised tariffs filed on December 20, 1979 (20th Revised Sheet No. 75(M), lth Revised Sheet No. 81, 2nd Revised Sheet No. 97.5(M)) until July 1, 1981.
- "2. Union Electric Company offers to its customers affected by said proposed revised tariffs the following three alternative options:

"OPTION A - 1. In connection with the conversion from incandescent to non-incandescent lighting,
Union Electric Company will not charge customer for conversions from series circuits to multiple circuits;

"2. A Select Committee composed of customer and Union Electric Company representatives will be formed. The goal of this Select Committee will be to consider alternative luminaires, in addition to those currently offered by Union Electric Company to its street lighting

customers, with the Committee recommendations to be submitted to Union Electric Company which will give serious and due consideration to them;

incandescent to non-incandescent lighting has been received from the customer, Union Electric Company will continue maintenance of the incandescent lighting to the best of its ability - subject to the availability of parts from Union Electric Company stock - while engineering, estimating, right of way and construction activities related to the conversion are under way;

"4. a) Union Electric Company will install standard non-incandescent street lighting luminaires on existing 'red granite' and cast iron standards;

"b) Union Electric Company will continue in service 'red granite' and cast iron standards that the customer wishes to retain provided that the customer agrees to supply Union Electric Company with needed replacement standards and parts after the Union Electric Company stock of existing useable replacement parts is depleted;

"c) Union Electric Company will, at the customer's option, replace any 'red granite' or cast iron standard with modern stock equipment at no expense to the customer when:

"1) said standard becomes defective for any

reason, or

"2) all standards of either type are to be removed from a given subdivision or like area.

"OPTION B - 1. All incandescent cast iron or 'red granite' standard installations may be purchased by the customer as is with all warranties, express or implied, disclaimed. Series circuit supply stations will not be included. The customer will wire to a mutually agreeable source of multiple power available from Union Electric Company's distribution system;

- "2. Existing series underground circuitry owned by Union Electric Company will be available for customer use at no charge if the customer desires;
- 1 Purchase price for the standards and
  1 luminaires will be \$1.00 (ONE DOLLAR) where supplied by
  series circuitry. Installations, which have been converted
  to multiple supply by Union Electric Company at no cost to
  the customer, will be sold to the customer at a negotiated
  price;
- "4. The existing Union Electric Company stock of all spare parts relating to any system sold will be equitably apportioned as is with all warranties, express or implied, disclaimed, to the purchasing customers at no charge.

"OPTION C - Customer, at his option, may exercise Option A above, and Option B above, concurrently,

### Missouri Yiethio Torrico Commission

• [	subject to Union Electric Company's approval as to the exect
	areas and boundary lines involved in the exercise of this
	option."
	Q Mr. Gillum, I believe you testified before
5	that this stipulation and agreement that you just read has
6	been signed by Union Electric, University City, and Mr. James
7	Carl; is that correct?
8	A It is.
9	Q Nevertheless, if the Commission approves the
10	stipulation and agreement, will the company offer these same
	terms to all street lighting customers who have these red
12	granite or cast-iron standards?
13	A Yes, we will.
14	Q Finally, Mr. Gillum, are you aware that on
15	September 16, 1980, in St. Louis County a public hearing was
16	held in this matter for the receipt of public views?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q Where you present at that hearing?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q But have you reviewed the transcript in that
21	hearing?
22	A Yes, I have.
23	Q. And, at that hearing, did various members of
24	the public, including representatives from University City,
25	express their views concerning this particular matter?

- A Yes, they did.
- Mr. Gillum, today do you wish to respond very briefly to some of the public views that were aired at that September 16 hearing?
  - A Yes, I would.
  - a Would you please proceed.
- a On Page 8 of the transcript, Witness Frank
  Ollendorff, city manager of University City, said, "The
  company, in our opinion, has continually acted in an
  arbitrary and capricious manner concerning all phases of
  street lighting in our community. They take positions which
  are unreasonable and, worse yet, constantly changing."

  I feel it necessary to respond to this.

I have been responsible for the engineering, construction, and maintenance of street light facilities in University City since 1970. Most of my contacts have been with Mr. Dieckgraefe, director of public works in the city. And I feel that we have a good relationship. I have met with him on numerous occasions regarding coordination and planning for their budgeted lighting projects. Both of us devoted considerable time in the past three years working with Mr. Carl on the lighting in University Heights and West Portland Place.

We made sample luminaire installations in our company work headquarters and in the subdivisions. The

sanager of our north marketing group and I attended a night demonstration in University Heights to answer questions of the residents. A customer service engineer and I attended a city council meeting; and I answered questions from the council. We received letters from Mr. Carl and Mr. Disckgraefe expressing appreciation for those efforts. We have prepared several proposals to accommodate University City's and the residents' desires in that three-year period.

It has been my intention to be straightforward and direct in all of my contacts with the city. I

It has been my intention to be straightforward and direct in all of my contacts with the city. I
have attempted to explain our reasons when we've not been
willing to honor specific requests. And I've provided copies
of the tariffs and discussed our interpretations when they
were in question. We want to have a cooperative atmosphere
with our customers. And I welcome the opportunity to
discuss areas of concern. I encourage Mr. Ollendorff or
others to call when there is a need for discussions.

On Page 11 Mr. Ollendorff says, "The company not only sneers at its customers, they constantly show contempt for the Public Service Commission." He continues later on in that paragraph to say, "We find out today through company workers, Union officials, that the workers who have, in the past, been assigned to maintain incandescent lighting have been transferred to other duties. We think this flies in the face of the Commission action to suspend

the requested rule."

As I've already said, we certainly want a cooperative relationship with our customers and regret any impression given not in that character. We don't sneer at our customers, and we certainly are not contemptuous of the Commission.

Regarding the transfer of workers to other duties, the work group in our company which performs street light maintenance in University City does the work, the underground residential distribution work, in subdivisions and apartment projects. With new housing activities extremely depressed in the St. Louis area, it has been necessary to reassign men from that work. We increased the complement of men at our headquarters from 7 to 12 as of August 18. One man retired on October 1. So the net long-term change was an increase of 4 men to 11.

We have projects in construction providing for changing the supply to 108 lights from series circuitry to 120 volt multiple. That work is about 40 percent completed. We've actually generated some street light maintenance work internally to partially offset the effect of the housing downturn on the men in our URD work force and transferred men from other duties to do street light maintenance work in University City.

MR. BARNES: Mr. Examiner, that completes our

direct examination of Mr. Gillum. He is now available for cross-examination.

EXAMINER CADIEUX: Mr. Banks.

MR. BANKS: Thank you, your Honor.

### CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BANKS:

- Mr. Gillum, would you clarify Option C for us please and explain how Options A and Options B can exist or can be exercised concurrently?
- Option C simply says that you can exercise
  Option A in a part of the city. And, in another part of
  the city, in Option B, you could buy some of the installations.
  You could do both of them at the same time. You don't have
  to buy all of the cast-iron and granite installations in
  total.
- Q How soon would the city have to make a decision regarding which option they would like to exercise?
  - A The date would be July 1, 1981.
- About how many of the 231 poles are presently available, and what are their conditions?
- Dear with me while I get something out here.

  Of the Model 231 poles, we have 18 of those

  poles at Dorset, our Dorset storeroom. We have three at our

  Page headquarters. Does that answer your question?
  - Q Yes, it does.

What conditions are those poles in?

	A I consider 9 of those poles to be reparable,
	and 12 of them to be either scrap, or you could make one
	out of two, that type of thing.
4	Q If the Commission would agree to the
	stipulation, would the neighborhoods that have the cast-iron
•	poles, other than those within University City, be notified
7	of the stipulation?
3	A Yes, they would.
9	Q And would they also be notified regarding
0	how many of the poles would be promated to their neighbor-
L	hoods?
.2	A Yes, they would.
L3	MR. BANKS: Thank you. I have no further
14	questions, your Honor.
.5	EXAMINER CADIEUX: Mr. Brady.
.6	MR. BRADY: We have no questions.
.7	EXAMINER CADIEUX: Mr. Carl.
.8	MR. CARL: Just a very brief question.
.9	QUESTIONS BY MR. CARL:
20	Q Mr. Gillum, on Page 2 of your testimony in
21	which you document the company's good faith in dealing with
22	the neighborhoods, you say, "We've prepared several proposal
23	to accommodate University City's and the residents' desires
24	in that three-year period."
25	Could you tell me what's happened to those

proposals?