

September 16, 1980

Nr. D. Michael Hearst Public Service Counission F. O. Sox 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Re: Motion to Intervene in Case No. ER-80-190

Dear Mr. Nearat:

Reclosed you will find fourteen copies of our Motion to Intervene in the above mentioned hearing. We have mailed a copy of this Motion to Union Electric Company. We do intend to be at your formal hearing on October 2, 1980, in Jefferson City.

I must apologize for not filing this Motion to Intervene any earlier. I was not advised that such a Motion is necessary until today. I will formally make my appearance at the hearing on October 2.

Should your have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mennie Dennis F. Kay City Attorney

Officher Encs.

CC - Edward J. Cadleux, Hearing Examiner
Francis X. Duda, Attorney for Union Electric

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PERSONAL PUBLIC SERVICE CONTESTOR

IN THE MATTER OF UNION ELECTRIC'S PROPOSED LIGHTING CHANCE NO. ER-60-190

MATERIAL OF SOLICE

COMES NOW the City of University City, Missouri, a manicipal corpocation of the State of Missouri by and through its City Attorney, Dennis F. Eay, moves the Public Service Commission to allow the City of University City to intervene in the above matter. As grounds for its motion for intervention the resitor states as follows:

- i. That Union Electric has put forth an unreasonable proposal to cease all responsibility for maintenance and upkeep of older fixtures in service in certain areas of University City, and that the City of University City cannot in fact maintain said fixtures.
- 2. That the Union Electric Company has only offered a limited number of alternative fixtures wherein such offer is arbitrary and capricious as many other lighting fixtures are available.
- 3. That Union Electric has arbitrarily and suddenly imposed a SEVEN NUMBER DOLLAR (\$700.00) changeover charge per light, whereas the City of University City has been paying a maintenance charge throughout the years and this additional charge is unwarranted.

the company should not be allowed to impose on both the City of University City and the citizens of University City additional costs and burdens in such an arbitrary and capricious manner; and the interest of the realtor is that such charges would cost the City many thousands of dollars which it should not be required to expend.

THEREFORE, the City of University City is against the proposed charges that Union Electric is requesting of the Public Service Commission in the aforementioned case.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City of University City moves the Public Service Commission to allow it to intervene in case No. ER-80-190.

PROOF OF SERVICE

THE UNDERSTANCE CERTIFIES THAT A CONT OF THE FOREGOING WAS MALLED TO ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD OF ALL MARTIES AT THEIR PUSANCES ADDRESSES AS DISCLOSED BY THE PLEAD-INGS HEREIN, WITH POSTAGE FULLY INTRALID AND BY DEPOSITING NAME OF A U.S. POSTAGE MAIL BOX IN ST. LOUIS.

The season of the Service

Dennis F. Kay
Attorney for City of University City
6801 Delmar Boulevard

University City, Mo. 63130 362-6767

No. Bar No. 22774

SEP 17 1980