Page 1 1 STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 4 5 Prehearing Conference 6 7 July 23, 2012 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1 8 9 In the Matter of the Empire 10 ) District Electric Company of ) Joplin, Missouri for Authority to ) 11 File Tariffs Increasing Rates )Case No. ER-2012-0345 12 for Electric Service Provided to ) Customers in the Missouri Service ) 13 Area of the Company ) 14 15 MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding 16 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR Midwest Litigation Services 22 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 Jefferson City, MO 65109 23 (573) 636-7551 24 25

Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S 1 For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission: 2 3 Ms. Sarah Kliethermes Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street 4 Jefferson City, MO 65102 5 (573) 751-3234 6 For Office of Public Counsel and the Public: 7 Mr. Lewis Mills 8 Office of Public Counsel P.O. Box 2230 200 Madison Street 9 Jefferson City, MO 65102 10 (573) 751-4857 11 For Empire District Electric Company: 12 Mr. Dean L. Cooper 13 and Ms. Diana C. Carter Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC P.O. Box 456 14 312 East Capitol Avenue 15 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 635-7122 16 17 For Midwest Energy Users Association: 18 Mr. Stuart W. Conrad Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 19 1209 Penntower 3100 Broadway 20 Kansas City, MO 64111 (816) 753-1122 21 22 For Midwest Energy Consumers Group: 23 Mr. David Woodsmall Attorney at Law 2.4 807 Winston Court Jefferson City, MO 65101 25 (573) 797-0005

## PREHEARING CONFERENCE 7/23/2012

Page 3 A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) For Missouri Gas Energy via Telephone: Mr. Todd Jacobs Missouri Gas Energy 3420 Broadway Kansas City, MO 64113 (816) 360-5976 

Page 4 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Let's bring the 3 proceeding to order. We're on the record. Today is July 23rd, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. 4 5 The Commission has set this time for a pre-hearing conference in the case captioned as In the 6 7 Matter of the Empire District Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri, Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service 8 Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the 9 10 Company, File No. ER-2012-0345. 11 My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the presiding 12 officer who has been assigned to this case. Let's start 13 this morning by taking entries of appearance from Counsel. For the Empire District Electric Company? 14 15 MR. COOPER: Thank you, your Honor. Dean L. Cooper and Diana C. Carter of the law firm of Brydon, 16 17 Swearengen & England, PC, appearing on behalf of the Empire District Electric Company. The address has been 18 19 provided to the court reporter. 20 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. Commission Staff? 21 MS. KLIETHERMES: Thank you, Judge. Sarah Kliethermes, Jeff Keevil and Steve Dottheim of and for the 22 Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 23 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. Office of Public 24 25 Counsel?

Page 5 MR. MILLS: On behalf of the Office of the 1 2 Public Counsel and the public, my name is Lewis Mills. My 3 address is P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you, Mr. Mills. Midwest 4 5 Energy Users Association? 6 MR. CONRAD: On behalf of MEUA, Judge, Stuart W. 7 Conrad, Law Firm of Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209, Kansas City, Missouri, 64111. I 8 9 have supplied that information to the reporter, also. 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. And for Missouri 11 Department of Natural Resources, Mr. Nied {} has already 12 contacted me and had been excused for this. My understanding is Staff is here to participate in 13 off-the-record conversations. Are there any other 14 attorneys present for Natural Resources? Okay. For 15 Missouri Gas Energy? 16 17 MR. JACOBS: Todd Jacobs on behalf of Southern Union Company doing business as Missouri Gas Energy. And 18 our address has been provided to the Commission. 19 20 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for Midwest Energy 21 Consumers Group? MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, your Honor. David 22 23 Woodsmall appearing on behalf of Midwest Energy Consumers 24 Group. I will provide my address to the court reporter. 25 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. Have I missed

1 anybody

2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: .

3 Well, let's, first of all, discuss intervention since several parties have requested intervention. Is 4 5 there any objection to intervention on behalf Midwest Energy Users Association, Missouri Department of Natural 6 7 Resources, Missouri Gas Energy or Missouri Energy 8 Consumers Group? 9 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, on behalf of Empire, we have no objection to the first three parties you named. 10 11 And we may not have an objection to the fourth, the 12 Consumers Group. But I believe that was just filed, and 13 we'd like the opportunity to take a look at that before we state a position 14 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yeah. That was filed late this 15 16 morning. 17 MR. WOODSMALL: And to clarify, your Honor, I just heard from another client this morning, so I will be 18 amending that to add a third client to that pleading. So 19 20 I appreciate you taking that up. 21 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any other objections from any parties? All right. Well, in that case, what I'll do is 22 I will grant intervention for Midwest Energy Users 23 24 Association, Missouri Department of Natural Resources and Missouri Gas Energy and hold off, then, on ruling for 25

Page 6

Page 7 Midwest Energy Consumers Group at this time. And I'll 1 2 issue a notice later today on that. 3 Let's talk about the interim rate increase. There were some objections filed Friday to Empire's 4 5 request for an interim rate increase. And the 6 Commissioners at the last agenda meeting indicated their 7 preference that that tariff be suspended and that we proceed toward having a hearing on that particular issue. 8 So I know Empire had requested and through its 9 motion that that tariff be allowed to go into effect by 10 operation of law. But based on what the Commissioners 11 12 said at the last meeting, I don't think that's going to 13 happen. 14 So I think what would be preferable now at this 15 point, since we have a date coming up for parties to propose a joint procedural schedule, that we kind of wrap 16 17 in those -- the schedule for the interim rate increase along with the general rate increase. 18 19 I'm going to be issuing an order later today suspending the tariff. So I think we could kind of kill 20 21 two birds with one stone that way. On the interim rate increase, what I'd like to 22 see the parties have dates for would be a date for, first, 23 Empire to identify which of its previously filed testimony 24 applies to this issue. 25

Page 8
And then, also, they could submit any additional
testimony on that issue if they desired. Then other
parties file their direct testimony. Rebuttal,
surrebuttal, a hearing date and then a date for any
post-hearing briefs.
That's similar to a schedule that was in the
most recent case that took up this issue in particular.
So I'm envisioning a procedural schedule that would have
separate schedules but kind of on parallel tracts, one for
the interim.
And then as far as the general rate increase,
that's due August 2nd, and then you could include the
the general events that would be required for a general
rate increase case.
Empire's last rate indicates, the procedural
schedule is fine. What the parties want to do on that, I
don't know. But you can propose something similar. What
I would like to see in addition to those events would be
whatever the parties agree to as far as a test year and
any update periods, any true-up recommendations or
requirements, a range of dates and locations for local
public hearings and then a date that the company thinks
that it can provide and file a proposed customer notice.
Does anybody have any questions about what I
would envision for the proposed procedural schedule?

Page 9 MS. KLIETHERMES: Yes, Judge. You had earlier, 1 2 I believe, entered a discovery record that included 3 certain changes in dates for DR responses and things of that nature. 4 5 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yes. 6 MS. KLIETHERMES: Would a motion to change those 7 dates to coincide with events such as filing of rebuttal 8 system and surrebuttal testimony be well received, or should it match --9 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: That would be fine. If 11 anything in the discovery order seems to have a problem 12 with what the parties are agreeing to, that would be fine 13 to go ahead and request that. I tried to make it pretty generic. I don't think I put any particular dates except 14 15 for discovery purposes and then the end of discovery. 16 But --17 MS. KLIETHERMES: And we would typically try to coincide that end of discovery with some relation to the 18 end of the surrebuttal testimony is why I raised that 19 issue. 20 21 JUDGE BUSHMANN: That's fine. Do you want to -if we need to adjust the discovery order to match what the 22 parties are coming up with, that's fine with me. 23 2.4 MS. KLIETHERMES: Thank you, Judge. 25 JUDGE BUSHMANN: That's fine.

	Page 10
1	MR. CONRAD: Judge, two questions relating to
2	that. One, you're indicating that you wanted us to wrap
3	in the interim process. And my recollection is and I'm
4	looking at it here. I didn't see anything in the
5	discovery order that contemplated the interim. So that
6	might need to be addressed.
7	The second point that was not addressed here and
8	I guess my question relates to that and some other things
9	that we have characteristically included in a procedural
10	schedule order that are not here.
11	One particular one that is of concern, I didn't
12	think it was unclear before, but it seems to have become
13	unclear, when a party has responded, let's say,
14	hypothetically, I sent out a data request that's in the 20
15	or 50 or whatever time frame you're in per your order.
16	And toward the end of that or after that period
17	interim and a response has been provided. Somebody
18	else sends a data request to the company or to Staff or
19	whomever that has is requesting that, Please send me a
20	copy of your response to Data Request X, Y Z.
21	What I have run into is I have run into a
22	particular utility, which shall remain nameless, which you
23	can probably add two and two and get four, that said, Oh,
24	that's a new request, so we get to start the 20-day clock
25	over all over again.

Page 11 That's not how these have been perceived. 1 Ιf 2 the response is available, it's made what I would call 3 seasonably or timely, but we apparently need to be more explicit about that, that it's made concurrently with --4 5 I'm not going to worry about words with the Commission, 6 but that seems to have become an issue out of the list of 7 all the things. 8 And that, to me, should not start another 20 9 days because the reason for the 20, the 10, the 15 or the 5, wherever you are is for the Respondent to prepare a 10 11 response. If that response has already been prepared, 12 then it should be submitted promptly. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Well, thank you, 13 Mr. Conrad. I'll take a look that and see if maybe I need 14 15 to amend something on that, the discovery order. Anybody else have anything they want to bring up? 16 17 MR. MILLS: Judge, just with respect to the procedural schedule for the interim rate increst -- rate 18 19 increase request, a number of us have actually suggested the Commission should simply reject those tariffs. 20 21 It did not make more sense to wait for rulings on those motions before we set out an entire schedule with 22 three additional rounds of testimony, and I believe you 23 24 had mentioned briefing. 25 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, that option was in front

Page 12 of the Commissioners last week. And the --1 2 MR. MILLS: But -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. 3 JUDGE BUSHMANN: I know that you filed a Motion to Reject. 4 5 MR. MILLS: After the Commissioners discussed 6 the schedule. 7 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Right. I think just from a --8 because we're under the gun as far as time, it might be 9 wise to go ahead and discuss these issues and have dates 10 that -- if we don't need them later, then we can take care of that and -- but rather than get back together and try 11 12 and come up with another schedule, everybody's here. It 13 might be wise to work out the dates as far as we can today. If we don't need it later, just get rid of them. 14 15 MR. CONRAD: To that -- to that point, Judge, just simply so the Bench is aware and it's of record since 16 17 the reporter is taking it down, if we turn this case into, in fact, two cases, it makes some difference for budget. 18 19 It makes some difference to my clients at least insofar as what they're -- what they're confronted with. 20 21 So in that sense, it might be helpful -- Mr. Mills makes a 22 good point. 23 If the tariff is to be rejected or not, then it would -- it {tshtion} would be helpful to have that 24 decision out of the way. I understand your -- your 25

	Page 13
1	position. You've kind of got to do the will of the
2	Commission. And you're perceiving it as it is now if
3	those motions had not been present at that time.
4	So maybe there is a basis for that. Maybe
5	there's not. The company hasn't responded to that. But
6	it does make a financial difference.
7	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And I understand. The
8	Commissioners need to look at that motion and consider it.
9	They they're not going to meet again until August 1st,
10	which is only just a few days from the tariff effective
11	date. So I think it's wise to go ahead and proceed down
12	that route now just under the assumption that that could
13	occur. And if we don't need to use those dates later,
14	then we haven't been out too much.
15	MR. COOPER: Dean judge, you indicated that
16	there will be a suspension order issued later today for
17	purposes of
18	JUDGE BUSHMANN: That was my plan. But
19	MR. COOPER: Maybe, maybe not at this point?
20	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yeah. I mean, it's it's
21	kind of fluid at this point. So with the with the
22	motion to have the rejection of the tariff, it may be a
23	little later than today. But we'll have to see. It's
24	I've got until the the 5th. I know everybody would
25	like to get some clarity on this as soon as possible. And

Page 14 I would, too, so -- was there anything else, Mr. Cooper? 1 2 MR. COOPER: No. I guess where my question was 3 headed was a period of time that it might be suspended for if that order was going to come out just because that 4 5 would be helpful for --6 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. If it is submitted, it's 7 going to be 120 days till December 3rd. That gives plenty 8 of time for the parties now. The Chairman at the meeting 9 last week indicated he wanted to have that hearing held as soon as possible. 10 11 So I would encourage everyone to try and make 12 that happen, get your dates worked out to get it as quick 13 as we can. I know that, unfortunately, there's another rate case. 14 15 The one I'm thinking -- the Ameren case seems to 16 hit right about the same time as a potential hearing when 17 this issue would hit, which is a problem. But I don't know what to do about that at this point. So it kind of 18 depends on how the parties would want to juggle their --19 20 their calendars to try and fit in a hearing. 21 MR. MILLS: And, Judge, I think when you -- when you mentioned filings, you mentioned the -- the UE rate 22 case, ER-2010-0036, as a possible schedule order. Were 23 you wedded to that schedule, or is that just an example 24 of --25

	Page 15
1	JUDGE BUSHMANN: No. This is just an example of
2	one that worked in the past.
3	MR. MILLS: So, for example, if some of the
4	parties were to or some of the parties were to propose,
5	you know, because Empire has already filed direct
6	testimony in support of the interim increase that simply
7	one round of rebuttal testimony and then go directly to
8	hearing. Would that be amenable to the Commission?
9	JUDGE BUSHMANN: I'm not I'm not set on any
10	particular schedule. The parties can choose to recommend
11	an abbreviated schedule. That would be fine with me.
12	Anybody else have anything they want to address?
13	Okay. Before before we go off the record, Ms.
14	Kliethermes, there was a couple of things I wanted to give
15	you if you wouldn't mind coming up. Thank you. This is
16	just the adjudication calendar. You might already have a
17	сору.
18	MS. KLIETHERMES: Thank you.
19	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And, also, this is a copy of
20	the preferred format for reconciliation
21	MS. KLIETHERMES: Okay.
22	JUDGE BUSHMANN: that the Commission has been
23	using lately. I wanted to make sure you had to provide to
24	the parties. It was one of the water cases.
25	MS. KLIETHERMES: Yes. I suppose I should get

Page 16
back to a microphone. Thank you for these, Judge. We've
had some issues with trying to use this format for
reconciliation in the larger electric cases in that this
was a there were better defined issues or I
shouldn't say better defined. There were more discreet
issues in this water case than there typically are in the
larger rate cases.
We will do our best to meet this, but it's
doubtful we'll be able to have the one-for-one type
reconciliation such as this.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, I guess my point would be
to try and follow that format to the extent that you can.
MS. KLIETHERMES: Certainly. Thank you, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anything else before we go off
the record? All right. We're off the record. You have
the phone bridge in the until noon. And if you need
anything, please let's me know. Thanks.
MS. CARTER: Thanks, Judge.
MS. KLIETHERMES: Thank you.
(The proceedings were concluded at 10:24 a.m. on
July 23, 2012.)

	Page 17			
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
2				
3	STATE OF MISSOURI )			
	)ss.			
4	COUNTY OF OSAGE )			
5				
6	I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand Reporter,			
7	Certified Court Reporter #0538, and Registered			
8	Professional Reporter, and Notary Public, within and for			
9	the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was			
10	personally present at the proceedings as set forth in the			
11	caption sheet hereof; that I then and there took down in			
12	stenotype the proceedings had at said time and was			
13	thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately			
14	set forth in the preceding pages.			
15				
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and			
17	seal on August 2, 2012.			
18				
19				
20				
21	Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0539			
22	Registered Professional Reporter			
23				
24				
25				

Page	18
1 age	10

	1	1	1	Ľ
A	Attorney 2:23	business 5:18	<b>coincide</b> 9:7,18	<b>copy</b> 10:20
abbreviated	attorneys 5:15		<b>come</b> 12:12	15:17,19
15:11	August 8:12	<u> </u>	14:4	Counsel 2:6,8
<b>able</b> 16:9	13:9 17:17	<b>C</b> 2:1,13 3:1	coming 7:15	4:13,25 5:2
accurately	Authority 1:11	4:1,16	9:23 15:15	<b>COUNTY</b> 17:4
17:13	available 11:2	<b>calendar</b> 15:16	Commission	<b>couple</b> 15:14
add 6:19 10:23	Avenue 2:14	calendars	1:1 2:2,3 4:5	court 2:24 4:19
addition 8:18	aware 12:16	14:20	4:20,23 5:19	5:24 17:7
additional 8:1	<b>a.m</b> 4:4 16:20	call 11:2	11:5,20 13:2	<b>CSR</b> 1:21
11:23		Capitol 2:14	15:8,22	17:21
address 4:18	<u> </u>	caption 17:11	Commissione	customer 8:23
5:3,19,24	<b>back</b> 12:11	captioned 4:6	7:6,11 12:1,5	Customers
15:12	16:1	care 12:10	13:8	1:12 4:9
addressed 10:6	<b>based</b> 7:11	Carter 2:13	company 1:10	
10:7	basis 13:4	4:16 16:18	1:13 2:11 4:7	D
adjudication	behalf 4:17 5:1	<b>case</b> 1:11 4:6	4:10,14,18	<b>D</b> 4:1
15:16	5:6,17,23 6:5	4:12 6:22 8:7	5:18 8:22	<b>data</b> 10:14,18
adjust 9:22	6:9	8:14 12:17	10:18 13:5	10:20
agenda 7:6	<b>believe</b> 6:12 9:2	14:14,15,23	<b>concern</b> 10:11	date 7:15,23
agree 8:19	11:23	16:6	concluded	8:4,4,22
agreeing 9:12	<b>Bench</b> 12:16	cases 12:18	16:20	13:11
ahead 9:13	<b>best</b> 16:8	15:24 16:3,7	concurrently	dates 7:23 8:21
12:2,9 13:11	<b>better</b> 16:4,5	<b>CCR</b> 1:21	11:4	9:3,7,14 12:9
allowed 7:10	<b>birds</b> 7:21	17:21	conference 1:5	12:13 13:13
amenable 15:8	Boulevard 1:22	certain 9:3	4:6	14:12
amend 11:15	Box 2:8,14 5:3	Certainly	confronted	<b>David</b> 2:23
amending 6:19	<b>bridge</b> 16:16	16:13	12:20	5:22
Ameren 14:15	briefing 11:24	CERTIFICA	Conrad 2:18	<b>days</b> 11:9 13:10
anybody 6:1	briefs 8:5	17:1	2:18 5:6,7,7	14:7
8:24 11:15	<b>bring</b> 4:2 11:16	Certified 17:6	10:1 11:14	<b>Dean</b> 2:12 4:15
15:12	Broadway 2:19	17:7	12:15	13:15
apparently	3:5 5:8	certify 17:9	consider 13:8	December 14:7
11:3	<b>Brydon</b> 2:13	Chairman 14:8	Consumers	decision 12:25
appearance	4:16	change 9:6	2:22 5:21,23	<b>defined</b> 16:4,5
4:13	<b>budget</b> 12:18	changes 9:3	6:8,12 7:1	Department
appearing 4:17	Bushmann	characteristi	contacted 5:12	5:11 6:6,24
5:23	1:15 4:2,11	10:9	contemplated	depends 14:19
applies 7:25	4:20,24 5:4	<b>choose</b> 15:10	10:5	desired 8:2
appreciate 6:20	5:10,20,25	<b>City</b> 1:7,22 2:4	CONTINUED	<b>Diana</b> 2:13
<b>Area</b> 1:13 4:9	6:2,15,21 9:5	2:9,15,20,24	3:1	4:16
assigned 4:12	9:10,21,25	3:5 5:3,8	conversations	difference
Association	11:13,25 12:3	clarify 6:17	5:14	12:18,19 13:6
2:17 5:5 6:6	12:7 13:7,18	clarity 13:25	Cooper 2:12	direct 8:3 15:5
6:24	13:20 14:6	<b>client</b> 6:18,19	4:15,16 6:9	directly 15:7
assumption	15:1,9,19,22	clients 12:19	13:15,19 14:1	discovery 9:2
13:12	16:11,14	<b>clock</b> 10:24	14:2	9:11,15,15,18
	l		I	I

Page	19
1 age	1)

				I age I
9:22 10:5	14:23	<b>fully</b> 17:13	10:14	5:4,6,10,20
11:15	ER-2012-0345	<b>iuny</b> 17:15		5:25 6:2,15
discreet 16:5	1:11 4:10	G	I	6:21 9:1,5,10
discuss 6:3	events 8:13,18	<b>G</b> 4:1	identify 7:24	9:21,24,25
12:9	9:7	Gas 3:3,4 5:16	include 8:12	10:1 11:13,17
discussed 12:5	everybody	5:18 6:7,25	included 9:2	11:25 12:3,7
District 1:10	13:24	general 7:18	10:9	12:15 13:7,15
2:11 4:7,14	everybody's	8:11,13,13	increase 7:3,5	13:18,20 14:6
4:18	12:12	generic 9:14	7:17,18,22	14:21 15:1,9
doing 5:18	example 14:24	<b>give</b> 15:14	8:11,14 11:19	15:19,22 16:1
Dottheim 4:22	15:1,3	gives 14:7	15:6	16:11,13,14
doubtful 16:9	excused 5:12	<b>go</b> 7:10 9:13	Increasing 1:11	16:18
<b>DR</b> 9:3	explicit 11:4	12:2,9 13:11	4:8	juggle 14:19
<b>due</b> 8:12	<b>extent</b> 16:12	15:7,13 16:14	increst 11:18	<b>July</b> 1:7 4:3
		<b>going</b> 7:12,19	indicated 7:6	16:21
E	F	11:5 13:9	13:15 14:9	
<b>E</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1	fact 12:18	14:4,7	indicates 8:15	<u> </u>
4:1,1	far 8:11,19	good 12:22	indicating 10:2	Kansas 2:20
earlier 9:1	12:8,13	grant 6:23	information	3:5 5:8
<b>East</b> 2:14	<b>file</b> 1:11 4:10	Group 2:22	5:9	Keevil 4:22
<b>effect</b> 7:10	8:3,23	5:21,24 6:8	insofar 12:20	<b>kill</b> 7:20
effective 13:10	<b>filed</b> 6:12,15	6:12 7:1	interim 7:3,5	kind 7:16,20
<b>electric</b> 1:10,12	7:4,24 12:3	guess 10:8 14:2	7:17,22 8:10	8:9 13:1,21
2:11 4:7,8,14	15:5	16:11	10:3,5,17	14:18
4:18 16:3	<b>filing</b> 9:7	<b>gun</b> 12:8	11:18 15:6	Kliethermes
<b>Empire</b> 1:10	<b>filings</b> 14:22		intervention	2:3 4:21,22
2:11 4:7,14	financial 13:6	$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$	6:3,4,5,23	9:1,6,17,24
4:18 6:9 7:9	<b>fine</b> 8:16 9:10	hand 17:16	<b>issue</b> 7:2,8,25	15:14,18,21
7:24 15:5	9:12,21,23,25	happen 7:13	8:2,7 9:20	15:25 16:13
Empire's 7:4	15:11	14:12	11:6 14:17	16:19
8:15	Finnegan 2:18	headed 14:3	issued 13:16	know 7:9 8:17
encourage	5:7	<b>heard</b> 6:18	issues 12:9 16:2	12:3 13:24
14:11	<b>firm</b> 4:16 5:7	hearing 7:8 8:4	16:4,6	14:13,18 15:5
<b>Energy</b> 2:17,22	<b>first</b> 6:3,10	14:9,16,20	issuing 7:19	16:17
3:3,4 5:5,16	7:23	15:8 hearings 8:22	I	L
5:18,20,23	fit 14:20	hearings 8:22 held 14:9	Jacobs 3:4 5:17	$\frac{L}{L 2:12 4:15}$
6:6,7,7,23,25	<b>fluid</b> 13:21		5:17	larger 16:3,7
7:1	<b>follow</b> 16:12	<b>helpful</b> 12:21 12:24 14:5	<b>Jeff</b> 4:22	late 6:15
<b>England</b> 2:13	format 15:20	hereof 17:11	Jefferson 1:7	lately 15:23
4:17	16:2,12	hereunto 17:16	1:22 2:4,9,15	law 1:16 2:23
entered 9:2	<b>forth</b> 17:10,14	<b>hit</b> 14:16,17	2:24 5:3	4:16 5:7 7:11
entire 11:22	<b>four</b> 10:23	hold 6:25	<b>joint</b> 7:16	let's 4:2,12 6:3
entries 4:13	<b>fourth</b> 6:11	Honor 4:15	<b>Joplin</b> 1:11 4:7	7:3 10:13
envision 8:25	<b>frame</b> 10:15	5:22 6:9,17	judge 1:16 4:2	16:17
envisioning 8:8 ER-2010-0036	Friday 7:4 front 11:25	hypothetically	4:20,21,24	Lewis 2:7 5:2
LR-2010-0030	1101111123		1.20,21,21	200102.7 0.2
		I		I

Page 20

<u> </u>				Page 2
<b>list</b> 11:6	2:15,20,24	15:13,21	pleading 6:19	Professional
Litigation 1:21	3:5	one-for-one	please 10:19	17:8,22
<b>little</b> 13:23	<b>Monnie</b> 1:21	16:9	16:17	promptly 11:12
local 8:21	17:6,21	operation 7:11	<b>plenty</b> 14:7	propose 7:16
locations 8:21	morning 4:13	opportunity	point 7:15 10:7	8:17 15:4
look 6:13 11:14	6:16,18	6:13	12:15,22	proposed 8:23
13:8	<b>motion</b> 7:10	option 11:25	13:19,21	8:25
<b>looking</b> 10:4	9:6 12:3 13:8	order 4:3 7:19	14:18 16:11	provide 5:24
IUUKIIIg 10.4	13:22	9:11,22 10:5	<b>position</b> 6:14	8:23 15:23
M	motions 11:22	10:10,15	13:1	provided 1:12
Madison 2:4,9	13:3	11:15 13:16	<b>possible</b> 13:25	4:9,19 5:19
match 9:9,22	13.5	14:4,23	14:10,23	10:17
<b>Matter</b> 1:10	N	<b>OSAGE</b> 17:4	post-hearing	<b>public</b> 1:1 2:2,3
4:7	N 2:1 3:1 4:1	USAUE 17.4	8:5	2:6,6,8 4:23
Mealy 1:21	name 4:11 5:2	Р	potential 14:16	4:24 5:2,2
17:6,21	<b>named</b> 6:10	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1	preceding	8:22 17:8
<b>mean</b> 13:20	nameless 10:22	4:1	17:14	purposes 9:15
meet 13:9 16:8	Natural 5:11	pages 17:14	preferable 7:14	13:17
<b>meeting</b> 7:6,12	5:15 6:6,24	parallel 8:9	preference 7:7	<b>put</b> 9:14
14:8	nature 9:4	participate	preferred	<b>P.O</b> 2:8,14 5:3
mentioned	need 9:22 10:6	5:13	15:20	
11:24 14:22	11:3,14 12:10	particular 7:8	Prehearing 1:5	Q
14:22	12:14 13:8,13	8:7 9:14	prepare 11:10	question 10:8
<b>MEUA</b> 5:6	16:16	10:11,22	prepared 11:11	14:2
Michael 1:15	<b>new</b> 10:24	15:10	present 5:15	questions 8:24
4:11	Nied 5:11	parties 6:4,10	13:3 17:10	10:1
microphone	<b>noon</b> 16:16	6:22 7:15,23	presiding 1:15	quick 14:12
16:1	Notary 17:8	8:3,16,19	4:11	
Midwest 1:21	notice 7:2 8:23	9:12,23 14:8	pretty 9:13	R
2:17,22 5:4	number 11:19	14:19 15:4,4	previously 7:24	<b>R</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1
5:20,23 6:5		15:10,24	pre-hearing	raised 9:19
6:23 7:1	0	party 10:13	4:6	range 8:21
<b>Mills</b> 2:7 5:1,2	<b>O</b> 4:1	<b>PC</b> 2:13 4:17	probably 10:23	<b>rate</b> 7:3,5,17,18
5:4 11:17	objection 6:5	Penntower	problem 9:11	7:22 8:11,14
12:2,5,21	6:10,11	2:19	14:17	8:15 11:18,18
14:21 15:3	objections 6:21	perceived 11:1	procedural	14:14,22 16:7
mind 15:15	7:4	perceiving 13:2	7:16 8:8,15	<b>Rates</b> 1:11 4:8
missed 5:25	occur 13:13	<b>period</b> 10:16	8:25 10:9	reason 11:9
Missouri 1:1,7	<b>Office</b> 2:6,8	14:3	11:18	<b>rebuttal</b> 8:3 9:7
1:11,12 2:2	4:24 5:1	periods 8:20	proceed 7:8	15:7
3:3,4 4:8,9,23	officer 4:12	personally	13:11	received 9:8
5:3,8,10,16	off-the-record	17:10	proceeding 4:3	recollection
5:18 6:6,7,7	5:14	Peterson 2:18	proceedings	10:3
6:24,25 17:3	<b>Oh</b> 10:23	5:7	1:3 16:20	recommend
17:9	<b>Okay</b> 4:2 5:15	<b>phone</b> 16:16	17:10,12	15:10
<b>MO</b> 1:22 2:4,9	11:13 14:6	<b>plan</b> 13:18	process 10:3	recommenda
	l	I	•	I

Daga	21
Page	21

				r age 2
8:20	responses 9:3	17:6	T	TRANSCRI
reconciliation	<b>rid</b> 12:14	<b>similar</b> 8:6,17	take 6:13 11:14	1:3
15:20 16:3,10	right 6:22 12:7	<b>simply</b> 11:20	12:10	tried 9:13
record 4:3 9:2	14:16 16:15	12:16 15:6	talk 7:3	true-up 8:20
12:16 15:13	round 15:7	Somebody	tariff 7:7,10,20	Truman 1:22
16:15,15	rounds 11:23	10:17	12:23 13:10	try 9:17 12:11
Registered	route 13:12	soon 13:25	13:22	14:11,20
17:7,22	<b>RPR</b> 1:21	14:10	tariffs 1:11 4:8	16:12
REGULAT	ruling 6:25	sorry 12:2	11:20	trying 16:2
1:16	rulings 11:21	Southern 5:17		<b>tshtion</b> 12:24
reject 11:20	<b>run</b> 10:21,21	ss 17:3	Telephone 3:3 test 8:19	turn 12:17
12:4		<b>Staff</b> 2:2 4:20		two 7:21 10:1
rejected 12:23	S	4:23 5:13	testimony 7:24	10:23,23
rejection 13:22	<b>S</b> 1:21 2:1 3:1	10:18	8:2,3 9:8,19	12:18
relates 10:8	4:1 17:6,21	start 4:12	11:23 15:6,7	type 16:9
relating 10:1	Sarah 2:3 4:21	10:24 11:8	thank 4:15,20	typically 9:17
relation 9:18	schedule 7:16	state 1:1 6:14	4:21,24 5:4	16:6
<b>remain</b> 10:22	7:17 8:6,8,16	17:3,9	5:10,22,25	10.0
REPORTED	8:25 10:10	stenotype	9:24 11:13	U
1:21	11:18,22 12:6	17:12	15:15,18 16:1	<b>UE</b> 14:22
<b>reporter</b> 4:19	12:12 14:23	Steve 4:22	16:13,19	<b>unclear</b> 10:12
5:9,24 12:17	14:24 15:10	stone 7:21	<b>Thanks</b> 16:17	10:13
17:6,7,8,22	15:11	Stone 7.21 Street 2:4,9	16:18	understand
REPORTER'S	schedules 8:9	Street 2:4,9 Stuart 2:18 5:6	things 9:3 10:8	12:25 13:7
17:1	seal 17:17	submit 8:1	11:7 15:14	understanding
request 7:5	seasonably	submitted	think 7:12,14	5:13
9:13 10:14,18	11:3	11:12 14:6	7:20 9:14	unfortunately
10:20,24	second 10:7	suggested	10:12 12:7	14:13
11:19	see 7:23 8:18	11:19	13:11 14:21	<b>Union 5</b> :18
requested 6:4	10:4 11:14	Suite 1:22 5:8	thinking 14:15	update 8:20
7:9	13:23	supplied 5:9	thinks 8:22	<b>use</b> 13:13 16:2
requesting	send 10:19	support 15:6	<b>third</b> 6:19	<b>Users</b> 2:17 5:5
10:19	sends 10:18	suppose 15:25	<b>three</b> 6:10	6:6,23
required 8:13	sense 11:21	suppose 15:25 sure 15:23	11:23	utility 10:22
requirements	12:21	surrebuttal 8:4	<b>till</b> 14:7	
8:21	sent 10:14	9:8,19	time 4:5 7:1	V
Resources 5:11	separate 8:9	suspended 7:7	10:15 12:8	Volume 1:8
5:15 6:7,24	<b>Service</b> 1:1,12	14:3	13:3 14:3,8	
respect 11:17	1:12 2:2,3 4:8	suspending	14:16 17:12	W
responded	4:9,23	7:20	timely 11:3	<b>W</b> 1:22 2:18
10:13 13:5	Services 1:21	suspension	today 4:3 7:2	5:6
Respondent	set 4:5 11:22	13:16	7:19 12:14	wait 11:21
11:10	15:9 17:10,14	Swearengen	13:16,23	want 8:16 9:21
<b>response</b> 10:17	17:16	2:13 4:17	<b>Todd</b> 3:4 5:17	11:16 14:19
10:20 11:2,11	sheet 17:11	system 9:8	tracts 8:9	15:12
11:11	Shorthand	5 <b>550011</b> 7.0	transcribed	wanted 10:2
11.11	+=		17:13	
	•	•	•	•

Page 22

1				e
water 15:24	<b>10</b> 11:9			
16:6	<b>10</b> 11:9 <b>10:00</b> 4:4	7		
way 7:21 12:25	<b>10:00</b> 4.4 <b>10:24</b> 16:20	<b>751-3234</b> 2:5		
wedded 14:24	<b>10.24</b> 10.20 <b>120</b> 14:7	<b>751-4857</b> 2:10		
week 12:1 14:9	<b>1209</b> 2:19 5:8	<b>753-1122</b> 2:20		
we'll 13:23	<b>1207</b> 2:17 5:0 <b>15</b> 11:9	<b>797-0005</b> 2:25		
16:9		8		
we're 4:3 12:8	2	<b>807</b> 2:24		
16:15	<b>2</b> 17:17	<b>816</b> 2:20 3:6		
<b>We've</b> 16:1	<b>2nd</b> 8:12	010 2120 210		
WHEREOF	<b>20</b> 10:14 11:8,9			
17:16	<b>20-day</b> 10:24			
Winston 2:24	<b>200</b> 2:4,9			
wise 12:9,13	<b>2012</b> 1:7 4:4			
13:11	16:21 17:17			
WITNESS	<b>207</b> 1:22			
17:16	<b>2230</b> 2:8 5:3			
Woodsmall	<b>23</b> 1:7 16:21			
2:23 5:22,23	<b>23rd</b> 4:4			
6:17	3			
words 11:5	<b>3rd</b> 14:7			
work 12:13	<b>3100</b> 2:19 5:7			
worked 14:12	<b>312</b> 2:14			
15:2	<b>3420</b> 3:5			
worry 11:5	<b>3432</b> 1:22			
wouldn't 15:15	<b>360-5976</b> 3:6			
wrap 7:16 10:2				
X	4			
<b>X</b> 10:20	<b>456</b> 2:14			
	5			
Y	<b>5</b> 11:10			
<b>Y</b> 10:20	<b>5th</b> 13:24			
Yeah 6:15	<b>50</b> 10:15			
13:20 <b>year</b> 8:19	<b>573</b> 1:23 2:5,10			
year 0.19	2:15,25			
Ζ				
<b>Z</b> 10:20	6			
	<b>635-7122</b> 2:15			
#	<b>636-7551</b> 1:23			
<b>#0538</b> 17:7	<b>64111</b> 2:20 5:8			
<b>#0539</b> 17:21	<b>64113</b> 3:5 <b>65101</b> 2:24			
1	<b>65102</b> 2:4,9,15			
<u>1</u> 1:8	5:3			
<b>1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	<b>65109</b> 1:22			
100 10.7				