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            PROCEE D I NG S
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Today's date is December 5th,
    2012, and it is 1:30 p.m. The Commission has set this
    time for a Discovery Conference in the case captioned In
    The Matter Of The Empire District Electric Company Of
    Joplin, Missouri For Authority To File Tariffs Increasing
    Rates For Electric Service Provided To Customers In The
    Missouri Service Area Of The Company, File No.
    ER-2102-0345.
    I'm Michael Bushmann, the Presiding Officer. Why
    don't we begin by making entries of appearance.
    For the Empire District Electric Company.
    MS. CARTER: Diane Carter with Brydon, Swearengen,
    & England. The address is on my written entry.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.
    For Commission Staff.
    MS. KLIETHERMES: Thank you, Judge. Sarah
Kliethermes of and for Commission Staff. Address has been
    provided.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Office of Public Counsel.
    (No response.)
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Midwest Energy Users Association.
    MR. CONRAD: That would be me, Stu Conrad, Kansas
    City, and I think we have earlier provided the Reporter
    with address information.
```

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Missouri Department of
Natural Resources.
(No response.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Midwest Energy Consumers Group.
(No response.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: And Missouri Gas Energy.
MR. JACOBS: Todd Jacobs on behalf of the Missouri
Gas Energy.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Is there anyone else on the phone
who hasn't identified themselves?
(No response.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: I don't hear any.
My understanding was that we do have an issue
today, something relating to the provision of work papers.
And I don't know which parties are involved, but whoever
is having a problem, can you describe what that is?
MR. CONRAD: Sure. Judge Bushmann, this is Stu
Conrad. This pertains to an email that $I$ got on December
4 on behalf of Staff, which appeared to indicate that
revenue requirement work papers, direct testimony/cash
director requirement work papers are being made available
through the EFIS system, and their citations for
assertions about cutting costs and time and burning
multiple CDs and all of that.
As I read the procedural order which was entered

```
    in this case -- I want to say back in December, I don't
    have precise date; I could get that -- but I'm looking at
    page 4 of that which directs parties to comply with the
    following additional procedural requirements, and then B
    says the work papers that were prepared in the course of
    developing witness's testimony should not be filed with
    the Commission but should be submitted to each party
    within two business days following the filing of testimony
    without further request. And then that goes on to talk
    about HC and proprietary information and suggests that, if
parties aren't interested in receiving work papers, they
    should let counsel know.
    The problem that I have is this approach of now
filing work papers in EFIS is not compliant with that
provision. It also causes folks, who are outside the
Commission and its environs and thus are not on the
    Commission's internet with respect to EFIS, considerable
problems. I am authorized, I think, to get into EFIS.
    So, what would be necessary here would be for me to
    download all of this stuff, burn it to CDs, and then send
that to consultant. The apparent idea that consultants
have access to EFIS at the same level that attorneys and,
certainly, Staff members do within the confines of the
Commission is just not correct.
    The second problem that I have with this is this
```

```
is far read and what I can see is a Commission order which
directs parties to comply. As far as I'm aware, there has
not been any request in advance by Staff to do this. It
was simply announced, and I could -- if we had the time to
go back and turn the clock back to the procedural
conference that we had back here, this certainly could be
something that could be discussed, but it creates the same
problems for us that I mentioned.
    But if that's to be the rules now, I should
probably set up an ftp site where we could post our work
papers and require parties to access the website, and that
would save me a whole lot of time. I sent out a bunch of
    CDs yesterday, Staff CDs included; and, in my humble view,
    I guess that complies with submitting to each party. What
    Staff's doing is not compliant, and unilaterally deciding
    that we're going to do it another way that is without
    regard to the convenience of the other parties is,
    frankly, not acceptable.
    Now, that's not the traditional discovery dispute
    that you have, but here we are, and I felt the need to
        raise this to the RLJ and, through him, I guess, on the
        record to the Commission, that, in my view, Staff is not
        complying with this. I would like an order directing them
        to comply and send me the CDs.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Ms. Kliethermes, you want to
```

```
    respond?
    MS. KLIETHERMES: I wasn't directly involved in
    the decision to go to this. My understanding that this
        was gone to is because particularly for the revenue
        requirement director papers, that with the weather
        normalization and the fuel run. I believe those are the
        two, if I understand correctly, where they were each over
        1 8 \text { megabytes, so this would be a matter of, I'm not sure}
        how many CDs, but several.
    That said, we understand Mr. Conrad's concerns,
        and I think that, you know, our IT Department, I know, has
        been working on this all morning. If there is a way to
        either set up a cloud page or, if that wouldn't work, to
        actually do the CDs, I don't know if the files are of a
        nature that they can be broken into multiple CDs. I think
        that was also part of the concern.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: So, there's some technological
        issues.
    MS. KLIETHERMES: There's some technological
        issues, but I do understand Mr. Conrad's concerns, and I
        know our IT Department has been working with our Staff
        Counsel's office court staff, and I think Staff counsel's
        office court staff has also been in contact with personnel
        locators at Brydon Swearengen and Empire itself with
        similar concerns.
```

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. The provision that Mr. Conrad refers to does make a distinction between filing with the Commission and submitting to a matter which, to me, that's different. So, he makes a point there. So, if
there was -- I don't think that's the same as just filing
them in EFIS, according to the language of the order.
So, if there is a way that we can provide that to
the parties, I think that would be compliant with the
order other than just filing them in EFIS.
MR. CONRAD: I -- I don't disagree with that. Not
to put too fine of a point on this, but just because this
becomes an issue, that is not as easily resolved as I
think it could be. I think that these items are not
actually filed in EFIS. They're a submission.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: An EFIS submission.
MR. CONRAD: Yes. There's different submissions
in efis.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.
MR. CONRAD: There's a submission as well as the
filing system.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: So, you're talking about the
submission system?
MR. CONRAD: Yes.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.
MS. KLIETHERMES: And, again, I think that barring
technological issues that are, frankly, well over my head,
I think that we're endeavoring to get CDs or some other --
Mr. Conrad had mentioned in an earlier phone discussion,
it sounded to me, Stu, like you were talking about
something with a cloud site. I don't know if that's what
you're referencing or not.
MR. CONRAD: Let's make that assumption. Without
regard, for example, to the language, placing something in
the cloud, whatever the cloud is, is to me making it
available to the parties. That's not submitting. So, my
point still remains.
But, set that -- set the language issue aside for
a moment. Let's assume you put it on the cloud and
there's something like, as you characterize it, you know,
so 18 megabytes. What am I supposed to do with it? Am I
supposed to download the 18 megabytes? How do $I$ get it to
my consultants? Do I email it to them? Is it easier for
me to do that than for Staff to do?
MS. KLIETHERMES: I think those are the
discussions that $I T$ is interested to have with you, Stu --
or Mr. Conrad. Frankly, I don't understand the
technological things. My Computer science ended in about
1998.
MR. CONRAD: Well, I appreciate that, Counsel. I
may have added myself, but that's also the point.

MR. BUSHMANN: I don't understand, also, I guess, what the limitations are as far as the size and the nature of the material and how that can be transmitted to a party. I assume that's too big for email?

MS. KLIETHERMES: By far.
MS. CARTER: And, Stu, just for our knowledge, we've been having the same issues.

MR. CONRAD: Who is speaking?
MS. CARTER: This is Diane Carter at Brydon Swearengen.

MR. CONRAD: Okay.
MS. CARTER: Although I can check with Angela, Empire is not able to because of how it is in EFIS and it's just the attorneys. So, we've been having the same issues today, and they've certainly been trying here at the Commission and $I$ don't know what area that is, units, I guess you call them now, as opposed to departments. MS. KLIETHERMES: I truly don't know. My knowledge of that also ended in 1988.

MS. CARTER: They have been trying very hard with Angela at Empire to try to make this easier on everybody. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Also sounds like we don't have enough information, at least at this point, to resolve it other than my understanding is Staff is going to work on resolving it. Is that correct?

MS. KLIETHERMES: As I understood it, we are working right now to whichever Mr. Conrad or another party's preference would be, either to provide the information on CDs or to establish a cloud site.

We do have concerns since there is some highly confidential information about how we would secure a cloud site. And that kind of, I think, also goes to Mr. Conrad's concern of, in theory, the consultants could have direct access to a cloud site, but how can we -- there's a lot of questions that $I$ don't have an answer to right now. MR. CONRAD: Well, $I$ just point out, the standard CD holds roughly four gigabytes -- no. Strike that. It holds about 850 megabytes. So, I can understand how that might be a problem. But a DVD, digital -- whatever that stands for -- DVD -- I'm looking at one, have it in my hand -- 8.5 gigabytes.

Now, if you have 18 megabytes, I can understand how that might not fit on one DVD but, unless you're going beyond 8.5 gigabytes as to work papers, it will fit on one DVD.

MS. KLIETHERMES: I will pass that along to Mr. Henhower (ph).

MR. CONRAD: I think those numbers are out there. I don't know that you have to be a guru.

MS. KLIETHERMES: And I'm not confident on the
number of megabytes that $I$ stated.

MR. CONRAD: Uh-huh.
MS. KLIETHERMES: I know they are very large. I'm not confident on how large they are, but there's two particular sets of files that are far in excess of what we've had in prior cases.

MR. CONRAD: Well, Judge, meanwhile, the clock ticks.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Is there a time table on when you think that this might be figured out from the technological side?

MS. KLIETHERMES: Um, well, Mr. Conrad, without putting you on a spot, I think would your preference be for a cloud type option or for a CD type option?

MR. CONRAD: Well, I think I would actually prefer the DVD or CDs or DVDs as the case may be. We can deal with -- I've got capability here in this small one-horse 19th Century law office dealing with DVDs. I'm surprised Staff doesn't.

MS. KLIETHERMES: I'm confident there are people on Staff who do. You're not talking with one of them, but I suspect we would be able -- I can't promise a time frame, but $I$ would hope we could get that done either today or tomorrow.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, Mr. Conrad, if --

MR. CONRAD: Well, today or tomorrow's fine, Judge. It's just if this drags on for several days, then
--

MS. KLIETHERMES: Mr. Conrad, if this drags on for several days, $I$ will put this on a jump drive and visit my brother in Kansas City this weekend.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, it sounds like Staff's making good faith attempts to resolve this -MR. CONRAD: And I don't question that. JUDGE BUSHMANN: -- so I don't think there is anything right this minute that we can do other than just to say that Staff's going to work on resolving this in the next couple of days, and that, if for some reason it doesn't get resolved satisfactorily within a couple of days, you're free to maybe set up a telephone conference with me and then we can kind of go from there at that point.

Does that satisfy you at least for the time being?
MS. CARTER: That does.
MR. CONRAD: That does. I guess the only thing beyond that would be just, to just -- I don't know -admonish is too strong a word, but it may be strongly suggested to Staff that they not unilaterally decide they're going to employ some different method than what's in variance with the agreed-upon procedures have been
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    without, at a minimum, contacting the other parties and
    saying, Can we work this out. Here's the problem with it.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: That sounds like this is an issue
    that affects other cases, too, although I'm not making
    policy here.
    MR. CONRAD: Sure.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: So, at least for the purposes of
    this case, we can probably get this resolved it sounds
    like. And, if not, then we'll figure something out in a
    few days. All right.
    Is there anything else that needs to be taken up?
    MR. CONRAD: That's all I had, Judge.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anything else, Ms. Carter?
    MS. CARTER: No. Thank you.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Ms. Kliethermes.
    MS. KLIETHERMES: No.
    I would note there's a handful of items that were
noted in the Staff report that we were waiting on some
    information from Empire, but as far as I can tell, they're
making every effort to get us that information.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. In that case, I guess
    that's all I we need to do today. We're off the record.
    MR. CONRAD: Thank you, Judge.
    JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thanks.
    MR. JACOBS: Thank you very much.
```
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| A | 151:7 | 150:15,18,21 | Certified 158:4 | 149:10,20,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| able 152:13 | attempts 155:8 | 150:24 152:1 | certify 158:6 | 153:5 |
| 154:22 | Attorney 144:4 | 152:22 154:9 | characterize | conference |
| above-entitled | 144:9,15,20 | 154:25 155:7 | 151:14 | 142:8,18 |
| 158:7 | attorneys | 155:10 156:3 | check 152:12 | 143:7 145: |
| acceptable | 147:22 | 156:7,13,15 | citations | 148:6 155:15 |
| 148:18 | 152:14 | 156:21,24 | 146:22 | confident |
| access 147:22 | Authority | business 147:8 | City 142:9 | 153:25 154:4 |
| 148:11 153:9 | 143:13 145:6 | C | 143:8,24 | 154:20 |
| added 151:25 | au |  | 144:6,11,1 | confiden |
| additional | 147:18 | C 144:1 14 | 144:22 | 153:6 |
| 147:4 | available | 158:1,1 | 145:24 155:6 | confines |
| address 145:14 | 146:21 |  | 158:13 | 147:23 |
| 145:18,25 | 151:10 | capability | clock 148:5 | Conrad 144:15 |
| Adjournment | aware 148:2 | 154:17 | 154:7 | 144:16 |
| 157:1 |  | Capitol 144:10 | cloud 149:13 | 145:23,23 |
| admonish | B | caption 158:8 | 151:5,9,9,13 | 146:17,18 |
| 155:22 | B 147:4 | captioned | 153:4,6,9 | 150:2,10,16 |
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| 152:21 | believe 149:6 | 152:20 | 144:2,4 145:3 | 154:2,7,12,15 |
| announced | beyond 153:19 | 155:19 | 145:16,18 | 54:25 155:1 |
| 148:4 | 155:21 | 156:13,1 | 147:7,16,24 | 155:4,9,20 |
| answer 153:10 | big 152 | case 145:4 | 148:1,22 | 156:6,12,23 |
| apparent | Boulevar | :1 154:16 | 150:3 152:16 | Conrad's |
| 147:21 | 143:23 | 156:8,21 | Commission's | 149:10,20 |
| appearance | Box 144:5,11 | cases 154:6 | 147:17 | 153:8 |
| 145:11 | Broad | cau | Company | considerable |
| appeared | broken 149:15 | cause 158:7 causes 147:15 | $43: 12,15$ $44 \cdot 8145 \cdot 5,8$ | 147:1 |
| 146:19 | brother 155:6 | CCR 143:21,22 | 144:8 145:5,8 145:12 | consultant 147:21 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { apt } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Brydon 144:10 | 158:17 | compliant | consultan |
| app | 145:13 | CD 153:12 | 147:14 | 147:21 |
| $147: 13$ | 149:24 152:9 | 154:14 | 148:15 150:8 | 151:17 153:8 |
| area 143:15 | bunch 148:12 | CDs 146:2 | complies | Consumers |
| 145:8 152:16 | burn 147:20 <br> burning 146:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 147: 20 \\ & 148: 13,13,24 \end{aligned}$ | 148:14 comply 147 | 146:4 |
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| assertions | 143:18 145:? | $149: 9,14,15$ $151: 2 ~ 153: 4$ | 148:2,24 | contacting |
| 146:23 |  | 154:16 | complying | 56:1 |
| Association | 145:10,15,20 | 154 | 148:23 | convenien |
| 144:14 | 145:22 146:1 | Century | Computer | 148:17 |
| 145:22 | 146:4,6,9,12 | 154:18 | 151:22 | correct 147:24 |
| assume 151:13 | 146:17 | certainly | concern 149:16 | 152:25 |
| 152:4. | 148:25 149:17 150:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 147: 23 \text { 148:6 } \\ & 152: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $153: 8$ | $158: 10$ |
| assumption | 149:17 150:1 | 152:15 | concerns | correctly 149:7 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| counsel 145:20 | 152:9 | earlier 145:24 | establish 153:4 | Gas 144:19,21 |
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| 149:23 158:4 | 149:5 | 150:17 | 156:19 | going 148:16 |
| creates 148:7 | directs 14 | 152:13 | felt 148:20 | 152:24 |
| Customers | 148:2 | either 149:13 | figure 156:9 | 153:18 |
| 143:14 145:7 | disagree | 153:3 154:23 | figured 154:10 | 155:12,24 |
| cutting 146:23 | 150:10 | Electric 143:12 | File 143:13,14 | $\operatorname{good} 155: 8$ |
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| D | 145:4 148:19 | email 146:18 | 150:1 | 148:21 152:1 |
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| 147:2 | 148:7 | Empire 143:12 | 154:5 | 155:20 |
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| Dcarter@Br | 151:20 | 152:13,2 | 5:1 | H |
| 144:12 | disp | 156:19 | FINNEGAN | and 153:16 |
| deal 154:16 | distinction | employ 155:24 | 144:16 | handful 156:17 |
| dealing 154:18 | 150:2 | endeavoring | firm 158:5 | hard 152:20 |
| December | District 143:12 | 151:2 | fit 153:18,19 | HC 147:10 |
| 142:8 143:7 | 144:8 145:5 | ended 151:22 | folks 147:15 | head 151:1 |
| 145:2 146:18 | 145:12 | 152:19 157:2 | following 147:4 | hear 146:12 |
| 147:1 | doing 148:1 | Energy 144:14 | 147:8 | Henhower |
| decide 155:23 | download | 144:19,21 | foregoing | 153:22 |
| deciding | 147:20 | 145:22 146:4 | 158:10 | highly 153:5 |
| 148:15 | 151:16 | 146:6,8 | forth 158:8 | holds 153:12 |
| decision 149:3 | drags 155:2,4 | England | four 153:12 | 153:13 |
| Department | drive 144:10 | 144:10 | frame 154:23 | hope 154:23 |
| 146:1 149:11 | 155:5 | 145:14 | frankly 148:18 | humble 148:13 |
| 149:21 | DVD 153:14,15 | entered 146:25 | $151: 1,21$ |  |
| departments | 153:18,20 | entries 145:11 | free 155:15 | idea 147:21 |
| 152:17 | 154:16 | entry 145:14 | ftp 148:10 | idea 147:21 |
| describe | DVDs 154:16 | environs | fuel 149:6 | identified |
| 146:16 | 154:18 | 147:16 | full 158:10 | 146:10 |
| developing |  | ER-2012-0345 | further 147:9 | included |
| 147:6 | E | $143: 14$ |  | 148:13 Increasing |
| DIANA 144:9 | E 144:1,1 145:1 | ER-2102-0345 | G | Increasing |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| issue 146:13 | 149:19 | 150:3 | needs 156: |  |
| 150:12 | 150:25 | megabytes | normalization |  |
| 151:12 156:3 | 151:19 152:5 | 149:8 151:15 | 149:6 |  |
| issues 149:18 | 152:18 153:1 | 151:16 | note 156:17 |  |
| 149:20 151:1 | 153:21,25 | 153:13,17 | noted 156:18 |  |
| 152:7,15 | 154:3,12,20 | 154:1 | notes 158:11 |  |
| items 150:13 | 155:4 156:15 | members | number 154:1 |  |
| 156:17 | 156:16 | 147:23 | numbers |  |
|  | know 146:15 | mentioned | 53:23 |  |
| J 144:20 | 149:11,11,14 | method 155:24 | 0 |  |
| Jacobs 144:20 | 149:21 151:5 | Michael 143:18 | O 145:1 |  |
| 146:7,7 | 151:14 | 145:10 | office 145:20 |  |
| 156:25 | 152:16,18 | Midwest | 149:22,23 |  |
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