Jason Kander

4

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED NOV 2 8 2016 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-4.020

Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.

	t Morris Woodruff	Phone 573	-751-2849	FAX <u>573-526-6010</u>
Email a	address morris.woodruff@p	osc.mo.gov		
			2	
Data E	ntry Chris Koenigsfeld	Phone 573	-751-4256	FAX 573-526-6010
Email a	ddress christine.koenigsfel	d@psc.mo.gov	т.	
Interag	ency mailing address P <u>ubl</u>	ic Service Com	mission, 9th	Fl., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO
Proj Wit Req Stat	uest for Non-Substantive ement of Actual Cost er of Rulemaking	Notice 🗌 In		Rule Under Consideration
	ve Date for the Order	date		
Stat	utory 30 days OR Specific e Order of Rulemaking co			ext? 🗌 NO
Stat Does th	utory 30 days OR Specific e Order of Rulemaking co	ntain changes	to the rule to	ext? NO ding any deleted rule text:
Stat Does th	utory 30 days OR Specific e Order of Rulemaking co	ntain changes WITH CHAN atory	to the rule to	



Commissioners DANIEL Y, HALL

Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

> MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

November 28, 2016

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-4.020 Ex Parte Communications

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed amendment lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed amendment will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed amendment does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed amendment conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed amendment complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Mr. Jason Kander November 28, 2016 Page 2

Statutory Authority: section 386.410, RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed amendment, please contact:

Michael Bushmann, Senior Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-4393 Michael.Bushmann@psc.mo.gov

Monnis A. Wooduff

Morris L. Woodruff Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)) COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Mike Downing, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule, 4 CSR 240-4.020, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Mike Downing Director Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of _____, 2016, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of ______, State of Missouri, and my commission expires on ______, **Keeperform**, State of



DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY My Commission Expires December 13, 2019 Moniteau County Commission #15456865

Notary Public

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 4—Standards of Conduct

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

4 CSR 240-4.020 Ex Parte Communications.

COPY

RECEIVED

NOV 2 8 2016

SECRETARY OF STATE

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

PURPOSE: To set forth the standards to promote the public trust in the commission with regard to pending filings and cases. This rule regulates communication between members of the office of the commission and parties regarding substantive issues.

(1) Neither any party nor any member of the office of the commission shall initiate, participate in, or undertake, directly or indirectly, an ex parte communication.

(A) If such a communication occurs, the party and the member of the office of the commission involved in the communication should immediately make a reasonable effort to terminate the communication.

(2) A party or member of the office of the commission who initiates an ex parte communication shall within one (1) business day following such communication give notice of that communication as follows:

(A) If the communication is written, the initiating party shall file a copy of the written communication in the official case file for the discussed case; or

(B) If the communication is not written, the initiating party shall file a memorandum disclosing the communication in the official case file for each discussed case. The memorandum must contain a list of all participants in the communication; the date, time, location, and approximate duration of the communication; the means by which the communication took place; and a summary of the substance of the communication and not merely a listing of the subjects covered. Alternatively, a recording or transcription of the communication may be filed, as long as that recording or transcription indicates all participants and the date, time, location, approximate duration, and means of communication.

(3) If an ex parte communication occurs and the initiating party fails to file a notice in the manner set forth in subsection (2), any other party or member of the office of the commission involved in the communication, upon learning of the person's failure to file such notice, shall give notice of the ex parte communication in the manner set forth in subsections (2) as soon as practicable.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo 2000.* Original rule filed Dec. 19, 1975, effective Dec. 29, 1975. Amended: Filed April 26, 1976, effective Sept. 11, 1976. Rescinded and readopted: Filed Nov. 4, 2009, effective July 30, 2010.

*Original authority: 386.410, RSMo 1939, amended 1947, 1977, 1996.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOV 2 8 2016

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before February 2, 2017, and should include reference to Commission Case No. AX-2017-0128. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed amendment is scheduled for February 16, 2017, at 1:00 p.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: 10/27/2016

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-4.020		
Name of Agency Preparing Statement:	Public Service Commission	
Name of Person Preparing Statement:	Michael Bushmann, Reg. Law Judge	
Phone Number: 751-4393	Email: Michael.Bushmann@psc.mo.gov	
Name of Person Approving Statement:	Morris Woodruff, Secretary	

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

None. There is little impact on small businesses other than a requirement to comply with this ethics rule.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Involvement of small businesses or entities regulated by the Commission was not necessary for the development of this proposed rule.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No adverse effect is anticipated.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

None.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No monetary cost or benefit is anticipated by the rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes No XX

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.