Exhibit No.:

Issues: Revenue Requirement,

True-up

Witness: Michael R. Noack

Type of Exhibit: True-Up Rebuttal Testimony

Sponsoring Party:

Missouri Gas Energy

onsoring Party:

Case No.:

GR-2009-0355

Date Filed:

December 3, 2009

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

DEC 1 8 2009

CASE NO. GR-2009-0355

Missouri Public Service Commission

TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL R. NOACK

Jefferson City, Missouri

December 2009

MGE Exhibit No. 108

Case No(s). GR-2009-0355

Date 12 8 09 Rptr MM

TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL R. NOACK

CASE NO. GR-2009-0355

December 3, 2009

INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
3	A.	My name is Michael R. Noack, and my business address is 3420 Broadway, Kansas
4		City, Missouri 64111.
5		
6	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE ON
7		BEHALF OF MISSOURI GAS ENERGY (MGE), A DIVISION OF
8		SOUTHERN UNION COMPANY?
9	A.	Yes.
10	-	
11	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the True-Up Direct Testimony of Staff
13		witness Mark Oligschlaeger in the areas of depreciation expense and the prepaid
14		pension asset included in rate base. I will also very briefly respond to the True-Up
15		Direct Testimony of Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) witness Ted Robertson
16		regarding rate case expense.
17		
18	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE IDENTIFY THE ITEMS WHICH WERE SUBJECT
19	•	TO THE TRUE-UP?

In accordance with the Partial Stipulation and Agreement, the true-up audit addressed A. 1 2 the following items: A. Rate Base – The total rate base before true-up items are included is \$619,181,554. 3 The items included in the rate base true-up are: 5 Plant in Service Depreciation Reserve 6 Deferred Taxes 7 Materials and Supplies 8 Natural Gas in Storage 10 **Prepaid Pension Assets** The cash working capital impact of the other true-up items 11 12 13 B. Operating Revenues - The total as adjusted operating revenues before true-up are 14 \$186,802,700. Residential customer growth is the only component of operating 15 revenues trued-up. 16 C. Operating Expenses - Total operating expenses before income taxes and true-up 17 18 items per the Partial Stipulation and Agreement are \$137,850,000. The operating 19 expense items to be trued-up are as follows: 20 Payroll - Per the stipulation, the base amount of payroll, payroll taxes and employee benefits from which to measure the true-up adjustment is \$36,421,592. 21 Rate case expense - Per the Partial Stipulation and Agreement, it is to be updated 22

through September 30, 2009, and is to include an estimate for the remainder of the

1		case. The base amount of rate case expense from which to measure the true-up
2		adjustment is \$72,382.
3		• Depreciation expense - Per the Partial Stipulation and Agreement, the base
4		amount of depreciation expense from which to measure the true-up adjustment is
5		\$26,224,367.
6		• Income taxes.
7		
8		D. Capital Structure.
9		
10	Q.	ON WHICH OF THE TRUE-UP ITEMS LISTED ABOVE DO YOU
11		DISAGREE WITH STAFF WITNESS OLIGSCHLAEGER WITH RESPECT
12		TO THE VALUE ASSIGNED IN THE TRUE-UP?
13	A.	The items I disagree with are depreciation expense - land rights and the prepaid
14		pension asset included in rate base.
15		
16	DEPI	RECIATION EXPENSE – LAND RIGHTS
17	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT THE DIFFERENCES ARE BETWEEN THE
18		COMPANY AND STAFF FOR DEPRECIATION EXPENSE?
19	A.	In calculating the annualized depreciation expense based on plant balances at
20		September 30, 2009, the Staff did not include any depreciation expense for Account
21		374.2 - Land Rights. The balance of account 374.2 included in rate base at
22		September 30, 2009, is \$2,180,950. The Commission-approved depreciation rate is
23		2.09% which results in annualized depreciation expense of \$45.581

1		
2	Q.	HAS THE COMMISSION STAFF COMPUTED DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
3		ON ACCOUNT 374.2 – LAND RIGHTS IN PREVIOUS MGE RATE CASES?
4	A.	Yes. In the last three cases, GR-2001-292, GR-2004-0209 and GR-2006-0422, the
5		Commission Staff has computed depreciation on Account 374.2. Attached as True-
6		Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-1 are the portions of Staff's accounting schedules from
7		those previous cases which reflect Staff's recommended depreciation expense for
8		Account 374.2 – Land Rights.
9		
10	Q.	HAVE DEPRECIATION RATES BEEN THE SUBJECT OF ANOTHER
11		COMMISSION CASE DURING THE PENDANCY OF THIS RATE CASE?
12	A.	Yes. Depreciation rates were the subject of a waiver case filed by MGE on July 16,
13		2009. The waiver application was designated by the Commission to be Case No. GE-
14		2010-0300. The Commission issued its Order Granting Waiver in this case on
15		August 12, 2009. Attached as True-Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-2 is the Order
16		Granting Waiver in Case No. GE-2010-0030.
17		
18	Q.	DID THE COMMISSION'S ORDER GRANTING WAIVER IN CASE NO. GE-
19		2010-0030 ADDRESS THE DEPRECIATION RATES TO BE USED IN THE
20		RATE CASE?
21	A.	Yes. Paragraph 2 of the Order stated that: "Missouri Gas Energy, a division of
22		Southern Union Company, shall retain the current depreciation rates, as listed in

1		Schedule A to Staff's Recommendation, and as agreed upon in the Partial
2		Nonunanimous Stipulation and Agreement in Commission case No. GR-2006-0422.
3	,	
4	Q.	DOES THE REFERENCED SCHEDULE A TO THE STAFF
5	•	RECOMMENDATION IN CASE NO. GE-2010-0030 PROVIDE A
6		DEPRECIATION RATE FOR ACCOUNT 374,2 – LAND RIGHTS?
7	A.	No. It does not mention this account.
8		
9	Q.	DOES THE PARTIAL NONUNANIMOUS STIPULATION IN CASE NO. GR-
10		2006-0422 REFERENCE ACCOUNT 374.2?
11	A.	Yes. Attached as True-Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-3 is the first page of the "Partial
12		Nonunanimous Stipulation and Agreement Concerning Depreciation" filed in Case
13		No. GR-2006-0422. Paragraph 1(f) states that the depreciation rate for Land Rights
14		(Account 374.2) shall be 2.09%.
15		
16	Q.	WAS THE DEPRECIATION RATE OF 2.09% FOR ACCOUNT 374.7
17		IDENTIFIED IN THE PARTIAL STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT
18		APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. GR-2006-0422?
19	A.	Yes. The Commission issued its Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement in Case
20		No. GR-2006-0422, on January 30, 2007.
21		

- 1 Q. HAS MGE SUBSEQUENTLY AGREED OR BEEN ORDERED BY THE
- 2 COMMISSION TO USE ANY DIFFERENT DEPRECIATION RATE FOR
- 3 **ACCOUNT 374.2**?
- 4 A. No, it has not.

5

6 PREPAID PENSION ASSET

- 7 Q. THE SECOND DIFFERENCE BETWEEN STAFF AND COMPANY
- 8 RELATES TO THE VALUE IN RATE BASE OF THE PREPAID PENSION
- 9 ASSETS. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT THAT DIFFERENCE.
- 10 A. Staff has included prepaid pension assets of \$14,008,810 in rate base, which amount
- is incorrect. The correct balance for the prepaid pension asset is \$18,430,227.

12

13

Q. WHAT DOES THE PREPAID ASSET REPRESENT?

- 14 A. The prepaid pension asset reflects the difference between the amount of pension
- 15 expense included in the cost of service and the actual level of pension expense
- incurred. If the actual pension expense exceeds the amount included in rates, the
- company records the difference as a regulatory asset, which is included in rate base
- and which will be recovered through amortization of the asset in subsequent rate
- 19 cases. If the actual pension expense is less than the amount included in rates, the
- 20 company records a regulatory liability which is deducted from rate base and which
- 21 will be refunded to customers through amortization of the liability in subsequent rate
- cases. The regulatory asset or liability is simply the difference between what is
- included in rates and the actual expense.

19

21 Yes. True-Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-5 contains pages from the Staff True-Up A. Direct Accounting Schedules from Case Nos. GR-2004-0209 and GR-2006-0422, 22 23 which show the recommended balance in the prepaid asset included in rate base.

1		Those rate base amounts tie to the balances shown on True-Up Rebuttal Schedule
2		MRN-4.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE SECOND REASON FOR THE DIFFERENCE IN THE
5		VALUE OF THE PREPAID PENSION ASSET?
6	A.	Staff has reduced the balance of the prepaid asset from the GR-2006-0422 case and
7	•	this case by applying an expense ratio to the balance. As can be seen from True-Up
8		Rebuttal Schedule MRN-5, page 4 of 4, this is the first time Staff has made this type
9		of adjustment. Correctly, the Staff has not reduced the balance of the prepaid asset
10		from Case No. GR-2004-0209 by applying an expense ratio.
11		
12	Q.	SHOULD THE VALUE OF THE PREPAID PENSION ASSET BE REDUCED
12 13	Q.	SHOULD THE VALUE OF THE PREPAID PENSION ASSET BE REDUCED BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO?
	Q.	
13	_	BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO?
13 14	_	BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO? No. That asset (or liability) should not be reduced by an expense/capitalization ratio.
13 14 15	_	BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO? No. That asset (or liability) should not be reduced by an expense/capitalization ratio. That ratio is applied to expenses in the income statement to reflect that some payroll
13 14 15	_	BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO? No. That asset (or liability) should not be reduced by an expense/capitalization ratio. That ratio is applied to expenses in the income statement to reflect that some payroll and benefit costs relate to construction work and therefore should be capitalized.
13 14 15 16	_	BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO? No. That asset (or liability) should not be reduced by an expense/capitalization ratio. That ratio is applied to expenses in the income statement to reflect that some payroll and benefit costs relate to construction work and therefore should be capitalized. That ratio should be applied to the amortization of the asset or liability, but not to the
113 114 115 116 117	Α.	BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO? No. That asset (or liability) should not be reduced by an expense/capitalization ratio. That ratio is applied to expenses in the income statement to reflect that some payroll and benefit costs relate to construction work and therefore should be capitalized. That ratio should be applied to the amortization of the asset or liability, but not to the
113 114 115 116 117 118	Α.	BY AN EXPENSE/CAPITALIZATION RATIO? No. That asset (or liability) should not be reduced by an expense/capitalization ratio. That ratio is applied to expenses in the income statement to reflect that some payroll and benefit costs relate to construction work and therefore should be capitalized. That ratio should be applied to the amortization of the asset or liability, but not to the asset or liability itself.

A. Mr. Robertson takes the position that all rate case costs, excluding legal representation and outside consultant services, should be normalized over a three year period.

4

5 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. ROBERTSON'S POSITIONS?

A. I agree with Mr. Robertson that the costs incurred should be normalized over a three year period. I do not agree that all costs associated with legal representation and outside consultants should be eliminated. My testimony in regard to this issue is found in my Rebuttal Testimony in this case.

10

11 Q. IS RATE CASE EXPENSE AN ITEM TO BE TRUED UP IN THIS CASE?

Yes. The Commission has ordered a true-up in this case and has included rate case expense as one of the items to be addressed by that true-up. Moreover, as stated above, the Partial Stipulation and Agreement that has been filed in this case also contemplates a true-up of rate case expense (to be updated through September 30, 2009, to include an estimate for the remainder of the case) and establishes that the base amount of rate case expense from which to measure the true-up adjustment is \$72,382.

19

20

Q. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION AS TO RATE CASE EXPENSE?

A. As part of the recommendation contained in my True-Up Direct Testimony, I recommended total normalized rate case expense of \$293,958. Staff's

- recommendation found in its True-Up Direct Accounting Schedules is \$293,352.
- 2 MGE is willing to accept Staff's rate case expense number.

- 4 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates for Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area.) Case No. GR-2009-0355)
AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAE	EL R. NOACK
STATE OF MISSOURI) ss.	
Michael R. Noack, of lawful age, on his oath states: the foregoing True-up Rebuttal Testimony in question above case; that the answers in the foregoing True-up that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.	and answer form, to be presented in the Rebuttal Testimony were given by him;
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2 nd day of Dec	cember, 2009.
	Kem W. Wenyi Notary Public
My Commission Expires: 2-3-//	KIM W. HENZI Notary Public – Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Jackson County Commission Number 07424654 My commission expires February 3, 2011

MISSOURIEROBEIG SDRVIGE GOMMISSION

DUDLING SERVICES DIVISION

A LE TAMESOURIGASIDNERGY ADMISTOR OF SOUTH DRIVENON COMPANY

CASTUNO CREZODEZOZ

STATEFACCOUNTING SCHIDULIS

True-Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-1 Page 2 of 6

Accounting Schedule: 5 Lucus 14:15 04/18/2001

Missouri Gas Energy Case: GR-01-292 December 31, 2000

Depreciation Exponse

Line)		Ad	jvatád	Dapreciation	1 0	epreciation
No	Acet	Description	Ju	risdictional	Rate	B	xpense
		(A)		(B)	(c) -		(D)
	Intang	rible Plant					
1	301.000	Organization	\$	15,600	0.0000	\$	0
2	302.000	Franchises & Consents		51,046	0.0000		0
3	303.000	Corresion Control Management System		1,117,800	0.0000		0
4	303.000	Landbace Digitized Happing		1,393,602	0.0000		0
5	303.000	Premise Data Sys (PDS) Comp 12/96		985,196	0.0000		6
6	303.000	NMR Project - Programming		415,236	0.0000		0
7	303.000	PPI Main Segmentation		894,795	0.0000		0
8	303.000	Gaographic Info Systems - GIS		1,006,719	0.0000		0
9	303.000	Licensing Office Pro2000		54,012	0.0000		0
10	303.000	Stoner Low Pressure/Intermediate		154,584	0.0000		o
11	303.000	BASIC - Customer Billing System		294,516	0.0000		o
12	303.000	TCS System		189,193	0.0000		0
13	303.000	GHO TAX SOLCHAVE		79,294	0.0000		0
14	375,200	Structures - Distribution		13,964	0.0000		0
16	390,200	Structures - Utility Offices		1,327,357	0.0000		0
16	393.000	Customer Service System (CSS)		3,706,000	0.0000		0
17		Tatal	\$ 1	1.778,914	·	\$. 0
	niotrib	ution Plant					
18	374.100	Land	s	240,448	0.0000	\$	D
	374.200	Land Rights	سبرا ``	993, 492	2.0900	···	20,764
		Structures	400	6.007.068	1.6500		99,117
		Logschold Improvements		0	0.0000		23/111
	-	Maine	27	B, 969, 931	1.4100		3,933,476
		Mess, & Reg. Sta. Equip General		0,422,024	2.0600		298,070
		Meas, & Reg. Sta. Equip - City Onto		3,074,013	2,1300		65,476
		Services		, 048, 065	2.2700		5,630,691
		Ketora		3,150,505	2.8600		805,104
-		Moter Installations		, 974, 693	2.8600		1,429,275
		House Regulators		,540,154	2.4400		232,780
		Rlectronic Cas Measuring	•	320,088	3.3300		10,659
	• •	Other Equipment		320,000 O	0.0000		10,439
٠, ٠,	,,,,,,,	Antite with all the same					
31	,	rotal .	\$ 635	,740,481		\$ 13	2,525,413
	'		+ 000	,		- 1	.,

WISSOURBBIBBIG SURVICERGOMWISSION

WHITE STRATE IS DIVISION.

MUSSOURIGASUNERGY

SIPĀDĪVĀGCOUNIDNE SCHUDULŪS

True-Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-1 Page 4 of 6

Accounting Schedule: 5 Preston 14:40 04/14/2004

Missouri Cas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company Caso: GR-64-209

The Twolve Months Ending June 10, 2003 Opdacod 12/31/2003

Depreciation Expense

ine lo	ycct	Description	Adjusted Juriodictional	Dépreciation Rate	Depreciation Expense	
		(A)	(a)	(C)	(n)	
	Inten	gible Plant	-			
ì	301.00	0 Organization	\$ 15,600	0.0000	\$ 0)
2	302.00	O Pranchises & Consents	34,630	0.0000	0	1
j	303.00	O Corresion Control Management System	1,117,800	0.0000	o)
4	303.000	D Landbaso Digitized Kapping	1,701,543	0.0000	0	i
5	303.000	Premise Data Syo (PDS)	985, 196	0.0000	0	
6	303.000	AMR Project Programming	469,443	0.000	٥	
7	303.000) FPI Main Segmentation	894,795	0.0000	0	
Đ	303.000	Geographic Info Systems GIS	1,006,719	0.0000	0	
9	303.000	blooming Office Pro2000	54,012	0.0000	0	
10	303.000	Stoner Low Pressure/Intermediate	279,672	0.0000	0	
11	303.000	BASIC Contomer Billing System	294,516	0.0000	0	•
12	303.000	TC9 System	189,193	0.0000	٥	
13	303.000	GEO Tax Software	79,294	0.0000	0	
14	303.000	Custozer Service System (CSS)	3,706,000	0.0000	0	
15	303.000	Work Force Automation	3,785,364	0.000	٥	
lď	303.000	C99 Enhancement	8,360,981	0.0000	0	
17	303,000	Hainframo Software/Enhancement	1,912,642	0.0000	0	
18	303.000	Infinium Enhancements	6,774,073	0.0000	.0	
19	303.000	Witness Software	194,706	0.0000	0	
0	303,000	tas tebsice	485,944	0.0000	•	
						•
1		Total	\$ 32,422,123		\$ 0	
	Diatrib	ution Plant				
2	374.100	land	\$ 240,448	0.0000	\$ 0	
3	374.200	Lond Rights	1,374,600	2.0900	20,729	
4	375.L00	Structures	6,065,473	1.6500	100,000	
5	375.200	Leasehold Improvements	0	0.0000	. 0	
5	376.000	Mains	310,143,777	2.2700	7,040,264	
,	378.000	Meas. & Rog. Sto. Equip General	11,162,207	2.8600	319,239	
:	379,000	Meas, & Reg. Sta. Equip - City Gate	3,211,758	2.1300	68,410	
:	300.000	Services	270,090,903	2.2700	6,131.063	
. :	361.000	Metero	29,207.668	2.0600	835,339	•
3	382.000	Meter Installations	59,476,816	2.8600	1,701,037	
3	383.000	House Regulators	10,500,164	2.4400	256, 399	
3	085.000	Blectronio Gas Memouring	315,857	3.3300	12,517	
3	87.000	Other Rquipment	0	4.6000	o	
				•		
		Total	\$ 701,827,671		s 16,492,077	

Accounting Schedule: 5-1

MUSSOURIERUBERC STRVICTREOVINASSION

STÄNDŲA ČEO ŪNIŪNO ĮS GETIED ŪBŪS

MISSOURIGASENDRGY GASENOTGR20060422

a subminos (glivy Mistorija 1915)

True-Up Rebuttat Schedule MRN-1 Page 6 of 6

Accounting Schedule: 5 Maneka 15:10 10/12/2006

Missouri das Bhorgy Cass: GR-06-422A

Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2005 Updated 6/30/06

Depreciation Expense

bina	•			Adjusted	Pepreciation	D	eproglation
Ro	Acot	Description		duriedictional	Rato	В	Kbanse
		(A)		(a)	(c)		(D)
	pistri	bution Plant					
24	374.000	Land	ş	223,517	0.0000	ş	
25	374.200	Land Rights		1,665,693	2.0000		33,31
26	375.100	Structures		5,504,958	2.0000		111,69
27	376.200	Leasehold Improvments		0	0.000		(
28	376,000	Hains		339,884,706	2,1100		7,171,667
29	370.000	Koos. & Reg. Stn. Equip - General	-	11,634,249	2.4400		283,876
30	379.000	Reas. & Reg. Sta. Equip - City Cate		1,058,251	2,4400		74,621
31	380.000	Servicas		294,362,067	3,0500		8,970,043
32	301,000	Kotera		31,036,778	2.4600		763,508
33	302.000	Keters Installations		68,835,673	2.4400		1,679,590
34	303.000	House Regulators		11,558,045	2,2200		256,589
35	000.88C	Bletronic Cas Keasuring		172,605	2.3300		8,679
36	387.000	Other Equipment		0	0.0000		٥
			•				
37		Total	8	768,216,439		\$	19,361,463
	Conoral	Plant					
38	369,000	Land	\$	773,000	0.0000	₿	. 0
39	390.100	Structuros		661,193	2.4400		16,133
40	390.200	Leasehold Improvements		1,646,597	0.0000		0
41	391,000	Office Purniture & Equipment		6,970,431	9.0900		633,611
42	392.000	Transportation Equipment		5,013,979	7.5000		370,298
43	393.000	Stores Equipment		530,350	3.1300		16,860
44	394.000	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment		5,154,470	3.7000		190,715
45	395.000	Laboratory Equipment		0	0.0000		O
1 ,6	396,000	Power Operated Equipment		243,807	4.4100		10,752
47	397,100	Communication Equipment - AMR		36,324,861	5.0000		1,816,243
18	397,200	Communications Equipment		3,289,347	4.7600		156,573
49	398,000	Hiscallaneous Equipment		431,405	3.8500		16,613
		make 1		40.000.000			
60		Total	8	60,976,390		9	3,236,787

STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 12th day of August, 2009.

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Application for Waiver Concerning Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.235

File No. GE-2010-0030

ORDER GRANTING WAIVER

Issue Date: August 12, 2009

Effective Date: August 22, 2009

Syllabus: This order grants Missouri Gas Energy a waiver from Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.235.

On July 16, 2009, ¹ Southern Union Company d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy (hereafter "MGE") asked the Commission for a waiver from the above-cited rule. MGE states that Staff believes that the rule applies, and requires MGE to file a depreciation study in the context of MGE's pending rate case. File No. GR-2009-0355.

Staff replied to MGE's application on July 31. Staff stated that it believes the Commission has two options: either dismissing MGE's pending rate case for failure to comply with the above rule, or granting MGE the waiver it requested, subject to several conditions. The Office of the Public Counsel (hereafter "OPC") agreed with Staff. Staff recommended the latter of the two alternatives.

The Commission ordered MGE to state whether it accepted Staff's conditions. On August 7, MGE stated that it accepted those conditions

All calendar references are to 2009 unless otherwise noted.

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) allows the Commission to grant waivers from Commission rules upon good cause shown. Upon review of MGE's verified application, the Commission's rules, Staff's recommendation, and OPC's concurrence in Staff's recommendation, the Commission finds that MGE has shown good cause in its request for a waiver.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- The Commission grants Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, a waiver from Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.235, subject to the agreed-upon conditions listed below.
- 2. Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, shall retain the current depreciation rates, as listed in Schedule A to Staff's Recommendation, and as agreed upon in the Partial Nonunanimous Stipulation and Agreement in Commission case No. GR-2006-0422.
- 3. Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, shall retain the rates described in paragraph 2 except that it will add a new depreciation rate for a transportation subaccount, which was not part of the last rate case of Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, as shown in Schedule A.
- 4. Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, shall submit a depreciation study no later than June 30, 2010, which conforms to, among other things, Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.275 and include actuarial analysis for all accounts inclusive, identifying those specific accounts that lack sufficient data to perform an actuarial analysis.

- Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, shall use the currently authorized Missouri depreciation rates for General Plant Accounts for the respective functional accounts of its Corporate Plant accounts.
- 6. Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company shall maintain mortality records in compliance with Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-40.040 Uniform System of Accounts Gas Corporations and 4 CSR 240-3.275 Submission Requirements for Gas Utility Depreciation Studies.
- 7. Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, shall account for all payments from other parties when it is required to remove, relocate, rearrange, reroute, or otherwise make changes in utility property, other than for purposes of rendering utility service, as credits to the depreciation reserve in compliance with Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-040 Uniform System of Accounts Gas Corporations and appropriate identify amounts in their Annual Reports.
- 8. Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, shall establish and adopt accounting policies or procedures of separation and allocation removal costs of plant that is being retired from costs to install new plant.
- 9. Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, shall continue to keep a separate accounting of their amounts accrued for recovery of their initial investment in plant from the amounts accrued for the cost of removal, consistent with the Commission' Third Report and Order in Laclede Case No. GR-99-315.

True-Up Rebultal Schedule MRN-2 Page 4 of 4

- 10. This order shall become effective on August 22, 2009.
- 11. This case shall be closed on August 23, 2009.

BY THE COMMISSION

Steven C. Reed Secretary

(SEAL)

Clayton, Chm., Davis, Jarrett, and Gunn, CC., concur.

Pridgin, Senior Regulatory Law Judge

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Missouri Gas Energy's)	
Increasing Rates for Gas Service Provided)	Case No. GR-2006-0422
To Customers in the Company's Missouri)	
Service Area)	

PARTIAL NONUNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT CONCERNING DEPRECIATION

come now Missouri Gas Buergy, a division of Southern Union Company d/b/a (MGE or the Company) and the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and respectfully state to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) that the undersigned signatories (signatories), have reached the following Partial Nonunanimous Stipulation and Agreement Concerning Depreciation (Partial Nonunanimous Stipulation and Agreement), and hereby submit the following to the Commission for approval:

- 1. The depreciation issues in this case shall be addressed as follows:
- a. The average service life for Services (Account 380) shall be 40 years;
- b. The net salvage value percentage for Services (Account 380) shall be negative 25% (which when coupled with the 40 year service life results in a depreciation rate of 3.13% for the Services account);
- c. The Mains account (Account 376) will utilize an average service life of 44 years with a 5% net salvage value resulting in a depreciation rate of 2.16%;
- d. The depreciation rate for tools, shop and garage equipment (Account 394) will remain at 5.30%;
- e. MGE will track the amounts accrued for the life and salvage portion of annual depreciation expense separately;
 - f. The depreciation rate for Land Rights (Account 374.2) shall be 2.09%.

Missouri Gas Energy Prepald Pension Assets True-Up Period Ended September 30, 2009

Line No (a)	Description (b) Balance True-Up Period Ending April	Case No. R-2004-0209 (0)	Case No. R-2006-0422 (d)	Case No. R-2009-0355 (e)		otal Rate Base ilem (f)
1	30, 2004	\$ 7,975,181			\$	7,975,181
2	Amortization October 2004-March 2007 - 30 months	(2,848,279)				
3	Balance True-Up Period Ending October 31, 2006	5,126,902	4,016,500		\$	9,143,402
4	Amortization April 2007-September 2009 - 30 months	(2,848,279)	(2,008,260)			
5	Balance True-Up Period Ending September 30, 2009	\$ 2,278,623	\$ 2,008,240	\$ 14,143,364	<u>\$</u>	18,430,227

S. Bepubli No.

Issua grae pDrec No Conding Schedus Francis plot SC Juliari Spansoring 2019 - No I SC Juli Cise No Carepber 200 Dair Frephred Vide 17 200

MISSOURIPUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

AUTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

GASE/NO+GR-2004-0209

IRUE UP DIRECT SPAFF ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES

Jefferson Cliv, Missoni

True-Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-5 Page 2 of 4



Accounting Schedule: 2 Hyneman 15:18 07/16/2004

Micsouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company Case: GR-04-2090

The Twelve Wonths Ending June 30, 2003 Updaced 12/11/2003

Rate Dasa

		_,,,
	ns bescription	Amount
		(B)
	(A)	(8)
1	Total Plant in Service (Sch 3)	\$ 792,232,455
	Subtract from Total Plant	
2	Depreciation Reserve (Sol) 6)	\$ 260,941,642
3	Not Plant in Sorvice	\$ 531,290,813
	Add to Het Plant in Service	
•	Cash Horking Capital (Sch 8)	\$ 2, 779,908
5	Hitorialo and Supplies	2,660,925
· 6	prepaymentu	472, 395
7	gas Inventory	59,495,887
, e	Altornativa Hinimum Tax Credit	12,782,852
9	Prepaid Pension Asset	7,975,181
	Subtract from Nat Plant	
16	Federal Tax Offset 1.0200 t	\$ 87,601
11	State Tax Offset 1.0200 }	13,766
12	City Tax Offset 0.0000 \$	0
13	Interest Expense Offset 12.0500 t	2,466,744
14	Customer Advances for Construction	10, 759, 175
15	Customer Deposits	3,643,210
18	poterred Taxes -Other Timing Diff	3.718.520
17	peferred Income Taxas GO-94-234	2,012,175
16	Deferred Income Taxos 00-97-301	512,573
19	Deferred Taxes SLRP GR-98-140	2,572,581
20	Deferred Income Taxes GR-2001-292	629,765
21	Deferred Taxes - Non SLRP Plant	67,508,530
22	Deferred Taxes - Allocated Plant	55,654
23	Deferred Taxos AAO 2000	200, 643

24	Total Rate Dago	5 523,284,824



Exhibit No.:

lssuo:

Accounting Schedules MoPSC Auditors MoPSC Staff GR-2006-0422

Witness:

Sponsoring Party: Case No.:

Date Prepared:

December 28, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

STAFF ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY TRUE-UP DIRECT

CASE NO. GR-2006-0422

Jefferson City, Missourt December 2006

· True-Up Rebuttal Schedule MRN-5 Page 4 of 4

Accounting Schedule: 2 Kapeka 15:33 12/27/2006

Hisaouri daa Energy Casa: OR-06-4727

Tvolva Kantha Ending 12/31/05, True-up as of 10/11/06

· Rate Base

	ne Description	AMOUNE
••	(A)	(9)
ı	Total Plant in Service (Noh 3)	\$ 872,205,655
	Subtract from Total Plant	
3	Depreciation Reserva (Suh 6)	5 303,702,891
3	Het Plant in Service	\$ 569,502,763
	Add to Het Plant in Service	
4	Cash Norking Capital (Sch 8)	\$ 5,090,846
5	Materials and Supplies	1,969,441
6	Prepayments	420, 805
7	Alternative Hinimum Tex Credit	12,172,523
8	Propaid Pension Assat	9,143,402
9	GAS Inventory	102,414,757
10	Nbt Cost of Removal Reg. Asset	850,256
	Subtract from Net Plant	
11	Pederal Tax Offsat 4,6918 t	5 545,304
.2	State Tax Offset 4.6918 t	85,691
3	City Tax Offset 0.0000 %	٥
. \$	Interest Expense Offset 12.2043 1	3,080,493
5	Customer Advances for Construction	14,906,145
6	Customor Duposics	4,135,771
7	palerred Taxes - TOTAL SLRP	3,193,899
e	peterred Taxos - Non SLAP Plant	81,776,457
9	Deferred Taxes . Allocated Plant	12,101
0	Deferred Taxes - Other Timing Diffe	2,984,981
1	Total Rate Base	\$ 589,744,933

Accounting Schedulo: 2-1