

Exhibit No.:
Issues: Low Income
Witness: Carol Gay Fred
Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
File No.: ER-2010-0355
Date Testimony Prepared: December 8, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CAROL GAY FRED

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

FILE NO. ER-2010-0355

*Jefferson City, Missouri
December 2010*

Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-218
Date 1/18/11 Reporter LMB
File No. ER-2010-0355

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

TABLE OF CONTENTS
REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF
CAROL GAY FRED
KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
FILE NO. ER-2010-0355

A. Low Income Programs 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CAROL GAY FRED

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

FILE NO. ER-2010-0355

Q. Please state your name and business address

A. My name is Carol Gay Fred, and my business address is 200 Madison Street, Suite 800, Jefferson City, MO 65101.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am the Consumer Services Manager for the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission or MoPSC).

Background of Witness

Q. Are you the same Carol Gay Fred who filed comments in the Staff's Report on Cost of Service, filed on November 10, 2010?

A. Yes.

Purpose of Testimony

Q. What is the primary purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to address Kansas City Power and Light Company's (KCPL or Company) Low Income Programs.

1 **A. Low Income Programs**

2 Q. In Staff's Report on Cost of Service filed on November 10, 2010, Staff
3 recommended that the Company should be allowed to recover only fifty percent of the cost of
4 the Economic Relief Pilot Program (ERPP) at the time based on Jimmy Albert's testimony.
5 However, from discovery of information recently received from the Company, the Company
6 has acquired a sufficient number of participates in their ERPP. The number of participants in
7 the ERPP appears to be sufficient to request the cost recovery of deferred cost created because
8 the new customers enrolled. Therefore, I would like to withdraw my previous
9 recommendation on this matter, and now recommend, full recovery of the cost of the
10 Economic Relief Pilot Program as requested.

11 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

12 A. Yes.