Exhibit No.: Issue: Weather Normalization Witness: Eric Fox Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: The Empire District Electric Company Case No.: ER-2019-0374 Date Testimony Prepared: February 2020

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Rebuttal Testimony

of

Eric Fox

on behalf of

The Empire District Electric Company a Liberty Utilities Company

February 2020



REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ERIC FOX THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2019-0374

1 I. INTRODUCTION

| 2 Q | . P | LEASE | STATE | YOUR | NAME | AND | BUSINESS | ADDRESS. |
|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|------|-----|----------|----------|
|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|------|-----|----------|----------|

- 3 A. My name is Eric Fox. My business address is 20 Park Plaza, Suite 428, Boston,
- 4 Massachusetts, 02116.

5 Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?

6 A. I am submitting this Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of The Empire District Electric
7 Company ("Liberty-Empire" or "Company").

8 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME ERIC FOX WHO FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN

9 THIS DOCKET ON BEHALF OF LIBERTY-EMPIRE?

10 A. Yes, I am.

11 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

- 12 A. The purpose of this testimony is to provide weather normal sales estimates for the
- 13 update-period August 2018 through September 2019 and to comment on Missouri
- Public Service Commission ("Commission") Staff's calculation of weather normal
 sales, as set forth in Staff's direct testimony.

16 Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULES WITH YOUR REBUTTAL 17 TESTIMONY?

1

A. Yes. I am sponsoring Rebuttal Schedule EF-1 which shows calculated weather
 normalized sales for the update-period.

Q. WAS THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN REBUTTAL SCHEDULE EF-1 OBTAINED OR DERIVED FROM THE BOOKS AND RECORDS OF THE COMPANY?

- A. Yes. Normalized rate-class sales are based on historical load research data and billed
 sales, customer data, and updated weather data provided by the Company. Normalized
 weather data was provided by Staff.
- 9 II. <u>SUMMARY</u>

10 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF WEATHER NORMALIZATION IN THIS 11 PROCEEDING?

A. The purpose of weather normalization is to adjust sales used in determining revenue
requirements for abnormal weather conditions. Weather normal sales were submitted
as part of the rate case test-year: April 2018 to March 2019. The test-year period has
been updated through September 2019 to provide a more current snapshot of Company
customer counts, sales, and costs. The update-period includes the twelve-month period
October 2018 through September 2019.

18 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE UPDATE PERIOD CALCULATIONS.

A. Weather-normal sales for the update-period are calculated in the same manner as that
for calculating test-year weather-normal sales described in my direct testimony. Daily
weather impacts are calculated from the same set of daily weather response models
used in calculating test-year weather-normal sales. The daily normal degree-day data
series are also the same as that used in calculating test-year weather-normal sales.
While actual heating and cooling degree-days exceed normal, the update-period has

less extreme weather conditions as compared to the test-year. As a result, weather
related sales for the update-period are lower than that for the test-year period. Table 1
compares test-year and update-period heating degree-days (HDD 55 degree
temperature base) and cooling degree days (CDD 65 degree temperature base). Table
2 compares associated weather impacts.

6 Table 1: Degree-Day Comparison

| Test Year (April 2018 - March 2019) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Actual | Normal | Difference | Percent | | | | | |
| HDD65 | 2,732 | 2,496 | 236 | 9.5% | | | | | |
| CDD65 | 1,826 | 1,392 | 433 | 31.1% | | | | | |

| Update Period (October 2018 - September 2019) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Actual | Normal | Difference | Percent | | | | | | |
| HDD65 | 2,603 | 2,496 | 107 | 4.3% | | | | | | |
| CDD65 | 1,584 | 1,392 | 191 | 13.7% | | | | | | |

7 8

Table 2: Weather-Impact Comparison (MWh)

| Rate Class | Test Year | Update Period |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Residential | 110,967 | 32,447 |
| Commercial | 11,113 | 2,873 |
| General Power | 19,653 | 7,097 |
| Small Heating | 3,446 | 1,380 |
| Total Electric Building | 11,098 | 3,968 |
| Total | 156,277 | 47,765 |

9

10 Weather normal sales are derived by subtracting weather impacts in Table 2 from actual

11 sales. Table 3 compares weather normal sales for the test year and update-period.

| Rate Class | Test Year | Update Period |
|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Residential | 1,662,883 | 1,666,319 |
| Commercial | 315,700 | 315,826 |
| General Power | 843,781 | 841,703 |
| Small Heating | 84,685 | 84,433 |
| Total Electric Building | 357,553 | 352,966 |
| Total | 3,264,602 | 3,261,245 |

YOUR

 Table 3: Test Year and Update Period Weather Normal Sales (MWh)

In total, normalized sales in the update-period are slightly lower than the test-year period, reflecting differences in actual sales between these two periods as well as weather-related sales.

6

2

1

7

O.

PLEASE

EXPLAIN

NORMALIZATION MODELS, PROCESS, AND RESULTS.

OPINION

OF

STAFF'S

WEATHER

8 A. Staff estimated weather-normal sales for the period April 2018 to March 2019. Staff's 9 weather-normalized sales for this period are reasonable. Staff has developed an 10 approach for weather normalizing sales utilizing daily rate class weather response 11 models and daily two-day weighted actual and normal temperature data. The approach 12 and associated models generate reasonable normal sales estimates and have been used 13 and approved in past Missouri rate cases. The Company adopted Staff's approach in 14 its own weather normalization work. Staff also provided the normal weather data set 15 used in normalizing test-year and update-period sales. There are small differences in 16 Staff's estimated models that result in only small differences in normalized sales. For 17 model estimation, Staff used two years of historical data – August 2017 to July 2019, 18 and the Company used three years – March 2016 to March 2019. There are also small 19 differences in the weather response model specifications that involved slightly different 20 degree-day variable specifications. For example, our residential model used HDD with 21 55 and 60 degree-day basis and CDD with 65 and 75 degree temperature basis; Staff's

model used HDD with 42 and 56 degree basis, and CDD with 65 and 72 degree basis.
Staff's differences in the estimation period and constructed weather variables results in
only small differences in estimated weather impacts and resulting normalized sales.
The Company used the same approach for estimating normalized sales for the updateperiod. Staff's models and sales adjustment process will generate similar weather
normalized sales for the update-period.

7 III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

8 Q. DO YOU RECOMMEND USING THE NORMALIZED UPDATE PERIOD

9 SALES FOR DETERMINING THE COMPANY'S REVENUE 10 REQUIREMENTS?

A. Yes. The update-period weather-normalized sales provide reasonable estimates of
expected class sales for determining the Company's revenue requirements.
Normalized sales are based on the Staff's weather normalization approach and Staff's
calculated daily normal temperatures. The approach is well thought-out and results in
reasonable test-year weather impacts.

16 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

17 A. Yes, it does.

The Empire District Electric Company (Missouri) - Weather Normalized Sales Estimates

| | | 2018 | | | | | | 2019 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Total |
| Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales (MWh) | 117,424 | 122,975 | 159,231 | 169,750 | 182,365 | 177,861 | 113,341 | 89,516 | 107,206 | 146,265 | 157,356 | 155,476 | 1,698,765 |
| WN Sales (MWh) | 103,216 | 105,650 | 151,013 | 177,827 | 187,838 | 169,751 | 111,665 | 91,992 | 108,079 | 154,056 | 163,563 | 141,668 | 1,666,319 |
| Custs | 129,782 | 130,374 | 130,643 | 130,773 | 130,849 | 130,887 | 130,759 | 130,718 | 130,903 | 130,896 | 131,173 | 131,203 | 130,747 |
| kWh per Cust | 905 | 943 | 1.219 | 1.298 | 1.394 | 1.359 | 867 | 685 | 819 | 1.117 | 1.200 | 1.185 | 12.990 |
| WN kWh per Cust | 795 | 810 | 1,156 | 1,360 | 1,436 | 1,297 | 854 | 704 | 826 | 1,177 | 1,247 | 1,080 | 12,741 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial (CB) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales (MWh) | 26,958 | 24,309 | 25,315 | 27,068 | 27,018 | 27,596 | 22,889 | 21,199 | 22,725 | 31,014 | 31,488 | 31,119 | 318,698 |
| WN Sales (MWh) | 25,249 | 23,250 | 24,856 | 27,571 | 27,337 | 27,107 | 22,803 | 21,263 | 22,797 | 31,955 | 32,193 | 29,444 | 315,826 |
| Custs | 18,031 | 18,074 | 18,057 | 18,070 | 18,069 | 18,072 | 18,095 | 18,088 | 18,164 | 18,104 | 18,145 | 18,167 | 18,095 |
| kWh ner Cust | 1,495 | 1.345 | 1,402 | 1.498 | 1.495 | 1.527 | 1,265 | 1,172 | 1.251 | 1,713 | 1,735 | 1.713 | 17.612 |
| WN kWh per Cust | 1,400 | 1,286 | 1,377 | 1,526 | 1,513 | 1,520 | 1,260 | 1,176 | 1,255 | 1,765 | 1,774 | 1,621 | 17,453 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conoral Power | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales (MWh) | 76,438 | 65,902 | 64,949 | 64,548 | 65,610 | 65,240 | 62,834 | 65,326 | 69,181 | 78,774 | 82,277 | 87,722 | 848,800 |
| WN Sales (MWh) | 72,588 | 65,049 | 64,729 | 64,957 | 65,870 | 64,876 | 62,769 | 64,875 | 68,870 | 79,980 | 83,100 | 84,040 | 841,703 |
| Custs | 1,779 | 1,785 | 1,782 | 1,781 | 1,785 | 1,786 | 1,786 | 1,785 | 1,783 | 1,786 | 1,789 | 1,790 | 1,785 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| kWh per Cust | 42,967 | 36,920 | 36,447 | 36,243 | 36,756 | 36,528 | 35,182 | 36,597 | 38,801 | 44,106 | 45,990 | 49,007 | 475,544 |
| | , | | | | | 50,212 | | 56,5.0 | 50,122 | | | | |
| Small Heating | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales (MWh) | 6,132 | 6,448 | 8,054 | 8,630 | 9,292 | 8,589 | 6,146 | 5,134 | 11,302 | 1,280 | 7,257 | 7,548 | 85,812 |
| WN Sales (MWh) | 5,709 | 5,763 | 7,720 | 8,990 | 9,518 | 8,261 | 6,080 | 5,209 | 11,328 | 1,315 | 7,406 | 7,132 | 84,433 |
| Custs | 3,028 | 3,031 | 3,034 | 3,029 | 3,027 | 3,028 | 3,026 | 3,032 | 3,030 | 3,024 | 3,021 | 3,020 | 3,028 |
| kWh ner Cust | 2.025 | 2.127 | 2.655 | 2.849 | 3.070 | 2.836 | 2.031 | 1.693 | 3.730 | 423 | 2,402 | 2,499 | 28.342 |
| WN kWh per Cust | 1,885 | 1,902 | 2,544 | 2,968 | 3,144 | 2,728 | 2,009 | 1,718 | 3,739 | 435 | 2,452 | 2,362 | 27,886 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Electric Building | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales (MWh) | 29,477 | 28,413 | 31,631 | 32,307 | 32,134 | 31,399 | 26,840 | 24,350 | 25,932 | 30,369 | 32,091 | 31,992 | 356,934 |
| WN Sales (www.) | 27,504 | 20,422 | 30,684 | 33,350 | 32,/81 | 30,455 | 20,040 | 24,491 | 25,939 | 31,020 | 32,010 | 30,581 | 352,500 |
| Custs | 940 | 943 | 946 | 947 | 946 | 946 | 946 | 945 | 943 | 946 | 946 | 945 | 945 |
| kWh per Cust | 31,359 | 30,130 | 33,436 | 34,115 | 33,968 | 33,191 | 28,372 | 25,767 | 27,499 | 32,103 | 33,923 | 33,854 | 377,717 |
| WN kWh per Cust | 29,770 | 28,019 | 32,435 | 35,223 | 34,652 | 32,191 | 28,160 | 25,916 | 27,507 | 32,797 | 34,471 | 32,361 | 373,503 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 255 122 | | | | | | | 205 525 | | 207 702 | 24.0 470 | 242.057 | |
| Sales (MWh) | 256,428 | 248,047 | 289,180 | 302,304 | 316,419 | 310,683 | 232,051 | 205,525 | 236,346 | 287,702 | 310,470 | 313,857 | 3,309,011 |
| WIN Sales (WIWII) | 234,747 | 220,155 | 279,002 | 512,702 | 525,544 | 500,446 | 229,957 | 207,829 | 257,014 | 296,552 | 510,071 | 292,004 | 3,201,243 |
| Custs | 153,560 | 154,207 | 154,462 | 154,600 | 154,676 | 154,719 | 154,612 | 154,568 | 154,823 | 154,756 | 155,074 | 155,125 | 154,599 |
| kWh per Cust | 1,670 | 1,609 | 1,872 | 1,955 | 2,046 | 2,008 | 1,501 | 1,330 | 1,527 | 1,859 | 2,002 | 2,023 | 21,401 |
| WN kWh per Cust | 1,529 | 1,466 | 1,806 | 2,023 | 2,090 | 1,942 | 1,487 | 1,345 | 1,531 | 1,928 | 2,056 | 1,888 | 21,091 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

AFFIDAVIT OF ERIC FOX

STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS) SS **COUNTY OF SUFFOLK**)

On the 25^{μ} day of February, 2020, before me appeared Eric Fox, to me personally known, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that he is Director of Forecast Solutions of Itron and acknowledges that he has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

ENK

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25^{th} day of February, 2020

Notary Public

My commission expires: 12/19/2025

