STATE OF MISSOURI 1 2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 4 Discovery Conference 5 February 13, 2013 6 Jefferson City, Missouri 7 Volume 8 8 9 In The Matter Of The Empire ) 10 District Electric Company of ) Joplin, Missouri For Authority To) File Tariffs Increasing Rates For) File No. 11 Electric Service Provided to ) ER-2012-0345 Customers In The Missouri Service) 12 Area Of The Company ) 13 14 MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding, 15 REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 16 17 REPORTED BY: 18 Patricia A. Stewart RMR, RPR, CCR 401 19 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 West Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 20 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 (573) 636-7551 21 22 23 24 25

Page 159

```
Page 160
 1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
    FOR EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY (TELEPHONICALLY):
 3
   Diana Carter
     Brydon, Swearengen and England
    312 East Capitol Avenue
 4
     Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
    (573) 635-7166
 5
 6
     FOR STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
 7
     Sarah Kliethermes, Senior Counsel
   P. O. Box 360
 8
     Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
 9
    (573) 651-8702
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 161 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Today's date is 3 February 13, 2012, 1:30 p.m. The Commission has set this time for a discovery conference in the case 4 5 captioned as In The Matter Of The Empire District Electric Company Of Joplin, Missouri, Tariffs Increasing 6 7 Rates For Electric Service Provided To Customers In The Missouri Service Area Of The Company, File 8 No. ER-2012-0345. 9 10 My name is Michael Bushmann, Regulatory Law Judge in this matter. We'll start by counsel making 11 12 entries of appearance for the Empire District Electric 13 Company. 14 MS. CARTER: Diana Carter with Brydon, Swearengen and England, 312 East Capitol Avenue, 15 Jefferson City, Missouri, appearing for Empire District 16 17 Electric Company. JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for Commission Staff. 18 19 MS. KLIETHERMES: Thank you, Judge. 20 Sarah Kliethermes for Commission Staff, 21 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. 22 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And I know that Todd Jacobs with Missouri Gas Energy was excused for this 23 conference. 24 25 Is there any other counsel that is on the

Page 162 phone right now? 1 2 Let the record show that there are no other 3 counsel appearing for the other parties. Are there any discovery matters that need to 4 5 be taken up today? This is our last discovery conference, and there was no motions to compel filed 6 7 yesterday, so I wasn't aware of any. MS. KLIETHERMES: Judge, I would just note 8 9 that Empire has indicated it would be providing Staff additional information on DR No. 236. At this time that 10 DR hasn't been fully responded to but Empire has 11 12 indicated they'll provide that information and we have 13 every reason to expect that they will. 14 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Do you concur with that, 15 Ms. Carter? 16 MS. CARTER: I do. 17 Sarah, I'm assuming that is the one we've been e-mailing about? 18 19 MS. KLIETHERMES: It is. 20 MS. CARTER: Yes, and I concur. 21 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Ms. Carter, did you have any 22 discovery issues that you need to bring up? MS. CARTER: No, Empire does not. 23 24 JUDGE BUSHMANN: I guess while we're on the record I might bring up a little bit about, 25

Page 163 Ms. Kliethermes, the filing that you made this morning, 1 2 for clarification. 3 I wanted to respond -- it may be easier to do that now than to try to write an order about it. And 4 5 you had asked about the opening statements, the little mini openings. 6 7 MS. KLIETHERMES: Yes. 8 JUDGE BUSHMANN: It was not my intention to 9 preclude anybody from discussing any issues. When the 10 original schedule that you filed had Roman numerals that kind of segregated the issues by topic --11 12 MS. KLIETHERMES: Yes. JUDGE BUSHMANN: -- so there was rate of 13 return, for instance, and rate base and statement of 14 15 operating income, my intention by having the wording the way I did, which was maybe causing the confusion, is 16 17 that what I meant is is that for that group of issues the parties should do a little mini opening right 18 19 before. So, for instance, I may not have the -- may 20 21 not have the time right yet but for statement of operating income there were issues A through Q. 22 23 So my intent was to have a little mini 24 opening for those A through Q issues where it says in the schedule that you will start talking about operating 25

> MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 164 1 income. 2 MS. KLIETHERMES: Okay. And I believe Staff 3 certainly can accommodate that. I would assume the other parties can as well. 4 5 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. 6 And then you had also mentioned in there that 7 as far as the order of witnesses, that the intention for the order of witnesses was for the parties to testify 8 9 about all sub issues while they're on the stand. I just want to make sure I know what that is that I'm talking 10 about here. 11 MS. KLIETHERMES: And I apologize, Judge. 12 That isn't necessarily very clear. Well, it's not very 13 14 clear on it. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, this is my first rate 15 case, so I want to make sure I know what is going on. 16 17 For instance, on the rate base, just take an example for Wednesday morning. There's Iatan plant has 18 two sub issues and Mertens and McMellen were both listed 19 as witnesses. 20 21 So I take it that Mr. Mertens will testify first about both sub issues and then Ms. McMellen will 22 testify about those sub issues after that? 23 MS. KLIETHERMES: Yes, that was Staff's 24 25 intent.

	Page 165
1	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. So then the next
2	thing, the next issue below that is depreciation reserve
3	for Iatan adjustments. It has the same two witnesses.
4	So am I correct then that Mr. Mertens will
5	get back on the stand to talk about that issue or will
6	he do all of it while he's up there?
7	I just didn't know how much the scope is
8	going to be when they're up there. Issue by issue? Is
9	that kind of how you were intending?
10	MS. KLIETHERMES: That's what we intended,
11	but you raise a good point. There are a number of
12	closely related issues such as that's a great a good
13	example, that I suspect the parties would intend to
14	consolidate those their cross on those issues.
15	Did Empire have any thoughts on how to handle
16	matters like that?
17	MS. CARTER: No. I think when the witness
18	takes the stand on a more general topic, then they just
19	stay there to answer all of those questions.
20	JUDGE BUSHMANN: I guess I'll just need for
21	the parties to clue me in as to what they're going to be
22	covering.
23	MS. KLIETHERMES: A nonprecise answer that I
24	think will probably get at what you're looking for is
25	that to the extent that you've got the same issues on

Page 166 the same witness -- or on the same witnesses on the 1 2 same -- let me start over. 3 To the extent that you have the same witnesses testifying on nominally different issues but 4 5 in the same morning or afternoon session, more likely than not all of those issues would be taken with one 6 7 trip to the stand. 8 For examples, on the Iatan issues you just 9 mentioned, that we would -- I would offer all of my cross-examine of Mr. Mertens and Empire would have all 10 of their cross-examine of Ms. McMellen on those three 11 12 separate issues with one trip to the stand for each. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. That might save some 13 time getting people up and off, down off the stand. 14 MS. CARTER: Yes, I think that's what we all 15 16 intended. 17 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Well, that's fine. I just want to make sure I know what to anticipate. 18 19 MS. KLIETHERMES: Well, that I can't help you 20 on. 21 JUDGE BUSHMANN: At least as far as that 22 qoes. 23 Was there anything else relating to that that 24 you needed to address? That answers my questions. 25 MS. KLIETHERMES: If you have any other

> MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## DISCOVERY CONFERENCE VOLUME 8 2/13/2013

	Page 167
1	questions, we're happy to do our best with them.
2	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, I think that cleared
3	it up.
4	Was there anything else?
5	Ms. Carter, did you have anything else you
6	needed to bring up today?
7	MS. CARTER: I do not. Thank you, Judge.
8	JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. In that case
9	we're off the record. Thank you.
10	WHEREIN, the discovery conference concluded.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 168
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Patricia A. Stewart, RMR, RPR, CCR, a
4	Certified Court Reporter in the State of Missouri, do
5	hereby certify that the testimony that appears in the
6	foregoing transcript was taken by me to the best of my
7	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me;
8	that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed
9	by any of the parties to the action in which this
10	hearing was taken, and further that I am not a relative
11	or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
12	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested
13	in the outcome of the action.
14	
15	
16	
17	Patricia A. Stewart
18	CCR No. 401
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 169

	•	•	•	
A	<b>best</b> 167:1	clarification	161:14	162:18
ability 168:7	168:6	163:2	different 166:4	
accommodate	<b>bit</b> 162:25	<b>clear</b> 164:13,14	discovery	F
164:3	Boulevard	<b>cleared</b> 167:2	159:4 161:4	<b>far</b> 164:7
action 168:9,13	159:20	closely 165:12	162:4,5,22	166:21
additional	<b>Box</b> 160:8	<b>clue</b> 165:21	167:10	February
162:10	bring 162:22	Commission	discussing	159:5 161:3
address 166:24	162:25 167:6	159:2 160:6	163:9	<b>File</b> 159:11,11
adjustments	Brydon 160:3	161:3,18,20	<b>District</b> 159:10	161:8
165:3	161:14	Company	160:2 161:5	<b>filed</b> 162:6
afternoon	Bushmann	159:10,12	161:12,16	163:10
166:5	159:14 161:2	160:2 161:6,8	<b>DR</b> 162:10,11	<b>filing</b> 163:1
answer 165:19	161:10,18,22	161:13,17		financially
165:23	162:14,21,24	<b>compel</b> 162:6	E	168:12
<b>answers</b> 166:24	163:8,13	concluded	<b>E</b> 161:1,1	fine 166:17
anticipate	164:5,15	167:10	easier 163:3	first 164:15,22
166:18	165:1,20	<b>concur</b> 162:14	East 160:4	foregoing
anybody 163:9	166:13,17,21	162:20	161:15	168:6
apologize	167:2,8	conference	<b>Electric</b> 159:10	fully 162:11
164:12	·	159:4 161:4	159:11 160:2	further 168:10
appearance	C	161:24 162:6	161:6,7,12,17	
161:12	<b>C</b> 161:1	167:10	<b>Empire</b> 159:9	<u> </u>
APPEARAN	Capitol 160:4	confusion	160:2 161:5	<b>G</b> 161:1
160:1	161:15	163:16	161:12,16	Gas 161:23
appearing	captioned	consolidate	162:9,11,23	general 165:18
161:16 162:3	161:5	165:14	165:15	getting 166:14
appears 168:5	<b>Carter</b> 160:3	<b>correct</b> 165:4	166:10	goes 166:22
Area 159:12	161:14,14	counsel 160:7	employed	going 164:16
161:8	162:15,16,20	161:11,25	168:8,11	165:8,21
asked 163:5	162:21,23	162:3 168:8	employee	<b>good</b> 165:11,12
<b>assume</b> 164:3	165:17	168:11	168:11	great 165:12
assume 104.5	166:15 167:5	<b>Court</b> 168:4	Energy 161:23	<b>group</b> 163:17
162:17	167:7	covering	England 160:3	guess 162:24
attorney	<b>case</b> 161:4	165:22	161:15	165:20
168:11	164:16 167:8	<b>cross</b> 165:14	entries 161:12	Н
Authority	causing 163:16	cross-examine	ER-2012-0345	
159:10	<b>CCR</b> 159:19	166:10,11	159:11 161:9	handle 165:15
<b>Avenue</b> 160:4	168:3,18	Customers	example	happy 167:1
161:15	certainly 164:3	159:12 161:7	164:18	hearing 168:10
<b>aware</b> 162:7	CERTIFICA		165:13	help 166:19
	168:1	D	examples 166:8	
B	Certified 168:4	<b>D</b> 161:1	<b>excused</b> 161:23	<b>Iatan</b> 164:18
back 165:5	<b>certify</b> 168:5	date 161:2	expect 162:13	165:3 166:8
<b>base</b> 163:14	<b>City</b> 159:6,20	depreciation	<b>extent</b> 165:25	income 163:15
164:17	160:4,8	165:2	166:3	163:22 164:1
<b>believe</b> 164:2	161:16,21	<b>Diana</b> 160:3	e-mailing	Increasing
	I	I	I	

Dawa	170
Page	1/0

				1 age 17
159:11 161:6	166:13,17,21	161:10	164:7,8	reason 162:13
indicated 162:9	167:2,7,8	Midwest	original 163:10	record 162:2
162:12		159:19	outcome	162:25 167:9
information	K	mini 163:6,18	168:13	reduced 168:7
162:10,12	kind 163:11	163:23		Regulatory
<b>instance</b> 163:14	165:9	Missouri 159:1	P	159:15
163:20	Kliethermes	159:6,10,12	<b>P</b> 160:8 161:1	161:10
164:17	160:7 161:19	159:20 160:4	parties 162:3	related 165:12
<b>intend</b> 165:13	161:20 162:8	160:6,8 161:6	163:18 164:4	168:8
intended	162:19 163:1	161:8,16,21	164:8 165:13	relating 166:23
165:10	163:7,12	161:23 168:4	165:21 168:9	<b>relative</b> 168:10
166:16	164:2,12,24	morning 163:1	168:12	REPORTED
intending	165:10,23	164:18 166:5	<b>Patricia</b> 159:18	159:17
165:9	166:19,25	<b>motions</b> 162:6	168:3,17	Reporter 168:1
intent 163:23	know 161:22		people 166:14	168:4
164:25	164:10,16	N	<b>phone</b> 162:1	<b>reserve</b> 165:2
intention 163:8	165:7 166:18	<b>N</b> 161:1	<b>plant</b> 164:18	respond 163:3
163:15 164:7		name 161:10	<b>point</b> 165:11	responded
interested	L	necessarily	preclude 163:9	162:11
168:12	Law 159:15	164:13	Presiding	<b>return</b> 163:14
<b>issue</b> 165:2,5,8	161:10	need 162:4,22	159:14	<b>right</b> 162:1
165:8	listed 164:19	165:20	probably	163:18,21
issues 162:22	Litigation	needed 166:24	165:24	167:8
163:9,11,17	159:19	167:6	PROCEEDI	<b>RMR</b> 159:19
163:22,24	little 162:25	neither 168:8	159:3	168:3
164:9,19,22	163:5,18,23	nominally	provide 162:12	<b>Roman</b> 163:10
164:23	looking 165:24	166:4	Provided	<b>RPR</b> 159:19
165:12,14,25		nonprecise	159:11 161:7	168:3
166:4,6,8,12		165:23	providing	
	Madison	note 162:8	162:9	S
J	161:21	number 165:11	<b>PUBLIC</b> 159:2	<b>S</b> 161:1
Jacobs 161:22	making 161:11	numerals	160:6	Sarah 160:7
Jefferson 159:6	<b>matter</b> 159:9	163:10	<b>p.m</b> 161:3	161:20
159:20 160:4	161:5,11			162:17
160:8 161:16	matters 162:4	0	Q	save 166:13
161:21	165:16	<b>O</b> 160:8 161:1	questions	says 163:24
Joplin 159:10	McMellen	offer 166:9	165:19	schedule
161:6	164:19,22	<b>Okay</b> 164:2,5	166:24 167:1	163:10,25
Judge 159:15	166:11	165:1 166:13		scope 165:7
161:2,11,18	meant 163:17	166:17	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{D} 1 (1, 1)}$	segregated
161:19,22	mentioned	opening 163:5	<b>R</b> 161:1	163:11
162:8,14,21	164:6 166:9	163:18,24	raise 165:11	Senior 160:7
162:24 163:8	Mertens	openings 163:6	<b>rate</b> 163:13,14	separate
163:13 164:5	164:19,21	operating	164:15,17	166:12
164:12,15	165:4 166:10	163:15,22,25	Rates 159:11	<b>Service</b> 159:2
165:1,20	<b>Michael</b> 159:14	order 163:4	161:7	159:11,12

Page 171

				Fage 17
Services 159:19	164:23	West 159:20	<b>651-8702</b> 160:9	
session 166:5	<b>testifying</b> 166:4	West 159.20 We'll 161:11	<b>65101</b> 159:20	
set 161:3	testimony	we're 162:24	160:4,8	
show 162:2	168:5	167:1,9	100.4,0	
<b>Staff</b> 160:6	<b>Thank</b> 161:19	we've 162:17	8	
161:18,20	167:7,9	witness 165:17	8 159:7	
162:9 164:2	thereto 168:12	166:1		
Staff's 164:24	thing 165:2	witnesses 164:7		
stand 164:9	think 165:17	164:8,20		
165:5,18	165:24	165:3 166:1,4		
166:7,12,14	166:15 167:2	wording		
start 161:11	thoughts	163:15		
163:25 166:2	165:15	write 163:4		
State 159:1	<b>three</b> 166:11	write 105.4		
168:4	<b>time</b> 161:4	Y		
statement	162:10	yesterday		
163:14,21	163:21	162:7		
statements	166:14			
163:5	today 162:5	1		
stay 165:19	167:6	<b>1:30</b> 161:3		
Stewart 159:18	<b>Today's</b> 161:2	<b>13</b> 159:5 161:3		
168:3,17	<b>Todd</b> 161:22			
Street 161:21	topic 163:11	2		
sub 164:9,19	165:18	<b>200</b> 161:21		
164:22,23	transcript	<b>2012</b> 161:3		
Suite 159:20	159:3 168:6	<b>2013</b> 159:5		
sure 164:10,16	trip 166:7,12	<b>207</b> 159:20		
166:18	Truman	<b>236</b> 162:10		
suspect 165:13	159:20	3		
Swearengen	try 163:4			
160:3 161:15	<b>two</b> 164:19	<b>312</b> 160:4		
	165:3	161:15		
T	typewriting	<b>3432</b> 159:20		
take 164:17,21	168:7	<b>360</b> 160:8		
taken 162:5		4		
166:6 168:6	V	<b>401</b> 159:19		
168:10	Volume 159:7	168:18		
takes 165:18				
talk 165:5	W	5		
talking 163:25	want 164:10,16	<b>573</b> 159:21		
164:10	166:18	160:5,9		
Tariffs 159:11	wanted 163:3			
161:6	wasn't 162:7	6		
TELEPHON	<b>way</b> 163:16	<b>635-7166</b> 160:5		
160:2	Wednesday	636-7551		
testify 164:8,21	164:18	159:21		
		l		