

Appendix B
Issue: Revised Tariffs To Increase Annual Revenues for Natural Gas Service
Witness: Louie R. Ervin Sr.
Sponsoring Party: Missouri School Boards' Association
Case No.: GR-2014-0086
Date: May 30, 2014

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. GR-2014-0086

APPENDIX B

DATA REQUEST #38 RESPONSE

ON BEHALF OF

MISSOURI SCHOOL BOARDS' ASSOCIATION

**Jefferson City, Missouri
May 30, 2014**

Missouri School Board Association

Data Request

Data Request No.	38
Company Name	Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.
Case/Tracking No.	GR-2014-0086
Date Requested	4/28/2014
Requested From	Dean Cooper/Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc. (the "Company")
Requested By	Richard S. Brownlee III, Louie Ervin/Missouri School Board's Association ("MSBA")
Description	Reference Moorman direct testimony beginning at Page 16, line 16 and proposed tariff. a. Regarding Sheet No. Paragraph 45; does "Shipper" also mean customer and school district? b. Has the company previously provided advance monthly forecasts to the Pool Operator for the transporting schools? c. Is the Company now proposing to place that advance forecasting responsibility on the Shipper and can the Pool Operator, as agent for the transporting schools, perform that forecasting function for the Shipper, per Paragraph 2.e?
Due Date	5/14/2014
Security	Public

RESPONSE:

- (a) We assume the proposed tariff reference in the request is Sheet 45 rather than Paragraph 45.
Yes.
- (b) No.
- (c) Yes

Response Provided by: Kent Taylor

1 maintaining service.

2 • Changes to balancing provisions, including a tiered cash-out
3 provision. The imbalance tiers are set in five percent increments with
4 determinants based on beginning storage weighted average cost of gas
5 (“WACOG”), actual purchase WACOG, and the Purchase Gas Adjustment (PGA)
6 in effect at that time. The new tariff language includes formulas for calculating
7 positive and negative imbalances.

8 • Revisions to the scheduling and nominations sections of the
9 transportation tariff in order to make the process more consistent with those of
10 pipeline suppliers.

11 • The removal of the billing service option.

12 In addition to these, revisions have also been proposed to the Missouri School
13 Program Transportation Rate Schedule (Sheet Nos.45 - 49, P.S.C. MO No. 3).

14 **Q. WHY ARE CHANGES TO THE MISSOURI SCHOOL PROGRAM BEING**
15 **PROPOSED?**

16 A. The Company agreed in Case No. GR-2012-0123 to work with Staff on revising
17 these terms.

18 **Q. WHAT CHANGES TO THE PROGRAM ARE BEING PROPOSED?**

19 A. The Company is proposing to remove the term “Pilot” from the title of all school
20 aggregation tariff sheets, as the Company no longer views this as an experimental
21 tariff rate as it has been in place for several years. Other proposed changes
22 include general clean-up of the language in the availability section, and revisions