FILED
December 10, 2007
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Marlyn Young)	
	Complainant,)))	
V.)))	Case NO. GC 2007-0211
Laclede Gas Company)	
	Respondent,)	

COMPLAINANT BRIEF

Statues & Rules

4CSR 240-13.020 (b)(c (2) 4CSR 240-13.050 (2)(b) RSMO 386.570 RSMO 476.110(3) Title VII, Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 USC 1691, Section 705(c)(d)(e)(f)(g) Section 706. (a)(b)

STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED

- That the Respondent Laclede Gas Company disconnected gas services for failure to pay services from other metered locations.
- 2. That the Respondent claims that the Complainant lived at two different (9414 Eastchester Dr. and 8831 May Ave. St. Louis, MO) metered locations while residing at a three metered location 2629 Terrace Ln. St. Louis wherein Respondents claim Complainant received substantial benefit from gas services at 9414 Eastchester Dr. and 8831 May Ave. St. Louis, MO.

- 3. Complainant provided public county records that the Complainant resided at 2629 Terrace Ln. St. Louis, MO.
- 4. That gas service was obtain by Rosemary Jackson, for 8831 May Ave and 9414 Eastchester Dr. St. Louis, MO.

STATEMENT OF COMPLAINANT

On or October 16, 2006, Laclede Gas Company, disconnected Complainant's gas service for failre to pay for service from other metered locations.

Complainant had file a prior informal complaint against Laclede Gas Co., but was told that I had to file a formal complaint to resolve the matter regarding subsequent charges. I file a formal complaint in February 2007 and requested Laclede Gas Company to reconnect my gas services while the disputed amount Was being resolved by the Commission. Laclede Gas Co. refuse to restore gas to my residence.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- That Laclede Gas Company terminated Complainant gas service and refuse
 To reconnect service while the Commission resolve complain regarding a
 disputed amount for services for another meter location.
- 2. That there was a Evidentiary Hearing in November 2007.

ARUGMENT

Federal, State Law, and Regulations exist to be followed, not ignored by Laclede Gas Company. Respondent terminated Complainants gas service for failure to pay for services at another metered location. Complainant filed a formal

complaint against Laclede Gas Company. Complainant requested Respondent to restore services at Complainant residence while the Commssion resolved the disputed charges, Respondent refuse to restore services. 4CSR 240-13.050(2) (B) (C (D)(E). prohibits Respondent from disconnecting Complainant's gas services. There are State Laws for violating State regulations that are embodied in RSMO 386.570, and RSMO 476.110(3) Complainant strongly Believes that Respondent violated State Laws and regulations. Rosemary Jackson, who is married to Complainant open all three gas service accounts at 9414 Eastchester Dr, 8831 May Ave., and 2629 Terrace Ln. St. Louis, MO. Using State Regulation 4CSR 240-13.050, (D) Respondent is claiming that Complainant is responsible for all debt for all three locations, because Complainant received substantial benefit and use of services. Complainant believes that Respondent use of this regulation is caprious and arbitrary Regarding substantial benefit. It's like a "catch all clause " in determining who eventually pays for gas services or collection efforts to collect unpaid gas service accounts.

Examples:

- a. An infirmed relative residing in your residence can be required to pay past a
 due gas bills if customer refuses to pay under the substantial use provision
 Of the regulation.
- b. Respondent can initiate a collection effort against your children between the ages of 10 and 20 years old for unpaid gas services. There is nothing

in this regulation that hinders the effort, because they received substantial benefit from gas services.

The Complainant believes that Respondent violated the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15USC 1691, Section 075(c) (d) (e) (f) and (g). Laclede Gas Company nor the Commission can penalize the Complainant for not paying for account(s) established by another party. If, Rosemary Jackson establish theses accounts in her name Laclede Gas company can not aggregate these charges or debt to the Complainant. Respondent in accordance with 4 CSR 240-2.050 (2) (C (D)(E), had no right to terminate Complainants gas services.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons Complainant moves the Commission to render an Order and a Judgment against Laclede Gas Company as follows:

- a. vacate any all services charges against Complainant.
- b. to restore gas services to Complainant without penalies or reprisals.
- c. and any other remedy the Commission deems proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Marlyn Young Pro-Se

P.O. Box 2487

Florissant, MO 63033

314.869.0799

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I hereby certify the a true copy of the foregoing was sent the U.S. Mail on ____5th___December 2007, to:

Rick Zucker Legal Dept. Laclede Gas Company 720 Olive Street St. Louis, MO 63101

General Counsel's Office Kevin Thompson P.O. Box 360 49220 Madison St. Ste.800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Missouri Public Service Commission Data Center P.O. Box 360 200 Madison St. Ste 800 Jefferson City, MO 65102