		Page 1959
1	STATE OF MISSOURI	
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3		
4		
5		
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
7	Evidentiary Hearing	
8	March 9, 2015	
9	Jefferson City, Missouri	
	Volume 29	
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of Union)	
	Electric Company d/b/a)	
13	Ameren Missouri's Tariff) File No. ER-2014-0258	
	to Increase Its Revenues)	
14	for Electric Service)	
15		
16		
17	MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding,	
	CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.	
18		
19	ROBERT S. KENNEY, Chairman,	
	DANIEL Y. HALL,	
20	SCOTT T. RUPP,	
	COMMISSIONERS.	
21		
22	REPORTED BY:	
23	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR NO. 838	
	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
24		
25		

		D 1000
		Page 1960
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	WENDY K. TATRO, Attorney at Law	
	MATTHEW TOMC, Attorney at Law	
3	Union Electric Company	
	P.O. Box 66149	
4	1901 Chouteau Avenue	
	St. Louis, MO 63103	
5	(314)554-2237	
	tbyrne@ameren.com	
6		
	JAMES B. LOWERY, Attorney at Law	
7	SARAH GIBONEY, Attorney at Law	
	Smith Lewis, LLP	
8	111 South 9th Street, Suite 200	
	P.O. Box 918	
9	Columbia, MO 65205-0918	
	(573) 443-3141	
10	lowery@smithlewis.com	
11	FOR: Union Electric Company,	
	d/b/a AmerenUE.	
12		
	DIANA VUYLSTEKE, Attorney at Law	
13	KEN MALLIN, Attorney at Law	
	CAROLE ILES, Attorney at Law	
14	Bryan Cave, LLP	
	211 North Broadway, Suite 3600	
15	St. Louis, MO 63102	
	(314)259-2543	
16	brent.roam@bryancave.com	
17	EDWARD F. DOWNEY, Attorney at Law	
	Bryan Cave, LLP	
18	221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101	
	Jefferson City, MO 65101-1575	
19	(573)556-6622	
	efdowney@bryancave.com	
20		
	FOR: Missouri Industrial	
21	Energy Consumers.	
	Noranda Aluminum.	
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 1961
1		
	JOHN COFFMAN, Attorney at Law	
2	871 Tuxedo Boulevard	
	St. Louis, MO 63119	
3	(573) 424-6779	
4	FOR: Consumers Council of Missouri.	
5	DUSTIN ALLISON, Public Counsel	
	CHRISTINA BAKER, Assistant Public Counsel	
6	MARC D. POSTON, Senior Public Counsel	
	TIMOTHY OPITZ, assistant Public Counsel	
7	Office of the Public Counsel	
	P.O. Box 2230	
8	200 Madison Street, Suite 650	
	Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230	
9	(573)751-4857	
10	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel	
	and the Public.	
11		
	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel	
12	NATHAN WILLIAMS, Deputy Counsel/Electric	
	CULLY DALE, Senior Counsel	
13	JOHN BORGMEYER, Deputy Counsel/Gas	
	JEFF KEEVIL, Senior Counsel	
14	ALEXANDER ANTAL, Legal Counsel	
	MARCELLA MUETH, Legal Counsel	
15	WHITNEY PAYNE, Legal Counsel	
	Missouri Public Service Commission	
16	P.O. Box 360	
	200 Madison Street	
17	Jefferson City, MO 65102	
	(573)751-3234	
18		
	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public	
19	Service Commission.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 1962
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	(WHEREUPON, the hearing began at	
3	10:00 a.m.)	
4	(MIEC EXHIBIT NOS. 500NP/HC, 506,	
5	507, 508NP/HC, 509NP/HC, 515 AND 516NP/HC WERE	
6	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)	
7	(OPC EXHIBIT NOS. 400NP/HC, 401 NP/HC	
8	AND 402NP/HC WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE	
9	REPORTER.)	
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let's come to order,	
11	please. Welcome back for week three of the Ameren	
12	rate case hearing, ER-2014-0258.	
13	Today we're going to take up the	
14	issues of the fuel adjustment clause issues, and	
15	there's been some developments in this issue since	
16	we left on Friday. There was a Nonunanimous	
17	Stipulation & Agreement filed on Friday afternoon	
18	between Public Counsel and Ameren, and Saturday	
19	evening Consumers Council objected to that	
20	Stipulation & Agreement and requested a hearing on	
21	those issues.	
22	So at this point I'm going to ask	
23	well, under the Commission rules, the Stipulation &	
24	Agreement at this point becomes a joint position of	
25	the signatory parties by which they're not bound.	

Page 1963 So I'm going to ask Public Counsel and Ameren 2 Missouri, are you considering yourselves to be --3 are you still supporting this stipulated position? 4 MR. LOWERY: Yes, we are, your Honor. 5 MR. POSTON: Yes. 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Coffman, did you 7 want to add anything? MR. COFFMAN: No. 8 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You were reaching for your microphone. 10 MR. COFFMAN: No. 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, then what 12 13 we'll do is we'll go ahead and hear the issues. I'm assuming we'll just call up the witnesses as 14 15 originally planned. What we've done in the past in this kind of situation where there's an objected-to 16 17 Stipulation & Agreement, I'll allow the parties as they call their witnesses to do some additional 18 direct testimony to ask the witnesses about any 19 20 change in their position from what they filed in 21 the past, and then we'll do cross-examination based 22 on that additional direct as well as the prefiled 23 direct. 24 Any questions?

MR. DOWNEY: Judge, I have a -- no

Fax: 314.644.1334

25

Page 1964

- 1 question on that, but I have a preliminary matter
- 2 I'd ask to bring at this time. I have a number of
- 3 witnesses, Nick Phillips, Brian Andrews, Steve
- 4 Carver, they're not testifying this week. They
- 5 were testifying on issues that have been settled.
- 6 I haven't offered their testimony. I believe the
- 7 stipulations provide that the testimony comes in.
- 8 How do you handle that?
- 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: What I anticipate
- 10 doing is just the last day of the hearing, we'll go
- 11 through the list and anything that's not been
- 12 offered we'll take it at that point. If they've
- 13 been offered by the stipulation, if we've approved
- 14 the stipulation, they'll be in on that basis. But
- 15 we'll sort it out.
- 16 MR. DOWNEY: All right. Thank you.
- 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Mr. Lowery.
- 18 MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, also as a
- 19 preliminary matter, in light of the stipulation
- 20 that we've reached with Public Counsel and Consumer
- 21 Council's objection, I filed this morning a request
- 22 to take official notice of a number of documents.
- 23 These are documents that I would have gone through
- 24 and had questions about with OPC witness Mantle and
- 25 would have gone through them in that fashion in

Page 1965

- 1 connection with questions, but given that we have a
- 2 stipulation with OPC, I don't really need to do
- 3 that, didn't intend to do it. But I think they're
- 4 all proper for official notice. They're all
- 5 relevant to these fuel adjustment clause issues,
- 6 which since they're now contested, they're relevant
- 7 to rebutting some of the information that would
- 8 otherwise be in the record.
- 9 And so I'd ask the Commission to take
- 10 official notice of them. Should save some time and
- 11 effort today.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Has everybody had a
- 13 chance to see these? Mr. Coffman?
- 14 MR. COFFMAN: Just now. I think the
- 15 majority of the items are referring to Public
- 16 Service Commission orders and filings in cases
- 17 which I think -- I don't think necessarily need to
- 18 be granted official notice, but fine. I would
- 19 notice on some items Ameren Missouri has asked that
- 20 just a portion of a previous Report and Order as it
- 21 relates to the fuel adjustment clause be granted
- 22 notice, and I would -- to the extent that the
- 23 Commission would grant partial recognition of a
- 24 Report and Order, I would -- if that's necessary, I
- 25 would ask that the entire Order, the entire report

Page 1966 be noticed. 1 2 MR. LOWERY: I have no objection. 3 was trying to save paper. I mean, I do have copies of these. I don't know that it's necessary. 4 5 They're all things that are accessible to everyone. I have no objection to making a entire Staff report 6 7 or entire Staff prudence review report or the Commission's entire order in those cases, take 8 official notice of the entire thing. I have no objection to that. 10 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone else wish to 12 be heard on this? I'll go ahead and grant the 13 request to take official notice of those documents and facts as modified at the request of Consumers 14 Council to make the entire documents part of the 15 16 record as necessary. 17 MR. DOWNEY: Judge, I'd like to offer that the Commission take official notice of the 18 testimonies of the witnesses on the FAC issue in 19 the last case. 20 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You're talking about the ER-2012-0166 case? 22 23 MR. DOWNEY: Yes, sir. JUDGE WOODRUFF: What would be the 24 reason for doing that? 25

Page 1967 MR. DOWNEY: I think it's relevant to 1 2 some of the information that Mr. Lowery has asked 3 the Commission to take notice of. There was a lot of discussion, as you'll recall, in the last case 4 5 about whether certain transmission charges, specifically 26A, were brought to the attention of 6 7 Staff, and I'd just like that to be in the record. JUDGE WOODRUFF: And that's part of 8 your -- MIEC's issues in this case? 10 MR. DOWNEY: It is. 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any objection to 12 that? 13 MR. LOWERY: I guess not, your Honor. 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I am hesitant to start taking official of too much because it tends 15 to balloon the record, but I think that can -- that 16 17 is appropriate to go ahead and take that into consideration, and you can certainly cite it in 18 your briefs. So I will take official notice of 19 20 those. 21 MR. DOWNEY: Thank you, Judge. MR. LOWERY: And, your Honor, I 22 23 really hate to balloon the record further, but I 24 guess if we're going to go down that road, I'd ask the Commission take official notice of the hearing 25

Page 1968

- 1 transcripts where the FAC issue was heard because
- 2 it addresses those testimonies that have now come
- 3 into the record. I think we would have a lack of
- 4 completeness of the record if we didn't do that. I
- 5 can get you the page numbers if we need to at a
- 6 later time.
- 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I think it would be
- 8 helpful to have the page numbers. But yeah, I'll
- 9 go ahead and accept those.
- 10 MR. LOWERY: I'll make sure and get
- 11 those for you.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. Just
- 13 want to make sure the record's clear so that
- 14 anybody reviewing this knows what we're talking
- 15 about.
- Okay. I believe we're ready to get
- 17 started on the mini openings on this issue, and we
- 18 will begin with Ameren.
- MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, good
- 20 morning. Thank you for coming, Commissioner Hall.
- 21 That way at least I'll have a little bit more of an
- 22 audience this morning.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll point out the
- 24 Chairman is also watching from St. Louis.
- MR. LOWERY: Thank you,

Page 1969

- 1 Mr. Chairman. Good morning and may it please the
- 2 Commission?
- In the company's last rate case,
- 4 which was Case No. ER-2012-0166, which you decided
- 5 in late 2012, MIEC and the Staff to some extent on
- 6 some of the same grounds but not all of the grounds
- 7 that MIEC asserted attempted to get you to remove
- 8 the transmission charges that have been in the FAC
- 9 from the FAC's inception, the vast majority of
- 10 which come from MISO, not from the company's FAC.
- 11 MIEC made several claims at that
- 12 time, and you discussed those in your Report and
- 13 Order in that case, about which you've now taken
- 14 official notice. As you recognized in your Report
- and Order in the 0166 case, transmission charges,
- 16 including those that are assessed by MISO, have
- 17 always been included in the FAC, and as you also
- 18 ruled in your last case, Ameren Missouri acted
- 19 appropriately when it did so. There were questions
- 20 raised about whether Ameren Missouri had acted
- 21 appropriately. You indicated that Ameren Missouri
- 22 had.
- 23 As has been true since 2005, Ameren
- 24 Missouri participates in the MISO energy markets
- 25 and obtains the power it needs to serve its

Page 1970

- 1 customers from those energy markets. As a
- 2 consequence, Ameren Missouri incurs MISO
- 3 transmission charges or, to use your words, quote,
- 4 Ameren Missouri incurs certain transmission charges
- 5 for the load it serves through the MISO market, and
- 6 it does so because of its access to those markets
- 7 where, as you put it in your order as well, quote,
- 8 it can acquire the power it needs to serve its
- 9 load.
- 10 Now, as was the case a couple of
- 11 years ago and as will be true for the next several
- 12 years, MISO transmission charges were and are
- 13 increasing. A significant reason for the increase
- 14 is because MISO assesses transmission charges on
- 15 the megawatt hours of energy consumed by Ameren
- 16 Missouri's customers, and some of those
- 17 transmission charges arise from multi-value
- 18 products, or MVPs as I think you're familiar with,
- 19 approved by MISO.
- The expected transmission charges for
- 21 Ameren Missouri over the next several years are
- 22 delineated specifically in the prefiled testimony
- 23 in this case. And as you also recognized in your
- 24 Order in the last case, those charges are volatile
- 25 both because of the rapidity of the increases that

Page 1971

- 1 are occurring and because of the uncertainty
- 2 associated with what the costs will ultimately be
- 3 for the roughly \$6 billion of MVP projects that are
- 4 going to be built. Most of those projects are
- 5 neither not bit at all or in early stages of being
- 6 constructed.
- 7 So we don't know what their costs are
- 8 going to be, and because the transmission charges
- 9 are in a sense derived from those construction
- 10 costs, we don't know what the transmission charges
- 11 are going to be.
- 12 Seeking in the 0166 case to avoid a
- 13 reflection of the increases in MISO transmission
- 14 charges in the FAC, MIEC witness Dauphinais tried
- 15 to convince you to pull them out of the FAC. In
- 16 trying to convince you to pull these legitimate
- 17 transmission charges out of the FAC, Mr. Dauphinais
- 18 relied upon several arguments at the time, all of
- 19 which you rejected.
- 20 His first argument was that an FAC
- 21 tariff provision that excluded charges for capacity
- 22 contracts if the term of the contract was for more
- 23 than a year applied what MIEC at that time
- 24 characterized as, quote, transmission capacity.
- 25 You properly determined that the tariff provision

Page 1972

- 1 at issue had nothing to do with transmission and
- 2 only excluded costs for generation capacity under
- 3 generation capacity contracts of more than a year.
- 4 On appeal -- and the Order was
- 5 appealed by MIEC, CCM and OPC -- your Order was
- 6 upheld by the Court of Appeals. Rehearing was
- 7 denied. On appeal, MIEC claimed that the MISO
- 8 transmission charges were ineligible for inclusion
- 9 in the FAC under the FAC statute, Section
- 10 386.266.1.
- 11 They made that claim claiming that
- 12 the transmission charges were not associated with
- 13 power that Ameren Missouri purchases or sells and
- 14 that MIEC also claimed that transmission charges
- 15 were not for the transportation of power within the
- 16 meaning of the term transportation in the FAC
- 17 statute.
- 18 The Court of Appeals did not directly
- 19 address the first argument, concluding that MIEC
- 20 did not properly raise it before you, although MIEC
- 21 strenuously argued that they did. The Court of
- 22 Appeals outright rejected the second argument,
- 23 concluding directly that transportation within the
- 24 meaning of the FAC statute does include the
- 25 transmission of electricity.

Page 1973 Finally, MIEC argued to the Court of

- 1
- 2 Appeals, and its co-appellants as well, that the
- 3 MISO transmission charges, at least some of them
- violated Proposition One, which you might know more 4
- 5 generally as the anti-CWIP statute. You had
- already rejected that argument. You discuss that 6
- 7 at pages 89 to 91 of your Report and Order.
- The Court of Appeals specifically, as 8
- 9 I mentioned, agreed with your rejection of the
- transmission versus transportation argument. The 10
- Court of Appeals did not directly rule on the 11
- 12 anti-CWIP statute argument, but their opinion makes
- very clear that they view the argument as very 13
- suspect, and it hasn't been brought up again here 14
- in this case. 15
- 16 MIEC has abandoned all of those
- 17 rejected arguments but is back here taking another
- turn at trying to extract these MISO transmission 18
- 19 charges from the FAC.
- 20 MIEC now directly and openly makes
- 21 the argument that it says it made before. That is,
- MIEC claims that because Ameren Missouri accounts 22
- for the purchases and sales it makes to and from 23
- 24 the MISO markets on a net basis, that this means
- 25 that almost all of the transmission charges are

Page 1974

- 1 ineligible for inclusion in the FAC.
- 2 Similar to the argument made before,
- 3 although it was couched differently then, MIEC
- 4 effectively argues that almost all of the
- 5 transmission charges that Ameren Missouri has to
- 6 pay are completely divorced from the sales that
- 7 Ameren Missouri makes to the MISO market and are
- 8 completely divorced from the purchases Ameren
- 9 Missouri makes from the MISO market to serve its
- 10 load.
- 11 MIEC essentially acts as though
- 12 Ameren Missouri is not a participant in the MISO
- 13 but rather still operates in the old, quote, Day
- 14 One, end quote, world, the pre-RTO market world.
- 15 But MIEC's position simply fails to reflect what
- 16 actually happens when a utility is a participant in
- 17 an RTO and transacts in those RTO markets.
- 18 We know that MIEC argues that these
- 19 transmission charges are totally divorced from
- 20 Ameren Missouri's sales to the MISO market from its
- 21 purchases from the market because MIEC -- and
- 22 you're going to hear, I'm confident, a lot about
- 23 this when Mr. Downey gives his opening statement
- 24 and otherwise -- says that the subject
- 25 transmissions are not for power Ameren Missouri

Page 1975

- 1 buys or sells, but are instead for transportation
- of Ameren Missouri's, I think they'll probably use
- 3 the term self-generated power.
- 4 But what MIEC says is simply not
- 5 true, and it completely fails to acknowledge the
- 6 reality of Ameren Missouri's participation in the
- 7 market. As Ameren Missouri witness Jaime Haro
- 8 explains, Ameren Missouri sells all the megawatt
- 9 hours it produces to the market, and in turn it
- 10 buys all the electricity that it must then sell to
- 11 its customers from the market.
- The evidence shows that the reality
- of Ameren Missouri's participation in the MISO
- 14 market belies MIEC's argument. The evidence
- 15 includes numerous provisions of MISO's energy
- 16 markets and operating reserves markets tariff --
- 17 that's the binding FERC tariff. It's about 5,000
- 18 pages long that binds Ameren Missouri on file with
- 19 the FERC -- as well as numerous provisions of
- 20 MISO's business practices manuals, and they have
- 21 many of them, which the tariff itself points to for
- 22 additional details about the market's operation.
- 23 Indeed, MISO's own practice of
- 24 settling for the gross sales Ameren Missouri makes
- 25 and the gross purchases Ameren Missouri makes also,

Page 1976

- 1 along with all of those provisions, make very clear
- 2 that indeed Ameren Missouri does make gross sales
- 3 to the market of all the megawatt hours it
- 4 generates and separately makes gross purchases, in
- 5 all those case by transacting in the market.
- 6 MIEC doesn't attempt to rebut any of
- 7 the MISO tariff or business practice manual
- 8 provisions. It, I think, just hopes to ignore
- 9 them. But the provisions cannot be ignored, and
- 10 there's no question the transmission charges are
- 11 charged to Ameren Missouri because of the megawatt
- 12 hours it sells and because of the megawatt hours it
- 13 buys. If Ameren Missouri didn't operate in the
- 14 MISO market, it wouldn't be charged these
- 15 transmission charges for MISO.
- Now, Mr. Dauphinais tries to avoid
- 17 the reality of what is actually taking place by
- 18 taking two different tacts. First he calls
- 19 Mr. Haro's position, quote, absurd. His testimony
- 20 in this regard reminds me of the old adage that
- 21 when the law is on your side, you should argue the
- 22 law. When the facts are on your side, you should
- 23 argue the fats. And when neither the law nor the
- 24 facts are on your side, you should pound your fist
- 25 on the table.

Page 1977

- But no amount of fist pounding or
- 2 name calling can change the reality of Ameren
- 3 Missouri's operations in the markets.
- 4 Mr. Dauphinais' second tact is to
- 5 deny that all of the megawatt hours that Ameren
- 6 Missouri generates is sold to the market and deny
- 7 the fact that Ameren Missouri buys all the megawatt
- 8 hours it sells to its customers from the market by
- 9 noting that for accounting purposes, Ameren
- 10 Missouri nets the dollars received for the gross
- 11 sales that it makes against the dollars it receives
- 12 for growth purchases. And it's true, Ameren
- 13 Missouri does net the dollars, as the FERC requires
- 14 it to do.
- Mr. Dauphinais also claims that one
- 16 sentence from a FERC Order issued the same year
- 17 that the MISO market started proves his point. But
- 18 the reality is that the FERC order actually
- 19 disproves his point. And I'm going to put it up on
- 20 ELMO. If I can zoom in here a little bit.
- 21 Mr. Dauphinais pointed to one
- 22 sentence in this FERC order. Starting with
- 23 paragraph 80 through paragraph 84 is the
- 24 Commission's resolutions, the FERC's resolution of
- 25 an issue that they were addressing in this

Page 1978

- 1 rulemaking.
- 2 Mr. Dauphinais cited to one sentence
- 3 in those four paragraphs, and that's the second
- 4 sentence in this paragraph, but what he didn't cite
- 5 to is the last sentence. The last sentence says
- 6 the Commission, being FERC, does expect public
- 7 utilities, however, to maintain detailed records
- 8 for auditing purposes of the gross sale and
- 9 purchase transactions that support the net energy
- 10 market amount recorded on their books.
- 11 The FERC clearly recognizes that
- 12 gross sales and gross purchases are made. MIEC
- 13 says that doesn't happen, but, in fact, it does
- 14 happen. And because of that, it has to pay
- 15 transmission charges on those gross sales and those
- 16 gross purchases.
- 17 We are not operating in the Day One
- 18 markets. We are operating in the Day Two. In
- 19 fact, I believe we're really operating in Day Three
- 20 markets now that MISO has ancillary services
- 21 markets in place.
- You got this issue right in the 0166
- 23 case. MIEC may not like the reality that these
- 24 transmission charges are assessed on the sales it
- 25 makes and the purchases it makes, but that's the

Page 1979

- 1 reality. The charges for the transportation of
- 2 power, the power is tracked in the FAC, and so too
- 3 should be the MISO transmission charges that are
- 4 incurred because of those purchases and sales.
- Now, if I may, let me transition to
- 6 the other FAC issues that I guess have become live
- 7 again since CCM's objected to the stipulation we
- 8 have with OPC.
- 9 The evidence on those issues, which
- 10 don't have anything to do with this transmission
- 11 charge issue I just spoke about, but the evidence
- on those issues, not CCM's supposition, not CCM's
- 13 philosophical adverseness to the fuel adjustment
- 14 clauses in general, but the evidence on whether
- 15 Ameren Missouri's FAC should be continued in
- 16 essentially its existing form in this case is
- 17 completely undisputed, and the evidence -- I want
- 18 to highlight a few points of what the evidence on
- 19 that issue is.
- 20 98 percent of all electric utilities
- 21 operating in the United States have fuel adjustment
- 22 clauses, and it would be 99 percent if KCPL had
- one, but they don't because they agreed not to ask
- 24 for one as part of their regulatory plan. The
- 25 majority of those fuel adjustment clauses don't

Page 1980

- 1 have any sharing at all.
- 2 Nothing has changed about Ameren
- 3 Missouri's fuel and power procurement and sales
- 4 practices since Ameren Missouri first received its
- 5 FAC with the Commission's approval just a little
- 6 over six years ago on March 1st, 2009.
- Now, I say nothing's changed, but
- 8 that's not entirely true because there are some
- 9 facts that we know now that we didn't know then.
- 10 Among those is the fact that your Staff has now
- 11 completed four prudence reviews of Ameren
- 12 Missouri's operation of its fuel adjustment clause.
- Neither the Staff nor any other party
- 14 has ever once suggested any imprudence, any misuse
- of the fuel adjustment clause by Ameren Missouri,
- 16 save the one dispute about whether or not an
- 17 exclusion from off-system sales applied for the AEP
- 18 and Wabash contracts that we spoke about earlier in
- 19 this hearing.
- 20 And after that dispute was resolved
- 21 against the company, as you know, Staff later,
- 22 Ms. Mantle in fact, indicated or argued that the
- 23 fact that Ameren Missouri had excluded those sales
- 24 from the FAC because it believed the exclusion
- 25 applied, she argued that that indicated that Ameren

Page 1981 Missouri needed more incentive, that it needed some 2 difference in its fuel adjustment clause. 3 And you in your Report and Order in this case, it was a couple of rate cases ago, 4 5 specifically said it says nothing of the matter, that the company's belief that it -- that the 6 7 exclusion applied did not indicate that the company 8 needed more incentive or had done something wrong. The company had a modest disagreement about whether the exclusion applied. You ruled against the 10 company. The amounts were refunded with interest 11 12 and the issue was resolved. It's also undisputed -- and we've 13 resolved these issues with OPC now, as you know, 14 15 because of the stipulation that we filed on Friday, but it's also undisputed that until OPC in this 16 17 case claimed that the company had not fully complied with the Commission's minimum filing 18 requirements that must be met each time the company 19 20 requests to continue the FAC, that no party had 21 ever claimed any deficiency whatsoever in the 22 company's minimum filing requirements filings. 23 Indeed, it's completely undisputed 24 that you approved as compliant with the rules, the

Fax: 314.644.1334

same portions of the rules that were put at issue

25

Page 1982

- 1 in this case, a far less level of detail than the
- 2 company had always provided when it makes its
- 3 minimum filing requirement filings.
- 4 OPC's arguments in this regard that
- 5 came up in this case for the first time, but as
- 6 noted, we've agreed to work with OPC to provide
- 7 them with additional information that they'd like
- 8 to have. We felt that was a reasonable resolution
- 9 of their concerns, and we've worked out our
- 10 differences with OPC on those issues.
- 11 It also remains completely undisputed
- 12 that to change Ameren Missouri's FAC or to take it
- 13 away, as I think CCM advocates, in either case
- 14 without substantial justification, evidence, and
- 15 there is no such justification in this case, would
- 16 constitute a horrible reversal of a constructive
- 17 regulatory policy that you adopted when you
- 18 approved an FAC for Ameren Missouri, and it would
- 19 disadvantage Ameren Missouri in accessing huge sums
- 20 of capital that it has to have year in and year out
- 21 to make the large investments in the system that it
- 22 must make.
- 23 The only party to this case that
- 24 raised any concerns whatsoever about the FAC other,
- 25 than CCM in its position statement, and that was

Page 1983

- 1 OPC, has of its own free will decided that it is in
- 2 the best interests of the consumers it represents
- 3 to resolve the FAC issues in this case on the terms
- 4 provided for in the stipulation.
- 5 I can't get into the settlement
- 6 discussions, of course, that we had with OPC about
- 7 that, but we agree that the stipulation reflects a
- 8 reasonable resolution of the issues.
- 9 In summary, the evidence supports
- 10 continuing the FAC on the terms that are reflected
- in the tariff sheet that are attached to the
- 12 stipulation. There are a few changes to the FAC,
- 13 but for the most part it -- for very large part, it
- 14 remains the same as it was before. Stipulation's a
- 15 reasonable resolution of OPC's issue. And for the
- 16 reasons I just gave, the FAC should be continued on
- 17 those terms as it has been.
- I appreciate your time.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Questions,
- 20 Mr. Chairman?
- 21 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Good morning.
- MR. LOWERY: Good morning,
- 23 Mr. Chairman.
- 24 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Just a couple. I
- 25 want to be sure I understood a -- well, let me ask

Page 1984 you about the 98 percent of the utilities in the 2 United States that have FACs. You indicated that 3 the majority don't have a sharing mechanism, right? 4 MR. LOWERY: That's correct. 5 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And are you able to tell me, of that 98 percent that have FACs, how 6 7 many of them allow their transmission charges to flow through the FAC? 8 MR. LOWERY: I do not know. 9 10 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Is there an Ameren witness that would know the answer to that 11 12 question? MR. LOWERY: I don't think so. I 13 don't think that's something that we've looked at. 14 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. But we are 15 able to say that the majority have sharing 16 17 mechanisms? 18 MR. LOWERY: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: I wonder if there is somebody that would be able to find out how many 20 21 allow transmission charges to flow through the FAC. Maybe the same person that knows how many have 22 23 sharing mechanisms or don't. 24 MR. LOWERY: Yeah. I think that would require, Mr. Chairman, and I'm -- this is 25

Page 1985

- 1 somewhat speculation, so maybe I shouldn't
- 2 speculate, but I think that would require a
- 3 detailed examination of the operations of all of
- 4 the other utilities and all their FAC tariffs and
- 5 those kinds of things to sort that out. I mean, I
- 6 think it would be a research undertaking that would
- 7 have to be done in order to get an answer to that
- 8 kind of question.
- 9 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Yeah. I'm guessing
- 10 if SNL or RRA does that kind of research that
- 11 somebody's been able to say that the majority don't
- 12 have sharing mechanisms, I bet there's a very quick
- 13 research project that somebody could come with how
- 14 many allow transmission charges to flow through the
- 15 FAC. I'm speculating, too, but that's my guess.
- 16 So maybe somebody can look into that.
- 17 MR. LOWERY: We'll see what we can
- 18 do.
- 19 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And then my next
- 20 question, you said essentially Ameren buys and
- 21 sells its requirements and needs to serve its load
- 22 into the MISO market, right?
- 23 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Sells all its
- 24 generation and then it purchases the megawatt hours
- 25 it needs to serve its load from the market, that's

Page 1986 right. 1 2 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And then the 3 transmission charges that it is charged are based upon its purchases and sales of energy into the 4 5 MISO market? 6 MR. LOWERY: That's right. 7 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Maybe Mr. Haro 8 would be the witness to answer these questions, but I guess when we think about Ameren purchasing energy to serve its load, I've heard the phrase, 10 and I've used it myself, that Ameren essentially 11 12 self-schedules because it has excess capacity 13 typically and it's able to buy back what it sells. So it's essentially -- I don't want to call it a 14 15 wash sale. But when it sells its energy into the market, it's buying back what it needs with the 16 17 understanding that it's buying it back at the price at which it's being sold so that it amounts to a 18 self-scheduling. Is that accurate? 19 20 MR. LOWERY: Well, I don't think it 21 is entirely accurate, but Mr. Haro is the individual who could discuss that. Ameren Missouri 22 doesn't self-schedule very much at all. There are 23 24 some of hydro units that are self-schedule, and 25 Mr. Haro can explain to you why that is.

Page 1987 Also, the price received for sales, 1 2 as I understand it, is not necessarily the same 3 price that is paid for the megawatt hours taken to serve load. But Mr. Haro -- Mr. Haro has a deep 4 5 understanding of the market and I don't, so... 6 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. Because 7 that's contrary to what we've been told in other contexts. But I'll reserve those questions for 8 Mr. Haro. That's all I have then. 10 MR. LOWERY: Thank you. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall? 11 12 COMMISSIONER HALL: Yes. Just a few. 13 Good morning. 14 MR. LOWERY: Good morning. COMMISSIONER HALL: You mentioned a 15 moment ago with regard to the nonunanimous stip 16 17 that there were very few changes recommended from the prior FAC. I'm just wondering if you could 18 19 tell me some of the -- well, what --20 MR. LOWERY: Sure. 21 COMMISSIONER HALL: Explain -- I don't need you to go comma by comma, but what are 22 the most significant changes? 23 24 MR. LOWERY: Yeah. Absolutely. Absolutely. I think -- and I apologize that this 25

Page 1988

- 1 red line is a little -- the formatting isn't quite
- 2 as neat as we would have liked, but it was Friday
- 3 afternoon and we felt like we should get it filed
- 4 rather than filing it over the weekend.
- 5 But most of the changes you see here
- 6 are simply in the nature of clarification to just
- 7 tighten up the language a little bit where you see,
- 8 for example, the following costs, the following
- 9 costs. There's no change in meaning. Just to be a
- 10 little bit clearer about what is covered, but
- 11 there's no change in meaning.
- 12 You'll see there on Sheet 73.1 that
- 13 we took out the words "and 575". Honestly, I don't
- 14 know why account 575 was ever listed in the tariff.
- 15 We've never had any charges that are included in
- 16 the FAC in 575. It never should have been there,
- 17 but it's a holdover from our very first FAC tariff.
- 18 It was a mistake, and we recognized it in this case
- 19 for the first time and said, no, it ought to come
- 20 out.
- 21 There was a recommendation on the
- 22 part of Staff and on the part of OPC -- which we
- 23 agreed with in testimony, so this wasn't a
- 24 controversy -- to take out insurance premiums
- 25 related to replacement power insurance and then

Page 1989

- 1 proceeds for replacement power insurance. So that
- 2 again is a cleanup item that was agreed upon that
- 3 we just implemented when we did the stipulation.
- 4 The only real substantive change, and
- 5 it's not all that substantive, but I think it is
- 6 important, and I'm sure OPC believes it's
- 7 important. We've had a process that you approved
- 8 in the last rate case -- well, let me back up a
- 9 little bit.
- 10 RTOs are free, without any in-- well,
- 11 I shouldn't say there's no input. We can
- 12 participate in stakeholder groups and we can talk
- 13 to MISO about these things, but MISO gets to
- 14 decide. RTOs can renumber or add schedules, or
- 15 they can add what they call charge types. Charge
- 16 types is a bit of a misnomer because charge type
- 17 sometimes reflect revenues, and we've had some
- 18 charge types come along since the last case that
- 19 actually reflect revenues that we get that are
- 20 reported in the FAC and actually lower the net fuel
- 21 cost. But they can add those.
- 22 And in the last case, working with
- 23 Staff and others, we made this FAC tariff pretty
- 24 prescriptive. So here's the things that are
- 25 included. And if those things aren't included,

Page 1990

- 1 then it's not included. It didn't really change
- 2 the nature of the costs and revenues that had
- 3 always been included. It just spelled them out
- 4 with a lot more prescriptiveness.
- 5 So we agreed to this process that you
- 6 see starting over here on sheet 73.5 that said if
- 7 MISO adds a schedule or a charge type and it's of
- 8 the same nature, it's essentially the same cost or
- 9 revenues of the same nature of ones that are
- 10 already in the FAC, then we will give notice to all
- 11 the parties who are party to the case where the FAC
- 12 was approved at least 60 days before we would
- 13 include any costs or revenues under that charge
- 14 type in a fuel adjustment clause adjustment filing.
- 15 And if anyone objects, then the issue
- 16 would come to you, and we would actually bear the
- 17 burden before you to convince you, yes, these are
- 18 in the same nature, they really aren't different in
- 19 nature. And if you agreed with us, then they would
- 20 continue to flow through, and if you disagreed with
- 21 us, then we would have to refund those amounts. I
- 22 guess in the case of revenue, we'd get the revenue
- 23 back, with interest.
- And we've given five such notices
- 25 since the last rate case, and nobody objected and

Page 1991

- 1 there's been some new charge types and schedules.
- 2 Let me give you an example of primarily the new
- 3 schedules.
- 4 As I think you know, we have
- 5 customers in the bootheel of Missouri, and those
- 6 customers were in the service territory of, used to
- 7 Arkansas Power & Light. It's known as Entergy now.
- 8 When Ameren Missouri bought Arkansas Power &
- 9 Light's Missouri service territory, whenever it
- 10 was, 10, 15 years ago, maybe a little longer.
- 11 Those customers are not directly
- 12 connected to Ameren Missouri's transmission system.
- 13 So we've always received transmission charges from
- 14 Entergy, and those have always been in the FAC just
- 15 like the other transmission charges.
- But when Entergy joined MISO, Entergy
- 17 no longer is providing transmission under its own
- 18 tariff. Now they're under the MISO tariff. So
- 19 MISO created, I think it's four new schedules to
- 20 reflect those same Entergy transmission charges.
- 21 Well, it's the same transmission charges, but now
- they're under a different schedule and the schedule
- 23 wasn't listed in the tariff. So under this notice
- 24 provision we gave notice and have started to
- 25 include those.

Page 1992

- 1 So the substantive change here is,
- 2 instead of us giving notice through our monthly
- 3 reports and then somebody objecting and then we go
- 4 through the process, now we will make a filing with
- 5 the Commission. So we will -- instead of the
- 6 notice, we will make a filing. We'll say, MISO
- 7 added Schedule 100 and it's in the nature of and
- 8 similar, same as this.
- 9 And then if folks object, then we'll
- 10 go through a process where you resolve the
- 11 objection. And again, it's our burden to convince
- 12 you if they do object that it should be included.
- 13 And if you -- if you disagree with us, we have to
- 14 refund the sums with interest. But instead of
- 15 notice, it's become a filing.
- 16 We also made clear that other parties
- 17 can petition you to say, MISO added this schedule
- 18 out here. We think it ought to be in the FAC.
- 19 Ameren hasn't added it. We think they should. I
- 20 would assume that those would be revenues that
- 21 somebody would probably petition. And in that
- 22 case, they have the burden to convince you, but
- 23 it's the same process.
- 24 That's really the only substantive
- 25 change. It's a filing with the Commission as

Page 1993 opposed to notice. Otherwise, substantively 2 there's no real change. 3 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions. 4 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir. 6 Opening for Staff. 7 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. May 8 it please the Commission? Staff has no FAC issues. We had a 9 few tweaks that we wanted in the FAC that the 10 company agreed to, and so Staff really has no issue 11 12 today. Staff was a signatory to the Nonunanimous 13 Stipulation & Agreement that has since been 14 objected to. 15 Staff accepts that transmission charges are included in the FAC. This was decided 16 17 by the Commission in the last rate case. Staff believes the FAC should continue, that the sharing 18 19 should continue as it currently exists. 20 Thank you very much. 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Questions, 22 Mr. Chairman? 23 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No thanks. Thank 24 you, Mr. Thompson. 25 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you,

Page 1994 Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER HALL: Yeah. Good 3 morning. 4 MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, sir. COMMISSIONER HALL: Could you explain 5 to me with regard to the 95/5 sharing mechanism, 6 7 what happens to the 5 percent of fuel costs that 8 are not run through the FAC? 9 MR. THOMPSON: Well, the way it works is that you have a base level that are in rates, 10 11 right, net base energy charge, and then the FAC 12 takes care of volatility. And so the sharing 13 percentage provides that the ratepayers will pay for 95 percent of any volatility, that is charges 14 up above the base rates. The 5 percent is absorbed 15 by the company. 16 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. And that works the same the other way? 18 19 MR. THOMPSON: It works the same the other way with the off-system sales, so that if 20 21 there are off-system sales that are net of various 22 charges, then 95 percent of the benefit goes to the ratepayers and the remaining 5 percent of the 23 24 benefit goes to the shareholders. 25 COMMISSIONER HALL: Since the last

Page 1995 rate case, how have fuel charges compared to the 2 base rates? 3 MR. THOMPSON: Fuel charges have been up, I understand, by about 30 percent or more, and 4 5 off-system sales have been down. 6 COMMISSIONER HALL: So 95 percent of 7 the 30 percent has been borne by --8 MR. THOMPSON: Been borne by the ratepayers. 10 COMMISSIONER HALL: 5 percent by shareholders? 11 12 MR. THOMPSON: By the shareholders. 13 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Mr. Thompson, I do 15 have one question. You indicated Staff had signed the Nonunanimous Stipulation & Agreement, and I 16 17 don't see a Staff signature on here. You do 18 support it? MR. THOMPSON: Did I misstate? 19 20 MR. LOWERY: You signed the one that 21 resolved net energy costs and billing units and so on, which did have some relation to the FAC, but 22 not this last one on Friday. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I apologize. 24 There's been so many flying around, I haven't been 25

Page 1996 clear on what I signed and what I haven't. 2 MR. LOWERY: I'm sorry. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff has no objection? 4 5 MR. THOMPSON: No, we have no 6 objection to it. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. Opening for Public Counsel. 8 9 MR. POSTON: Good morning. May it please the Commission? 10 It's no secret that Public Counsel 11 12 has opposed FAC in the past, mostly for the same reasons the Supreme Court struck it down back in 13 1979. FAC clauses allow utilities to raise rates 14 between rate cases without considering all relevant 15 factors, regardless of whether the utility's 16 17 already earning its authorized return. Essentially they permit single-issue ratemaking, and they shift 18 risk to consumers, and I don't think anybody here 19 would dispute those facts. 20 21 Five years ago when the Commission 22 first authorized Ameren to establish an FAC, OPC opposed Ameren getting the FAC primarily because we 23 24 believe the company had not met the Commission's standards that established the need for the 25

Page 1997 1 surcharge. 2 In this case, we focused much of our 3 case on the Commission's minimum filing requirements that require Ameren to provide 4 5 complete explanations of the costs and revenues that it intends to flow through the FAC. 6 7 As you recall, early in this case we 8 sought to strike Ameren's direct testimony and proposed FAC tariff sheets. We argue they did not 10 satisfy the minimum filing requirements that require the company to file these complete 11 12 explanations. Our motion to strike was denied. 13 The one thing we've sought through this case -- or one thing that we've sought through 14 15 this case is transparency, transparency of the costs and revenues in the FAC or proposed to be 16 17 included in the FAC. And one area of particular concern was and is MISO costs that Ameren's flowing 18 through its FAC and whether each cost meets the 19 Commission's standards regarding volatility, 20 21 manageability, magnitude, and that incentives the FAC provides for the company are reasonable. 22 23 With the Nonunanimous Stipulation 24 that we entered into with Ameren on Friday, Ameren has committed to providing us with much greater 25

Page 1998

- 1 detail for each account, sub-account and activity
- 2 code that Ameren uses to categorize costs that it
- 3 includes in the FAC. We will meet with Ameren in
- 4 the next few months with the ultimate goal being an
- 5 August 1st filing by Ameren that includes our
- 6 agreed-upon detailed descriptions of every cost and
- 7 revenue that Ameren includes in its FAC.
- 8 We wanted this information to be
- 9 filed with the Commission to give the Commission
- 10 and any other interested party a better
- 11 understanding of what's being included. These
- 12 descriptions will be in greater detail than what
- 13 has been provided for in testimony in this case,
- 14 and we hope this will help our office and everyone
- 15 really, including the Commission, in future FAC
- 16 requests.
- 17 Another area of concern regarding the
- 18 FAC that the stipulation addresses is the current
- 19 FAC tariff sheet provision that allows Ameren to
- 20 add costs and revenue types between rate cases
- 21 without making a filing with the Commission. It
- 22 was -- currently it's just through a notice that's
- 23 included in the monthly reports.
- This isn't a big change, like
- 25 Mr. Lowery talked about, but we think it will help

Page 1999

- 1 us be put on better notice as to what's being
- 2 included. Ameren will need to make this filing 60
- 3 days before the FAC rate is adjusted, and then any
- 4 party that wants to object will have 30 days. And
- 5 if the objection is raised, then Ameren will have
- 6 the burden of proving that that additional cost is
- 7 just and reasonable.
- 8 Going forward, we believe the FAC
- 9 will be slightly improved with this tariff change,
- 10 and the process will be approved through a better
- 11 understanding of what's in the FAC and, with that,
- 12 a greater ability to scrutinize FAC requests in the
- 13 future.
- We also recognize the Commission has
- 15 a current docket open for the purpose of amending
- 16 the FAC rules. OPC has submitted comments to the
- 17 Staff, and we hope that rulemaking case will move
- 18 forward and that any needed amendments will be
- 19 hopefully effective before the next general rate
- 20 case that involves an FAC, and that may be wishful
- 21 thinking.
- 22 Accordingly, OPC asks -- OPC asks the
- 23 Commission approve this stipulation that will
- 24 hopefully shed light on what is being included in
- 25 Ameren's FAC and it will provide better consumer

	Page 2000
1	protections by eliminating Ameren's ability to add
2	costs between rate cases.
3	Thank you.
4	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Questions,
5	Mr. Chairman?
6	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No questions.
7	Thank you.
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?
9	COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions,
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
11	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions.
12	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you very much.
13	Opening for MIEC.
14	MR. DOWNEY: Good morning, and may it
15	please the Commission? Mr. Chairman, can you see
16	the screen?
17	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No, but that's
18	okay.
19	MR. DOWNEY: All right.
20	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Is it your opening
21	slides?
22	MR. DOWNEY: Yes, it is.
23	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: I'll try to zoom in
24	on it. Thank you.
25	MR. DOWNEY: And before I forget,

Page 2001

- 1 Mr. Chairman, you had a question about what other
- 2 utilities do and whether they surcharge
- 3 transmission costs through the FAC. Mr. Dauphinais
- 4 can answer that question.
- 5 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Excellent. Thank
- 6 you.
- 7 MR. DOWNEY: Okay. So there's two
- 8 issues that the MIEC has for today. One is, what
- 9 is the proper level of the Noranda load to be
- 10 included in net base energy costs? Mr. Meyer
- 11 addresses that. And I think it was last week, it
- 12 may have actually been the week before,
- 13 Commissioner Hall, you were asking him some
- 14 questions on this, and you asked whether it was the
- 15 right time, and he responded, Mr. Meyer did, that
- 16 now would be the time. So he'll be up today.
- 17 The second issue, which is probably
- 18 the bigger issue and you'll hear the most about, is
- 19 the question of whether power generated by Ameren
- 20 Missouri's generators to serve its load, namely its
- 21 ratepayers, is purchased power. And that's the
- 22 issue Mr. Dauphinais addresses.
- 23 There's no secret in the record that
- 24 there's been a number of pot failures at the
- 25 Noranda smelter, and that has had an impact on its

Page 2002

- 1 demand last year and early this year, and the
- 2 question is what level of load to assign for
- 3 Noranda going forward. That issue is addressed in
- 4 Mr. Meyer's surrebuttal at pages 26 and 27, and
- 5 he'll be here today to answer your questions.
- It's the MIEC position that power
- 7 generated by Ameren Missouri to serve its
- 8 ratepayers is not purchased power. Mr. Lowery's
- 9 correct, we call it self-generated power. And the
- 10 question then under the legal question is whether
- 11 the transmission of this power is the transmission
- 12 of purchased power.
- 13 We talk a lot about the UCCM case.
- 14 It is in that case that the Missouri Supreme Court
- in 1979 said there will be no surcharges unless
- 16 authorized by statute. I set forth the quote on
- 17 that slide. It's for the Legislature, not the
- 18 Public Service Commission, to set the extent of the
- 19 latter's jurisdiction. The mere fact that the
- 20 Commission has approved similar clauses in the past
- 21 or that other states permit them is irrelevant if
- 22 they are not permitted under our statute.
- 23 Again, I think this goes to the
- 24 question of what Ameren may or may not have been
- 25 surcharging historically.

Page 2003

If the Legislature wishes to approve

- 2 automatic adjustment clauses, it certainly has the
- 3 authority to do so. That's what the Supreme Court
- 4 said at page 57 of that decision.
- 5 So the Legislature did respond, and
- 6 it adopted Section 386.266, and I set out -- the
- 7 relevant part out in this slide. At the very end
- 8 it's clear, and I've highlighted it, the surcharges
- 9 is to reflect, are to reflect increases and
- 10 decreases in prudently incurred fuel and purchased
- 11 power costs, including transportation.
- 12 And Mr. Lowery's correct, you
- 13 addressed the question of whether transmission is
- 14 transportation in your last case, and the Court of
- 15 Appeals did as well, and transmission is
- 16 transportation. So that's not an issue here.
- But the Court of Appeals made it
- 18 absolutely clear that you did not address the issue
- 19 we're presenting today. And it was this
- 20 Commission's lawyers in the appeal that raised
- 21 that, and what the court said is, as a threshold
- 22 issue, we must address the PSC's contention that
- 23 Consumers failed to preserve these, quote,
- 24 purchased power, closed quote, issues for appeal.
- 25 The PSC argues that Consumers should be barred from

Page 2004

- 1 arguing the purchased power issues because those
- 2 issues were never presented to the PSC for
- 3 consideration below. The court said, we agree. So
- 4 that's why we're here with this issue in this case.
- 5 Ameren Missouri said it best and said
- 6 it well in 2006, and I quote, FACs allow utilities
- 7 to timely pass through the necessary costs, subject
- 8 to full prudence review and other consumer
- 9 protections discussed below, associated with
- 10 obtaining the fuel needed to fire the generation
- 11 that serves customers as well as the costs
- 12 associated with purchased power needed to
- 13 supplement the energy and capacity available from
- 14 the utility-owned generation. That is our position
- 15 in this case.
- 16 And Ameren Missouri said it well, and
- 17 it said that on September 7, 2006 as part of the
- 18 rulemaking hearing for the regulation on the FAC
- 19 clause. You can also find that in Mr. Dauphinais'
- 20 surrebuttal at page 9.
- 21 This statement by Ameren Missouri was
- 22 made well after the company's integration in MISO
- 23 and the April 1, 2005 startup of the MISO Day Two
- 24 energy markets. That clearly shows that the
- 25 company has previously recognized it serves its

Page 2005

- 1 load from its own generating units and supplements
- 2 the generation with power purchases.
- 3 Mr. Dauphinais said that in his surrebuttal at
- 4 page 10.
- 5 To be clear, the MIEC does not seek
- 6 to deny Ameren Missouri the right to recover its
- 7 transmission costs from ratepayers. However, it
- 8 must recover those costs like almost all of its
- 9 other non-fuel costs through base rates set in rate
- 10 cases.
- 11 Ameren Missouri argues that the
- 12 subject charges are for transportation of purchased
- 13 power because, one, Ameren has been including these
- 14 costs in its FAC since inception of the FAC.
- 15 And I'll just inject here that the
- 16 Schedule 26A charges are the big dollar charges,
- 17 and I know Staff was not aware that they were being
- 18 surcharged, and the MIEC was not aware they were
- 19 being surcharged until the last rate case. And we
- 20 had a big issue, and you'll recall it was all done
- 21 at the 11th our with surr-surr-surrebuttal and
- 22 depositions the day before trial.
- 23 Ameren's second argument is that its
- 24 participation in MISO transforms all of its
- 25 generated power for load into purchased power

Page 2006

- 1 because it first sells that power to MISO -- I
- 2 think it says the MISO market. It's very careful
- 3 not to say it sells it to MISO. It says it sells
- 4 it to the MISO market and then it buys it back from
- 5 the MISO market. That's Ameren's position.
- 6 Third, Ameren claims that
- 7 Mr. Dauphinais' statements in past cases somehow
- 8 bind this Commission.
- 9 And fourth, this Commission has
- 10 supposedly already found as fact that Ameren
- 11 Missouri sells all of its generated power to MISO
- 12 or the MISO market and then buys it back to serve
- 13 its load. And you'll find that in Mr. Haro's
- 14 rebuttal, pages 14 through 28.
- 15 Contrary to just pounding on the
- 16 table, I'll tell you we are arguing that legally
- 17 Ameren cannot do this and factually Ameren cannot
- 18 do this. So we are arguing facts and law. We're
- 19 not just pounding the table.
- Legally, wasn't the purpose of
- 21 Section 386.266.1 to do exactly what Ameren
- 22 Missouri told you in September of 2006? And we
- 23 contend, yes, that was the purpose. And I've set
- 24 forth that Ameren statement again in the next
- 25 slide.

Page 2007

- 1 So the question that you, I think,
- 2 should ask yourself: If all power provided to
- 3 ratepayers is purchased power as Ameren Missouri
- 4 claims, then why would Section 386.266 even allow a
- 5 surcharge for fuel costs since ratepayers are
- 6 allegedly served not by generators but rather by
- 7 power purchases?
- 8 And as Mr. Lowery correctly noted in
- 9 his opening statement, Mr. Dauphinais does label
- 10 the position of Ameren Missouri as absurd. Sorry.
- 11 I can't read that far from the screen.
- 12 Mr. Dauphinais notes, If we ignore the fact that
- 13 the company generates almost all the power it sells
- 14 to its customers and instead engage in the fiction
- 15 that it sells all of its generated power to MISO as
- 16 off-system sales and buys it back for its customers
- 17 as purchased power, then, one, the fuel and
- 18 purchased power cost for power paid by customers
- 19 would be equal to the wholesale market price for
- 20 power, not the company's cost to produce power in
- 21 its own generating units supplemented by occasional
- 22 wholesale market purchases; and two, the entire
- 23 output of the company's generation facilities would
- 24 be dedicated to the production of off-system sales,
- 25 not to serving the company's customers.

Page 2008

Fax: 314.644.1334

He also states on page 8 of his 1 2 surrebuttal, under this scenario the company's 3 accounting with the Commission would not assign any generation fuel costs to customers. Only purchased 4 5 power costs would be assigned to customers. In addition, there would be grounds for the Commission 6 7 to remove from the company's rate base the entire net plant of the company's generation facilities 8 since those facilities would no longer be serving the company's customers. 10 11 And he drops a footnote, and in that 12 footnote he notes, Obviously if this was done, the 13 fuel expenses, O&M expenses and the off-system sales revenues associated with the company's 14 generation facilities would also be removed from 15 16 rates. 17 Section 386.266 provides for the recovery of fuel and purchased power costs to serve 18 Ameren Missouri's ratepayers. It does not 19 20 contemplate that Ameren Missouri would use the MISO 21 market -- maybe this is a strong word. I'm sorry. 22 I'll apologize to Ameren -- but to launder its 23 self-generated power in an effort to convert it 24 into purchased power so that it can claim that the

recovery of transmission costs flow through the

25

Page 2009

- 1 FAC.
- In fact, this is what this Commission
- 3 said in Case No. ER-2010-0356, In Re. Kansas City
- 4 Power & Light, Greater Missouri Operations, and
- 5 it's Finding 76. I've set that forth in the slide.
- 6 The Commission concludes that all transmission
- 7 costs should not be included in GMO's adjustment
- 8 clause because they are not included in
- 9 Section 386.266, RSMo. Sub 2010 as a type of cost
- 10 to be covered through a fuel adjustment clause.
- 11 They are inconsistent with the definitions of fuel
- 12 and purchased power costs in 4 CSR
- 13 240-20.090(1)(b), and that's the FAC regulation,
- 14 and elsewhere, and they do not vary in direct
- 15 relationship with fuel or purchased power. With
- 16 regard to the transmission costs specifically
- 17 related to off-system sales, however, those costs
- 18 shall be allowed.
- 19 So I indicated we have legal
- 20 arguments, and I've just given you the legal
- 21 arguments, precedent by this Commission and a
- 22 straightforward application of the words of the
- 23 statute.
- So what are the facts that support
- 25 our position? For one, Ameren Missouri's own

Page 2010

- 1 accounting schedules. As Mr. Dauphinais notes in
- 2 his surrebuttal on page 9, the company's own
- 3 accounting schedules show that most of the fuel
- 4 costs it incurs are incurred to serve its load, not
- 5 its off-system sales. Specifically, referring to
- 6 Ameren Missouri witness Laura Moore's Schedule
- 7 LMM-17 and the calculation of its NBEC, net base
- 8 energy cost, in its direct testimony the company
- 9 indicated that \$682,452,000 would be incurred for
- 10 fuel consumed in its own generation facilities to
- 11 serve its load, i.e. its customers, and only
- 12 \$171,791,000 would be incurred for fuel consumed in
- 13 its own generation for off-system sales. That's in
- 14 Schedule LMM-17 at lines 1 and 7.
- 15 Clearly if the company was purchasing
- 16 all of its power for its load and selling all of
- 17 the power it generates as off-system sales, it
- 18 would show zero dollars for generation fuel costs
- 19 to serve its load and \$854,243,000 of generation
- 20 fuel costs for off-system sales. The company is
- 21 clearly not claiming this on its own schedules in
- 22 this proceeding.
- 23 And Ameren Missouri's FAC
- 24 surveillance reports, which we've heard a lot about
- 25 in this case and prior cases, also bear this out.

Page 2011 On its fourth quarter -- is this highly 2 confidential? 3 MR. LOWERY: No. It's been declassified. 4 5 MR. DOWNEY: Okay. On its fourth 6 quarter 2014 report on page 3A, it reported 2014 7 fuel expense for native load of \$708 million and fuel expense for off-system sales of 138.5 million. 8 Not all fuel is for off-system sales. Similarly, its 2014 purchased power to serve native load is 10 only \$79 million. 11 So in conclusion, we believe a fair 12 reading of the statute does not allow Ameren 13 Missouri to surcharge these transmission costs, and 14 15 we're talking about the transmission costs principally for power generated by Ameren Missouri 16 17 to serve its ratepayers. So Ameren Missouri's own accounting does not support this, and the 18 19 Commission is yet to address this issue. 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Questions, 21 Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Yes. Thank you, 22 Mr. Downey. I want to be very brief, if I may. It 23 24 sounds like Mr. Dauphinais will be able to answer

Fax: 314.644.1334

questions about whether Ameren's purchases of

25

Page 2012 energy are essentially self-scheduled purchases to 2 serve its native load? 3 MR. DOWNEY: Yes, he will be able to. CHAIRMAN KENNEY: And just to be 4 5 clear, it seems like MIEC's position, if I understood you correctly, is consistent with the 6 7 position we took in the ER-2010-0356 KCPL case? 8 MR. DOWNEY: Certainly when it --9 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: That being that transmission charges associated with energy to 10 serve native load shouldn't flow through the FAC? 11 12 MR. DOWNEY: Exactly. 13 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. All right. 14 Thank you. That's all I have. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall? 16 COMMISSIONER HALL: I have no 17 questions. 18 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp? 19 COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions. 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I don't have a 21 question, but I do want to clear up a little housekeeping matter. Earlier we talked about 22 23 taking administrative notice of testimony under the 24 last case dealing with the FAC. I was hoping you could clarify exactly which -- just file a list at 25

Page 2013 some point, which testimony you're asking us to --1 2 MR. DOWNEY: Certainly. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: -- take notice of so there's no question later on as to what is actually 4 5 in the record. MR. DOWNEY: Certainly. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: If you could do that sometime before the end of the week. 8 9 MR. DOWNEY: All right. I will. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's all. Opening for Consumers Council. 11 12 MR. COFFMAN: Good morning. May it 13 please the Commission? 14 Consumers Council of Missouri is opposed to the fuel adjustment clause. We would 15 ask that you discontinue it in this case as unjust 16 17 and unreasonable, and this has been the position of my client for many decades. 18 19 And if I might give you maybe a longer historical background on this issue than 20 21 we've been talking about thus far, from the -probably the incorporation of Union Electric 22 Company in 1922 until somewhere in the middle of 23 24 the 1970s, the utility bore all the risk of fuel and purchased power cost. 100 percent of the 25

Page 2014

- 1 variation from case to case was borne by the
- 2 utility, and it served well to incentivize the
- 3 utility to seek out cost savings and to make sure
- 4 that its contracts were prudent and as cheap as
- 5 they could make them, and that was because they had
- 6 a very direct stake in the matter.
- 7 Under a fuel adjustment clause, they
- 8 don't have that stake. And so for say 50 years the
- 9 utility bore 100 percent of the risk, and in
- 10 return, the utility is granted an opportunity to
- 11 earn a fair rate of return. This is -- this issue
- 12 is -- goes to the very heart of the system of
- 13 utility regulation that we have in Missouri for
- 14 energy companies, and that is the rate of return or
- 15 cost of service regulation.
- 16 A fuel adjustment clause is a huge
- 17 exception to that principle, and when in the 1970s
- 18 this Commission began experimenting with fuel
- 19 adjustment clauses in response to utilities'
- 20 concerns about rising energy costs, the Consumers
- 21 Council went to the courts, and the battle there
- 22 culminated in the UCCM case. Back then it was the
- 23 Utility Consumers Council. This is the case that's
- 24 been described in this case as the Bible, and this
- 25 UCCM case is, I think, worth rereading and

Page 2015

- 1 considering. It talks about the importance of all
- 2 relevant factors and why a fuel adjustment clause
- 3 is unfair.
- 4 For the -- so that case down in 1979.
- 5 From 1979 until about 2009, for about another 30
- 6 years, again, the utility bore 100 percent of the
- 7 risk. So we have 50 years utility bore 100 percent
- 8 of the risk in variation. Then for four years they
- 9 had zero percent. Then for another 30 years the
- 10 utility went back and it bore 100 percent of
- 11 variation. The absorbed all the cost.
- 12 And this was a powerful incentive,
- 13 and it served, in my opinion, to be a much more
- 14 powerful incentive than after-the-fact Staff
- 15 prudence reviews.
- During that -- during that time, that
- 17 30 years from when the Supreme Court of Missouri
- 18 struck down the fuel adjustment clause and the
- 19 Legislature allowed the authorization of it, even
- 20 Union Electric Company acknowledged that it
- 21 provided extra incentive. In 1998 the CEO of the
- 22 company in a letter to its shareholders
- 23 acknowledged that it provided additional incentive,
- 24 that the lack of a fuel adjustment clause drove
- 25 them to find further cost savings.

Page 2016

- 1 Well, after the Legislature
- 2 authorized it, the Commission passed rules, and the
- 3 first instance where Ameren Missouri asked for a
- 4 fuel adjustment clause, and this was in 2007, this
- 5 Public Service Commission looked at the evidence
- 6 and denied the fuel adjustment clause.
- 7 Quoting from that Order in 2007,
- 8 After carefully considering the evidence and
- 9 arguments of the parties and balancing the
- 10 interests of ratepayers and shareholders, the
- 11 Commission concludes that AmerenUE's fuel and
- 12 purchased costs are not volatile enough to justify
- 13 the implementation of a fuel adjustment clause at
- 14 this time.
- 15 So while the Commission had granted a
- 16 fuel adjustment clause for Empire District Electric
- 17 Company and Aquila, it found that the facts
- 18 pertaining to Ameren Missouri and its off-system
- 19 sales were different. It's a larger company, and I
- 20 don't think much has changed in the evidence in
- 21 this case to truly justify Ameren needing it. It
- 22 does not need what the law in 2005 says that it
- 23 needs is a sufficient opportunity to earn a fair
- 24 rate of return.
- In fact, I contend that the fuel

Page 2017

- 1 adjustment clause has been a contributing factor,
- 2 significant contributing factor to the overearnings
- 3 that we've seen in the last few years.
- In the subsequent case, the 2008
- 5 case, the Commission did grant a fuel adjustment
- 6 clause, granting that in -- starting in 2009. It
- 7 was a very big controversy right off the bat with
- 8 that relating to the ice storm. And since some
- 9 challenges in subsequent rate cases, the Commission
- 10 has not discontinued the fuel adjustment clause,
- 11 although it has reviewed it and in a sense has said
- in a couple of orders that it's kind of premature
- 13 to do so.
- I would contend that the overearnings
- 15 that we have seen in the last few years is reason
- 16 to reconsider. And if the Commission does not
- 17 reconsider, we contend that the sharing percentage
- 18 should be reconsidered. I'm not sure that there's
- 19 any real factual basis that has ever been put forth
- 20 that precisely ties why only 5 percent of the cost
- 21 should be borne by the utility.
- So we go from 100 percent down to
- 23 virtually nothing, and the evidence shows that the
- 24 5 percent incentive is not enough. It virtually
- 25 still guarantees the utility 98, 99 percent of its

Page 2018 fuel costs. 1 2 And so the concerns about the fuel 3 adjustment clause are that it does not allow offsetting factors, just single-issue ratemaking, 4 5 piecemeal ratemaking, and that has disadvantaged 6 consumers. Consumers have paid more than they otherwise would. 7 8 But just as important is the incentive that it provides. The alignment of interests of consumers and shareholders is served 10 11 by rate of return regulation. And that incentive 12 is just simply not there, it's just not nearly strong enough to drive the utility to make the most 13 prudent and cost-effective decisions. 14 15 So we would suggest that if you are going to continue the fuel adjustment clause, that 16 17 you would strike a balance somewhere around 50/50 that would mitigate the utility's exposure to 18 variations but would still provide a meaningful 19 incentive for the utility to know that they have 20 21 skin in the game when they look at fuel and purchased power decisions going forward. 22 23 The other thing is the third thing 24 that is a serious problem in my mind with the continuation of a fuel adjustment clause is it 25

Page 2019

- 1 provides an incentive for the utility to create
- 2 ever more accounts and sub-accounts to slip into
- 3 the fuel adjustment clause. It is so much easier
- 4 to get costs passed through dollar per dollar
- 5 through a fuel adjustment clause at 95 centers on
- 6 the dollar than it is to argue all relevant factors
- 7 in a rate case.
- 8 And that brings us to the
- 9 transmission costs, which I would urge the
- 10 Commission to hold the line on and not allow. When
- 11 we're getting into projects, actually building
- 12 transmission projects, these are hard assets. This
- is no longer what I believe the Legislature
- 14 intended by fuel and purchased power costs.
- And we wholeheartedly support the
- 16 MIEC position and would hope that you -- if you do
- 17 continue the fuel adjustment clause, you keep it
- 18 reined in to only fuel costs and keep that fuel
- 19 adjustment clause from growing to the point where
- 20 it overtakes the general rate case process.
- 21 So again, we ask that you apply some
- 22 fairness, some symmetry, some sharing that's
- 23 meaningful. We ask that you provide just and
- 24 reasonable rates. That's all I have.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman?

Page 2020 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Mr. Coffman, thank 1 2 you. Do you agree with the MIEC position with 3 respect to the transmission charges related to purchases of energy in -- purchases and sales in 4 5 the MISO market that those transmission charges shouldn't flow through the FAC? 6 7 MR. COFFMAN: Yes. CHAIRMAN KENNEY: For the same 8 reasons? I mean, essentially is it -- do you agree with the position that Ameren's purchases of 10 sales -- purchases and sales in the MISO market are 11 12 essentially self-scheduling sales? 13 MR. COFFMAN: I can't say that I'm completely well versed in the definition of 14 15 self-scheduling, but yes, it does seem to be a fiction to treat that as an off-system sell. Under 16 17 that theory, every bit of power that they produce could flow through the fuel adjustment clause, and 18 you might as well adopt formula rates and be done 19 with it. I think that that's a real intellectual 20 21 fiction that really doesn't conform to the intent of what purchased power was meant to be under the 22 statute, 386.266. Does that answer your question? 23 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Consumers Council's 24 default position is that we should do away with it 25

Page 2021 altogether, correct? 1 2 MR. COFFMAN: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Do you express an opinion or do you have an opinion about what effect 4 5 that would have on Ameren's ability to attract capital given that 98 percent of electric utilities 6 7 in the United States have an FAC? MR. COFFMAN: There was not a 8 9 perceptible adjustment, as I think the record has 10 previously requested, when the fuel adjustment clause was adopted in 2007. I'm not sure -- and 11 12 I'm speaking here only as to Ameren Missouri. I 13 think there might be other utilities that are more exposed on their fuel costs than Ameren Missouri. 14 As to Ameren Missouri, I don't think that you would 15 have any meaningful difference. 16 17 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Well, okay. But you just said because there's evidence that there 18 wasn't a meaningful or appreciable effect on their 19 credit rating when it was given to them, correct? 20 21 MR. COFFMAN: Correct. CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Can we really 22 23 logically extrapolate from that fact that if it 24 were taken away now it wouldn't have a negative impact? 25

Page 2022

- 1 MR. COFFMAN: I think that investors
- 2 would -- I mean, if they're doing their due
- 3 diligence, they would understand that Missouri law
- 4 does not grant a fuel adjustment clause as a
- 5 privilege or a right, but that it is something it
- 6 has to prove up in general rate case, and that it's
- 7 been denied in the past, and that there's been long
- 8 periods of -- decades where this utility has not
- 9 had a fuel adjustment clause, and during that time
- 10 it paid dividends and it thrived, made significant
- 11 profits.
- But if the Commission is unwilling to
- 13 discontinue the fuel adjustment clause, I think
- 14 that there is a middle road. I think that most of
- 15 the problems that I think are serious problems from
- 16 a consumer perspective with a fuel adjustment
- 17 clause can be resolved through a meaningful sharing
- 18 mechanism.
- So I think a 50/50 sharing mechanism
- 20 would take care of more than 50 percent of the
- 21 concerns with this particular mechanism, because we
- 22 would know that there would be a real meaningful
- 23 stake it these decisions, that there would be skin
- 24 in the game. And that, you know, based on past
- 25 experience, has been very -- has worked to provide

		Page 2023
1	cost-effective rates.	
2	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. Thank you	
3	very much. No other questions.	
4	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Commissioner Hall?	
5	COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions.	
6	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions.	
7	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I do have a	
8	question, Mr. Coffman. The Stipulation & Agreement	
9	that Consumers Council is opposing, I understand	
10	you're opposing it because you'd like to see the	
11	fuel adjustment clause go away entirely.	
12	There were some elements in there	
13	that were described by Public Counsel in their	
14	opening about the information Ameren will file in	
15	its next rate case and notice of changes of	
16	elements going into the FAC. Do you oppose those	
17	particular elements or just the general FAC?	
18	MR. COFFMAN: No. Any effort to be	
19	more explicit about what's in the fuel adjustment	
20	clause, to not allow new charges to be slipped in	
21	in between rate cases and to tighten up the process	
22	is positive.	
23	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Thank you. I	
24	believe that concludes all the openings on this	
25	issue, then. The first witness would be for	

Page 2024 Ameren, Ms. Barnes. We'll take a break before we go to the first witness. We'll come back at let's 3 say 11:30. (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.) 4 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're back from our break and we're ready for our first witness. 6 7 MR. LOWERY: Call Lynn Barnes to the 8 stand. Your Honor, while Ms. Barnes is taking the stand, she's been on the witness stand before. I believe her testimony was offered and hasn't been 10 11 admitted. This is her last trip, so I'd ask that 12 her testimony be admitted at this time. 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I've got 2, 3HC and NP is her rebuttal, 4 is her surrebuttal. 14 15 MR. LOWERY: That's correct. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any objections to 17 the receipt of those documents? 18 MR. THOMPSON: No objection. 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, they will be received. 20 21 (AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS, 2, 3NP/HC AND 4 WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 22 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And, Ms. Barnes, you are still under oath. Anything preliminary? 24 25 MR. LOWERY: Just a little bit, your

Page 2025

- 1 Honor.
- 2 LYNN BARNES testified as follows:
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:
- 4 Q. Ms. Barnes, you're familiar with the
- 5 Nonunanimous Stipulation & Agreement regarding some
- 6 fuel adjustment clause issues that was filed on
- 7 Friday; is that correct?
- 8 A. I am, yes.
- 9 Q. And obviously the company agreed --
- 10 primarily agreed to do one thing and then also
- 11 agreed to some changes to the FAC tariff that I
- 12 talked about with Commissioner Hall early this
- 13 morning, correct?
- 14 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 15 Q. As I read what the company agreed to
- do, the company agreed to sit down with OPC, and
- 17 the words in the stipulation are reasonably and in
- 18 good faith work to agree on additional descriptions
- 19 of costs and revenues in the FAC by account, by
- 20 sub-account and by activity code. Is that your
- 21 understanding?
- 22 A. Yes, that's my understanding.
- 23 Q. Can you share with the Commission,
- 24 the company not only agreed to do those things, but
- 25 how does that relate to the company's views of the

Page 2026

- 1 basic positions that OPC had taken in this case
- 2 that were settled by entering into the stipulation?
- 3 A. Well, I think our position still
- 4 exists that in our -- in our understanding that the
- 5 USOA, when it talks about accounts is FERC major,
- 6 which I think are pretty clear with the USOA
- 7 descriptions of what they are. We do use sub-
- 8 accounts and activity codes to further bifurcate,
- 9 primarily for our own reporting purposes, but we're
- 10 happy to share those, as we've been doing over the
- 11 course of the several iterations of the FAC and the
- 12 monthly reports, and we will provide that
- information as well as a supplemental.
- 14 We don't believe that that
- 15 necessarily meets a requirement for the minimum
- 16 filing requirements. We think we met those. But
- 17 we're happy to supplement that if that helps the
- 18 parties to better understand the costs that are
- 19 included in the FAC.
- 20 Q. Is this the first time -- is this the
- 21 first time the company's been asked to provide
- 22 additional information that it has agreed to do so?
- 23 A. No, it's not actually. I don't
- 24 recall which case exactly, but there was an earlier
- 25 case where we had a similar situation and met with

Page 2027

- 1 the Staff and other parties to look at the
- 2 information that was provided in the monthly
- 3 reports and that we did supplement those pieces of
- 4 information.
- 5 In the last case, we also reached the
- 6 agreement that we operate under today with respect
- 7 to adding charge types and new account or sub-
- 8 accounts underneath the FAC currently with the
- 9 60-day notice that's in the monthly reports, and
- 10 that's now being modified in this stipulation.
- 11 So we've been as clear, as
- 12 transparent as possible with that, and believe that
- 13 it's important to keep the FAC, but we don't
- 14 believe that we were violating any filing
- 15 requirements. We are just providing additional
- 16 information as requested by the parties.
- Q. Obviously I can't testify, but you
- 18 heard me describe the changes in the FAC tariff
- 19 this morning and in particular describe the changes
- 20 to the process that I talked about with
- 21 Commissioner Hall. Would you agree that I
- 22 described those changes accurately?
- 23 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 24 MR. LOWERY: That's all I have, your
- 25 Honor.

	Page 2028
1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Cross
2	based on questions from the Bench. I'll leave
3	Consumers Council to last. For Staff?
4	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: MIEC?
6	MR. DOWNEY: No questions.
7	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?
8	MR. POSTON: No questions.
9	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Consumers Council?
10	MR. COFFMAN: No questions based on
11	those questions.
12	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Any we'll
13	come up for questions from the Bench then.
14	Mr. Chairman?
15	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN KENNEY:
16	Q. Good morning, Ms. Barnes.
17	A. Good morning, Chairman Kenney.
18	Q. Just a couple of questions. Would it
19	be better to ask you or Mr. Haro about the process
20	by which Ameren buys and sells energy in the MISO
21	market?
22	A. That would surely be Mr. Haro, not
23	me. He does the buying.
24	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Thank you for your
25	time. No questions.

		Page 2029
1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?	
2	COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions.	
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?	
4	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions.	
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I have no questions.	
6	Any recross based on that question from the Bench?	
7	(No response.)	
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any redirect?	
9	MR. LOWERY: No redirect, your Honor.	
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Then you can step	
11	down.	
12	(Witness excused.)	
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Next witness is	
14	Mr. Francis.	
15	MR. LOWERY: Mr. Francis has not	
16	appeared until today.	
17	(Witness sworn.)	
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. You may	
19	inquire.	
20	JESSE FRANCIS testified as follows:	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:	
22	Q. Could you state your name for the	
23	record.	
24	A. Jesse Francis.	
25	Q. Mr. Francis, did you cause to be	

Page 2030

- 1 prepared for filing in this docket rebuttal
- 2 testimony, both highly confidential and public
- 3 versions, that have been marked for identification
- 4 as Exhibit 12?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. If I were to ask you the questions
- 7 posed in that testimony, would your answers be the
- 8 same today?
- 9 A. Yes, they would.
- 10 Q. Do you have any corrections that need
- 11 to be made to that testimony?
- 12 A. No, I do not.
- MR. LOWERY: With that, your Honor, I
- 14 would offer Exhibit 12 and tender Mr. Francis for
- 15 examination.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: 12 HC and NP has
- 17 been offered. Any objection to its receipt?
- 18 (No response.)
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, they
- 20 will received.
- 21 (AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 12NP/HC WAS
- 22 MARKED AND RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Did you have any
- 24 additional direct dealing with the stip?
- MR. LOWERY: I don't believe so, your

	Page 2031
1	Honor.
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. For
3	cross-examination then, Staff?
4	MR. THOMPSON: No questions. Thank
5	you, Judge.
6	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?
7	MR. POSTON: No questions.
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: MIEC?
9	MR. DOWNEY: No questions.
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Consumers Council?
11	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
12	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Come up for
13	questions from the Bench. Mr. Chairman?
14	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No questions.
15	Thank you.
16	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?
17	COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions.
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
19	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions.
20	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. There were no
21	questions, so no need for recross or redirect. And
22	you can step down.
23	(Witness excused.)
24	JUDGE WOODRUFF: And next witness
25	then is Mr. Haro.

		Page 2032		
1	(Witness sworn.)			
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. You may			
3	inquire.			
4	JAIME HARO testified as follows:			
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:			
6	Q. Could you state your name.			
7	A. Jaime Haro.			
8	Q. Mr. Haro, did you cause to be			
9	prepared for filing in this docket direct			
10	testimony, rebuttal testimony, both in highly			
11	confidential and public version, and surrebuttal			
12	testimony which were marked Exhibits 13, 14 and 15			
13	respectively?			
14	A. Yes.			
15	Q. If I were to ask you well, first			
16	of all, do you have any corrections to any of those			
17	testimonies?			
18	A. Yes, I do, to my surrebuttal.			
19	Q. Okay. Could you please by page and			
20	line number advise the Commission what the			
21	correction is?			
22	A. Yes. Page 6, there's a table. The			
23	table has a column titled $1-17$, and at the bottom			
24	of the table where it says other, it says negative			
25	26. It should say negative 45. And then below			

Page 2033 that, the total instead of being 747 should be 728. Q. Any other corrections? 3 Α. That's it. As corrected, if I were to ask you 4 Q. 5 the same questions posed in Exhibits 13, 14 and 15, would your answers be the same? 6 7 Α. Yes, they would. MR. LOWERY: With that, your Honor, I 8 would offer Exhibits 13, 14 and 15 and tender Mr. Haro for cross-examination. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 13, 14 and 15 have 11 12 been offered. 14 I show NP and HC. 13 MR. LOWERY: That's correct, yes. 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any objections to the receipt? 15 16 (No response.) 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, they 18 will be received. 19 (AMERENUE EXHIBIT NOS. 13, 14NP/HC AND 15 WERE MARKED AND RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 20 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And do you have any additional direct based on the stip? 22 23 MR. LOWERY: I do not, your Honor. JUDGE WOODRUFF: For 24 cross-examination, then, beginning with Staff. 25

	Page 2034
1	MR. THOMPSON: No questions. Thank
2	you.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?
4	MR. POSTON: No questions.
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: MIEC?
6	MR. DOWNEY: Yes, Judge. May I
7	approach?
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may.
9	(MIEC EXHIBIT NOS. 524 THROUGH 528
10	WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
11	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Are these all highly
12	confidential?
13	MR. DOWNEY: I was going to ask
14	Mr. Lowery. These are FAC reports starting
15	December of '13, ending December of '14.
16	MR. LOWERY: I believe they've all
17	been declassified already, either through the
18	Noranda earnings complaint case or in this case.
19	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I believe that would
20	be correct.
21	MR. DOWNEY: And just so everybody
22	knows how they're marked, Exhibit 524 is the FAC
23	report for the 12 months ended December 31, 2013.
24	Exhibit 525 is the FAC report for the 12 months
25	ended March 31, 2014. Exhibit 526 is the FAC

Page 2035

- 1 report for 12 months ended June 30, 2014.
- 2 Exhibit 527 is the FAC report for the 12 months
- 3 ended September 30 of 2014, and then the last
- 4 report, Exhibit 528, is for the 12 months ended
- 5 December 31, 2014.
- 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:
- 7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Haro.
- 8 A. Good morning.
- 9 Q. Do you have those exhibits up there
- 10 at the witness stand?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Could you tell the Commission what --
- 13 just generally what these reports are?
- 14 A. Financial surveillance monitoring
- 15 report, rate base and rate of return.
- 16 Q. Are these reports prepared by the
- 17 company?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And they're filed with the Commission
- 20 and Staff?
- 21 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Take a look at Exhibit 524, and
- 23 particularly page 3-B. Let me know when you're
- 24 there.
- 25 A. Yes, I'm there.

Page 2036

- 1 Q. Okay. And then there's a number of
- 2 columns divided in the middle. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And then on the left-hand side of the
- 5 divider it says quarter ended actual. Do you see
- 6 that?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
- 8 Q. And then on the right-hand side it
- 9 says 12 months ended actual. Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. I want you to focus on the right-hand
- 12 side and tell the Commission what the reported fuel
- 13 expense is for native load.
- 14 A. \$682,388.
- 15 Q. Well, that's in thousands, is it not?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. So --
- 18 A. 682 million, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. So \$682,388,000; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. All right. And then what are you
- 23 reporting as fuel expense for off-system sales?
- 24 A. 121,666,000.
- 25 Q. And then down to purchased power

Page 2037 1 energy, do you see the line that says native load? 2 Α. Yes, 62 -- 62,139,000. 3 Q. Thank you. And then purchased power energy for off-system sales, would you read that 4 5 figure? 23,69 -- 23,699,000. 6 Α. 7 Q. Thank you. Please turn to 8 Exhibit 525, same page, 3-B. 9 Α. Uh-huh. 10 Q. And we'll just do this one more time. 11 Right-hand column, would you read what is reported 12 for fuel expense native load? 711,146,000. 13 Α. 14 Q. And for off-system sales fuel 15 expense? 16 Α. 129,791,000. 17 Q. And then down a few rows, purchased 18 power energy for native load? 19 Α. 72,703,000. 20 Q. And for off-system sales? 21 Α. 20,580,000. 22 Okay. I'm not going to ask you the Q. 23 same questions for the other exhibits. 24 MR. DOWNEY: I'll just offer 25 Exhibits 524 through 528.

		Page 2038
1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: 524 through 528 have	
2	been offered. Any objections to their receipt?	
3	(No response.)	
4	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, they	
5	will be received.	
6	(MIEC EXHIBIT NOS. 524 THROUGH 528	
7	WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)	
8	MR. DOWNEY: No further questions,	
9	Judge.	
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: For Consumers	
11	Council?	
12	MR. COFFMAN: No questions, your	
13	Honor.	
14	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right.	
15	Mr. Chairman?	
16	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN KENNEY:	
17	Q. Good morning, Mr. Haro. How are you?	
18	A. Good. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	
19	Q. Do you know how many you may not	
20	know this how many utilities in the United	
21	States that have FACs allow transmission costs to	
22	flow through the FAC?	
23	A. I don't.	
24	Q. Okay. That's all right. So let me	
25	ask you about Ameren's bidding in and purchasing	

Page 2039

- out of the MISO market, because I'm confused about
- 2 that. It was always my understanding that Ameren's
- 3 basically what I've heard referred to as
- 4 self-scheduled and self-supplied, meaning it bid a
- 5 certain amount into the market and it bought that
- 6 same amount back to supply its native load, but it
- 7 ended up being like a wash sale. Let me ask a
- 8 question there. There was no question. That was
- 9 basically me talking. I apologize.
- 10 Are you familiar with the phrase
- self-scheduling or self-supplying?
- 12 A. I am familiar with self-scheduling
- 13 phrase, and it's a tariff -- defined in the MISO
- 14 tariff, but it doesn't --
- 15 Q. What does it mean?
- 16 A. It just gives some parameters to the
- 17 market of how much you can sell out of a generator.
- 18 So there's some times that a generator can only
- 19 adhere to a certain schedule, and what you do is
- 20 you self-supply your generation to the market. For
- 21 example --
- 22 Q. You self-supply?
- 23 A. Self-schedule. I'm sorry.
- 24 Self-supply is not defined in the tariff.
- 25 Self-schedule is. And --

Page 2040

- 1 Q. Self-scheduling is in the MISO
- 2 tariff?
- 3 A. Self-scheduling is in the MISO
- 4 tariff.
- 5 **Q.** Okay.
- A. And what it does, it gives the
- 7 opportunity to a market participant to limit how
- 8 much it will dispatch to generation. So MISO
- 9 dispatches our generation every hour, but at times
- 10 there's some limitations that a unit cannot follow
- 11 dispatch. So you tell MISO that you want to pay
- 12 your units at certain output, and you can only sell
- 13 some many megawatts from generation.
- 14 A perfect example is our hydro units.
- 15 A hydro -- theoretical cost of water is zero
- 16 dollars, but you can only run them for so many
- 17 hours. So Osage, for example, has so many megawatt
- 18 hours that it can run in any given day. You offer
- 19 that into the MISO market and MISO clears it in a
- 20 day ahead and they look for the best 24 hours where
- 21 you can sell those megawatts at highest-paid hours.
- 22 Right?
- So if I were to give an example, you
- 24 run Osage from two in the afternoon to nine in the
- 25 evening, right? But when the real-time market

Page 2041

- 1 comes, the market sells just for the cost that
- 2 you're offering your generation. It's a zero
- 3 dollar resource. The first hour of the day they
- 4 will run your generation at full output. The
- 5 second hour they will run at full output, and then
- 6 they will keep running until you run out of water.
- 7 So you'll never meet your day-ahead schedule.
- 8 So what we need to do is
- 9 self-schedule our generation to whatever MISO told
- 10 us day ahead, so we can fulfill the day ahead
- 11 schedule. So I will tell them, my unit can only
- 12 generate 10 megawatts during the day until that
- 13 hour of the day, and then I want to take it to
- 14 whatever MISO, your day ahead. That's an example
- 15 of a self-schedule.
- 16 Again, self-schedule is limitations
- 17 on how can I offer my generation into the market,
- 18 how can I sell it? It has nothing to do with what
- 19 my load is doing on the other hand. It's buying
- 20 the megawatt hours that are required. I buy for my
- 21 load all the megawatts that are required to serve
- 22 them.
- 23 Q. So -- okay. That helps. So
- 24 self-scheduling just allows the generator owner, in
- this case Ameren, with Osage to be able to limit

Page 2042

- when it's going to actually be dispatched,
- 2 depending on some type of physical constraints
- 3 associated with the generator?
- 4 A. That's one example, correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. So then the phrase self-supply
- 6 then, it's not in the MISO tariff, but you seem to
- 7 have some familiarity with it. And my
- 8 understanding of it was in the -- was in the
- 9 context of the discussions that we had about
- 10 resource adequacy some time ago, and the phrase
- 11 self-supply maybe not appearing in the MISO tariff
- 12 but it has some meaning. Do you know the meaning
- 13 of that phrase?
- A. Well, that's another area when we're
- 15 talking about capacity and the adequacy. We have
- 16 the ability to -- to match our resources to our
- 17 needs, and that's just for capacity purposes. So
- 18 we can -- we can say that we're going to meet our
- 19 obligations for resource adequacy based on the
- 20 generators that we have. So that's -- that may be
- 21 what you're referring to. There's --
- 22 Q. Is that the only -- is that the only
- 23 way in which that phrase is used?
- A. The self-supply, I've also seen it
- 25 used for station service, which is a lot more

Page 2043

- 1 technical. That would be for Schedule 2, where you
- 2 can say that the generation used for station
- 3 service in a unit is supplied by the company,
- 4 self-supplied by the company so you won't get
- 5 charged for that. But that's the only other place
- 6 I've seen it used.
- 7 Q. But for purposes of supplying
- 8 megawatt hours to Ameren's customers, you
- 9 essentially bid all of your available resources
- 10 into the market and then buy it back from the
- 11 market?
- 12 A. So what we do is we bid for the load.
- 13 So we come up with -- we get a weather forecast.
- 14 Based on the weather forecast, we estimate what the
- 15 load consumption will be. And every day we submit
- 16 a demand bid for every hour of the day. And since
- 17 it's a bid, it clears the market and it tells me
- 18 for every hour of the day how many megawatt hours
- 19 I'm buying and at what price we're buying.
- 20 So that's based on a load forecast
- 21 and some forecasting techniques that we have that
- 22 we determine what is the best option for us to --
- 23 well, what's our best estimation of what the load
- 24 consumption will be. So we bid into the market.
- Now, after we bid into the market,

Page 2044

- 1 the market clears and, of course, there's always
- 2 differences in the real time than what you
- 3 forecast. So you again in the real time buy or
- 4 sell the differences. So if you bought more than
- 5 what you should have, then you sell it in the real
- 6 time. If you bought less than what you needed,
- 7 then you buy it in the real time. But that's still
- 8 independent from the generation. That's -- that's
- 9 the load itself.
- 10 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Okay. All right.
- 11 I think that helps. Thank you. I don't have any
- 12 other questions. Thank you.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?
- 14 OUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER HALL:
- Q. Good morning.
- A. Good morning.
- 17 Q. The charges, MISO charges to Ameren
- 18 are set where in the tariff? In an agreement, in
- 19 the contract, where?
- 20 A. In the tariff.
- 21 Q. In the tariff. And in that tariff
- 22 Ameren is paying MISO for the -- the energy that it
- 23 sells and buys?
- 24 A. Correct. So for every hour of the
- 25 day, I get a settlement charge for every generator.

Page 2045

- 1 So one unit generated 600 megawatts. It gets paid
- 2 600 megawatts at the price that it cleared. Same
- 3 thing on the load. The load cleared for 5,000
- 4 megawatts at \$20, that's how much it gets charged.
- 5 Q. And is that charged the same for both
- 6 what it buys and what it sells?
- 7 A. No. They're in different locations,
- 8 so they will have different prices. So that's
- 9 where the concept of a hedge is pretty important.
- 10 Q. I guess I'm -- I wasn't clear. I'm
- 11 asking about the price, the charge that Ameren pays
- 12 MISO for transmission, not just --
- 13 A. Okay. The energy?
- 14 Q. Yeah, not just the energy. And I was
- 15 not clear. So that's my fault. So let's go back
- 16 to my first question. The cost, the price that
- 17 Ameren pays for transmission, are they paying that
- 18 both on what they buy and what they sell?
- 19 A. No. Just for what we buy.
- Q. Just what you buy?
- 21 A. Just for the withdrawals of the
- 22 market that it's purchases, yes.
- 23 Q. And that -- and is that price set in
- 24 the tariff?
- 25 A. Well, the mechanism to set the price

Page 2046

- 1 is in the tariff, but the price is --
- 2 Q. The transmission cost?
- 3 A. The transmission cost is set by MISO.
- 4 It's not a fixed number in the tariff.
- 5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Thank you.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?
- 7 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:
- 8 Q. Hello. How are you?
- 9 A. Good. Thank you.
- 10 Q. I'm trying to wrap my head around the
- differences between the company's position and
- 12 MIEC's position on how these are being calculated
- 13 and flowed through. Can you give me a ballpark on
- 14 the dollar amount that we are -- that would affect
- 15 rates or affect customer charges that the
- differences on these two viewpoints of completely
- 17 bookend.
- 18 A. Sure. And I may have it in my
- 19 testimony if you have a minute. I think
- 20 Mr. Dauphinais and I both have put the numbers in
- 21 there. I think -- and I may be wrong for a few
- 22 numbers, but what we believe that the --
- 23 specifically speaking about the transmission 26A
- 24 charges that we're incurring right now, it's in the
- 25 ballpark of 20 million for the test year, and

Page 2047

- 1 that's -- I can find it more.
- 2 Q. I mean, I can look in your testimony.
- 3 I'm just trying -- if you can tell me roughly where
- 4 it's at.
- 5 A. Here's indicative. For 2014 it was
- 6 15.8 million. For 2015 it's assumed to be
- 7 22 million. And for 2020 it's expected to be
- 8 62 million. Now, again, the argument here is,
- 9 should they flow through the fuel adjustment
- 10 clause?
- So if I set a rate today using 2014
- 12 numbers, it will be set at \$15.8 million. If these
- 13 charges don't flow through the fuel adjustment
- 14 clause, that means that the company will absorb
- 15 \$7 million of extra costs that we know we will
- 16 incur to serve the customers and that will benefit
- 17 the customers, because we're in the MISO and we've
- 18 proven that we are benefited from being in the MISO
- 19 market. But we'll never recover those \$7 million.
- 20 We will keep recovering 15.8 million until the next
- 21 rate case happens.
- So if we don't file a case after '16,
- 23 then '16 we'll be paying \$30 million. We will only
- 24 recover \$15 million and 15 million we will never
- 25 recover. So that will harm the company, and it's

Page 2048

- 1 unfair because the benefits are going to the
- 2 customers.
- 3 Q. So this ballpark, this issue that
- 4 we're facing right here, in the company's estimate,
- 5 would cost the company 7 million for this year. If
- 6 they didn't file another case, you would have the
- 7 million again, plus another 15 for the following
- 9 year. So assuming a three-year rate case, we're
- 9 looking at an issue here of somewhere within
- 10 **\$30 million?**
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I don't think I
- 13 even know what questions to ask, so I'm going to
- 14 stop at this point. Thank you.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any recross based on
- 16 questions from the Bench?
- MR. THOMPSON: Yes, your Honor.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go to Staff first.
- 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:
- 20 Q. Following up on the questions that
- 21 Commissioner Hall was asking, if Ameren Missouri no
- longer participated in MISO, would you still have
- 23 those MISO transmission charges?
- 24 A. Yes, I think the exit fee will
- 25 collect those charges.

	Page 2049	
1	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. No further	
2	questions.	
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?	
4	MR. POSTON: No questions.	
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Consumers Council?	
6	MR. COFFMAN: Yeah.	
7	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COFFMAN:	
8	Q. Mr. Haro, you said that the	
9	\$7 million that would be what's anticipated next	
10	year in transmission charges are that you know	
11	that the utility will have to pay those charges.	
12	Is it so is it your opinion that those are known	
13	and measurable charges now as we sit here today?	
14	A. They're not perfectly defined, but we	
15	can estimate it based on a forecast.	
16	Q. And that's based on projects that are	
17	serving customers right now?	
18	A. They're based on a schedule charge.	
19	So it's a charge that we get for buying	
20	transmission.	
21	Q. My question is, are they are the	
22	projects that those are related to, are those	
23	projects that are up and running and are they	
24	providing are they transmitting electricity	
25	today?	

		Page 2050	
1	Α.	So your question is, where is MISO	
2	basing the c	harge that they will charge us for	
3	transmission	, where that comes from?	
4	Q.	My understanding is that these are	
5	projects tha	t are not yet providing any service to	
6	anyone, they	're in the process of being	
7	constructed;	is that correct?	
8	Α.	Well, I think there's a mix. Some	
9	are in const	ruction, some are	
10	Q.	What percentage is currently	
11	providing se	rvice?	
12	Α.	I don't know. All I can tell you	
13	Q.	A small amount?	
14	Α.	I don't know.	
15	Q.	Small percentage, less than half?	
16	Α.	I don't know.	
17	Q.	You don't know?	
18	Α.	No.	
19		MR. COFFMAN: Thank you. That's all	
20	I have.		
21		JUDGE WOODRUFF: MIEC?	
22		MR. DOWNEY: Yes, Judge, a couple of	
23	questions.		
24	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:		
25	Q.	Mr. Haro, Ameren Missouri decides,	

Page 2051

- does it not, how it offers into the MISO market?
- 2 A. To a certain extent. We have the
- 3 obligation to offer into the MISO every day as
- 4 being network resources. We have some latitude
- 5 about how we offer the units, yes.
- 6 Q. All right. And Commissioner Rupp was
- 7 asking you some questions about dollar impacts. I
- 8 want to follow up on that. Ameren Missouri
- 9 controls, does it not, when it files a rate case?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And if it thinks its rates are too
- 12 low to recover its costs, it then can make the
- 13 election to file a rate case, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And if Ameren Missouri is earning
- 16 better than its authorized return on equity, might
- 17 that be a factor Ameren Missouri would consider in
- 18 whether or not it files a rate case?
- 19 A. When you say earning better, what
- 20 time frame are you talking about, a couple of
- 21 months or a five-year period?
- 22 Q. Whatever the time period that Ameren
- 23 Missouri is examining when it decides whether to
- 24 file a rate case.
- 25 A. I guess it's a factor.

Page 2052

- 1 Q. All right. And some costs that
- 2 Ameren Missouri incurs go down, right?
- 3 MR. LOWERY: Objection, vague. I'm
- 4 not sure what some costs is intended to mean.
- 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: If you could clarify
- 6 your question.
- 7 BY MR. DOWNEY:
- 8 Q. Sure. Some expenses that are built
- 9 into base rates increase, would you agree?
- 10 A. I would agree some of them do, yes.
- 11 Q. And one is what we're talking about
- 12 right now, Schedule 26A transmission charges,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. And then some expenses that
- 16 are built into base rates will decrease?
- 17 A. Well, the issue here is trans-- this
- 18 is transmission charge, though.
- 19 Q. No. No. I'm talking generally, not
- just focused on transmission. Some expenses that
- 21 are built into base rates will go down between rate
- 22 cases, won't they?
- 23 A. I don't know exactly which ones would
- 24 be.
- 25 Q. I'm not asking you to define which

Page 2053

- 1 ones, but will you acknowledge that some of them
- 2 will go down?
- 3 A. Hypothetically, some of them may.
- Q. Okay. And so while you posit that
- 5 these transmission costs are going to go up, the
- 6 increase might be offset by other expenses where
- 7 the costs have gone down; would you agree?
- 8 MR. LOWERY: Objection. Calls for
- 9 speculation.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I wouldn't know.
- 12 BY MR. DOWNEY:
- 13 Q. But you do agree that Ameren Missouri
- 14 controls when it files a rate case if it thinks its
- 15 expenses have exceeded what are built into base
- 16 rates, correct?
- 17 A. We control that, but the expenses
- 18 already are higher than what are in the net rate
- 19 base. So even if we control and we file right
- 20 away, they keep going up. So there's always going
- 21 to be a line. There's always going to be an amount
- 22 of dollars that we won't recover.
- 23 Q. But that is not the only expense
- 24 Ameren Missouri incurs to provide service, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

Page 2054 1 Q. There are other expenses, right? 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. DOWNEY: All right. No further 4 questions. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any redirect? 6 MR. LOWERY: Yes, your Honor. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY: 8 Q. Mr. Thompson asked you a question about whether you would be incurring MISO charges 10 if you left -- transmission charges if you left 11 MISO. Do you recall that? 12 Α. Yes, I do. 13 Q. And I think you said something about 14 an exit fee? A. Yes. 15 16 Q. Is the exit fee the same as incurring 17 transmission charges for the megawatt hours that you're buying from the market? 18 19 No, it is not. Α. 20 Can you explain -- when you refer to Q. 21 an exit fee, can you explain what you were talking 22 about? 23 Sure. MISO has spent some money to 24 build a system that it's designed to serve all its market participants. So they're distributing the 25

Page 2055

- 1 cost among all the participants that benefit from
- 2 the expense. So if a market participant decides to
- 3 leave the market and the MISO participation, then
- 4 MISO will assess a charge that will cover and make
- 5 the other participants whole for this particular
- 6 participant leaving the market.
- 7 Q. But it's not a charge, it's not a
- 8 transmission charge related to megawatt hours
- 9 you're taking, right?
- 10 A. It is not.
- 11 Q. Commissioner Rupp asked you some
- 12 questions about the dollars that we're kind of
- 13 talking about here. I think he was trying to get
- 14 his head around kind of what kind of dollars we're
- 15 talking about. Do you remember that?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. In answer to that question, I think
- 18 you talked about benefits that the company and the
- 19 customers are getting from participation in MISO?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know what kind of benefits
- we're talking about for participation in MISO?
- 23 A. I think the last time we came to this
- 24 Commission to ask permission to stay in the MISO,
- 25 the benefits were calculated in excess of

Page 2056

- 1 \$100 million a year, \$105 million a year.
- 2 Q. And how are those benefits reflected
- 3 in the ratemaking process, generally?
- 4 A. All those benefits flow through the
- 5 fuel adjustment clause as well.
- 6 Q. And just to clarify for the record,
- 7 when you say all, I guess changes in the benefits,
- 8 95 percent of the changes would flow through,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Or whatever it's not incurring in the
- 11 net base energy charge, yes.
- 12 Q. Commissioner Hall asked you some
- 13 questions about, you know, sort of what's the
- 14 source of figuring out what these transmission
- 15 charges are. I hope I'm not butchering his basic
- question, but I think that's what he more or less
- was getting at. Do you remember that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And I think you said they arise under
- 20 the tariff; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you said there isn't a dollar
- 23 amount. Did I understand you correctly?
- A. Yes. Well, yes, probably should have
- 25 said that it's a number that will be filed in the

Page 2057

- 1 tariff, I would assume.
- 2 Q. Is there -- is there a formula that
- 3 says you have X megawatt hours and there's a rate,
- 4 or how does that work, do you know?
- 5 A. Yes. They compute the total charges
- 6 that will be incurred in the market, and then they
- 7 divide it by the megawatts used by the
- 8 participants.
- 9 Q. And that rate, let's just talk
- 10 about 26A, for example, because I know that's the
- 11 larger -- well, let me just back up to clarify
- 12 something.
- 13 MISO transmission charges don't only
- 14 arise under Schedule 26A, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. But I guess one of the reasons we may
- 17 have some controversy here today is because
- 18 Schedule 26A charges are the ones that are
- 19 currently and expected to go up quite a bit; is
- 20 that fair to say?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Am I correct that you multiply a rate
- 23 set for 26A by those megawatt hours that you're
- 24 buying from the market?
- 25 A. That is correct. So the charge, it's

Page 2058

- 1 whatever you withdraw from the market, which is a
- 2 combination of your purchases for load and
- 3 purchases for other purposes.
- 4 Q. Now, I want to talk a little bit more
- 5 about self-schedule and self-supply, which was an
- 6 interest that the Chairman had. Let me start with
- 7 the question, does Ameren Missouri self-supply?
- 8 A. No, we don't.
- 9 Q. But for hydro units it self-
- 10 schedules; is that right?
- 11 A. There's some units that we self-
- 12 schedule under certain circumstances, yes.
- 13 Q. And so let me -- let me -- because I
- 14 wasn't sure if I was completely following the
- 15 answer you gave about -- you said that -- you said
- 16 the Osage plant. Was that the plant you were
- 17 referring to?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So let me make sure I understood what
- you had told the Chairman. So what you were saying
- 21 is that MISO has a model and the model looks at
- 22 when the unit should run in a given 24-hour period,
- 23 right?
- MR. DOWNEY: Judge, I'm going to
- 25 object. This is all leading questions, including

Page 2059 the last one. 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain the 3 objection. You can rephrase. MR. LOWERY: Sure. 4 5 BY MR. LOWERY: 6 What was the significance of the fact Ο. 7 that water is free in your discussion with 8 Commissioner -- or the Chairman about Osage and self-scheduling? 10 The significance is that by being Α. free in the real-time model, MISO will dispatch the 11 12 generation in a very different fashion than the way 13 they cleared in the day-ahead market. So in the day-ahead market, they look at 24 hours and they 14 15 optimize 24 hours, and that's how they solve for 16 the better hours or the higher priced hours is when 17 they expect you to generate. 18 However, in the real-time market, as long as the market is higher than your cost, they 19 will dispatch you. So by being zero, they will 20 21 dispatch you with a mismatch than what you clear day ahead. 22 23 Q. And explain to me what you mean by 24 mismatch. Does that mean they're not matching the 25 highest priced hours with the hours they would

Page 2060

- 1 dispatch to generation?
- 2 A. Correct. They will -- they will
- 3 solve just for a specific hour, not for 24 hours
- 4 like they do day ahead. So in that particular
- 5 hour, the unit is economic, they will dispatch,
- 6 irregardless of what the next hour will be. So
- 7 they don't look at all the day to make the
- 8 real-time dispatch. So we have to make sure we
- 9 self-schedule to match what the day-ahead schedule
- 10 was.
- 11 Q. What impact does self-scheduling have
- on charges in the fuel adjustment clause in the
- 13 circumstance you just described?
- A. So what we're protecting is, we're
- 15 protecting the customers' interest by doing that
- 16 because what will happen is you will get revenues
- 17 for the very low prices of those hours, and the
- 18 hours that you have to generate that you don't have
- 19 the water anymore, you will have to buy it back at
- 20 higher prices.
- 21 So all those will be purchases and
- 22 sales that will flow through the fuel adjustment
- 23 clause and will harm the customers in the final.
- Q. Does self-scheduling have anything to
- 25 do with whether you sell your megawatt hours to the

Page 2061

- 1 market or you buy megawatt hours for your customers
- 2 from the market?
- 3 A. It has nothing to do with that. It's
- 4 just a parameter and how long a generator can run.
- 5 Q. Mr. Downey in his initial
- 6 cross-examination showed you several surveillance
- 7 reports, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Are the dollars he pointed to you,
- 10 how are those reported? Are those net dollars or
- 11 gross dollars?
- 12 A. These are net dollars.
- 13 Q. Are there requirements that the
- 14 company keep track of gross sales and purchases?
- 15 A. Yes, there are requirements.
- 16 Q. Are there requirements about how the
- 17 company has to report the sales and purchases?
- 18 A. Yes. The company has to report them
- in net, but it has to keep track of the gross.
- 20 Q. And those requirements come from
- 21 where?
- 22 A. FERC.
- MR. LOWERY: Thank you, Mr. Haro. I
- 24 don't have any other questions, your Honor.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go ahead,

Page 2062

- 1 Commissioner Rupp.
- 2 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:
- 3 Q. Sorry to keep you here.
- 4 A. That's okay.
- 5 Q. I'm trying to wrap my head around the
- 6 policy. So would you agree with this statement.
- 7 See if I'm understanding this issue correctly.
- 8 Is -- the basic question here is, should these
- 9 Schedule 26A transmission charges, should they
- 10 basically be included in base rates or should they
- 11 be put through on the FAC?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 O. You made the statement that all the
- 14 benefits of being in MISO flow through to the FAC,
- 15 **\$100 million?**
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. So is it the company's position that,
- 18 therefore, since all the benefits flow through the
- 19 FAC, therefore, all the charges and costs should
- 20 flow through the FAC?
- 21 A. Furthermore, what I would say is
- 22 these are costs of transportation for purchased
- 23 power. So that's what they should be including in
- 24 the FAC.
- 25 Q. Okay. So are all of the charges to

Page 2063

- 1 the company for participation in the MISO, do all
- 2 of those charges flow through the FAC?
- 3 A. No, not all of them.
- 4 Q. And what percentage of them would you
- 5 estimate flow through the FAC?
- 6 A. Of the number of charges or the
- 7 dollar amount?
- 8 Q. The total dollar amount.
- 9 A. It would be a wild guess. I don't
- 10 know.
- 11 Q. I mean, are we looking at, is this a
- just a small piece of it or is this 70, 80 percent
- 13 **or** --
- 14 A. I would think it would tend to be a
- 15 smaller piece. It's just the administrative
- 16 charges that are in base rates.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. They should -- with specifically 26A,
- 19 it's a cost that it's for purchased power and it's
- 20 a changing number, which is why it flows through
- 21 the fuel adjustment clause.
- 22 Q. So none of the benefits of being in
- 23 MISO pass through base rates, they just all flow
- 24 through the FAC?
- 25 A. I don't know exactly the answer to

Page 2064 1 that question. 2 COMMISSIONER RUPP: That's all I 3 have, Judge. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any further recross 4 5 based on that question? 6 MR. THOMPSON: No, thank you, Judge. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any redirect? FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY: 8 9 Q. Just one question. I just want to see if you can maybe help Commissioner Rupp out a 10 11 little bit on that question. I think what he asked 12 you was, do the benefits from being in MISO, do 13 they flow through the fuel adjustment clause or do 14 they flow through base rates? I believe that was 15 the question. Was that the question essentially? And the majority do flow -- I think 16 Α. 17 most of them flow through the fuel adjustment clause. I don't know if there's a small benefit 18 that's in the base, but -- so I know the 19 20 administrative charges are in the base, but I don't 21 see any of the benefits there. 22 Is the reason you say most of them do 23 is because most benefits relate to the ability to realize margins on sales that you make? Is that 24 25 what you're getting at?

Page 2065 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And those sales are in the FAC? 3 Α. Yes. MR. LOWERY: I don't know if that 4 5 helped, but I hope it did. 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anything further? 7 MR. LOWERY: No. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. 8 Mr. Haro, you can step down. 10 (Witness excused.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: Next name on the 11 12 list is Jeffrey Jones for Ameren. MR. LOWERY: I'm going to venture a 13 wild guess and think this might be pretty quick, 14 15 your Honor. 16 (Witness sworn.) 17 JEFFREY JONES testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY: 18 19 Q. Could you please state your name for 20 the record. 21 A. Jeffrey Jones. 22 Did you cause to be prepared for 23 filing in this docket highly confidential and 24 public versions of your testimony that are marked for identification as Exhibit 211? 25

Page 2066 A. I did. 1 2 Q. Do you have any corrections that need to be made? 3 4 A. I do not. 5 If I were to pose the same questions Q. in your testimony, would your answers be the same? 6 7 Α. They would. MR. LOWERY: With that, your Honor, I 8 offer Exhibit 211 HC and NP and tender Mr. Jones for cross-examination. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: It's actually 21. 11 12 MR. LOWERY: I'm sorry. I misread it. Exhibit 21. 13 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 21 has been offered. 15 Any objection to its receipt? 16 (No response.) 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it will be received. 18 19 (AMEREN MISSOURI EXHIBIT NO. 21NP/HC WAS MARKED AND RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 20 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anybody wish to make 22 any cross-examination? 23 (No response.) 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Come up to questions from the Bench. Chairman? 25

		Page 2067
1	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No questions.	
2	Thank you.	
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?	
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: My question is to	
5	the counsel. Why is this highly confidential?	
6	MR. LOWERY: Well, there's	
7	projections of costs that would be sensitive market	
8	information that we can't put out there unless we	
9	release it generally. You know, it's back to the	
10	SEC issues. Generally not public information.	
11	COMMISSIONER HALL: All right. Thank	
12	you.	
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp?	
14	Any recross? Redirect?	
15	(No response.)	
16	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Then,	
17	Mr. Jones, you can step down.	
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
19	(Witness excused.)	
20	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Next name on the	
21	list is Mr. Rygh, and I understand nobody had any	
22	questions for Mr. Rygh, so he didn't come in.	
23	MR. LOWERY: Do you prefer I just	
24	wait to the end of the hearing and clean up	
25	testimony then of Mr. Rygh?	

	Page 2068
1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Why don't you go
2	ahead and offer it now?
3	MR. LOWERY: I would offer
4	Exhibit 42.
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Exhibit 42 has been
6	offered. Any objections to its receipt?
7	(No response.)
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it
9	will be received.
10	(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 42 WAS MARKED
11	AND RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
12	JUDGE WOODRUFF: And that completes
13	the testimony for Ameren. And we'd move to
14	Mr. Dauphinais.
15	MR. THOMPSON: Judge, could I inquire
16	about whether you were going to take a lunch break
17	today?
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, if we
19	anticipate Mr. Dauphinais going quickly, we'll push
20	forward. If not, we'll go ahead and take a lunch
21	break. I'll leave it up to Ameren here.
22	MR. LOWERY: It's certainly not real
23	long. I don't know, 10 minutes, 15 minutes maybe.
24	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any problems with
25	pushing forward?

		Page 2069
1	(No response.)	
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let's try and finish	
3	it up.	
4	(Witness sworn.)	
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may inquire.	
6	JAMES DAUPHINAIS testified as follows:	
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:	
8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Dauphinais.	
9	A. Good afternoon.	
10	Q. Where do you work?	
11	A. I work for Brubaker & Associates,	
12	Inc. Principal place of business is 1669 Swingley	
13	Ridge Road, Chesterfield, Missouri 63017.	
14	Q. Thank you. And did you prepare	
15	testimony in this case?	
16	A. Yes, I did.	
17	Q. And at the witness stand, you should	
18	have Exhibit 508, both HC and NP version. Do you	
19	see that?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. And also Exhibit 509, again an HC and	
22	an NP version.	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. Is 508 your direct testimony?	
25	A. Yes.	

		Page 2070
1	Q. And is 509 your surrebuttal?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Do you have any corrections or	
4	changes you wish to make to those testimonies?	
5	A. No.	
6	Q. If I were to ask you those same	
7	questions today, would your answers be the same?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And are they true to the best of your	
10	knowledge and belief?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	MR. DOWNEY: Judge, I'll offer these	
13	exhibits. Mr. Dauphinais will be testifying in the	
14	Noranda issue, though.	
15	JUDGE WOODRUFF: 508 and 509 have	
16	been offered. I'll defer ruling on those until the	
17	next issue.	
18	All right. For cross-examination,	
19	beginning with Staff.	
20	MR. THOMPSON: No questions. Thank	
21	you.	
22	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?	
23	MR. POSTON: No questions.	
24	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Consumers Council?	
25	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.	

		Page 2071
1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ameren?	
2	MR. LOWERY: Thank you, your Honor.	
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:	
4	Q. I guess it's good afternoon,	
5	Mr. Dauphinais.	
6	A. Good afternoon.	
7	Q. On page 10 of your surrebuttal, you	
8	quote from FERC Order 668 which was issued by the	
9	FERC in 2005, correct?	
10	A. I quoted from a portion of it, yes.	
11	Q. And you said a portion of it. The	
12	Order is rather lengthy; is that correct?	
13	A. That's correct.	
14	Q. And you do have the entire Order, but	
15	you just quoted this one portion, right?	
16	A. That is correct.	
17	MR. LOWERY: What's my next exhibit,	
18	your Honor?	
19	JUDGE WOODRUFF: 66.	
20	(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 66 WAS MARKED	
21	FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)	
22	BY MR. LOWERY:	
23	Q. Mr. Dauphinais, I've handed you	
24	what's been marked for identification as	
2.5	Exhibit 66, and I'm going to ask you to confirm	

Page 2072

- 1 that this is a portion of FERC Order 668, the Order
- 2 we were just talking about.
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. And I've omitted -- I put the cover
- 5 page and the table of contents, but I've omitted
- 6 the Order up to the page before the page on which
- 7 you had pulled a particular quote out; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, the quote that you have in your
- 11 testimony appears in paragraph 80 on page 39 of
- 12 Order 668, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And that's one of five sentences in
- paragraph 80?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And if we look at paragraphs 80
- 18 through 84, that's the FERC's conclusion on the
- 19 issue that it was discussing in the pages leading
- up to page 39, correct?
- 21 A. That's correct. That's the
- 22 conclusion in its entirety.
- 23 Q. Now, you say that when the FERC used
- 24 the term, quote, netting in the sentence that you
- 25 quoted, it means netting clear load and netting

Page 2073

- 1 clear generation by one market participant in one
- 2 hour, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Ameren Missouri is a MISO market
- 5 participant; you'd agree with that?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And when you say cleared load and
- 8 cleared generation, there's a gross amount of
- 9 generation in an hour from a market participant and
- 10 there's a gross amount of load, a gross quantity of
- 11 megawatt hours taken by that market participant's
- 12 load in a given hour, and then you net those
- 13 together, right?
- 14 A. Yes. The netting of the gross load
- 15 and generation is cleared, yes.
- 16 Q. Can you please read the first
- sentence in paragraph 80?
- 18 A. Recording RTO energy market
- 19 transactions on a net basis is appropriate as
- 20 purchase and sale transactions taking place in the
- 21 same reporting period to serve native load are done
- 22 in contemplation of each other and should be
- 23 combined.
- Q. And the next sentence is the one you
- 25 quoted, right?

Page 2074

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And Ameren Missouri does participate
- 3 in an RTO market, it's the MISO markets, to serve
- 4 its load, correct?
- 5 A. It participates in the markets on
- 6 behalf of its customers, yes.
- 7 Q. Now, when the FERC says, quote, use
- 8 of an RTO market, that means the same thing as,
- 9 quote, participate in an RTO market, doesn't it?
- 10 A. I'm not sure I quite got that. Can
- 11 you repeat that, please?
- 12 A. I'll do my best. When the FERC in
- 13 this Order uses the term, quote, use of an RTO
- 14 market, end quote, their use of that phrase means
- 15 the same thing as if that were to say, quote,
- 16 participate in an RTO market, end quote, doesn't
- 17 it?
- 18 A. I think that's a fair
- 19 characterization.
- Q. Would you please read the first
- 21 sentence in paragraph 80?
- 22 A. The Commission will, therefore, adopt
- 23 the proposed -- I'm sorry. You said the third
- 24 sentence?
- 25 Q. Actually, I meant the last sentence.

Page 2075

- 1 A. Last sentence. Okay. The Commission
- 2 does expect public utilities, however, to maintain
- 3 detailed records for auditing purposes of the gross
- 4 sale and purchase transactions that support the net
- 5 energy market amounts recorded on their books.
- 6 Q. When the FERC refers to a gross sale,
- 7 they are referring to the gross or total megawatt
- 8 hours cleared by the market participant's
- 9 generation; is that correct?
- 10 A. They use the gross sale to refer to
- 11 gross clearing, yes.
- 12 Q. Or cleared by the market
- 13 participant's load, right?
- 14 A. They use gross sale for effectively
- 15 cleared -- gross cleared generation, and I think
- 16 they might use gross purchase for gross cleared
- 17 load.
- 18 Q. And I think that maybe was going to
- 19 be my next question. When the FERC in that last
- 20 sentence refers to a gross sale, they intend the
- word gross to apply both to the sale transaction
- 22 and the purchase transaction that they describe in
- 23 that sentence; is that true?
- A. They mean gross to apply to both,
- 25 before the netting in each hour.

Page 2076

- 1 Q. They mean it to apply -- in other
- words, we could insert the word gross sale and
- 3 gross purchase in that sentence and it would mean
- 4 the same thing as what the FERC wrote; isn't that
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Why don't you point me right to the
- 7 specific sentence again, so I make sure I agree
- 8 with the context?
- 9 O. It's the last sentence. When the
- 10 FERC refers to a gross sale, they intend the word
- 11 gross to apply both to a sale transaction and to a
- 12 purchase transaction; isn't that right?
- 13 A. I would agree the way this is
- 14 written, yes.
- 15 Q. Now, the total cleared load in a
- 16 given hour is being referred to by the FERC here in
- 17 this last sentence of paragraph 80 as a gross
- 18 purchase, right? When they say total cleared load,
- 19 the use of gross purchase is their way of referring
- 20 to the total cleared load; isn't that right?
- 21 A. That is the way they're referring to
- 22 it, yes.
- MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I'd move
- 24 Exhibit 66 into the record -- or 66? Sorry.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, 66.

	Page 2077
1	MR. LOWERY: 66 into the record
2	please.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: 66 has been offered.
4	Any objection to its receipt?
5	(No response.)
6	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it
7	will be received.
8	(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 66 WAS RECEIVED
9	INTO EVIDENCE.)
10	(AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 67 WAS MARKED
11	FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
12	BY MR. LOWERY:
13	Q. Mr. Dauphinais, I've handed you
14	what's been marked for identification as
15	Exhibit 67. Do you recognize this to be a
16	follow-up order to the FERC Order 668?
17	A. Yes. That appears to be yes, it
18	is identified as Order No. 668-A, and as such would
19	be a follow-up order.
20	Q. And it was issued a few months after
21	668 was issued; is that right?
22	A. Based on this date, yes.
23	Q. Turn to page 9. Do you see where
24	there's a heading called clarification?
25	A. Yes.

Page 2078

- 1 Q. And we can see that what the FERC is
- 2 doing here is they are clarifying Order 668 that we
- 3 were just talking about, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Would you agree that paragraph 13 of
- 6 Order 668-A refers to net sales and net purchases?
- 7 A. Give me a moment. It does use the
- 8 terms net sale and net purchase.
- 9 Q. And you don't have to read these into
- 10 the record, but would you read paragraphs 14 and 15
- of 668 to yourself. I want to ask you a question
- 12 about them.
- 13 A. I'm ready.
- 14 Q. Paragraphs 14 and 15 that I just had
- 15 you read, they make clear that in any given hour
- 16 the market participant does not always have either
- 17 a net sale or net purchase, but rather the market
- 18 participant could have a net sale in a given hour
- in the real-time market and could have a net
- 20 purchase in the same hour in the day-ahead market;
- 21 isn't that right?
- 22 A. It says that --
- Q. Well, let me first ask you, does it
- 24 say that?
- 25 A. It says that you can have different

Page 2079

- 1 results in day-ahead and real-time. However,
- 2 within daytime you would have either a sale or a
- 3 purchase, and in real-time you only have a sale or
- 4 a purchase. But in that same hour, it's possible
- 5 that you have a different -- you could have a
- 6 purchase in day-ahead while you have a sale in
- 7 real-time. That's what it says.
- 8 Q. According to the Order, FERC talks in
- 9 terms of net purchases and net sales, not sales and
- 10 purchases; isn't that right?
- 11 A. They use the word net, yes.
- MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I don't
- 13 think I have any other questions. Well, I would
- 14 move for admission of Exhibit 67, and then I don't
- 15 have any other questions.
- 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 67 has been offered.
- 17 Any objections to its receipt?
- 18 (No response.)
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, it
- 20 will be received.
- 21 (AMERENUE EXHIBIT NO. 67 WAS RECEIVED
- 22 INTO EVIDENCE.)
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: We will come up for
- 24 questions from the Bench. Mr. Chairman?
- 25 QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN KENNEY:

		Page 2080
1	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Dauphinais. How	
2	are you?	
3	A. Good. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman.	
4	Q. My first question is regarding the	
5	number of utilities with an FAC that flow	
6	transmission costs through that FAC. Your counsel,	
7	Mr. Downey, indicated you'd have the answer to that	
8	question.	
9	A. I do have that answer. I'm involved	
10	in many jurisdictions around the country, both in	
11	the eastern part of the country and the western	
12	part of the country. I think the key on that	
13	question is what type of transmission costs are we	
14	talking about?	
15	If we're talking about transmission	
16	costs that are incurred to transport or transmit	
17	power from a utility's own generation facility to	
18	its own customers, I know of no fuel adjustment	
19	clause that allows those transmission costs to be	
20	recovered.	
21	I am aware of some fuel adjustment	
22	clauses that do allow the transmission of	
23	transmission cost for purchased power and	
24	transmission cost for off-system sales to be	
25	included in a fuel adjustment clause. That does	

Page 2081

- 1 occur in a few places around the country.
- 2 Q. So as far as transmission costs
- 3 associated with transporting your own power from
- 4 your generation to your native load, you're not
- 5 aware of any FAC in the United States that flows
- 6 those types of transmission costs through that FAC?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. Let me ask you, you were in the room
- 9 for Mr. Haro's testimony and my questions of him
- 10 regarding self-supply and self-scheduling?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If Ameren -- and I want to -- as I
- 13 understand Mr. Haro's testimony and the distinction
- 14 between self-scheduling and self-supply, my
- interest is more in self-supplying. If Ameren's
- 16 bidding into the market all of its generation and
- 17 then it's buying out of the market all of its needs
- 18 to supply its native load, how would you
- 19 differentiate between that energy that is generated
- 20 from its own generators versus energy that comes
- 21 from any other generator that participates in the
- 22 MISO market?
- 23 A. I think the key here is that for
- 24 self -- we do have self-supply going on here
- 25 because the MISO market is a tool that the company

Page 2082

- 1 has available to it in addition to its own
- 2 generation resources to serve its own customers.
- 3 And so -- and the company decides how to utilize
- 4 its generation resources, how to schedule them,
- 5 whether that's to offer them into the MISO market
- or to self-schedule them, actually specify it will
- 7 operate in this hour at this megawatt level or to
- 8 specify I'm going to operate on this day and give
- 9 MISO this dispatch range. They make those types of
- 10 decisions.
- 11 But it's really a tool. Ultimately
- 12 generation is being utilized to serve the
- 13 customers. That's the purpose of that generation.
- 14 That's why it was invested in. And I think that's
- 15 important. I think just because we clear it
- 16 through an RTO market wasn't meant to change this
- 17 from a self-supply situation. It doesn't do that.
- 18 It's just a mechanism to aid the company.
- 19 Q. So then based upon what you're
- 20 saying, there should be a mechanism by which to
- 21 differentiate those transmission costs incurred to
- 22 transport its own generation to its native load
- 23 from those transmission costs that are associated
- 24 with purchased power?
- 25 A. There should be. In fact, I argue

Page 2083

- 1 there is because we already do this for fuel. We
- 2 don't say all the fuel is for off-system sales. We
- 3 determine how much of the fuel is for customers and
- 4 how much of the fuel and their generation was for
- 5 off-system sales. And, therefore, the same
- 6 mechanism can be used to calculate how much of the
- 7 transmission charges that they incur for the load
- 8 is associated with moving power from their own
- 9 generation facilities to their own load versus --
- 10 versus power that's for or transmission costs
- 11 that are incurred for other purposes, like bringing
- 12 power to the load.
- 13 Q. What would be the implications of
- 14 allowing transmission costs associated with
- 15 transporting energy from Ameren's generation to its
- 16 native load, allowing those costs through the FAC,
- 17 treating it all as purchased power?
- 18 A. If it's treated all as purchased
- 19 power, it would increase the amount of transmission
- 20 charges that Ameren Missouri is allowed to include
- 21 in its fuel adjustment clause. What that does is
- 22 it gives the company the ability to have yet
- 23 additional cost. Where they have increases, they
- 24 don't have to offset them against -- or they don't
- 25 have -- they can avoid coming in possibly for a

Page 2084 base rate increase or base rate filing because of 2 the fact that they'd recover the cost increase 3 through the FAC. If it's a base rate item, then they 4 5 would have to consider other costs and whether those costs have decreased such that they might not 6 7 need to come in for a base rate case. 8 So it's an issue of the single-issue ratemaking issue we heard earlier, that's really what it's about. We increase the number of things 10 that were tracked in the fuel adjustment clause, it 11 12 would more and more increase the amount of 13 single-issue ratemaking we have going on. 14 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Very well. Thank you, Mr. Dauphinais. I don't have any other 15 questions. Thanks for your time. 16 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall? 18 COMMISSIONER HALL: No questions. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Rupp? 19 20 COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions. 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Anyone 22 wish to recross based on those questions from the Bench? 23 2.4 MR. LOWERY: Yes, I do. 25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go ahead.

Page 2085

- 1 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:
- 2 Q. I noticed in answering the Chairman's
- 3 question about self-supply that you switched over
- 4 and started talking about self-scheduling. Did you
- 5 not use the term self-scheduling in answering his
- 6 question about self-supply, did you not?
- 7 A. I talked about self-scheduling as one
- 8 of the ways that Ameren has to effectively schedule
- 9 or choose how to utilize its generation facilities
- 10 in the -- operating in the MISO market.
- 11 Q. But self-supply is something that's
- 12 distinct from self-schedule under the MISO tariff;
- 13 is it not?
- 14 A. Self-supply, as Mr. Haro said, is not
- 15 a term defined under the MISO tariff.
- 16 Q. But self-schedule is, isn't it?
- 17 A. Self-scheduling refers to a very
- 18 specific thing. That is, it's limited to
- 19 specifically telling MISO that I am going to
- 20 operate this unit in this hour at this megawatt
- 21 level or you're going to operate this unit, and
- 22 MISO, you may operate it between this megawatt
- 23 band, somewhere between there you can dispatch it.
- 24 Both of those are self-scheduling. It has a very
- 25 specific, narrow meaning in the tariff.

Page 2086

- 1 O. Yeah. And it -- and it doesn't
- 2 broadly mean the way -- what you described self-
- 3 supply to mean, because what you said self-supply
- 4 is is that 100 percent of the output from all of
- 5 Ameren Missouri's generators is, to use your words,
- 6 being self-supplied to Ameren Missouri's load, and
- 7 Ameren Missouri does not self-schedule all of its
- 8 generating units, does it?
- 9 A. It does not self-schedule all its
- 10 generating units the way that term is defined in
- 11 the MISO tariff.
- 12 Q. You answered the Chairman's question
- 13 about transmission charges and fuel adjustment
- 14 clauses, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You said you have experience in a lot
- of different states; is that right?
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. Tell me which of the 50 states'
- 20 statutes that might govern fuel adjustment clauses
- 21 that you've examined carefully that might form the
- 22 basis of the answer you gave the Chairman. Which
- 23 ones have you reviewed?
- A. I haven't examined statutes, but I
- 25 have examined tariffs.

Page 2087 1 Q. Well, so let me ask the question, 2 have you examined statutes in those states? 3 I haven't performed a legal analysis of statutes in those states, no. 4 5 Q. Have you examined the statutes at al1? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Because in this case, MIEC is relying upon an examination of the Missouri statutes for a lot of its argument; isn't that fair? 10 Α. 11 Yes. 12 Q. How many utilities' fuel adjustment 13 clause tariffs have you examined in the last three, 14 four years? 15 I'd say between -- just under ten Α. maybe would be about the right number. 16 17 Ο. Aren't there something close to 100 electric utilities, distinct electric utilities in 18 19 non-restructured states? 20 A. Yes. 21 So you've examined 10 of the fuel Q. 22 adjustment clauses, ten out of those 100? 23 For over a broad geographic area, Α. 24 though. 25 But only 10 out of 100? Q.

Page 2088

- 1 A. Yeah, 10 out of 100.
- 2 Q. How many commission fuel adjustment
- 3 clause rules in the 50 states have you examined
- 4 carefully as it pertains to the issue that the
- 5 Chairman was asking you about?
- A. Probably two or three.
- 7 Q. And there's 50 state commissions,
- 8 wouldn't you agree?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Isn't it true that some states have
- 11 transmission cost riders or trackers?
- 12 A. Some do. But that's a very different
- 13 instrument than a fuel adjustment clause and
- 14 specifically established outside of a fuel
- 15 adjustment clause.
- 16 MR. LOWERY: I don't have any further
- 17 questions, your honor.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Redirect?
- MR. DOWNEY: Yes.
- 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:
- Q. Mr. Dauphinais, I've handed you or
- 22 the court reporter handed you Exhibit 529. Do you
- 23 have that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What is that?

Page 2089 It's a couple of excerpts from the 1 Α. 2 MISO tariff. 3 Q. And I notice on page 2, the date doesn't make a lot of sense. 4 5 Α. Yeah. That's the way MISO currently has the date in their definition section of the 7 tariff as posted on their website. It's not clear why that's -- the date is 9998, but that is the way 8 they currently have it posted on their website. 10 And there's been a lot of discussion Q. 11 about the Schedule 26A charges because those are 12 the big dollars. Yes. 13 Α. 14 Q. Do you recall that? 15 A. Yes.

- 16 What is the relevance of these Q.
- definitions in this --17
- Α. Yes. 18
- 19 -- this exhibit to those discussions? Q.
- 20 MR. LOWERY: I'm going to interpose
- 21 an objection before Mr. Dauphinais starts talking
- about this exhibit. Mr. Dauphinais filed two 22
- rounds of testimony on these issues already in this 23
- 24 case. 26A was obviously implicated in all of those
- testimonies from the beginning. All of these 25

Page 2090

- 1 definitions he had ample opportunity to bring these
- 2 up.
- 3 Mr. Downey -- and so what's happened
- 4 here is we've talked about an issue that was
- 5 completely talked about in everybody's prefiled
- 6 testimony, and now on redirect we've got an
- 7 orchestration and we're going to try to dump
- 8 information into the record at a time when nobody
- 9 has an opportunity to respond to it. And I don't
- 10 think -- I don't think it's proper to allow that to
- 11 happen.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Your response?
- MR. DOWNEY: Yes, Judge. I think
- 14 he's been questioned by Commissioners and
- 15 Mr. Lowery about this very issue, and this document
- 16 goes with that issue. I mean, if the door is
- 17 opened, I don't see how I can be faulted for
- 18 walking through it.
- 19 MR. LOWERY: He was asked about the
- 20 amount of Schedule 26A charges. He wasn't
- 21 questioned anything about the operations of
- 22 Schedule -- I certainly didn't and neither did the
- 23 Commissioners question him anything about the
- 24 operation of 26A, how the formula works or anything
- 25 of that nature. He was only questioned by

Page 2091 Commissioner Rupp about the amount of 26A charges. 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm going to overrule the objection. You can answer the 3 4 question. THE WITNESS: These two tariff sheets 5 are related to Schedule 26A. The first sheet on 7 Exhibit 529 is the actual first page of the Schedule 26A rate, and one of -- the relevant 8 feature on this is that it explains how this rate is applied. It's applied to monthly net actual 10 11 energy withdrawals. It's monthly net actual energy 12 withdrawals, and that's under the first paragraph 13 regarding the rate. 14 The second page of this exhibit provides the MISO tariff definition of monthly net 15 actual energy withdrawal. And the relevance in 16 17 this is that it emphasize -- it doesn't talk about making any purchases from the market as being the 18 basis for the charges. The basis of the charges is 19 the calculated volume of megawatt hours that flows 20 21 out of the transmission system during an operating

And, in fact, in MISO's settlements,

Fax: 314.644.1334

month, as measured at a commercial pricing node,

Schedule 26A charges are not part of market

but this is definitely not tied to MISO purchases.

22

23

24

25

Page 2092

- 1 settlements. They're part of a completely separate
- 2 thing in a separate billing item called
- 3 transmission settlements. So there's really no
- 4 relationship between market purchases and the
- 5 billing of Schedule 26A. It's related to
- 6 withdrawals of energy from the transmission system.
- 7 MR. DOWNEY: Judge, I'd offer
- 8 Exhibit 529.
- 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 529 has been
- 10 offered. Any objection?
- MR. LOWERY: Same objection.
- 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And clarify for the
- 13 record your basis for your objection.
- 14 MR. LOWERY: The objection is this
- 15 does not relate to questions that were asked on
- 16 cross-examination by the Bench or by me, and it was
- 17 also fundamentally unfair to allow them to dump
- 18 MISO schedules into the record for the first time
- 19 on redirect when nobody has an opportunity to
- 20 respond, when they've had two rounds of testimony,
- 21 a document that already existed in the MISO tariff
- 22 the entire time to put it in.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: The objections are
- 24 overruled and the document is received. 529 is
- 25 received.

Page 2093 (MIEC EXHIBIT NO. 529 WAS RECEIVED 1 2 INTO EVIDENCE.) 3 BY MR. DOWNEY: 4 Q. Mr. Dauphinais, do you still have 5 Exhibit 66 in front of you? A. You said 66? 6 7 Q. I think that's right. And I think 8 this is Order 668. A. Mine's marked as Exhibit 2. I do have that in front of me, yes. So this is the 10 Order, the excerpts from Order 668. 11 12 MR. DOWNEY: May I approach, Judge? 13 I want to make sure we're looking at the same thing. Jim, is this Order 668? 14 MR. LOWERY: Yes. 15 BY MR. DOWNEY: 16 17 Q. Okay. I think we are talking about the same document. Would you please turn to 18 19 page 39 again? 20 A. Yes. 21 Mr. Lowery asked you a number of 22 questions about this. And I realize it's in the 23 record, but would you read the second and third 24 sentences of paragraph 80 into the record? 25 Netting accurately reflects what Α.

Page 2094

- 1 participants would be recording on their books and
- 2 records in absence of a use of an RTO market to
- 3 serve their native load. Recording these
- 4 transactions on a gross basis, in contrast, would
- 5 give an inaccurate picture of a participant's size
- 6 and revenue-producing potential.
- 7 MR. DOWNEY: Thank you. Judge, I
- 8 don't think I have any further questions.
- 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Then you can step
- 10 down.
- 11 (Witness excused.)
- MR. DOWNEY: I have Greg Meyer as our
- 13 last witness, and I suspect he'll be very quick.
- 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You have testified
- 15 earlier, and you are still under oath.
- 16 GREG MEYER testified as follows:
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:
- 18 Q. I believe this is the last time
- 19 you're testifying; is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 MR. DOWNEY: And I've already offered
- 22 Mr. Meyer's testimony. It's Exhibit 513 and 514.
- 23 And he is testifying on the Noranda load issue as
- it relates to net base energy costs and the FAC.
- 25 So I would at the -- I would offer Exhibits 513 and

		Page 2095
1	514 at this time and tender Mr. Meyer for cross.	
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll go ahead and	
3	I'll ask if there are any objections to the receipt	
4	of 513 and 514.	
5	(No response.)	
6	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing none, they	
7	will be received.	
8	(MIEC EXHIBIT NO. 513 AND 514 WERE	
9	RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)	
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. For	
11	cross-examination then, beginning with Staff.	
12	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.	
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?	
14	MR. POSTON: No questions.	
15	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Consumers Council?	
16	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.	
17	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ameren?	
18	MR. LOWERY: No questions.	
19	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Come up to the Bench	
20	for Commissioner questions. Mr. Chairman?	
21	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No questions.	
22	Thank you.	
23	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Hall?	
24	COMMISSIONER HALL: Just a few.	
25	QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER HALL:	

Page 2096 1 **Q**. Good afternoon. 2 A. Good afternoon. 3 Q. Looking at your surrebuttal 4 testimony, line 12, you describe decreased power 5 consumption being attributable to higher than normal pot failures. Could you explain to me what 6 7 were the higher than normal pot failures? MR. DOWNEY: Mr. Meyer is looking at 8 me. I think this may be highly confidential. 10 COMMISSIONER HALL: It's not so identified in the --11 12 THE WITNESS: To get into the specific number of pots. 13 14 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. 15 MR. DOWNEY: I think so long as we don't get into the specific number of pots -- well, 16 17 Commissioner, I'd like you to be unfettered in your questioning, and maybe the best thing to do is just 18 go into closed session briefly so you can ask all 19 of your questions. 20 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. We'll go into in-camera then. 22 23 (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an 24 in-camera session was held, contained in Volume 30, pages 2097 through 2100 of the transcript.) 25

		Page 2101
1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: And we're back in	
2	regular session. Anyone wishing recross based on	
3	those questions from the Bench?	
4	MR. LOWERY: Just a couple, your	
5	Honor.	
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWERY:	
7	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Meyer.	
8	A. Good afternoon.	
9	Q. I like your tie.	
10	I think your explanation to	
11	Commissioner Hall essentially was that they had the	
12	ice storm in 2009, it damaged a number of their	
13	or it must be a fairly significant number of their	
14	pots at that time, right?	
15	A. They lost two lines.	
16	Q. So it damaged all those pots at that	
17	time; is that right?	
18	A. That's my understanding.	
19	Q. And so they had to replace they	
20	had to reline all of those damaged pots essentially	
21	in 2009 or throughout 2009 and 2010, right?	
22	A. Correct.	
23	Q. And so here we are about five or six	
24	years later and all those linings or many of those	
25	linings are starting to fail, right?	

Page 2102 Α. Correct. 1 2 Because the linings have a finite Q. 3 life; is that right? Α. Yes. 4 5 So what that tells me, then, is in Q. five or six years from now, all of these pot 6 7 relinings that are taking place now are going to 8 have to take place again; is that right? Α. Not necessarily. 10 Q. And how would you know that, 11 Mr. Meyer? 12 Because I know what the average -the average life of each -- of a pot on each of the 13 lines is. And we didn't get to discuss that with 14 15 Commissioner Hall, but they're different. 16 Q. Let me ask you a question. Have you 17 ever -- do you have any experience in operating an 18 aluminum smelter? 19 No, I do not. Α. 20 Are you -- are you an electrical or a Q. 21 mechanical or an engineer of any kind? 22 No, I'm not. Α. 23 Q. Do you -- do you have expertise in 24 the specifications for the materials or equipment 25 or whatever it is that they use to reline pots in

Page 2103 an aluminum smelter? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Isn't it true that whatever you're 4 testifying about in terms of whether they will or 5 won't have to reline the pots or how the pots perform is secondhand information that you've been 6 7 given by somebody at Noranda? I discuss these things with Mr. Chad 8 Pinson, who's the vice president and general 10 manager of the smelter. 11 Q. But Mr. Pinson isn't here to testify, 12 is he? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. You're an accountant, as I recall; 15 isn't that right? 16 Auditor/accountant, yes. Α. 17 Q. Who, until you left the Commission a few years ago to Brubaker, spent his entire career 18 19 as an auditor at the Missouri Public Service 20 Commission, right? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 MR. LOWERY: I don't have any other 23 questions, your Honor. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Redirect? 25 MR. DOWNEY: Yes.

Page 2104

- 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DOWNEY:
- Q. Mr. Meyer, Mr. Lowery was asking you
- 3 questions about future failures of the pots on the
- 4 pot lines. Do you recall?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. After he asked you the question and
- 7 questioned your credentials to answer the question,
- 8 I'm not sure you answered it. So are you -- based
- 9 on what you know, are you telling the Commission
- 10 that the pots are all expected to fail in five or
- six years as Mr. Lowery asked you?
- MR. LOWERY: I'm going to object. It
- 13 calls for hearsay. I mean, Mr. Meyer has already
- 14 testified about a lot of hearsay, but my
- 15 cross-examination makes absolutely clear that
- 16 everything Mr. Meyer knows about this is all based
- 17 on hearsay that he -- information that he got from
- 18 Mr. -- I don't remember the gentleman's last name.
- MR. DOWNEY: Judge, almost every
- 20 expert witness that's testified in this case relies
- 21 on hearsay. That's an exception.
- 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I don't believe he's
- 23 an expert on the relining of aluminum pots.
- MR. DOWNEY: Well --
- MR. LOWERY: That is the basis of my

		Page 2105
1	objection.	
2	MR. DOWNEY: may I establish a	
3	foundation?	
4	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go ahead.	
5	BY MR. DOWNEY:	
6	Q. Mr. Meyer, you're an	
7	auditor/accountant?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And did you review any figures with	
10	regard to the failures of various lines?	
11	A. Any figures?	
12	Q. Yes. Failure rates?	
13	A. I've had dis besides the	
14	discussions I've had with Mr. Pinson and we've had	
15	general discussions, and I've been on two plant	
16	tours of Noranda where the lives of pots have been	
17	discussed.	
18	Q. And have you run any accounting	
19	analysis with regard to the timing of pot failures?	
20	A. No.	
21	MR. DOWNEY: I don't have any	
22	questions.	
23	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Then,	
24	Mr. Meyer, you can step down.	
25	(Witness excused.)	

Page 2106

- JUDGE WOODRUFF: We've got two more
- 2 witnesses, I believe, Mr. Barnes and Ms. Mantle.
- 3 So Mr. Barnes.
- 4 MR. THOMPSON: Judge, I'm going to
- 5 ask for a recess at this time.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: We can have a short
- 7 recess. I think we'd probably like to finish
- 8 before we would take a lunch break, unless the
- 9 parties --
- 10 MR. LOWERY. Let me make -- I guess
- 11 it's a request. It's a suggestion and a request.
- 12 I obviously didn't really know how the hearings
- 13 were going to go today in light of CCM's objection.
- 14 Wasn't absolutely sure whether some of the
- 15 documents were going to come in from official
- 16 notice. Thought they probably would.
- 17 I'm pretty sure if I was given a
- 18 little bit of time, I may have some questions for
- 19 Ms. Mantle, but I'm pretty sure I can cut that down
- 20 substantially, but I'm going to need more than 10
- 21 or 15 minutes to do that. So I guess I would ask
- 22 that we do take a lunch break, and I think we can
- 23 fairly expedite that after lunch.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Well, I'm
- 25 willing to accommodate that. So we will take a

Page 2107 break for lunch. Let's come back at 2:15. 2 (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.) 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're back from our lunch break, and we're ready to bring Mr. Barnes 5 up. This is the first time you've testified in this case? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Please raise your 8 right hand. 10 (Witness sworn.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. 11 12 MATTHEW BARNES testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DALE: 13 14 Q. Could you please state your name and spell it for the court reporter. 15 A. Matthew Barnes, M-a-t-t-h-e-w, 16 17 B-a-r-n-e-s. 18 Q. And where are you employed, 19 Mr. Barnes? I'm employed by the Missouri Public 20 21 Service Commission as a Utility Regulatory 22 Auditor 4. 23 Q. And are you the same Matthew Barnes 24 who authored a portion of the Cost of Service Report of Staff, I believe it's marked as 25

Page 2108 Exhibit 202? 2 A. Yes, I am. 3 Do you have any corrections to your portion of that? 5 A. I do. On page 166, Chart 2 is missing in the HC version, and it's not -- it's not 6 HC. The NP version, the chart is in there. So I 7 don't know what happened, how that got left out. 8 Q. And are you the same Matthew Barnes who did the Class Cost of -- did part of the Class 10 Cost of Service Report for Staff --11 12 Yes. I do have one more ---- Exhibit 201? 13 Q. 14 -- one more correction on the Cost of Α. 15 Service Report. 16 Q. I'm sorry. 17 On page 168, in Table 1 where the annual cents per KWH, the dollar signs need to be 18 19 removed. That's it for the Cost of Service Report. 20 And on the Class Cost of Service Q. 21 Report? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Do you have any corrections to that? 24 I do. Just a couple. In my Α. surrebuttal Class Cost of Service Report, page 1, 25

Page 2109

- 1 in the question, the question is, Please respond to
- 2 Mr. Brubaker's rebuttal testimony concerning
- 3 Noranda, and it should be direct.
- 4 And my last correction is actually in
- 5 my work papers that would -- that support my
- 6 calculations that's in Staff's Report to Ameren
- 7 Missouri's Noranda Proposal, which is attached to
- 8 Sarah Kliethermes' testimony. And there's three
- 9 attachments, Attachment A, Attachment B, and the
- 10 last one should say Attachment C, and that's all
- 11 the corrections I have.
- 12 Q. If I were to ask you those same
- 13 questions that were set forth in your testimony or
- 14 present you with the same issues to report upon,
- would your answers be the same?
- 16 A. Yes, they would.
- 17 O. Were those answers true and correct
- 18 to the best of your knowledge?
- 19 A. Yes.
- MS. DALE: With that, I would submit
- 21 Exhibits 2003 and 2004 for admission into evidence
- 22 and tender the witness for cross.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: It's actually 203
- 24 and 204.
- MS. DALE: Sorry.

	Page 2110
1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: And this is the only
2	time Mr. Barnes will be testifying?
3	MS. DALE: No. He'll be testifying
4	again tomorrow.
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. I'll defer
6	ruling on the admission until the final time he
7	testifies.
8	MS. DALE: Thank you.
9	JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for
10	cross-examination, we'll begin with Public Counsel.
11	MR. POSTON: No questions.
12	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Consumers Council?
13	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
14	JUDGE WOODRUFF: MIEC?
15	MR. DOWNEY: No questions.
16	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ameren?
17	MR. LOWERY: No questions.
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I have no questions,
19	and therefore there's no need for recross or
20	redirect.
21	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: Me neither.
22	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm sorry. I forgot
23	you were still there, Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: That's okay. That
25	happens to me all the time. No questions. Thank

1	Page 2111 you, Mr. Barnes.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Since
4	there are no questions, you can step down.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Then
7	we're ready for Ms. Mantle. Please raise your
8	right hand.
9	(Witness sworn.)
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may inquire.
11	LENA MANTLE testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POSTON:
13	Q. Please state your name.
14	A. Lena Mantle.
15	Q. And by whom are you employed and in
16	what capacity?
17	A. I'm employed by the Office of Public
18	Counsel as Senior Analyst.
19	Q. Are you the same Lena Mantle that
20	caused to be prepared and filed Exhibits 400 HC and
21	NP, 401 HC and NP, and 402 HC and NP?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Do you have any corrections to that
24	testimony?
25	A. Yes, I do. In my direct testimony,

Page 2112

- 1 on page 10, I cite the Commission's rule, and the
- 2 cite currently states 4 CSR 240-20.090(2)(A), and
- 3 it should be C, not A.
- 4 Q. Do you have any other corrections?
- 5 A. On page 12 of my rebuttal testimony,
- 6 beginning on line 9, right at the end of that, the
- 7 sentence that starts "because the only transmission
- 8 costs". I'd like to strike the words "because the
- 9 only transmission costs that were included in the
- 10 first FAC were transmission costs associated with
- 11 purchased power". And I'd like then to start the
- 12 sentence with "There was no discussion."
- 13 And then on -- in my rebuttal
- 14 testimony on page 24, line 20, I would -- it should
- 15 read, No. It is consistent with the revenues in
- 16 the first FAC that the Commission approved for
- 17 Ameren Missouri.
- I believe that's all the changes I
- 19 have. Yes.
- Q. With those corrections, if I asked
- you the same questions today, would your answers be
- the same or substantially the same?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Are your answers as amended true and
- 25 accurate to the best of your knowledge?

		Page 2113
1	A. Yes.	
2	MR. POSTON: Your Honor, I offer	
3	Exhibits 400, 401 and 402, but note that she'll be	
4	back here tomorrow, and I tender her for cross.	
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: 400, 401 and 402	
6	have been offered. Any objection to their receipt?	
7	(No response.)	
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Again, I will defer	
9	ruling on that.	
10	Did you have any additional direct	
11	relating to the Stipulation & Agreement?	
12	MR. POSTON: I do not.	
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Then for	
14	cross-examination, we'll begin with Staff.	
15	MS. DALE: No questions. Thank you.	
16	JUDGE WOODRUFF: MIEC?	
17	MR. DOWNEY: No questions.	
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ameren?	
19	MR. LOWERY: No questions.	
20	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Consumers Council?	
21	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.	
22	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman, did	
23	you have any questions for Ms. Mantle?	
24	CHAIRMAN KENNEY: No questions.	
25	Ms. Mantle, nice to see you again. Thank you.	

Page 2114

- 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 2 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE WOODRUFF:
- 3 Q. Ms. Mantle, I will ask you a
- 4 question, and that's just if you could explain a
- 5 little bit about the Stipulation & Agreement and
- 6 Public Counsel's position on that.
- 7 A. One of the big issues for Office of
- 8 Public Counsel was not being able to understand all
- 9 the costs and revenues that are currently included
- in Ameren's FAC or what they were proposing be
- 11 included in the FAC.
- 12 The Stipulation & Agreement will
- 13 allow us to work together to understand that, and
- 14 not only for Office of Public Counsel to understand
- 15 that, but also it will be filed with the Commission
- 16 so that the Commission has that information in
- 17 front of it.
- Now, what happens with that in future
- 19 cases is future cases, but the objective was to get
- 20 the information to not only Office of Public
- 21 Counsel but to the Commissioners and to the other
- 22 parties and to the case to provide some additional
- 23 transparency regarding what is in Ameren Missouri's
- 24 fuel adjustment clause and what isn't.
- Q. I think there's a new procedure that

Page 2115

- 1 if Ameren wanted to change which accounts are going
- into the FAC, they would have to file a pleading to
- 3 start that process; is that right?
- 4 A. It's not -- it's not an account.
- 5 It's likely to be what Ameren calls an activity
- 6 code, a new charge type or a new schedule from
- 7 MISO, or it could be PJM or some other RTO.
- 8 Currently the tariff just allows that notification
- 9 to be made in the monthly reports that are
- 10 submitted. They're not filed before the
- 11 Commission, but they are provided to EFIS. And
- 12 then the other parties are left with going and
- 13 looking at that report, finding it and, if we have
- 14 objections, bringing that to the Commission.
- The change to the tariff will require
- 16 Ameren to file when there's a new charge type or
- 17 new revenue type, so that it's brought to the
- 18 attention of the Commission and the other parties
- 19 and it's more transparent as to what's going on.
- 20 Q. Is it the anticipation that that
- 21 would be filed as a pleading that would create a
- 22 new case?
- 23 A. I believe so, yes.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's all the
- 25 questions I had. Commissioner Hall, did you have

Page 2116 any questions you wanted to ask Ms. Mantle? 2 COMMISSIONER HALL: I did not. Thank 3 you. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any recross based on 5 those questions from the Bench. 6 MS. DALE: I have no questions for 7 you. Thank you. Oh, sorry. Wrong. I'm getting over myself. 8 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: No recross. Any redirect? 10 MR. POSTON: No redirect. 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And you can step down. 13 14 (Witness excused.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: And I believe that 15 issue would be the last issue on the fuel 16 17 adjustment clause issue. We will resume again tomorrow morning. And did we mention on the record 18 who we would be starting with tomorrow? 19 20 MR. LOWERY: Mr. Humphreys and then 21 Mr. Reed, and then I believe we would plan to take 22 up opening statements after those two witnesses are 23 done so that we can make sure and get them done so 24 they can get to their flights. 25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Very good. Then

			Page 2117
1	we'll resume	tomorrow morning on the Noranda	
2	issues.		
3		CHAIRMAN KENNEY: What time, Judge?	
4		JUDGE WOODRUFF: 8:30. Thank you.	
5	We are adjour	rned.	
6		(WHEREUPON, the hearing recessed at	
7	2:25 p.m.)		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

			Page 2118
1			Fage 2116
1	INDEX		
2	FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE		
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Lowery1969	1000	
	Opening Statement by Mr. Thompson	1993	
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Poston1996		
_	Opening Statement by Mr. Downey2000		
5	Opening Statement by Mr. Coffman	2013	
6	AMERENUE'S EVIDENCE:		
7	LYNN BARNES		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Lowery	2025	
8	Questions by Chairman Kenney	2028	
9	JESSE FRANCIS		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Lowery	2029	
10			
	JAIME HARO		
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Lowery	2032	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Downey	2035	
12	Questions by Chairman Kenney	2038	
	Questions by Commissioner Hall2044		
13	Questions by Commissioner Rupp2046		
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Thompson2048	3	
14	Recross-Examination by Mr. Coffman	2049	
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Downey	2050	
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Lowery	2054	
	Further Questions by Commissioner Rupp	2062	
16	Further Redirect Exam. by Mr. Lowery	2064	
17	JEFFREY JONES		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Lowery	2065	
18			
19			
	MIEC'S EVIDENCE:		
20			
	JAMES DAUPHINAIS		
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Downey	2069	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Lowery	2071	
22	Questions by Chairman Kenney	2079	
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Lowery	2085	
23	Redirect Examination by Mr. Downey	2088	
24			
25			

			Page 2119
1			
	GREG MEYER		
2	Direct Examination by Mr. Downey	2094	
	Questions by Commissioner Hall2095		
3	(In-Camera Session - Volume 30)	2098	
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Lowery	2101	
4	Redirect Examination by Mr. Downey	2104	
5	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:		
6	MATTHEW BARNES		
	Direct Examination by Ms. Dale2107		
7			
	OPC'S EVIDENCE:		
8			
	LENA MANTLE		
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Poston	2111	
	Questions by Judge Woodruff	2114	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		Page 2120
1	EXHIBITS INDEX	
2	AMERENUE'S EXHIBITS	
	MARKED REC'D	
3		
4	EXHIBIT NO. 2	
4	Direct Testimony of Lynn Barnes 2024	
5	EXHIBIT NO. 3NP/HC	
	Rebuttal Testimony of Lynn Barnes 2024	
6	EXHIBIT NO. 4	
7		
/	Surrebuttal Testimony of Lynn	
8	Barnes 2024	
°	EXHIBIT NO. 12	
9	Rebuttal Testimony of Jesse	
	Francis 2030 2030	
10	2000 2000	
	EXHIBIT NO. 13	
11	Direct Testimony of Jaime Haro2033 2033	
12	EXHIBIT NO. 14NP/HC	
	Rebuttal Testimony of Jaime Haro 2033 2033	
13		
	EXHIBIT NO. 15	
14	Surrebuttal Testimony of Jaime Haro2033 2033	
15	EXHIBIT NO. 21NP/HC	
	Rebuttal Testimony of Jeffrey S.	
16	Jones 2066 2066	
17	EXHIBIT NO. 42	
	Rebuttal Testimony of Gary M. Rygh 2068 2068	
18		
	EXHIBIT NO. 66	
19	Portion of FERC Order No. 668 2071 2077	
20	EXHIBIT NO. 67	
	FERC 18 CFR Part 101 (Docket No.	
21	RM04-12-001; Order No. 668-A) 2077 2079	
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 2121
1	OPC'S EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT NO. 400NP/HC	
	Direct Testimony of Lena Mantle 1962	
3		
	EXHIBIT NO. 401NP/HC	
4	Rebuttal Testimony of Lena Mantle 1962	
5	EXHIBIT NO. 402NP/HC	
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Lena	
6	Mantle 1962	
7		
	MIEC'S EXHIBITS	
8	MARKED REC'D	
9	EXHIBIT NO. 500NP/HC	
	Direct Testimony of Brian C.	
10	Andrews 1962	
11	EXHIBIT NO. 506	
	Direct Testimony of Steven C.	
12	Carver 1962	
13	EXHIBIT NO. 507	
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Steven C.	
14	Carver 1962	
15	EXHIBIT NO. 508NP/HC	
	Direct Testimony of James R.	
16	Dauphinais 1962	
17	EXHIBIT NO. 509NP/HC	
	Surrebuttal Testimony of James R.	
18	Dauphinais 1962	
19	EXHIBIT NO. 515	
	Direct Testimony of Nicholas L.	
20	Phillips1962	
21	EXHIBIT NO. 516NP/HC	
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Nicholas	
22	L. Phillips 1962	
23	EXHIBIT NO. 524	
	December 31, 2013 Financial	
24	Surveillance Monitoring Report2034 2038	
25		
I		

		Page 2122
1	EXHIBIT NO. 525	
	March 31, 2014 Financial	
2	Surveillance Monitoring Report2034	2038
3	EXHIBIT NO. 526	
	June 20, 2014 Financial	
4	Surveillance Monitoring Report2034	2038
5	EXHIBIT NO. 527	
	September 30, 2014 Financial	
6	Surveillance Monitoring Report2034	2038
7		
8	EXHIBIT NO. 528	
	December 31, 2014 Financial	
9	Surveillance Monitoring Report2034	2038
10	EXHIBIT NO. 529	
	Schedule 26A2088 2093	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25		
23		

		Page 2123
1		
2	CERTIFICATE	
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)	
) ss.	
4	COUNTY OF COLE)	
5	I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified	
6	Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest	
7	Litigation Services, do hereby certify that I was	
8	personally present at the proceedings had in the	
9	above-entitled cause at the time and place set	
10	forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and	
11	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had;	
12	and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct	
13	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such	
14	time and place.	
15	Given at my office in the City of	
16	Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri.	
17		
18		
19		
	Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			I	I
A	2019:2 2026:5	2002:3 2003:13	2063:15	1990:5,19
abandoned	2026:8 2027:8	addresses 1968:2	2064:20	1993:11 2025:9
1973:16	2115:1	1998:18	admission	2025:10,11,15
ability 1999:12	accurate 1986:19	2001:11,22	2079:14	2025:16,24
2000:1 2021:5	1986:21	addressing	2109:21 2110:6	2026:22
2042:16	2112:25	1977:25	admitted	agreed-upon
2064:23	accurately	adds 1990:7	2024:11,12	1998:6
2083:22	2027:22	adequacy	adopt 2020:19	agreement
able 1984:5,16	2093:25	2042:10,15,19	2074:22	1962:17,20,24
1984:20	acknowledge	adhere 2039:19	adopted 1982:17	1963:17
1985:11	1975:5 2053:1	adjourned	2003:6 2021:11	1993:13
1986:13	acknowledged	2117:5	adverseness	1995:16 2023:8
2011:24 2012:3	2015:20,23	adjusted 1999:3	1979:13	2025:5 2027:6
2041:25 2114:8	acquire 1970:8	adjustment	advise 2032:20	2044:18
above-entitled	acted 1969:18,20	1962:14 1965:5	advocates	2113:11 2114:5
2123:9	activity 1998:1	1965:21	1982:13	2114:12
absence 2094:2	2025:20 2026:8	1979:13,21,25	AEP 1980:17	ahead 1963:13
absolutely	2115:5	1980:12,15	affect 2046:14,15	1966:12
1987:24,25	acts 1974:11	1981:2 1990:14	afternoon	1967:17 1968:9
2003:18	actual 2036:5,9	1990:14 2003:2	1962:17 1988:3	2040:20
2104:15	2091:7,10,11	2009:7,10	2040:24 2069:8	2041:10,10,14
2106:14	2091:16	2013:15 2014:7	2069:9 2071:4	2059:22 2060:4
absorb 2047:14	adage 1976:20	2014:16,19	2071:6 2080:1	2061:25 2068:2
absorbed	add 1963:7	2015:2,18,24	2080:3 2096:1	2068:20
1994:15	1989:14,15,21	2016:4,6,13,16	2096:2 2101:7	2084:25 2095:2
2015:11	1998:20 2000:1	2017:1,5,10	2101:8	2105:4
absurd 1976:19	added 1992:7,17	2018:3,16,25	after-the-fact	aid 2082:18
2007:10	1992:19	2019:3,5,17,19	2015:14	ALEXANDER
accept 1968:9	adding 2027:7	2020:18 2021:9	ago 1970:11	1961:14
accepts 1993:15	addition 2008:6	2021:10 2022:4	1980:6 1981:4	alignment
access 1970:6	2082:1	2022:9,13,16	1987:16	2018:9
accessible 1966:5	additional	2023:11,19	1991:10	allegedly 2007:6
accessing	1963:18,22	2025:6 2047:9	1996:21	ALLISON
1982:19	1975:22 1982:7	2047:13 2056:5	2042:10	1961:5
accommodate	1999:6 2015:23	2060:12,22	2103:18	allow 1963:17
2106:25	2025:18	2063:21	agree 1983:7	1984:7,21
account 1988:14	2026:22	2064:13,17	2004:3 2020:2	1985:14
1998:1 2025:19	2027:15	2080:18,21,25	2020:9 2025:18	1996:14 2004:6
2027:7 2115:4	2030:24	2083:21	2027:21 2052:9	2007:4 2011:13
accountant	2033:22	2084:11	2052:10 2053:7	2018:3 2019:10
2103:14	2083:23	2086:13,20	2053:13 2062:6	2023:20
accounting	2113:10	2087:12,22	2073:5 2076:7	2038:21
1977:9 2008:3	2114:22	2088:2,13,15	2076:13 2078:5	2080:22
2010:1,3	address 1972:19	2114:24	2088:8	2090:10
2011:18	2003:18,22	2116:17 2118:2	agreed 1973:9	2092:17
2105:18	2011:19	administrative	1979:23 1982:6	2114:13
accounts 1973:22	addressed	2012:23	1988:23 1989:2	allowed 2009:18
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

2015:19	2001:19 2002:7	2120:2	2085:2,5	2076:1,11
2083:20	2002:24 2004:5	Ameren's 1997:8	answers 2030:7	appreciable
allowing 2083:14	2004:16,21	1997:18	2033:6 2066:6	2021:19
2083:16	2005:6,11,13	1999:25 2000:1	2070:7 2109:15	appreciate
allows 1998:19	2006:6,10,17	2005:23 2006:5	2109:17	1983:18
2041:24	2006:17,21,24	2011:25	2112:21,24	approach 2034:7
2080:19 2115:8	2007:3,10	2020:10 2021:5	ANTAL 1961:14	2093:12
altogether	2008:19,20,22	2038:25 2039:2	anticipate 1964:9	appropriate
2021:1	2009:25 2010:6	2043:8 2081:15	2068:19	1967:17
aluminum	2010:23	2083:15	anticipated	2073:19
1960:21	2011:13,16,17	2114:10	2049:9	appropriately
2102:18 2103:1	2016:3,18,21	amount 1977:1	anticipation	1969:19,21
2104:23	2021:12,14,15	1978:10 2039:5	2115:20	approval 1980:5
amended	2023:14 2024:1	2039:6 2046:14	anti-CWIP	approve 1999:23
2112:24	2028:20	2050:13	1973:5,12	2003:1
amending	2041:25	2053:21	anybody 1968:14	approved
1999:15	2044:17,22	2056:23 2063:7	1996:19	1964:13
amendments	2045:11,17	2063:8 2073:8	2066:21	1970:19
1999:18	2048:21	2073:10	anymore	1981:24
Ameren 1959:13	2050:25 2051:8	2083:19	2060:19	1982:18 1989:7
1962:11,18	2051:15,17,22	2084:12	apologize	1990:12
1963:1 1965:19	2052:2 2053:13	2090:20 2091:1	1987:25	1999:10
1968:18	2053:24 2058:7	amounts 1981:11	1995:24	2002:20
1969:18,20,21	2065:12	1986:18	2008:22 2039:9	2112:16
1969:23 1970:2	2066:19	1990:21 2075:5	appeal 1972:4,7	April 2004:23
1970:4,15,21	2068:13,21	ample 2090:1	2003:20,24	Aquila 2016:17
1972:13	2071:1 2073:4	analysis 2087:3	appealed 1972:5	area 1997:17
1973:22 1974:5	2074:2 2081:12	2105:19	Appeals 1972:6	1998:17
1974:7,8,12,20	2083:20 2085:8	Analyst 2111:18	1972:18,22	2042:14
1974:25 1975:2	2086:5,6,7	ancillary	1973:2,8,11	2087:23
1975:6,7,8,13	2095:17 2109:6	1978:20	2003:15,17	argue 1976:21,23
1975:18,24,25	2110:16	Andrews 1964:3	APPEARANC	1997:9 2019:6
1976:2,11,13	2112:17	2121:10	1960:1	2082:25
1977:2,5,7,9,12	2113:18	annual 2108:18	appeared	argued 1972:21
1979:15 1980:2	2114:23 2115:1	answer 1984:11	2029:16	1973:1 1980:22
1980:4,11,15	2115:5,16	1985:7 1986:8	appearing	1980:25
1980:23,25	AmerenUE	2001:4 2002:5	2042:11	argues 1974:4,18
1982:12,18,19	1960:11	2011:24	appears 2072:11	2003:25
1984:10	2024:21	2020:23	2077:17	2005:11
1985:20 1986:9	2030:21	2055:17	application	arguing 2004:1
1986:11,22	2033:19	2058:15	2009:22	2006:16,18
1991:8,12	2068:10	2063:25 2080:7	applied 1971:23	argument
1992:19	2071:20 2077:8	2080:9 2086:22	1980:17,25	1971:20
1996:22,23	2077:10	2091:3 2104:7	1981:7,10	1972:19,22
1997:4,24,24	2079:21	answered	2091:10,10	1973:6,10,12
1998:2,3,5,7,19	AmerenUE's	2086:12 2104:8	apply 2019:21	1973:13,21
1999:2,5	2016:11 2118:6	answering	2075:21,24	1974:2 1975:14
	l	l	l	

2005:23 2047:8 assume 1992:20 2007:10 2007:11 2007:18 1973:17 1982:4 2009:20,21 2016:9 2009:20 2109:9, 10 2008:15 2009:20 2109:9, 2008:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15 2009:15		<u> </u>	1	1	1
2087:10 2057:1 2102:13 barred 2003:25 2033:25 arguments assuming avoid 1971:12 1994:15 1995:2 2003:25 1973:17 1982:4 1963:14 2048:8 2083:25 2001:10 2005:9 2095:11 2112:6 Arkansas 1991:7 Attachment 2109:9 2008:7 2010:7 2008:7 2010:7 2008:7 2010:7 asked 1965:19 attachments 2109:9 2008:21 2081:5 2052:16,21 2070:10 belief 1981:6 2067:2 2001:14 2109:9 attempted 2055:18 2053:15, 2025:9 belief 1981:6 belief 1981:6 2064:11 2109:9 attempted 1969:7 2064:11 2062:10 1968:16 2092:15 2090:19 2115:18 1989:8 1990:23 2084:11,14,7 2011:12 2092:15 2090:19 2115:18 1989:8 1990:23 1986:3 206:21 2064:14,19,20 209:192 2104:11 1960:13,13,17 2007:16 203:22 202:22 202:22 202:22 202:22 203:16,23 203:22 202:11 204:22 202:21 202:22 </td <td>2005:23 2047:8</td> <td>assume 1992:20</td> <td>average 2102:12</td> <td>2120:4,5,7</td> <td>beginning</td>	2005:23 2047:8	assume 1992:20	average 2102:12	2120:4,5,7	beginning
1971:18	2087:10	2057:1		barred 2003:25	2033:25
1973:17 1982:4 2009:20,21 2016:19 2019:7 2008:18 2008:72 2010:7 2008:72 2010:19 2019:9 2008:18 2052:15,19 2052:15,19 2062:10 2052:15,19 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 2062:10 20	arguments	assumed 2047:6	avoid 1971:12	base 1994:10,11	2070:19
2009:20,21 2019:7 2008:17 2008:7 2010:7 2035:15 2052:9 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10 2070:10	1971:18	assuming	1976:16	1994:15 1995:2	2089:25
2016:9	1973:17 1982:4	1963:14 2048:8	2083:25	2001:10 2005:9	2095:11 2112:6
Arkansas 1991:7 1991:8 asked 1965:19 1967:2 2001:14 2109:9 attempt 1976:6 attempted 2005:12 2054:11 1969:7 2064:11 1969:7 2064:11 1969:7 2064:11 1960:13,13,17 2112:20 2112:20 2013:12 2045:11 2004:13 2013:1 2045:11 2048:22 2032:25 2088:5 2023:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2016:10 2088:1999:22 2088:14 2098:22 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2088:5 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008:25 2008	2009:20,21	attached 1983:11	aware 2005:17	2008:7 2010:7	behalf 2074:6
1991:8	2016:9	2109:7	2005:18	2035:15 2052:9	belief 1981:6
asked 1965:19 attachments 2109:9 B 2056:12 2054:8 2055:11 believe 1964:6 1968:16 2054:8 2055:11 2056:12 attempt 1976:6 B 1960:6 2109:9 2062:10 1978:19 2054:8 2055:11 2056:12 attention 1967:6 1973:17 2084:1,1,4,7 2011:12 2092:15 2092:15 Attorney 1960:2 1986:13,16,17 2094:24 2019:13 2092:15 Attorney 1960:2 1960:13,13,17 2006:12 2098:21 2084:1,1,4,7 2011:12 2104:11 1960:13,13,17 2006:12 2008:13 2006:12 2023:24 2023:24 2104:21 1961:1 2014:22 2009:15 2023:22 2023:24 2022:10 2023:24 2048:12 2051:7 2061:1 2014:22 2024:19 2030:25 2048:15 2029:6 2033:22 2027:12,14,23 2046:2 2 208:5 2098:5 2044:10 2045:15 2048:15 2048:15 2048:15 2048:15 2048:15 2048:15 2048:12 2048:12 2048:12 2048:12 <t< td=""><td>Arkansas 1991:7</td><td>Attachment</td><td>2080:21 2081:5</td><td>2052:16,21</td><td>2070:10</td></t<>	Arkansas 1991:7	Attachment	2080:21 2081:5	2052:16,21	2070:10
1967:2 2001:14 2016:3 2026:21 attempte 1968:16 1968:16 1969:7 1973:17 2064:11 1969:7 1973:17 1986:13,16,17 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2092:15 2093:21 2104:6 2104:11 2112:20 2008:13 2005:11 2008:21 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:13 2008:14 2008:22 2008:12 2008:12 2008:12 2008:13 2008:14 2008:23 2008:14 2008:13 2008:24 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15 2008:15	1991:8	2109:9,9,10	a.m 1962:3	2053:15,19	belies 1975:14
2016:3 2026:21	asked 1965:19	attachments		2056:11	believe 1964:6
2054:8 2055:11 2056:12 2064:11 1969:7 1986:13,16,17 1986:13,2006:4 1969:2 2092:15 2093:21 2104:6 2104:11 2060:2,6,7,12 2006:12 2003:21 2104:6 2104:11 2060:13,13,17 2007:16 2029:6 2033:22 2027:12,14,23 2013:1 2045:11 2048:21 2051:7 2052:25 2088:5 2104:2 2066:5 2043:10 2025:25 2088:5 2104:2 2066:19 2057:11 2088:19 2075:3 2057:11 2088:29 2057:11 2088:29 2057:11 2088:29 2057:11 2088:29 2057:11 2088:29 2057:11 2088:29 2066:19 2067:9 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:15 2062:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:15 206:25 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:3 2066:25 2048:	1967:2 2001:14	2109:9		2062:10	1968:16
2056:12	2016:3 2026:21	attempt 1976:6		2063:16,23	1978:19
2004:11	2054:8 2055:11	attempted	back 1962:11	2064:14,19,20	1996:24 1999:8
2090:19	2056:12	1969:7		2084:1,1,4,7	2011:12
2092:15	2064:11	attention 1967:6		2094:24	2019:13
2093:21 2104:6	2090:19	2115:18		based 1963:21	2023:24
2104:11	2092:15	Attorney 1960:2		1986:3 2022:24	2024:10
2104:11	2093:21 2104:6	_			2026:14
asking 2001:13 attract 2021:5 attributable 2013:1 2045:11 2096:5 autience 2015:10 2024:2 2024:5 2039:6 2048:15 2048:15 2046:22 2046:22 2046:22 2046:14 2004:15,16,18 2064:14 2046:22 2045:15 2088:15 2043:10 2048:15 2049:15,16,18 2064:14 2046:22 2094:18 2064:14 2064:15 2064:5 2077:22 2094:18 2046:22 2094:18 2064:14 2064:5 2077:22 2094:18 2060:19 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9 2067:9	2104:11		2007:16	2029:6 2033:22	2027:12,14,23
2013:1 2045:11 attributable 2024:5 2039:6 2048:15 2048:15 2046:22 2013:1 2048:21 2096:5 2043:10 2049:15,16,18 2064:14 2064:14 2052:25 2088:5 audience 2045:15 2064:5 2077:22 2094:18 2064:19 2104:2 1968:22 2057:11 2082:19 2104:22 2106:2 2094:18 asserted 1969:7 2075:3 2010:12 2107:1 2006:19 2067:9 2084:22 2101:2 2107:22 2101:1 2107:1 assess 2055:4 auditor 2103:19 2107:22 background 2116:4 2115:23 assesses 1970:14 authorizaccoun 2107:24 balance 2018:17 basic 2026:1 2116:15,21 believed 1980:24 believes 1989:6 1993:18 Bench 2028:2,13 2039:9 2062:10 1993:18 Bench 2028:2,13 2039:9 2062:10 1993:18 Bench 2028:2,13 2017:19 2066:25 2048:16 2017:19 2066:25 2048:16 2017	2112:20	, ,	2014:22	2042:19	
2013:1 2045:11 2048:21 2051:7 2052:25 2088:5 2104:2 attributable 2096:5 audience 2024:5 2039:6 2043:10 2048:15 2049:15,16,18 2064:5 2077:22 2087:11 2046:22 2094:18 2064:14 2046:22 2094:18 2094:18 asks 1999:22,22 asks 1999:22,22 asks 1999:25;4 asserse 2055:4 assessed 1969:16 1978:24 auditing 1978:8 2075:3 auditor 2103:19 2006:19 2067:9 2000:19 2067:9 2101:1 2107:1 2107:3 2113:4 2084:22 2101:2 2084:22 2101:2 2084:22 2101:2 2107:25 2104:22 2106:2 2084:22 2106:2 2084:22 2106:2 2084:22 2101:2 2107:25 2104:22 2106:2 2082:19 2094:18 2004:12 2106:2 2082:10 2104:22 2106:2 2082:19 2046:25 2077:22 2084:22 2101:2 2084:22 2101:2 2106:3 2113:4 2104:22 2106:2 2082:19 2094:18 2104:22 2106:2 2082:19 2104:22 2106:2 2082:19 2046:22 2094:18 2046:12 2082:19 2046:12 2082:19 2046:22 2094:18 2046:12 2082:19 2046:12 2082:19 2046:22 2084:18 2046:12 2082:19 2046:22 2084:22 2106:2 2106:3 2107:2 2046:22 2084:22 2106:2 2106:2 2106:3 2107:2 2046:12 2082:19 2046:12 2084:12 2046:12 2016:12 2046:12 2016:12 2046:12 2084:12 2046:12 20	asking 2001:13	attract 2021:5		2043:14,20	2034:16,19
2050:225 2088:5 audience 2045:15 2064:5 2077:22 2094:18 2104:2 1968:22 auditing 1978:8 2057:11 2082:19 2104:22 2106:2 asks 1999:22,22 auditing 1978:8 2075:3 2101:1 2107:1 2104:8,16 2112:18 assersed 1969:16 2107:22 background 2013:20 2056:15 2062:8 believed 1980:24 assesses 1970:14 2103:16 2105:7 August 1998:5 balance 2018:17 balance 2018:17 2039:9 2062:10 believed 1980:24 assign 2002:2 2008:3 2107:24 balnoen 1967:16 1967:23 balloon 1967:16 1993:18 Bench 2028:2,13 assigned 2008:5 authorizy 2003:3 authorizy 2003:3 1967:23 ballpark 2046:13 2017:19 2066:25 assistant 1961:5 2015:19 authorized band 2085:23 2073:19 2006:25 1971:2 1972:12 2002:16 2016:2 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2008:3 201:10 2042:3 2003:2 2003:2 2016:3 2107:4 2106:3 2107:4 2106:25 </td <td>\cup</td> <td>attributable</td> <td>2024:5 2039:6</td> <td>2048:15</td> <td>2046:22</td>	\cup	attributable	2024:5 2039:6	2048:15	2046:22
2104:2 1968:22 2057:11 2082:19 2082:19 2104:22 2106:2 asks 1999:22,22 auditing 1978:8 2060:19 2067:9 2084:22 2101:2 2107:25 2107:25 asserted 1969:7 assess 2055:4 auditor 2103:19 2107:3 2113:4 background 2116:4 2115:23 assesses 1970:14 assesses 1970:14 auditor/accoun 2013:20 BAKER 1961:5 basic 2026:1 2056:15 2062:8 believed 1980:24 assign 2002:2 authorized balloon 1967:16 basing 2050:2 believes 1989:6 1993:18 assistant 1961:5 authorization 2015:19 ballpark 2046:13 2073:19 2073:24 2048:16 associated 1996:17,22 Band 2085:23 Barnes 2024:17 2091:19,19 2092:16 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2012:10 2042:3 automatic 2028:16 2106:2 bat 2017:7 battle 2014:21 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 2102:10 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 began	2048:21 2051:7	2096:5	2043:10	2049:15,16,18	2064:14
asks 1999:22,22 auditing 1978:8 2060:19 2067:9 2060:19 2067:9 2084:22 2101:2 2107:25 asserted 1969:7 auditor 2103:19 2107:3 2113:4 2116:4 2115:23 assessed 1969:16 2107:22 background 2013:20 BAKER 1961:5 basic 2026:1 2116:15,21 assesses 1970:14 assesses 1970:14 auditor/accoun 2103:16 2105:7 BAKER 1961:5 balance 2018:17 basically 2039:3 believed 1980:24 assign 2002:2 authored balloon 1967:16 basing 2050:2 basing 2050:2 believes 1989:6 assistant 1961:5 authority 2003:3 ballpark 2046:13 2017:19 2066:25 assistant 1961:5 authorization 2015:19 band 2085:23 2086:22 2084:23 1971:2 1972:12 2002:16 2016:2 2002:16 2016:2 2022:8,4 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2081:3 2082:23 2003:2 automatic 2025:2,4 2004:25 bat 201:21 bat 201:25 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 2112:10 2004:13 2043:9 2007:23 2108:9 2010	2052:25 2088:5	audience	2045:15	2064:5 2077:22	2094:18
asserted 1969:7 asserted 1969:7 2075:3 2101:1 2107:1 2107:3 2113:4 2116:4 2116:23 assessed 1969:16 2107:22 background 2013:20 BAKER 1961:5 basic 2026:1 2116:15,21 assesses 1970:14 assesses 1970:14 assesses 1998:5 balance 2018:17 balance 2018:17 basically 2039:3 believed 1980:24 assign 2002:2 authored balloon 1967:16 basing 2050:2 basing 206:25 2073:19 2079:24 2004:13	2104:2	1968:22		2082:19	2104:22 2106:2
asserted 1969:7 2075:3 2101:1 2107:1 2104:8,16 2112:18 assess 2055:4 auditor 2103:19 2107:22 background 2116:4 2115:23 1978:24 auditor/accoun 2013:20 BAKER 1961:5 basic 2026:1 2116:15,21 assesses 1970:14 2103:16 2105:7 BAKER 1961:5 balance 2018:17 basically 2039:3 believed 1980:24 assign 2002:2 authored 2107:24 balancing 2016:9 basing 2050:2 Bench 2028:2,13 assigned 2008:5 authority 2003:3 authorization 2015:19 ballpark 2046:13 2073:19 2066:25 associated 1971:2 1972:12 1996:17,22 Barnes 2024:1,7 2091:19,19 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2025:2,4 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2081:3 2082:23 automatic 2028:16 2106:2 2107:23 2108:9 battle 2014:21 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 benefited Associates 2082:1	asks 1999:22,22	auditing 1978:8	2060:19 2067:9	2084:22 2101:2	2107:25
assessed 1969:16 2107:22 background 2115:5 basic 2026:1 2116:15,21 assesses 1970:14 assesses 1970:14 background 2013:20 basic 2026:1 2116:15,21 believed 1980:24 assesses 1970:14 assesses 1970:14 basic 2002:2 basically 2039:3 believed 1980:24 believed	asserted 1969:7	\mathbf{c}		2104:8,16	2112:18
1978:24 auditor/accoun 2013:20 2056:15 2062:8 believed 1980:24 assesses 1970:14 assesses 1970:14 assets 2019:12 August 1998:5 balance 2018:17 basically 2039:3 believed 1980:24 <	assess 2055:4	auditor 2103:19		2116:4	2115:23
assesses 1970:14 2103:16 2105:7 BAKER 1961:5 basically 2039:3 believes 1989:6 1993:18 assets 2019:12 August 1998:5 balance 2018:17 basing 2050:2 bench 2028:2,13 2008:3 2107:24 balloon 1967:16 basis 1964:14 2029:6 2031:13 assigned 2008:5 authority 2003:3 balloan 1967:23 basis 1964:14 2029:6 2031:13 associated 2015:19 band 2085:23 2073:19 2066:25 1971:2 1972:12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2091:19,19 2092:16 2008:14 2051:16 2025:2,4 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2081:3 2082:23 2003:2 2106:3 2107:4 2106:3 2107:4 2107:12,16,19 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 204:18 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefited	assessed 1969:16	2107:22		basic 2026:1	2116:15,21
assets 2019:12 August 1998:5 balance 2018:17 balance 2018:17 basing 2050:2 Bench 2028:2,13 2008:3 2107:24 authority 2003:3 balloon 1967:16 basis 1964:14 2029:6 2031:13 assigned 2008:5 authority 2003:3 ballpark 2046:13 2017:19 2048:16 associated 2015:19 band 2085:23 2073:19 2079:24 associated 1996:17,22 Barnes 2024:1,7 2091:19,19 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2081:3 2082:23 2003:2 2106:3 2107:4 2107:12,16,19 2047:16 2055:1 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2047:16 2055:1 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefited	1978:24	auditor/accoun		2056:15 2062:8	believed 1980:24
assign 2002:2 authored balancing 2016:9 basing 2050:2 Bench 2028:2,13 2008:3 2107:24 balloon 1967:16 basis 1964:14 2029:6 2031:13 assigned 2008:5 authority 2003:3 ballpark 2046:13 2017:19 2048:16 associated 2015:19 2046:25 2048:3 2073:19 2066:25 associated 1996:17,22 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2091:19,19 2092:16 2012:10 2042:3 2012:10 2042:3 2003:2 2028:16 2106:2 2028:16 2106:2 2010:25 2017:7 204:25 2047:16 205:1 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2010:25 204:18 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefited	assesses 1970:14	2103:16 2105:7	BAKER 1961:5	basically 2039:3	believes 1989:6
2008:3 2107:24 balloon 1967:16 basis 1964:14 2029:6 2031:13 assigned 2008:5 authority 2003:3 balloon 1967:16 basis 1964:14 2029:6 2031:13 assistant 1961:5 authorization 2015:19 ballpark 2046:13 2017:19 2066:25 associated authorized band 2085:23 2073:19 2079:24 authorized band 2085:23 Barnes 2024:1,7 2091:19,19 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2012:10 2042:3 automatic 2028:16 2106:2 bat 2017:7 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:12,16,19 bear 1990:16 2047:16 2055:1 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefited	assets 2019:12	August 1998:5		2039:9 2062:10	1993:18
assigned 2008:5 authority 2003:3 1967:23 1973:24 2048:16 assistant 1961:5 authorization 2015:19 ballpark 2046:13 2077:19 2066:25 associated authorized band 2085:23 2086:22 2086:22 2084:23 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2025:2,4 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2012:10 2042:3 automatic 2028:16 2106:2 bat 2017:7 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 available 2107:12,16,19 2010:25 2047:16 2055:1 2085:23 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2047:16 2055:1 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 2010:25 206:25 206:25	assign 2002:2	authored	<u> </u>	basing 2050:2	Bench 2028:2,13
assistant 1961:5 authorization ballpark 2046:13 2017:19 2066:25 associated authorized band 2085:23 2091:19,19 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2012:10 2042:3 automatic 2028:16 2106:2 bat 2017:7 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 available 2112:10 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 benefited Associates 2082:1 2081:3 2083:2 2010:25 benefited	2008:3	2107:24	balloon 1967:16	basis 1964:14	2029:6 2031:13
1961:6 2015:19 2046:25 2048:3 2073:19 2079:24 associated authorized band 2085:23 2086:22 2084:23 1971:2 1972:12 1996:17,22 Barnes 2024:1,7 2091:19,19 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2012:10 2042:3 2012:10 2042:3 2003:2 2028:16 2106:2 2028:16 2106:2 2028:16 2017:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2016:3 2107:7 2010:25 2010:25 2010:25 2064:18 Associates 2082:1 2010:22 2111:1 2010:25 2064:18 2064:18	assigned 2008:5	authority 2003:3		1973:24	2048:16
associated authorized band 2085:23 2086:22 2084:23 1971:2 1972:12 1996:17,22 Barnes 2024:1,7 2091:19,19 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2012:10 2042:3 2003:2 2028:16 2106:2 2106:3 2107:4 2017:7 battle 2014:21 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:12,16,19 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2064:18 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefit d	assistant 1961:5	authorization	_	2017:19	2066:25
1971:2 1972:12 1996:17,22 Barnes 2024:1,7 2091:19,19 2092:16 2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2012:10 2042:3 2012:10 2042:3 2003:2 2028:16 2106:2 2028:16 2106:2 2028:16 2106:2 2010:25 2016:5 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2047:16 2055:1 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 2106:2 2010:25 2064:18	1961:6	2015:19		2073:19	2079:24
2004:9,12 2002:16 2016:2 2024:8,23 2092:13 2094:4 2095:19 2101:3 2008:14 2051:16 2025:2,4 2104:25 2116:5 2012:10 2042:3 2003:2 2106:3 2107:4 2017:12,16,19 2017:12,16,19 2010:25 2010:25 2004:18 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2004:18 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 2004:18 2004:18	associated	authorized		2086:22	2084:23
2008:14 2051:16 2025:2,4 2104:25 2116:5 2012:10 2042:3 2003:2 2003:2 2106:3 2107:4 bat 2017:7 benefit 1994:22 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:12,16,19 2010:25 2047:16 2055:1 2085:2,4 2106:3 2107:4 2010:25 2047:16 2055:1 2083:8,14 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2064:18 Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefited	1971:2 1972:12	1996:17,22	,	2091:19,19	2092:16
2012:10 2042:3	2004:9,12	2002:16 2016:2		2092:13 2094:4	2095:19 2101:3
2081:3 2082:23 2003:2 2106:3 2107:4 21083:8,14 2107:12,16,19 2107:23 2108:9 2106:25 2004:13 2043:9 2107:23 2108:9 2110:2 2111:1 2082:1 2082:1 2106:3 2107:4 2106:3 2107:4 2106:3 2107:4 2107:12,16,19 2107:23 2108:9 2010:25 2064:18 2064:18	2008:14	2051:16		2104:25	2116:5
2083:8,14 available 2107:12,16,19 bear 1990:16 2047:16 2055:1 2064:18 Associates 2082:1 2107:23 2108:9 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefited	2012:10 2042:3	automatic		bat 2017:7	benefit 1994:22
2112:10	2081:3 2082:23	2003:2		battle 2014:21	1994:24
Associates 2082:1 2110:2 2111:1 began 1962:2 benefited	2083:8,14	available	, ,	bear 1990:16	2047:16 2055:1
2002.1 Degan 1702.2 Deficited	2112:10	2004:13 2043:9		2010:25	2064:18
0110 = 0110 (Associates	2082:1		began 1962:2	benefited
	2069:11	Avenue 1960:4	2118:7 2119:6	2014:18	2047:18
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	<u> </u>	1	1	1
benefits 2048:1	Bolivar 1960:18	Brubaker's	calculated	1981:17 1982:1
2055:18,21,25	bookend 2046:17	2109:2	2046:12	1982:5,13,15
2056:2,4,7	books 1978:10	Bryan 1960:14	2055:25	1982:23 1983:3
2062:14,18	2075:5 2094:1	1960:17	2091:20	1988:18 1989:8
2063:22	bootheel 1991:5	build 2054:24	calculation	1989:18,22
2064:12,21,23	bore 2013:24	building 2019:11	2010:7	1990:11,22,25
best 1983:2	2014:9 2015:6	built 1971:4	calculations	1992:22
2004:5 2040:20	2015:7,10	2052:8,16,21	2109:6	1993:17 1995:1
2043:22,23	BORGMEYER	2053:15	call 1963:14,18	1997:2,3,7,14
2070:9 2074:12	1961:13	burden 1990:17	1986:14	1997:15
2096:18	borne 1995:7,8	1992:11,22	1989:15 2002:9	1998:13
2109:18	2014:1 2017:21	1999:6	2024:7	1999:17,20
2112:25	bottom 2032:23	business 1975:20	called 2077:24	2002:13,14
bet 1985:12	bought 1991:8	1976:7 2069:12	2092:2	2003:14 2004:4
better 1998:10	2039:5 2044:4	butchering	calling 1977:2	2004:15
1999:1,10,25	2044:6	2056:15	calls 1976:18	2005:19 2009:3
2026:18	Boulevard	buy 1986:13	2053:8 2104:13	2010:25 2012:7
2028:19	1961:2	2041:20	2115:5	2012:24
2051:16,19	bound 1962:25	2043:10 2044:3	capacity 1971:21	2013:16 2014:1
2059:16	Box 1960:3,8	2044:7 2045:18	1971:24 1972:2	2014:1,22,23
Bible 2014:24	1961:7,16	2045:19,20	1972:3 1986:12	2014:24,25
bid 2039:4	break 2024:1,4,6	2060:19 2061:1	2004:13	2015:4 2016:21
2043:9,12,16	2068:16,21	buying 1986:16	2042:15,17	2017:4,5
2043:17,24,25	2106:8,22	1986:17	2111:16	2019:7,20
bidding 2038:25	2107:1,2,4	2028:23	capital 1982:20	2022:6 2023:15
2081:16	brent.roam@b	2041:19	2021:6	2026:1,24,25
bifurcate 2026:8	1960:16	2043:19,19	caption 2123:10	2027:5 2034:18
big 1998:24	Brian 1964:3	2049:19	care 1994:12	2034:18
2005:16,20	2121:9	2054:18	2022:20	2041:25
2017:7 2089:12	brief 2011:23	2057:24	career 2103:18	2047:21,22
2114:7	briefly 2096:19	2081:17	careful 2006:2	2048:6,8
bigger 2001:18	briefs 1967:19	buys 1975:1,10	carefully 2016:8	2051:9,13,18
billing 1995:21	bring 1964:2	1976:13 1977:7	2086:21 2088:4	2051:24
2092:2,5	2090:1 2107:4	1985:20 2006:4	CAROLE	2053:14
billion 1971:3	bringing 2083:11	2006:12	1960:13	2069:15 2084:7
bind 2006:8	2115:14	2007:16	Carver 1964:4	2087:8 2089:24
binding 1975:17	brings 2019:8	2028:20	2121:12,14	2104:20 2107:6
binds 1975:18	broad 2087:23	2044:23 2045:6	case 1962:12	2114:22
bit 1968:21	broadly 2086:2	B-a-r-n-e-s	1966:20,22	2115:22
1971:5 1977:20	Broadway	2107:17	1967:4,9	cases 1965:16
1988:7,10	1960:14		1969:3,4,13,15	1966:8 1981:4
1989:9,16	brought 1967:6	C	1969:18	1996:15
2020:17	1973:14	C 1962:1 2109:10	1970:10,23,24	1998:20 2000:2
2024:25	2115:17	2112:3 2121:9	1971:12	2005:10 2006:7
2057:19 2058:4	Brubaker	2121:11,13	1973:15 1976:5	2010:25 2017:9
2064:11	2069:11	2123:2,2	1978:23	2023:21
2106:18 2114:5	2103:18	calculate 2083:6	1979:16 1981:4	2052:22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

2114:19,19	2011:21,22	characterized	2049:10,11,13	clarification
categorize	2012:4,9,13	1971:24	2052:12 2054:9	1988:6 2077:24
1998:2	2019:25 2020:1	charge 1979:11	2054:10,17	clarify 2012:25
cause 2029:25	2020:8,24	1989:15,15,16	2056:15 2057:5	2052:5 2056:6
2032:8 2065:22	2021:3,17,22	1989:18 1990:7	2057:13,18	2057:11
2123:9	2023:2,4	1990:13 1991:1	2060:12 2062:9	2092:12
caused 2111:20	2028:14,15,17	1994:11 2027:7	2062:19,25	clarifying 2078:2
Cave 1960:14,17	2028:24	2044:25	2063:2,6,16	Class 2108:10,10
CCM 1972:5	2031:13,14	2045:11	2064:20 2083:7	2108:20,25
1982:13,25	2038:15,16,18	2049:18,19	2083:20	clause 1962:14
CCM's 1979:7	2044:10 2058:6	2050:2,2	2086:13	1965:5,21
1979:12,12	2058:20 2059:8	2052:18 2055:4	2089:11	1980:12,15
2106:13	2066:25 2067:1	2055:7,8	2090:20 2091:1	1981:2 1990:14
CCR 1959:23	2079:24,25	2056:11	2091:19,19,25	2004:19 2009:8
2123:19	2080:3 2084:14	2057:25 2115:6	chart 2108:5,7	2009:10
centers 2019:5	2086:22 2088:5	2115:16	cheap 2014:4	2013:15 2014:7
cents 2108:18	2095:20,21	charged 1976:11	Chesterfield	2014:16 2015:2
CEO 2015:21	2110:21,23,24	1976:14 1986:3	2069:13	2015:18,24
certain 1967:5	2113:22,24	2043:5 2045:4	Chief 1959:17	2016:4,6,13,16
1970:4 2039:5	2117:3 2118:8	2045:5	1961:11	2017:1,6,10
2039:19	2118:12,22	charges 1967:5	choose 2085:9	2018:3,16,25
2040:12 2051:2	Chairman's	1969:8,15	Chouteau 1960:4	2019:3,5,17,19
2058:12	2085:2 2086:12	1970:3,4,12,14	CHRISTINA	2020:18
certainly	challenges	1970:17,20,24	1961:5	2021:11 2022:4
1967:18 2003:2	2017:9	1971:8,10,14	circumstance	2022:9,13,17
2012:8 2013:2	chance 1965:13	1971:17,21	2060:13	2023:11,20
2013:6 2068:22	change 1963:20	1972:8,12,14	circumstances	2025:6 2047:10
2090:22	1977:2 1982:12	1973:3,19,25	2058:12	2047:14 2056:5
Certified 2123:5	1988:9,11	1974:5,19	cite 1967:18	2060:12,23
certify 2123:7	1989:4 1990:1	1976:10,15	1978:4 2112:1	2063:21
CFR 2120:20	1992:1,25	1978:15,24	2112:2	2064:13,18
Chad 2103:8	1993:2 1998:24	1979:1,3	cited 1978:2	2080:19,25
Chairman	1999:9 2082:16	1984:7,21	City 1959:9	2083:21
1959:19	2115:1,15	1985:14 1986:3	1960:18 1961:8	2084:11
1968:24 1969:1	changed 1980:2	1988:15	1961:17 2009:3	2087:13 2088:3
1983:20,21,23	1980:7 2016:20	1991:13,15,20	2123:15	2088:13,15
1983:24 1984:5	changes 1983:12	1991:21	claim 1972:11	2114:24
1984:10,15,19	1987:17,23	1993:16	2008:24	2116:17 2118:2
1984:25 1985:9	1988:5 2023:15	1994:14,22	claimed 1972:7	clauses 1979:14
1985:19,23	2025:11	1995:1,3	1972:14	1979:22,25
1986:2,7	2027:18,19,22	2005:12,16,16	1981:17,21	1996:14
1987:6 1993:22	2056:7,8	2012:10 2020:3	claiming 1972:11	2002:20 2003:2
1993:23 1994:1	2070:4 2112:18	2020:5 2023:20	2010:21	2014:19
1995:14 2000:5	changing	2044:17,17	claims 1969:11	2080:22
2000:6,15,17	2063:20	2046:15,24	1973:22	2086:14,20
2000:20,23	characterization	2047:13	1977:15 2006:6	2087:22
2001:1,5	2074:19	2048:23,25	2007:4	clean 2067:24
	l	l	l	l

Ι				
cleanup 1989:2	2095:16	1978:6 1992:5	2046:5,6,7	2015:20,22
clear 1968:13	2110:13	1992:25 1993:8	2048:12,21	2016:17,19
1973:13 1976:1	2113:21 2118:5	1993:17	2051:6 2055:11	2025:9,15,16
1992:16 1996:1	2118:14	1996:10,21	2056:12 2059:8	2025:24
2003:8,18	Cole 2123:4,16	1998:9,9,15,21	2062:1,2	2035:17 2043:3
2005:5 2012:5	collect 2048:25	1999:14,23	2064:2,10	2043:4 2047:14
2012:21 2026:6	Columbia 1960:9	2000:15	2067:3,4,11,13	2047:25 2048:5
2027:11	column 2032:23	2002:18,20	2084:17,18,19	2055:18
2045:10,15	2037:11	2006:8,9	2084:20 2091:1	2061:14,17,18
2059:21	columns 2036:2	2008:3,6	2095:20,23,24	2063:1 2081:25
2072:25 2073:1	combination	2009:2,6,21	2095:25	2082:3,18
2078:15	2058:2	2011:19	2096:10,14,17	2083:22
2082:15 2089:7	combined	2013:13	2101:11	company's
2104:15	2073:23	2014:18 2016:2	2102:15	1969:3,10
cleared 2045:2,3	come 1962:10	2016:5,11,15	2115:25 2116:2	1981:6,22
2059:13 2073:7	1968:2 1969:10	2017:5,9,16	2118:12,13,15	2004:22
2073:8,15	1985:13	2019:10	2119:2	2007:20,23,25
2075:8,12,15	1988:19	2022:12	Commissioners	2008:2,7,8,10
2075:15,16	1989:18	2025:23	1959:20	2008:14 2010:2
2076:15,18,20	1990:16 2024:2	2032:20	2090:14,23	2025:25
clearer 1988:10	2028:13	2035:12,19	2114:21	2026:21
clearing 2075:11	2031:12	2036:12	commissions	2046:11 2048:4
clearly 1978:11	2043:13	2055:24	2088:7	2062:17
2004:24	2061:20	2074:22 2075:1	Commission's	compared
2010:15,21	2066:24	2088:2 2103:17	1966:8 1977:24	1995:1
clears 2040:19	2067:22	2103:20 2104:9	1980:5 1981:18	complaint
2043:17 2044:1	2079:23 2084:7	2107:21	1996:24 1997:3	2034:18
client 2013:18	2095:19	2112:16	1997:20	complete 1997:5
close 2087:17	2106:15 2107:1	2114:15,16	2003:20 2112:1	1997:11
closed 2003:24	comes 1964:7	2115:11,14,18	committed	completed
2096:19	2041:1 2050:3	Commissioner	1997:25	1980:11
code 1998:2	2081:20	1968:20	companies	completely
2025:20 2115:6	coming 1968:20	1987:11,12,15	2014:14	1974:6,8
codes 2026:8	2083:25	1987:21 1993:3	company	1975:5 1979:17
Coffman 1961:1	comma 1987:22	1994:2,5,17,25	1959:12 1960:3	1981:23
1963:6,8,11	1987:22	1995:6,10,13	1960:11	1982:11
1965:13,14	comments	2000:8,9,10,11	1980:21 1981:7	2020:14
2013:12 2020:1	1999:16	2001:13	1981:9,11,17	2046:16
2020:7,13	commercial	2012:15,16,18	1981:19 1982:2	2058:14 2090:5
2021:2,8,21	2091:22	2012:19 2023:4	1993:11	2092:1
2022:1 2023:8	commission	2023:5,6	1994:16	completeness
2023:18	1959:2 1961:15	2025:12	1996:24	1968:4
2028:10	1961:19	2027:21 2029:1	1997:11,22	completes
2031:11	1962:23 1965:9	2029:2,3,4	2004:25	2068:12
2038:12 2049:6	1965:16,23	2031:16,17,18	2007:13 2010:8	compliant
2049:7 2050:19	1966:18 1967:3	2031:19	2010:15,20	1981:24
2070:25	1967:25 1969:2	2044:13,14	2013:23	complied
	I	I	I	I

<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>	•	•
1981:18	constraints	contested 1965:6	2042:4 2044:24	2080:23,24
compute 2057:5	2042:2	context 2042:9	2048:11 2050:7	2083:23 2084:2
concept 2045:9	constructed	2076:8	2052:14	2088:11
concern 1997:18	1971:6 2050:7	contexts 1987:8	2053:16,25	2107:24
1998:17	construction	continuation	2057:14,15,21	2108:10,11,14
concerning	1971:9 2050:9	2018:25	2057:22,25	2108:19,20,25
2109:2	constructive	continue 1981:20	2060:2 2061:7	costs 1971:2,7,10
concerns 1982:9	1982:16	1990:20	2061:8 2062:12	1972:2 1988:8
1982:24	consumed	1993:18,19	2062:16 2071:9	1988:9 1990:2
2014:20 2018:2	1970:15	2018:16	2071:12,13,16	1990:13 1994:7
2022:21	2010:10,12	2019:17	2072:8,20,21	1995:21 1997:5
concludes 2009:6	consumer	continued	2073:2 2074:4	1997:16,18
2016:11	1964:20	1979:15	2075:9 2081:7	1998:2,20
2023:24	1999:25 2004:8	1983:16	2094:19,20	2000:2 2001:3
concluding	2022:16	continuing	2101:22 2102:1	2001:10
1972:19,23	consumers	1983:10	2103:21	2003:11 2004:7
conclusion	1960:21 1961:4	contract 1971:22	2109:17	2004:11 2005:7
2011:12	1962:19	2044:19	2123:12	2005:8,9,14
2072:18,22	1966:14 1983:2	contracts	corrected 2033:4	2007:5 2008:4
confident	1996:19	1971:22 1972:3	correction	2008:5,18,25
1974:22	2003:23,25	1980:18 2014:4	2032:21	2009:7,12,16
confidential	2013:11,14	contrary 1987:7	2108:14 2109:4	2009:17 2010:4
2011:2 2030:2	2014:20,23	2006:15	corrections	2010:18,20
2032:11	2018:6,6,10	contrast 2094:4	2030:10	2011:14,15
2034:12	2020:24 2023:9	contributing	2032:16 2033:2	2014:20
2065:23 2067:5	2028:3,9	2017:1,2	2066:2 2070:3	2016:12 2018:1
2096:9	2031:10	control 2053:17	2108:3,23	2019:4,9,14,18
confirm 2071:25	2038:10 2049:5	2053:19	2109:11	2021:14
conform 2020:21	2070:24	controls 2051:9	2111:23 2112:4	2025:19
confused 2039:1	2095:15	2053:14	2112:20	2026:18
connected	2110:12	controversy	correctly 2007:8	2038:21
1991:12	2113:20	1988:24 2017:7	2012:6 2056:23	2047:15
connection	consumption	2057:17	2062:7	2051:12 2052:1
1965:1	2043:15,24	convert 2008:23	cost 1989:21	2052:4 2053:5
consequence	2096:5	convince 1971:15	1990:8 1997:19	2053:7 2062:19
1970:2	contained	1971:16	1998:6 1999:6	2062:22 2067:7
consider 2051:17	2096:24	1990:17	2007:18,20	2080:6,13,16
2084:5	contemplate	1992:11,22	2009:9 2010:8	2080:19 2081:2
consideration	2008:20	copies 1966:3	2013:25 2014:3	2081:6 2082:21
1967:18 2004:3	contemplation	correct 1984:4	2014:15	2082:23
considering	2073:22	2002:9 2003:12	2015:11,25	2083:10,14,16
1963:2 1996:15	contend 2006:23	2021:1,20,21	2017:20	2084:5,6
2015:1 2016:8	2016:25	2024:15 2025:7	2040:15 2041:1	2094:24 2112:8
consistent 2012:6	2017:14,17	2025:13,14	2045:16 2046:2	2112:9,10
2112:15	contention	2033:13	2046:3 2048:5	2114:9
constitute	2003:22	2034:20	2055:1 2059:19	cost-effective
1982:16	contents 2072:5	2036:16,20,21	2063:19	2018:14 2023:1
		l	l	<u> </u>

				•
couched 1974:3	2101:4 2108:24	1999:15	1978:2 2001:3	1983:1 1993:16
Council 1961:4	course 1983:6	currently	2001:22	decides 2050:25
1962:19	2026:11 2044:1	1993:19	2004:19 2005:3	2051:23 2055:2
1966:15	court 1972:6,18	1998:22 2027:8	2006:7 2007:9	2082:3
2013:11,14	1972:21 1973:1	2050:10	2007:12 2010:1	decision 2003:4
2014:21,23	1973:8,11	2057:19 2089:5	2011:24	decisions
2023:9 2028:3	1996:13	2089:9 2112:2	2046:20	2018:14,22
2028:9 2031:10	2002:14 2003:3	2114:9 2115:8	2068:14,19	2022:23
2038:11 2049:5	2003:14,17,21	customer	2069:6,8	2082:10
2070:24	2004:3 2015:17	2046:15	2070:13 2071:5	declassified
2095:15	2088:22	customers	2071:23	2011:4 2034:17
2110:12	2107:15	1970:1,16	2077:13 2080:1	decrease 2052:16
2113:20	courts 2014:21	1975:11 1977:8	2084:15	decreased 2084:6
Council's	cover 2055:4	1991:5,6,11	2088:21	2096:4
1964:21	2072:4	2004:11	2089:21,22	decreases
2020:24	covered 1988:10	2007:14,16,18	2093:4 2118:20	2003:10
counsel 1961:5,5	2009:10	2007:25 2008:4	2121:16,18	dedicated
1961:6,6,7,10	co-appellants	2008:5,10	day 1964:10	2007:24
1961:11,12,13	1973:2	2010:11 2043:8	1974:13	deep 1987:4
1961:14,14,15	create 2019:1	2047:16,17	1978:17,18,19	default 2020:25
1962:18 1963:1	2115:21	2048:2 2049:17	2004:23	defer 2070:16
1964:20 1996:8	created 1991:19	2055:19	2005:22	2110:5 2113:8
1996:11	credentials	2060:15,23	2040:18,20	deficiency
2023:13 2028:7	2104:7	2061:1 2074:6	2041:3,10,10	1981:21
2031:6 2034:3	credit 2021:20	2080:18 2082:2	2041:12,13,14	define 2052:25
2049:3 2067:5	cross 2028:1	2082:13 2083:3	2043:15,16,18	defined 2039:13
2070:22 2080:6	2095:1 2109:22	cut 2106:19	2044:25 2051:3	2039:24
2095:13	2113:4		2059:22 2060:4	2049:14
2110:10	cross-examinat	D	2060:7 2082:8	2085:15
2111:18 2114:8	1963:21 2031:3	D 1961:6 1962:1	days 1990:12	2086:10
2114:14,21	2033:10,25	2118:1	1999:3,4	definitely
Counsel's 2114:6	2035:6 2061:6	DALE 1961:12	daytime 2079:2	2091:23
Counsel/Electric	2066:10,22	2107:13	day-ahead	definition
1961:12	2070:18 2071:3	2109:20,25	2041:7 2059:13	2020:14 2089:6
Counsel/Gas	2092:16	2110:3,8	2059:14 2060:9	2091:15
1961:13	2095:11	2113:15 2116:6	2078:20 2079:1	definitions
country 2080:10	2104:15	Dale2107 2119:6	2079:6	2009:11
2080:11,12	2110:10	damaged	dealing 2012:24	2089:17 2090:1
2081:1	2113:14	2101:12,16,20	2030:24	delineated
County 2123:4	2118:11,21	DANIEL	decades 2013:18	1970:22
2123:16	CSR 1959:23	1959:19	2022:8	demand 2002:1
couple 1970:10	2009:12 2112:2	date 2077:22	December	2043:16
1981:4 1983:24	2123:19	2089:3,6,8	2034:15,15,23	denied 1972:7
2017:12	CULLY 1961:12	Dauphinais	2035:5 2121:23	1997:12 2016:6
2028:18	culminated	1971:14,17	2122:8	2022:7
2050:22	2014:22	1976:16 1977:4	decide 1989:14	deny 1977:5,6
2051:20 2089:1	current 1998:18	1977:15,21	decided 1969:4	2005:6
2031.20 2009.1	cuit cité 1770.10	· ·	acciaca 1707.1	_000.0

depending	differentiate	discussed	2092:21,24	2080:7 2088:19
2042:2	2081:19	1969:12 2004:9	2093:18	2088:20 2090:3
depositions	2082:21	2105:17	documents	2090:13 2092:7
2005:22	differently	discussing	1964:22,23	2093:3,12,16
Deputy 1961:12	1974:3	2072:19	1966:13,15	2094:7,12,17
1961:13	diligence 2022:3	discussion	2024:17	2094:21 2096:8
derived 1971:9	direct 1963:19,22	1967:4 2059:7	2106:15	2096:15
describe 2027:18	1963:23 1997:8	2089:10	doing 1964:10	2103:25 2104:1
2027:19	2009:14 2010:8	2112:12	1966:25 2022:2	2104:19,24
2075:22 2096:4	2014:6 2025:3	discussions	2026:10	2105:2,5,21
described	2029:21	1983:6 2042:9	2041:19	2110:15
2014:24	2030:24 2032:5	2089:19	2060:15 2078:2	2113:17
2023:13	2032:9 2033:22	2105:14,15	dollar 2005:16	2118:11,14,21
2027:22	2065:18 2069:7	dispatch 2040:8	2019:4,4,6	2118:23 2119:2
2060:13 2086:2	2069:24	2040:11	2041:3 2046:14	2119:4
descriptions	2094:17	2059:11,20,21	2051:7 2056:22	Downey2000
1998:6,12	2107:13 2109:3	2060:1,5,8	2063:7,8	2118:4
2025:18 2026:7	2111:12,25	2082:9 2085:23	2108:18	drive 2018:13
designed 2054:24	2113:10 2118:7	dispatched	dollars 1977:10	drops 2008:11
detail 1982:1	2118:9,11,17	2042:1	1977:11,13	drove 2015:24
1998:1,12	2118:21 2119:2	dispatches	2010:18	due 2022:2
detailed 1978:7	2119:6,9	2040:9	2040:16	dump 2090:7
1985:3 1998:6	2120:4,11	disproves	2053:22	2092:17
2075:3	2121:2,9,11,15	1977:19	2055:12,14	DUSTIN 1961:5
details 1975:22	2121:19	dispute 1980:16	2061:9,10,11	d/b/a 1959:12
determine	directly 1972:18	1980:20	2061:12	1960:11
2043:22 2083:3	1972:23	1996:20	2089:12	
determined	1973:11,20	distinct 2085:12	door 2090:16	E
1971:25	1991:11	2087:18	Downey 1960:17	E 1962:1,1
developments	dis 2105:13	distinction	1963:25	2118:1 2123:2
1962:15	disadvantage	2081:13	1964:16	2123:2
DIANA 1960:12	1982:19	distributing	1966:17,23	earlier 1980:18
difference	disadvantaged	2054:25	1967:1,10,21	2012:22
1981:2 2021:16	2018:5	District 2016:16	1974:23	2026:24 2084:9
differences	disagree 1992:13	divide 2057:7	2000:14,19,22	2094:15
1982:10 2044:2	disagreed	divided 2036:2	2000:25 2001:7	early 1971:5
2044:4 2046:11	1990:20	dividends	2011:5,23	1997:7 2002:1
2046:16	disagreement	2022:10	2012:3,8,12	2025:12
different 1976:18	1981:9	divider 2036:5	2013:2,6,9	earn 2014:11
1990:18	discontinue	divorced 1974:6	2028:6 2031:9	2016:23
1991:22	2013:16	1974:8,19	2034:6,13,21	earning 1996:17
2016:19 2045:7	2022:13	docket 1999:15	2035:6 2037:24	2051:15,19
2045:8 2059:12	discontinued	2030:1 2032:9	2038:8 2050:22	earnings 2034:18
2078:25 2079:5	2017:10	2065:23	2050:24 2052:7	easier 2019:3
2086:17	discuss 1973:6	2120:20	2053:12 2054:3	eastern 2080:11
2088:12	1986:22	document	2058:24 2061:5	economic 2060:5
2102:15	2102:14 2103:8	2090:15	2069:7 2070:12	EDWARD
	l	l	l	ı

	1	1	1	1
1960:17	1969:24 1970:1	1959:13	1959:7	excused 2029:12
efdowney@br	1970:15	1962:12	exactly 2006:21	2031:23
1960:19	1975:15 1978:9	essentially	2012:12,25	2065:10
effect 2021:4,19	1986:4,10,15	1974:11	2026:24	2067:19
effective 1999:19	1994:11	1979:16	2052:23	2094:11
effectively	1995:21	1985:20	2063:25	2105:25 2111:5
1974:4 2075:14	2001:10	1986:11,14	Exam 2118:16	2116:14
2085:8	2004:13,24	1990:8 1996:17	examination	exhibit 1962:4,7
effort 1965:11	2010:8 2012:1	2012:1 2020:9	1985:3 2025:3	2024:21 2030:4
2008:23	2012:10	2020:12 2043:9	2029:21	2030:14,21
2023:18	2014:14,20	2064:15	2030:15 2032:5	2033:19 2034:9
EFIS 2115:11	2020:4 2028:20	2101:11,20	2054:7 2064:8	2034:22,24,25
either 1982:13	2037:1,4,18	establish 1996:22	2065:18 2069:7	2035:2,4,22
2034:17	2044:22	2105:2	2087:9 2088:20	2037:8 2038:6
2078:16 2079:2	2045:13,14	established	2094:17 2104:1	2065:25 2066:9
election 2051:13	2056:11	1996:25	2107:13	2066:13,19
electric 1959:12	2073:18 2075:5	2088:14	2111:12 2118:7	2068:4,5,10
1959:14 1960:3	2081:19,20	estimate 2043:14	2118:9,11,15	2069:18,21
1960:11	2083:15	2048:4 2049:15	2118:17,21,23	2071:17,20,25
1979:20	2091:11,11,16	2063:5	2119:2,4,6,9	2076:24 2077:8
2013:22	2092:6 2094:24	estimation	examined	2077:10,15
2015:20	engage 2007:14	2043:23	2086:21,24,25	2079:14,21
2016:16 2021:6	engineer 2102:21	evening 1962:19	2087:2,5,13,21	2088:22
2087:18,18	entered 1997:24	2040:25	2088:3	2089:19,22
electrical	Entergy 1991:7	everybody	examining	2091:7,14
2102:20	1991:14,16,16	1965:12	2051:23	2092:8 2093:1
electricity	1991:20	2034:21	example 1988:8	2093:5,9
1972:25	entering 2026:2	everybody's 2090:5	1991:2 2039:21	2094:22 2095:8
1975:10 2049:24	entire 1965:25,25	evidence 1975:12	2040:14,17,23 2041:14 2042:4	2108:1,13
elements 2023:12	1966:6,7,8,9,15 2007:22 2008:7	1975:14 1979:9	2041:14 2042:4	2120:3,5,6,8,10 2120:12,13,15
2023:16,17	2071:14	1975.14 1979.9	exceeded	2120:12,13,13
eliminating	2071.14	1979:11,14,17	2053:15	2120.17,18,20
2000:1	2103:18	1982:14 1983:9	Excellent 2001:5	2121:2,3,3,9,11
ELMO 1977:20	entirely 1980:8	2016:5,8,20	exception	2121:19,21,23
emphasize	1986:21	2010:3,8,20	2014:17	2122:1,3,5,8,10
2091:17	2023:11	2021:18	2104:21	exhibits 2032:12
Empire 2016:16	entirety 2072:22	2021:18	excerpts 2089:1	2033:5,9
employed	equal 2007:19	2030:22	2093:11	2035:9 2037:23
2107:18,20	equipment	2033:20 2038:7	excess 1986:12	2037:25
2111:15,17	2102:24	2066:20	2055:25	2070:13
ended 2034:23	equity 2051:16	2068:11 2077:9	excluded	2094:25
2034:25 2035:1	ER-2010-0356	2079:22 2093:2	1971:21 1972:2	2109:21
2035:3,4	2009:3 2012:7	2095:9 2109:21	1980:23	2111:20 2113:3
2036:5,9	ER-2012-0166	2118:6,19	exclusion	2120:1,2
2039:7	1966:22 1969:4	2119:5,7	1980:17,24	2121:1,7
energy 1960:21	ER-2014-0258	Evidentiary	1981:7,10	existed 2092:21
<i>O</i>			, -	

2026:4 exit 2048:24 2054:14,16,21 expect 1978:6 2059:17 2075:2 expected 1970:20 2047:7 2057:19 2104:10 expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8 expense 2011:7,8	2051:2 ktra 2015:21 2047:15 ktract 1973:18 ktrapolate 2021:23 F 1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8 1969:10,17	2023:16,17 2025:11,19 2026:11,19 2027:8,13,18 2034:14,22,24 2034:25 2035:2 2038:22 2062:11,14,19 2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24	factually 2006:17 FAC's 1969:9 fail 2101:25 2104:10 failed 2003:23 fails 1974:15 1975:5 Failure 2105:12 failures 2001:24	1978:11 2026:5 2061:22 2071:8 2071:9 2072:1 2072:23 2074:7 2074:12 2075:6 2075:19 2076:4 2076:10,16 2077:16 2078:1
exit 2048:24 2054:14,16,21 expect 1978:6 2059:17 2075:2 expected 1970:20 2047:7 2057:19 2104:10 expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	2047:15 xtract 1973:18 xtrapolate 2021:23 F 1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2026:11,19 2027:8,13,18 2034:14,22,24 2034:25 2035:2 2038:22 2062:11,14,19 2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24	fail 2101:25 2104:10 failed 2003:23 fails 1974:15 1975:5 Failure 2105:12	2071:9 2072:1 2072:23 2074:7 2074:12 2075:6 2075:19 2076:4 2076:10,16 2077:16 2078:1
2054:14,16,21 ex expect 1978:6 ex 2059:17 2075:2 expected 1970:20 2047:7 2057:19 2104:10 F expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	ktract 1973:18 ktrapolate 2021:23 F 1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2027:8,13,18 2034:14,22,24 2034:25 2035:2 2038:22 2062:11,14,19 2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24	2104:10 failed 2003:23 fails 1974:15 1975:5 Failure 2105:12	2072:23 2074:7 2074:12 2075:6 2075:19 2076:4 2076:10,16 2077:16 2078:1
expect 1978:6 2059:17 2075:2 expected 1970:20 2047:7 2057:19 2104:10 expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	ktrapolate 2021:23 F 1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2034:14,22,24 2034:25 2035:2 2038:22 2062:11,14,19 2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24	failed 2003:23 fails 1974:15 1975:5 Failure 2105:12	2074:12 2075:6 2075:19 2076:4 2076:10,16 2077:16 2078:1
2059:17 2075:2 expected 1970:20 2047:7 2057:19 2104:10 expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	2021:23 F 1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2034:25 2035:2 2038:22 2062:11,14,19 2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24	fails 1974:15 1975:5 Failure 2105:12	2075:19 2076:4 2076:10,16 2077:16 2078:1
expected 1970:20 2047:7 2057:19 2104:10 expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	F 1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2038:22 2062:11,14,19 2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24	1975:5 Failure 2105:12	2076:10,16 2077:16 2078:1
2047:7 2057:19 2104:10 expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2062:11,14,19 2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24	Failure 2105:12	2077:16 2078:1
2104:10 F expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	1960:17 2123:2 AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2062:20,24 2063:2,5,24		
expedite 2106:23 expense 2011:7,8	AC 1966:19 1968:1 1969:8	2063:2,5,24	failures 2001:24	2070 0 2120 12
expense 2011:7,8	1968:1 1969:8	, ,		2079:8 2120:19
expense 2011.7,0			2096:6,7	2120:20
2026 12 22	1969-10 17	2065:2 2080:5	2104:3 2105:10	FERC's 1977:24
2050.15,25	, ,	2080:6 2081:5	2105:19	2072:18
2037.12,13	1971:14,15,17	2081:6 2083:16	fair 2011:12	fiction 2007:14
2033.23 2033.2	1971:20 1972:9	2084:3 2094:24	2014:11	2020:16,21
CAPCIISCS 2000.13	1972:9,16,24	2112:10,16	2016:23	figure 2037:5
2000.13 2032.0	1973:19 1974:1	2114:10,11	2057:20	figures 2105:9,11
2032.13,20	1979:2,6,15	2115:2	2074:18	figuring 2056:14
2053:6,15,17	1980:5,24	facilities 2007:23	2087:10	file 1959:13
2031.1	1981:20	2008:8,9,15	fairly 2101:13	1975:18
experience	1982:12,18,24	2010:10 2083:9	2106:23	1997:11
2022:25	1983:3,10,12	2085:9	fairness 2019:22	2012:25
2086:16	1983:16 1984:8	facility 2080:17	faith 2025:18	2023:14
2102.17	1984:21 1985:4	facing 2048:4	familiar 1970:18	2047:22 2048:6
experimenting	1985:15	FACs 1984:2,6	2025:4 2039:10	2051:13,24
2011.10	1987:18	2004:6 2038:21	2039:12	2053:19 2115:2
C2190102101.20	1988:16,17	fact 1977:7	familiarity	2115:16
2101.23	1989:20,23	1978:13,19	2042:7	filed 1962:17
expertise	1990:10,11	1980:10,22,23	far 1982:1	1963:20
2102.23	1991:14	2002:19	2007:11	1964:21
CAPIAIII 1700.23	1992:18 1993:9	2006:10	2013:21 2081:2	1981:15 1988:3
1987:21 1994:5	1993:10,16,18	2007:12 2009:2	fashion 1964:25	1998:9 2025:6
20020,21	1994:8,11	2016:25	2059:12	2035:19
2059:23 2096:6	1995:22	2021:23 2059:6	fats 1976:23	2056:25
21111	1996:12,14,22	2082:25 2084:2	fault 2045:15	2089:22
explains 1975:8	1996:23 1997:6	2091:24	faulted 2090:17	2111:20
2091:9	1997:9,16,17	factor 2017:1,2	feature 2091:9	2114:15
explanation	1997:19,22	2051:17,25	Feddersen	2115:10,21
	1998:3,7,15,18	factors 1996:16	1959:23 2123:5	files 2051:9,18
explanations	1998:19 1999:3	2015:2 2018:4	2123:19	2053:14
	1999:8,11,12	2019:6	fee 2048:24	filing 1981:18,22
explicit 2023:19	1999:16,20,25	facts 1966:14	2054:14,16,21	1982:3 1988:4
	2001:3 2004:18	1976:22,24	felt 1982:8	1990:14 1992:4
	2005:14,14	1980:9 1996:20	1988:3	1992:6,15,25
	2009:1,13	2006:18	FERC 1975:17	1997:3,10
	2010:23	2009:24	1975:19	1998:5,21
	2012:11,24	2016:17	1977:13,16,18	1999:2 2026:16
	2020:6 2021:7	factual 2017:19	1977:22 1978:6	2027:14 2030:1

2032:9 2065:23	2104:10	2043:21	1965:5,21	2123:12
2084:1	five-year	foregoing	1979:13,21,25	fully 1981:17
filings 1965:16	2051:21	2123:12	1980:3,12,15	fundamentally
1981:22 1982:3	fixed 2046:4	forget 2000:25	1981:2 1989:20	2092:17
final 2060:23	flights 2116:24	forgot 2110:22	1990:14 1994:7	further 1967:23
2110:6	flow 1984:8,21	form 1979:16	1995:1,3	1993:4 2015:25
Finally 1973:1	1985:14	2086:21	2003:10	2026:8 2038:8
Financial	1990:20 1997:6	formatting	2004:10 2007:5	2049:1 2054:3
2035:14	2008:25	1988:1	2007:17 2008:4	2062:2 2064:4
2121:23 2122:1	2012:11 2020:6	formula 2020:19	2008:13,18	2064:8 2065:6
2122:3,5,8	2020:18	2057:2 2090:24	2009:10,11,15	2088:16 2094:8
find 1984:20	2038:22 2047:9	forth 2002:16	2010:3,10,12	2118:15,16
2004:19	2047:13 2056:4	2006:24 2009:5	2010:18,20	Furthermore
2006:13	2056:8 2060:22	2017:19	2011:7,8,9	2062:21
2015:25 2047:1	2062:14,18,20	2109:13	2013:15,24	future 1998:15
finding 2009:5	2063:2,5,23	2123:10	2014:7,16,18	1999:13 2104:3
2115:13	2064:13,14,16	forward 1999:8	2015:2,18,24	2114:18,19
fine 1965:18	2064:17 2080:5	1999:18 2002:3	2016:4,6,11,13	
finish 2069:2	flowed 2046:13	2018:22	2016:16,25	G
2106:7	flowing 1997:18	2068:20,25	2017:5,10	G 1962:1
finite 2102:2	flows 2063:20	found 2006:10	2018:1,2,16,21	game 2018:21
fire 2004:10	2081:5 2091:20	2016:17	2018:25 2019:3	2022:24
firm 2123:6	flying 1995:25	foundation	2019:5,14,17	Gary 2120:17
first 1971:20	focus 2036:11	2105:3	2019:18,18	general 1979:14
1972:19	focused 1997:2	four 1978:3	2020:18	1999:19
1976:18 1980:4	2052:20	1980:11	2021:10,14	2019:20 2022:6
1982:5 1988:17	folks 1992:9	1991:19 2015:8	2022:4,9,13,16	2023:17 2103:9
1988:19	follow 2040:10	2087:14	2023:11,19	2105:15
1996:22 2006:1	2051:8	fourth 2006:9	2025:6 2036:12	generally 1973:5
2016:3 2023:25	following 1988:8	2011:1,5	2036:23	2035:13
2024:2,6	1988:8 2048:7	frame 2051:20	2037:12,14	2052:19 2056:3
2026:20,21	2048:20	Francis 2029:14	2047:9,13	2067:9,10
2032:15 2041:3	2058:14	2029:15,20,24	2056:5 2060:12	generate 2041:12
2045:16	follows 2025:2	2029:25	2060:22	2059:17
2048:18	2029:20 2032:4	2030:14 2118:9	2063:21	2060:18
2073:16	2065:17 2069:6	2120:9	2064:13,17	generated
2074:20	2094:16	free 1983:1	2080:18,21,25	2001:19 2002:7
2078:23 2080:4	2107:12	1989:10 2059:7	2083:1,2,3,4,21	2005:25
2091:6,7,12	2111:11	2059:11	2084:11	2006:11
2092:18 2107:5	follow-up	Friday 1962:16	2086:13,20	2007:15
2112:10,16	2077:16,19	1962:17	2087:12,21	2011:16 2045:1
fist 1976:24	footnote 2008:11	1981:15 1988:2	2088:2,13,14	2081:19
1977:1	2008:12	1995:23	2114:24	generates 1976:4
five 1990:24	forecast 2043:13	1997:24 2025:7	2116:16 2118:2	1977:6 2007:13
1996:21	2043:14,20	front 2093:5,10	fulfill 2041:10	2010:17
2072:14	2044:3 2049:15	2114:17	full 2004:8	generating
2101:23 2102:6	forecasting	fuel 1962:14	2041:4,5	2005:1 2007:21
	l	l	I	I

2086:8,10	2082:8 2094:5	2034:13	1998:12	2023:4,5
generation	given 1965:1	2037:22 2042:1	1999:12 2009:4	2025:12
1972:2,3	1990:24	2042:18 2048:1	Greg 2094:12,16	2027:21 2029:1
1985:24	2009:20 2021:6	2048:13 2053:5	2119:1	2029:2 2031:16
2004:10,14	2021:20	2053:20,20,21	gross 1975:24,25	2031:17
2005:2 2007:23	2040:18	2058:24	1976:2,4	2044:13,14
2008:4,8,15	2058:22	2065:13	1977:10 1978:8	2046:5 2048:21
2010:10,13,18	2073:12	2068:16,19	1978:12,12,15	2056:12 2067:3
2010:19	2076:16	2071:25	1978:16	2067:4,11
2039:20 2040:8	2078:15,18	2075:18	2061:11,14,19	2084:17,18
2040:9,13	2103:7 2106:17	2081:24 2082:8	2073:8,10,10	2095:23,24,25
2041:2,4,9,17	2123:15	2084:13	2073:14 2075:3	2096:10,14
2043:2 2044:8	gives 1974:23	2085:19,21	2075:6,7,10,11	2101:11
2059:12 2060:1	2039:16 2040:6	2089:20 2090:7	2075:14,15,16	2102:15
2073:1,8,9,15	2083:22	2091:2 2102:7	2075:16,20,21	2115:25 2116:2
2075:9,15	giving 1992:2	2104:12 2106:4	2075:24 2076:2	Hall2044
2080:17 2081:4	GMO's 2009:7	2106:13,15,20	2076:3,10,11	2118:12
2081:16 2082:2	go 1963:13	2115:1,12,19	2076:17,19	Hall2095 2119:2
2082:4,12,13	1964:10	good 1968:19	2094:4	hand 2041:19
2082:22 2083:4	1966:12	1969:1 1983:21	grounds 1969:6	2107:9 2111:8
2083:9,15	1967:17,24	1983:22	1969:6 2008:6	handed 2071:23
2085:9	1968:9 1987:22	1987:13,14	groups 1989:12	2077:13
generator	1992:3,10	1994:2,4	growing 2019:19	2088:21,22
2039:17,18	2017:22	1996:9 2000:14	growth 1977:12	handle 1964:8
2041:24 2042:3	2023:11 2024:2	2013:12	guarantees	happen 1978:13
2044:25 2061:4	2045:15	2025:18	2017:25	1978:14
2081:21	2048:18 2052:2	2028:16,17	guess 1967:13,24	2060:16
generators	2052:21 2053:2	2035:7,8	1979:6 1985:15	2090:11
2001:20 2007:6	2053:5 2057:19	2038:17,18	1986:9 1990:22	happened 2090:3
2042:20	2061:25 2068:1	2044:15,16	2045:10	2108:8
2081:20 2086:5	2068:20	2046:9 2069:8	2051:25 2056:7	happens 1974:16
gentleman's	2084:25 2095:2	2069:9 2071:4	2057:16 2063:9	1994:7 2047:21
2104:18	2096:19,21	2071:6 2080:1	2065:14 2071:4	2110:25
geographic	2105:4 2106:13	2080:3,3	2106:10,21	2114:18
2087:23	goal 1998:4	2096:1,2	guessing 1985:9	happy 2026:10
getting 1996:23	goes 1994:22,24	2101:7,8	H	2026:17
2019:11	2002:23	2116:25	half 2050:15	hard 2019:12
2055:19	2014:12	govern 2086:20		harm 2047:25
2056:17	2090:16	grant 1965:23	Hall 1959:19 1968:20	2060:23
2064:25 2116:7	going 1962:13,22	1966:12 2017:5	1987:11,12,15	Haro 1975:7
GIBONEY	1963:1 1967:24	2022:4	1987:11,12,13	1986:7,21,25
1960:7	1971:4,8,11	granted 1965:18	1987.21 1993.3	1987:4,4,9
give 1990:10	1974:22	1965:21	1994.2,3,17,23	2028:19,22
1991:2 1998:9	1977:19 1999:8	2014:10	2000:8,9	2031:25 2032:4
2013:19	2002:3 2018:16	2016:15	2000:8,9	2032:7,8
2040:23	2018:22	granting 2017:6	2012:15,16	2033:10 2035:7
2046:13 2078:7	2023:16	greater 1997:25	2012.13,10	2038:17 2049:8
L	•	•	•	

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
2050:25	help 1998:14,25	2065:15 2066:8	Humphreys	incentive 1981:1
2061:23 2065:9	2064:10	2071:2,18	2116:20	1981:8 2015:12
2085:14	helped 2065:5	2076:23	hydro 1986:24	2015:14,21,23
2118:10	helpful 1968:8	2079:12	2040:14,15	2017:24 2018:9
2120:12	helps 2026:17	2088:17 2101:5	2058:9	2018:11,20
Haro's 1976:19	2041:23	2103:23 2113:2	Hypothetically	2019:1
2006:13 2081:9	2044:11	hope 1998:14	2053:3	incentives
2081:13	hesitant 1967:14	1999:17		1997:21
Haro2033	he'll 2001:16	2019:16	I	incentivize
2120:11,14	2002:5 2094:13	2056:15 2065:5	ice 2017:8	2014:2
hate 1967:23	2110:3	hopefully	2101:12	inception 1969:9
HC 2030:16	higher 2053:18	1999:19,24	identification	2005:14
2033:12 2066:9	2059:16,19	hopes 1976:8	1962:6,8	include 1972:24
2069:18,21	2060:20 2096:5	hoping 2012:24	2030:3 2034:10	1990:13
2108:6,7	2096:7	horrible 1982:16	2065:25	1991:25
2111:20,21,21	highest 2059:25	hour 2040:9	2071:21,24	2083:20
head 2046:10	highest-paid	2041:3,5,13	2077:11,14	included 1969:17
2055:14 2062:5	2040:21	2043:16,18	identified	1988:15
heading 2077:24	highlight	2044:24 2060:3	2077:18	1989:25,25
hear 1963:13	1979:18	2060:5,6	2096:11	1990:1,3
1974:22	highlighted	2073:2,9,12	ignore 1976:8	1992:12
2001:18	2003:8	2075:25	2007:12	1993:16
heard 1966:12	highly 2011:1	2076:16	ignored 1976:9	1997:17
1968:1 1986:10	2030:2 2032:10	2078:15,18,20	ILES 1960:13	1998:11,23
2010:24	2034:11	2079:4 2082:7	impact 2001:25	1999:2,24
2027:18 2039:3	2065:23 2067:5	2085:20	2021:25	2001:10 2009:7
2084:9	2096:9	hours 1970:15	2060:11	2009:8 2026:19
hearing 1959:7	historical	1975:9 1976:3	impacts 2051:7	2062:10
1962:2,12,20	2013:20	1976:12,12	implementation	2080:25 2112:9
1964:10	historically	1977:5,8	2016:13	2114:9,11
1967:25	2002:25	1985:24 1987:3	implemented	includes 1975:15
1980:19	hold 2019:10	2040:17,18,20	1989:3	1998:3,5,7
2004:18	holdover	2040:21	implicated	including
2024:19	1988:17	2041:20 2043:8	2089:24	1969:16
2030:19	Honestly	2043:18	implications	1998:15
2033:17 2038:4	1988:13	2054:17 2055:8	2083:13	2003:11
2066:17	honor 1963:4	2057:3,23	importance	2005:13
2067:24 2068:8	1964:18	2059:14,15,16	2015:1	2058:25
2077:6 2079:19	1967:13,22	2059:16,25,25	important	2062:23
2095:6 2117:6	1968:19 2024:8	2060:3,17,18	1989:6,7	inclusion 1972:8
hearings 2106:12	2025:1 2027:25	2060:25 2061:1	2018:8 2027:13	1974:1
hearsay 2104:13	2029:9 2030:13	2073:11 2075:8	2045:9 2082:15	inconsistent
2104:14,17,21	2031:1 2033:8	2091:20	improved 1999:9	2009:11
heart 2014:12	2033:23	housekeeping	imprudence	incorporation
hedge 2045:9	2038:13	2012:22	1980:14	2013:22
held 2096:24	2048:17 2054:6	huge 1982:19	inaccurate	increase 1959:13
Hello 2046:8	2061:24	2014:16	2094:5	1970:13 2052:9
	l	l	l	l

		1		
2053:6 2083:19	2090:8 2103:6	2119:3	2117:2	1993:7,21
2084:1,2,10,12	2104:17	irregardless	item 1989:2	1996:3,7
increases	2114:16,20	2060:6	2084:4 2092:2	2000:4,8,10,12
1970:25	initial 2061:5	irrelevant	items 1965:15,19	2011:20
1971:13 2003:9	inject 2005:15	2002:21	iterations	2012:15,18,20
2083:23	input 1989:11	issue 1962:15	2026:11	2013:3,7,10
increasing	inquire 2029:19	1966:19 1968:1	i.e 2010:11	2019:25 2023:7
1970:13	2032:3 2068:15	1968:17 1972:1		2023:23 2024:5
incur 2047:16	2069:5 2111:10	1977:25	J	2024:13,16,19
2083:7	insert 2076:2	1978:22	Jaime 1975:7	2024:23 2028:1
incurred 1979:4	instance 2016:3	1979:11,19	2032:4,7	2028:5,7,9,12
2003:10 2010:4	instrument	1981:12,25	2118:10	2029:1,3,5,8,10
2010:9,12	2088:13	1983:15	2120:11,12,14	2029:13,18
2057:6 2080:16	insurance	1990:15	James 1960:6	2030:16,19,23
2082:21	1988:24,25	1993:11	2069:6 2118:20	2031:2,5,6,8,10
2083:11	1989:1	2001:17,18,22	2121:15,17	2031:12,16,18
incurring	integration	2002:3 2003:16	JEFF 1961:13	2031:20,24
2046:24 2054:9	2004:22	2003:18,22	Jefferson 1959:9	2032:2 2033:11
2054:16	intellectual	2004:4 2005:20	1960:18 1961:8	2033:14,17,21
2056:10	2020:20	2011:19	1961:17	2033:24 2034:3
incurs 1970:2,4	intend 1965:3	2013:20	2123:16	2034:5,6,8,11
2010:4 2052:2	2075:20	2014:11	Jeffrey 2065:12	2034:19 2038:1
2053:24	2076:10	2023:25 2048:3	2065:17,21	2038:4,9,10,14
independent	intended 2019:14	2048:9 2052:17	2118:17	2044:13 2046:6
2044:8	2052:4	2062:7 2070:14	2120:15	2048:15,18
INDEX 2120:1	intends 1997:6	2070:17	Jesse 2029:20,24	2049:3,5
indicate 1981:7	intent 2020:21	2072:19 2084:8	2118:9 2120:9	2050:21,22
indicated	interest 1981:11	2084:9 2088:4	Jim 2093:14	2052:5 2053:10
1969:21	1990:23	2090:4,15,16	JOHN 1961:1,13	2054:5 2058:24
1980:22,25	1992:14 2058:6	2094:23	joined 1991:16	2059:2 2061:25
1984:2 1995:15	2060:15	2116:16,16,17	joint 1962:24	2064:3,4,6,7
2009:19 2010:9	2081:15	issued 1977:16	Jones 2065:12,17	2065:6,8,11
2080:7	interested	2071:8 2077:20	2065:21 2066:9	2066:11,14,17
indicative 2047:5	1998:10	2077:21	2067:17	2066:21,24
individual	interests 1983:2	issues 1962:14,14	2118:17	2067:3,13,16
1986:22	2016:10	1962:21	2120:16	2067:20 2068:1
Industrial	2018:10	1963:13 1964:5	Judge 1959:17	2068:5,8,12,15
1960:20	interpose	1965:5 1967:9	1962:10 1963:6	2068:18,24
ineligible 1972:8	2089:20	1979:6,9,12	1963:9,12,25	2069:2,5
1974:1	invested 2082:14	1981:14	1964:9,17	2070:12,15,22
information	investments	1982:10 1983:3	1965:12	2070:24 2071:1
1965:7 1967:2	1982:21	1983:8 1993:9	1966:11,17,21	2071:19
1982:7 1998:8	investors 2022:1	2001:8 2003:24	1966:24 1967:8	2076:25 2077:3
2023:14	involved 2080:9	2004:1,2	1967:11,14,21	2077:6 2079:16
2026:13,22	involves 1999:20	2025:6 2067:10	1968:7,12,23	2079:19,23
2027:2,4,16	in-camera	2089:23	1983:19	2084:17,19,21
2067:8,10	2096:22,24	2109:14 2114:7	1987:11 1993:5	2084:25
	l	l	l l	

2088:18	2061:14,19	1981:14 1984:9	1983:13	2107:1
2090:12,13	2062:3	1984:11	larger 2016:19	level 1982:1
2091:2 2092:7	KEEVIL	1988:14 1991:4	2057:11	1994:10 2001:9
2092:9,12,23	1961:13	2005:17	late 1969:5	2002:2 2082:7
2093:12 2094:7	Kellene 1959:23	2018:20	latitude 2051:4	2085:21
2094:9,14	2123:5,19	2022:22,24	latter's 2002:19	Lewis 1960:7
2095:2,6,10,13	KEN 1960:13	2035:23	launder 2008:22	life 2102:3,13
2095:15,17,19	Kenney 1959:19	2038:19,20	Laura 2010:6	light 1964:19
2095:23	1983:21,24	2042:12	law 1959:17	1991:7 1999:24
2096:21 2101:1	1984:5,10,15	2047:15	1960:2,2,6,7,12	2009:4 2106:13
2103:24	1984:19 1985:9	2048:13	1960:13,13,17	Light's 1991:9
2104:19,22	1985:19,23	2049:10	1961:1 1976:21	liked 1988:2
2105:4,23	1986:2,7	2050:12,14,16	1976:22,23	limit 2040:7
2106:1,4,6,24	1987:6 1993:23	2050:17	2006:18	2041:25
2107:3,8,11	1995:14 2000:6	2052:23	2016:22 2022:3	limitations
2109:23 2110:1	2000:17,20,23	2053:11	lawyers 2003:20	2040:10
2110:5,9,12,14	2001:5 2011:22	2055:21	leading 2058:25	2041:16
2110:16,18,22	2012:4,9,13	2056:13 2057:4	2072:19	limited 2085:18
2111:3,6,10	2020:1,8,24	2057:10	leave 2028:2	line 1988:1
2113:5,8,13,16	2021:3,17,22	2063:10,25	2055:3 2068:21	2019:10
2113:18,20,22	2023:2,4	2064:18,19	leaving 2055:6	2032:20 2037:1
2114:2 2115:24	2028:15,17,24	2065:4 2067:9	left 1962:16	2053:21 2096:4
2116:4,9,12,15	2031:14	2068:23	2054:10,10	2112:6,14
2116:25 2117:3	2038:16	2080:18	2103:17 2108:8	lines 2010:14
2117:4 2119:9	2044:10 2067:1	2102:10,12	2115:12	2101:15
June 2035:1	2079:25	2104:9 2106:12	left-hand 2036:4	2102:14 2104:4
2122:3	2084:14	2108:8	legal 1961:14,14	2105:10
jurisdiction	2095:21	knowledge	1961:15	linings 2101:24
2002:19	2110:21,24	2070:10	2002:10	2101:25 2102:2
jurisdictions	2113:24 2117:3	2109:18	2009:19,20	list 1964:11
2080:10	2118:8,12,22	2112:25	2087:3	2012:25
justification	KEVIN 1961:11	known 1991:7	legally 2006:16	2065:12
1982:14,15	key 2080:12	2049:12	2006:20	2067:21
justify 2016:12	2081:23	knows 1968:14	Legislature	listed 1988:14
2016:21	kind 1963:16	1984:22	2002:17 2003:1	1991:23
	1985:8,10	2034:22	2003:5 2015:19	Litigation
<u>K</u>	2017:12	2104:16	2016:1 2019:13	1959:23 2123:7
K 1959:23	2055:12,14,14	KWH 2108:18	legitimate	little 1968:21
1960:2 2123:5	2055:21		1971:16	1977:20 1980:5
2123:19	2102:21	L	Lena 2111:11,14	1988:1,7,10
Kansas 2009:3	kinds 1985:5	L 1959:17	2111:19 2119:8	1989:9 1991:10
KCPL 1979:22	Kliethermes	2121:19,22	2121:2,4,5	2012:21
2012:7	2109:8	label 2007:9	lengthy 2071:12	2024:25 2058:4
keep 2019:17,18	know 1966:4	lack 1968:3	letter 2015:22	2064:11
2027:13 2041:6	1971:7,10	2015:24	let's 1962:10	2106:18 2114:5
2047:20	1973:4 1974:18	language 1988:7	2024:2 2045:15	live 1979:6
2053:20	1980:9,9,21	large 1982:21	2057:9 2069:2	lives 2105:16
	l	<u> </u>	l	l

	•	•	ı	
LLP 1960:7,14	2040:20 2047:2	2052:3 2053:8	1997:21	market 1970:5
1960:17	2059:14 2060:7	2054:6,7	maintain 1978:7	1974:7,9,14,20
LMM-17 2010:7	2072:17	2059:4,5	2075:2	1974:21 1975:7
2010:14	looked 1984:14	2061:23 2064:8	major 2026:5	1975:9,11,14
load 1970:5,9	2016:5	2065:4,7,13,18	majority 1965:15	1976:3,5,14
1974:10	looking 2048:9	2066:8,12	1969:9 1979:25	1977:6,8,17
1985:21,25	2063:11	2067:6,23	1984:3,16	1978:10
1986:10 1987:4	2093:13 2096:3	2068:3,22	1985:11	1985:22,25
2001:9,20	2096:8 2115:13	2071:2,3,17,22	2064:16	1986:5,16
2002:2 2005:1	looks 2058:21	2076:23 2077:1	making 1966:6	1987:5 2006:2
2005:25	lost 2101:15	2077:12	1998:21	2006:4,5,12
2006:13 2010:4	lot 1967:3	2079:12	2091:18	2007:19,22
2010:11,16,19	1974:22 1990:4	2084:24 2085:1	MALLIN	2008:21 2020:5
2011:7,10	2002:13	2088:16	1960:13	2020:11
2012:2,11	2010:24	2089:20	manageability	2028:21 2039:1
2036:13 2037:1	2042:25	2090:15,19	1997:21	2039:5,17,20
2037:12,18	2086:16	2092:11,14	manager	2040:7,19,25
2039:6 2041:19	2087:10 2089:4	2093:15,21	2103:10	2041:1,17
2041:21	2089:10	2095:18 2101:4	Mantle 1964:24	2043:10,11,17
2043:12,15,20	2104:14	2101:6 2103:22	1980:22 2106:2	2043:24,25
2043:23 2044:9	Louis 1960:4,15	2104:2,11,12	2106:19 2111:7	2044:1 2045:22
2045:3,3	1961:2 1968:24	2104:25	2111:11,14,19	2047:19 2051:1
2058:2 2072:25	low 2051:12	2106:10	2113:23,25	2054:18,25
2073:7,10,12	2060:17	2110:17	2114:3 2116:1	2055:2,3,6
2073:14,21	lower 1989:20	2113:19	2119:8 2121:2	2057:6,24
2074:4 2075:13	Lowery 1960:6	2116:20 2118:7	2121:4,6	2058:1 2059:13
2075:17	1963:4 1964:17	2118:9,11,15	manual 1976:7	2059:14,18,19
2076:15,18,20	1964:18 1966:2	2118:16,17,21	manuals 1975:20	2061:1,2
2081:4,18	1967:2,13,22	2118:22 2119:3	MARC 1961:6	2067:7 2073:1
2082:22 2083:7	1968:10,19,25	Lowery's 2002:8	MARCELLA	2073:4,9,11,18
2083:9,12,16	1983:22 1984:4	2003:12	1961:14	2074:3,8,9,14
2086:6 2094:3	1984:9,13,18	lowery@smith	March 1959:8	2074:16 2075:5
2094:23	1984:24	1960:10	1980:6 2034:25	2075:8,12
locations 2045:7	1985:17 1986:6	Lowery1969	2122:1	2078:16,17,19
logically 2021:23	1986:20	2118:3	margins 2064:24	2078:20
long 1975:18	1987:10,14,20	lunch 2068:16,20	marked 1962:6,8	2081:16,17,22
2022:7 2059:19	1987:24	2106:8,22,23	2030:3,22	2081:25 2082:5
2061:4 2068:23	1995:20 1996:2	2107:1,4	2032:12	2082:16
2096:15	1998:25 2007:8	Lynn 2024:7	2033:20	2085:10
longer 1991:10	2011:3 2024:7	2025:2 2118:7	2034:10,22	2091:18,25
1991:17 2008:9	2024:15,25	2120:4,5,7	2065:24	2092:4 2094:2
2013:20	2025:3 2027:24		2066:20	markets 1969:24
2019:13	2029:9,15,21		2068:10	1970:1,6
2048:22	2030:13,25	M 2120:17	2071:20,24	1973:24
look 1985:16	2032:5 2033:8	Madison 1961:8	2077:10,14	1974:17
2018:21 2027:1	2033:13,23	1961:16	2093:9 2107:25	1975:16,16
2035:22	2034:14,16	magnitude	2120:2 2121:8	1977:3 1978:18
		<u> </u>	ı	l

	i	-	1	1
1978:20,21	measured	2095:1 2096:8	2056:1 2062:15	2044:17,22
2004:24 2074:3	2091:22	2101:7 2102:11	mind 2018:24	2045:12 2046:3
2074:5	mechanical	2104:2,13,16	Mine's 2093:9	2047:17,18
market's	2102:21	2105:6,24	mini 1968:17	2048:22,23
1975:22	mechanism	2119:1	minimum	2050:1 2051:1
match 2042:16	1984:3 1994:6	Meyer's 2002:4	1981:18,22	2051:3 2054:9
2060:9	2022:18,19,21	2094:22	1982:3 1997:3	2054:11,23
matching	2045:25	microphone	1997:10	2055:3,4,19,22
2059:24	2082:18,20	1963:10	2026:15	2055:24
materials	2083:6	middle 2013:23	minute 2046:19	2057:13
2102:24	mechanisms	2022:14 2036:2	minutes 2068:23	2058:21
matter 1959:12	1984:17,23	Midwest 1959:23	2068:23	2059:11
1964:1,19	1985:12	2123:6	2106:21	2062:14 2063:1
1981:5 2012:22	meet 1998:3	MIEC 1962:4	mismatch	2063:23
2014:6	2041:7 2042:18	1969:5,7,11	2059:21,24	2064:12 2073:4
Matthew 1960:2	meets 1997:19	1971:14,23	misnomer	2074:3 2081:22
2107:12,16,23	2026:15	1972:5,7,14,19	1989:16	2081:25 2082:5
2108:9 2119:6	megawatt	1972:20 1973:1	MISO 1969:10	2082:9 2085:10
mean 1966:3	1970:15 1975:8	1973:16,20,22	1969:16,24	2085:12,15,19
1985:5 2020:9	1976:3,11,12	1974:3,11,18	1970:2,5,12,14	2085:22
2022:2 2039:15	1977:5,7	1974:21 1975:4	1970:19	2086:11 2089:2
2047:2 2052:4	1985:24 1987:3	1976:6 1978:12	1971:13 1972:7	2089:5 2091:15
2059:23,24	2040:17	1978:23	1973:3,18,24	2091:23
2063:11	2041:20 2043:8	2000:13 2001:8	1974:7,9,12,20	2092:18,21
2075:24 2076:1	2043:18	2002:6 2005:5	1975:13 1976:7	2115:7
2076:3 2086:2	2054:17 2055:8	2005:18	1976:14,15	MISO's 1975:15
2086:3 2090:16	2057:3,23	2019:16 2020:2	1977:17	1975:20,23
2104:13	2060:25 2061:1	2028:5 2031:8	1978:20 1979:3	2091:24
meaning 1972:16	2073:11 2075:7	2034:5,9	1985:22 1986:5	misread 2066:12
1972:24 1988:9	2082:7 2085:20	2038:6 2050:21	1989:13,13	missing 2108:6
1988:11 2039:4	2085:22	2087:8 2093:1	1990:7 1991:16	Missouri 1959:1
2042:12,12	2091:20	2095:8 2110:14	1991:18,19	1959:9 1960:20
2085:25	megawatts	2113:16	1992:6,17	1961:4,15,18
meaningful	2040:13,21	MIEC's 1967:9	1997:18	1963:2 1965:19
2018:19	2041:12,21	1974:15	2004:22,23	1969:18,20,21
2019:23	2045:1,2,4	1975:14 2012:5	2005:24 2006:1	1969:24 1970:2
2021:16,19	2057:7	2046:12	2006:2,3,4,5,11	1970:4,21
2022:17,22	mention 2116:18	2118:19 2121:7	2006:12	1972:13
means 1973:24	mentioned	million 2011:7,8	2007:15	1973:22 1974:5
2047:14	1973:9 1987:15	2011:11	2008:20 2020:5	1974:7,9,12,25
2072:25 2074:8	mere 2002:19	2036:18	2020:11	1975:7,8,18,24
2074:14	met 1981:19	2046:25 2047:6	2028:20 2039:1	1975:25 1976:2
meant 2020:22	1996:24	2047:7,8,12,15	2039:13 2040:1	1976:11,13
2074:25	2026:16,25	2047:19,20,23	2040:3,8,11,19	1977:6,7,10,13
2082:16	Meyer 2001:10	2047:24,24	2040:19 2041:9	1980:4,15,23
measurable	2001:15	2048:5,7,10	2041:14 2042:6	1981:1 1982:18
2049:13	2094:12,16	2049:9 2056:1	2042:11	1982:19
	1	1	1	1

1986:22 1991:5	mitigate 2018:18	MORRIS	2026:15 2102:9	2091:10,11,15
1991:8,9	mix 2050:8	1959:17	necessary	2094:24
2002:7,14	MO 1960:4,9,15	motion 1997:12	1965:24 1966:4	nets 1977:10
2004:5,16,21	1960:18 1961:2	move 1999:17	1966:16 2004:7	netting 2072:24
2005:6,11	1961:8,17	2068:13	need 1965:2,17	2072:25,25
2006:11,22	model 2058:21	2076:23	1968:5 1987:22	2073:14
2007:3,10	2058:21	2079:14	1996:25 1999:2	2075:25
2008:20 2009:4	2059:11	moving 2083:8	2016:22	2093:25
2010:6 2011:14	modest 1981:9	MUETH	2030:10	network 2051:4
2011:16	modified	1961:14	2031:21 2041:8	never 1988:15,16
2013:14	1966:14	multiply 2057:22	2066:2 2084:7	2004:2 2041:7
2014:13	2027:10	multi-value	2106:20	2047:19,24
2015:17 2016:3	moment 1987:16	1970:17	2108:18	new 1991:1,2,19
2016:18	2078:7	MVP 1971:3	2110:19	2023:20 2027:7
2021:12,14,15	money 2054:23	MVPs 1970:18	needed 1981:1,1	2114:25 2115:6
2022:3 2048:21	monitoring	M-a-t-t-h-e-w	1981:8 1999:18	2115:6,16,17
2050:25 2051:8	2035:14	2107:16	2004:10,12	2115:22
2051:15,17,23	2121:24 2122:2		2044:6	nice 2113:25
2052:2 2053:13	2122:4,6,9	N	needing 2016:21	Nicholas 2121:19
2053:24 2058:7	month 2091:22	N 1962:1 2118:1	needs 1969:25	2121:21
2066:19	monthly 1992:2	name 1977:2	1970:8 1985:21	Nick 1964:3
2069:13 2073:4	1998:23	2029:22 2032:6	1985:25	nine 2040:24
2074:2 2083:20	2026:12 2027:2	2065:11,19	1986:16	node 2091:22
2086:7 2087:9	2027:9 2091:10	2067:20	2016:23	nonunanimous
2103:19	2091:11,15	2104:18	2042:17	1962:16
2107:20	2115:9	2107:14	2081:17	1987:16
2112:17 2123:3	months 1998:4	2111:13	negative 2021:24	1993:12
2123:16	2034:23,24	narrow 2085:25	2032:24,25	1995:16
Missouri's	2035:1,2,4	NATHAN	neither 1971:5	1997:23 2025:5
1959:13	2036:9 2051:21	1961:12	1976:23	non-fuel 2005:9
1970:16	2077:20	native 2011:7,10	1980:13	non-restructur
1974:20 1975:2	Moore's 2010:6	2012:2,11	2090:22	2087:19
1975:6,13	morning 1964:21	2036:13 2037:1	2110:21	Noranda
1977:3 1979:15	1968:20,22	2037:12,18	net 1973:24	1960:21 2001:9
1980:3,12	1969:1 1983:21	2039:6 2073:21	1977:13 1978:9	2001:25 2002:3
1982:12	1983:22	2081:4,18	1989:20	2034:18
1991:12	1987:13,14	2082:22	1994:11,21	2070:14
2001:20	1994:3,4	2083:16 2094:3	1995:21	2094:23 2103:7
2008:19	1996:9 2000:14	nature 1988:6	2001:10 2008:8	2105:16 2109:3
2009:25	2013:12	1990:2,8,9,18	2010:7 2053:18	2109:7 2117:1
2010:23	2025:13	1990:19 1992:7	2056:11	normal 2096:6,7
2011:17 2086:5	2027:19	2090:25 NDEC 2010:7	2061:10,12,19	North 1960:14
2086:6 2109:7	2028:16,17	NBEC 2010:7	2073:12,19	NOS 1962:4,7
2114:23	2035:7,8	nearly 2018:12	2075:4 2078:6	2024:21
misstate 1995:19	2038:17	neat 1988:2	2078:6,8,8,17	2033:19 2034:9
mistake 1988:18	2044:15,16	necessarily	2078:17,18,19	2038:6
misuse 1980:14	2116:18 2117:1	1965:17 1987:2	2079:9,9,11	note 2096:23
L	I	I	<u> </u>	I

2113:3	2046:22	obtains 1969:25	1980:17	2052:23 2053:1
noted 1982:6	2047:12	obviously	1994:20,21	2057:18
2007:8	numerous	2008:12 2025:9	1995:5 2007:16	2086:23
notes 2007:12	1975:15,19	2027:17	2007:24	OPC 1962:7
2008:12 2010:1		2089:24	2008:13	1964:24 1965:2
2123:13	0	2106:12	2009:17 2010:5	1972:5 1979:8
nothing's 1980:7	O 1962:1	occasional	2010:13,17,20	1981:14,16
notice 1964:22	oath 2024:24	2007:21	2011:8,9	1982:6,10
1965:4,10,18	2094:15	occur 2081:1	2016:18	1983:1,6
1965:19,22	object 1992:9,12	occurring 1971:1	2020:16	1988:22 1989:6
1966:9,13,18	1999:4 2058:25	offer 1966:17	2036:23 2037:4	1996:22
1967:3,19,25	2104:12	2030:14 2033:9	2037:14,20	1999:16,22,22
1969:14	objected 1962:19	2037:24	2080:24 2083:2	2025:16 2026:1
1990:10	1979:7 1990:25	2040:18	2083:5	OPC's 1982:4
1991:23,24	1993:14	2041:17 2051:3	Oh 2116:7	1983:15 2119:7
1992:2,6,15	objected-to	2051:5 2066:9	okay 1964:17	2121:1
1993:1 1998:22	1963:16	2068:2,3	1968:16	open 1999:15
1999:1 2012:23	objecting 1992:3	2070:12 2082:5	1984:15 1987:6	opened 2090:17
2013:3 2023:15	objection	2092:7 2094:25	1993:3 1994:17	opening 1974:23
2027:9 2089:3	1964:21 1966:2	2113:2	1995:24	1993:6 1996:7
2106:16	1966:6,10	offered 1964:6	2000:18 2001:7	2000:13,20
noticed 1966:1	1967:11	1964:12,13	2011:5 2012:13	2007:9 2013:10
2085:2	1992:11 1996:4	2024:10	2021:17 2023:2	2023:14
notices 1990:24	1996:6 1999:5	2030:17	2023:23	2116:22 2118:3
notification	2024:18	2033:12 2038:2	2028:12	2118:3,4,4,5
2115:8	2030:17 2052:3	2066:14 2068:6	2031:20	openings
noting 1977:9	2053:8 2059:3	2070:16 2077:3	2032:19 2036:1	1968:17
NP 2024:14	2066:15 2077:4	2079:16	2036:19	2023:24
2030:16	2089:21 2091:3	2092:10	2037:22	openly 1973:20
2033:12 2066:9	2092:10,11,13	2094:21 2113:6	2038:24 2040:5	operate 1976:13
2069:18,22	2092:14 2105:1	offering 2041:2	2041:23 2042:5	2027:6 2082:7
2108:7 2111:21	2106:13 2113:6	offers 2051:1	2044:10	2082:8 2085:20
2111:21,21	objections	office 1961:7,10	2045:13 2046:5	2085:21,22
NP/HC 1962:7	2024:16	1998:14	2052:15 2053:4	operates 1974:13
number 1964:2	2033:14 2038:2	2111:17 2114:7	2062:4,25	operating
1964:22	2068:6 2079:17	2114:14,20	2063:17 2075:1	1975:16
2001:24	2092:23 2095:3	2123:15	2093:17	1978:17,18,19
2032:20 2036:1	2115:14	official 1964:22	2096:14	1979:21
2046:4 2056:25	objective	1965:4,10,18	2105:23	2085:10
2063:6,20	2114:19	1966:9,13,18	2106:24 2110:5	2091:21
2080:5 2084:10	objects 1990:15	1967:15,19,25	2110:24	2102:17
2087:16	obligation	1969:14	2113:13	operation
2093:21	2051:3	2106:15	old 1974:13	1975:22
2096:13,16	obligations	offset 2053:6	1976:20	1980:12
2101:12,13	2042:19	2083:24	omitted 2072:4,5	2090:24
numbers 1968:5	obtaining	offsetting 2018:4	once 1980:14	operations
1968:8 2046:20	2004:10	off-system	ones 1990:9	1977:3 1985:3
	l	l	I	l

2009:4 2090:21	1992:18	2074:21	particular	percentage
opinion 1973:12	output 2007:23	2076:17 2078:5	1997:17	1994:13
2015:13 2021:4	2040:12 2041:4	2091:12	2022:21	2017:17
2021:4 2049:12	2041:5 2086:4	2093:24	2023:17	2050:10,15
OPITZ 1961:6	outright 1972:22	paragraphs	2027:19 2055:5	2063:4
opportunity	outside 2088:14	1978:3 2072:17	2060:4 2072:7	perceptible
2014:10	overearnings	2078:10,14	particularly	2021:9
2016:23 2040:7	2017:2,14	parameter	2035:23	perfect 2040:14
2090:1,9	overrule 2091:3	2061:4	parties 1962:25	perfectly
2092:19	overruled	parameters	1963:17	2049:14
oppose 2023:16	2053:10	2039:16	1990:11	perform 2103:6
opposed 1993:1	2092:24	part 1966:15	1992:16 2016:9	performed
1996:12,23	overtakes	1967:8 1979:24	2026:18 2027:1	2087:3
2013:15	2019:20	1983:13,13	2027:16 2106:9	period 2051:21
opposing 2023:9	owner 2041:24	1988:22,22	2114:22	2051:22
2023:10	O&M 2008:13	2003:7 2004:17	2115:12,18	2058:22
optimize 2059:15		2080:11,12	party 1980:13	2073:21
option 2043:22	P	2091:25 2092:1	1981:20	periods 2022:8
orchestration	P 1962:1	2108:10	1982:23	permission
2090:7	page 1968:5,8	2120:20	1990:11	2055:24
order 1962:10	2003:4 2004:20	partial 1965:23	1998:10 1999:4	permit 1996:18
1965:20,24,25	2005:4 2008:1	participant	pass 2004:7	2002:21
1966:8 1969:13	2010:2 2011:6	1974:12,16	2063:23	permitted
1969:15 1970:7	2032:19,22	2040:7 2055:2	passed 2016:2	2002:22
1970:24 1972:4	2035:23 2037:8	2055:6 2073:1	2019:4	person 1984:22
1972:5 1973:7	2071:7 2072:5	2073:5,9	pay 1974:6	personally
1977:16,18,22	2072:6,6,11,20	2078:16,18	1978:14	2123:8
1981:3 1985:7	2077:23 2089:3	participants	1994:13	perspective
2016:7 2071:8	2091:7,14	2054:25 2055:1	2040:11	2022:16
2071:12,14	2093:19 2108:5	2055:5 2057:8	2049:11	pertaining
2072:1,1,6,12	2108:17,25	2094:1	paying 2044:22	2016:18
2074:13	2112:1,5,14	participant's	2045:17	pertains 2088:4
2077:16,16,18	pages 1973:7	2073:11 2075:8	2047:23	petition 1992:17
2077:19 2078:2	1975:18 2002:4	2075:13 2094:5	PAYNE 1961:15	1992:21
2078:6 2079:8	2006:14	participate	pays 2045:11,17	Phillips 1964:3
2093:8,11,11	2072:19	1989:12 2074:2	percent 1979:20	2121:22
2093:14	2096:25	2074:9,16	1979:22 1984:1	Phillips1962
2120:19,21	paid 1987:3	participated	1984:6 1994:7	2121:20
orders 1965:16	2007:18 2018:6	2048:22	1994:14,15,22	philosophical
2017:12	2022:10 2045:1	participates	1994:23 1995:4	1979:13
originally	paper 1966:3	1969:24 2074:5	1995:6,7,10	phrase 1986:10
1963:15	papers 2109:5	2081:21	2013:25 2014:9	2039:10,13
Osage 2040:17	paragraph	participation	2015:6,7,9,10	2042:5,10,13
2040:24	1977:23,23	1975:6,13	2017:20,22,24	2042:23
2041:25	1978:4 2072:11	2005:24 2055:3	2017:25,22,24	2074:14
2058:16 2059:8	2072:15	2055:19,22	2022:20 2056:8	physical 2042:2
ought 1988:19	2073:17	2063:17,22	2063:12 2086:4	picture 2094:5
Jugit 1700.17		2003.1	2003.12 2000.4	Picture 2074.3

piece 2063:12,15	2062:6	pots 2096:13,16	practice 1975:23	1987:1,3
piecemeal 2018:5	portion 1965:20	2101:14,16,20	1976:7	2007:19
pieces 2027:3	2071:10,11,15	2102:25 2103:5	practices	2043:19 2045:2
Pinson 2103:9,11	2072:1 2107:24	2103:5 2104:3	1975:20 1980:4	2045:11,16,23
2105:14	2108:4 2120:19	2104:10,23	precedent	2045:25 2046:1
PJM 2115:7	portions 1981:25	2105:16	2009:21	priced 2059:16
place 1976:17	pose 2066:5	pound 1976:24	precisely	2059:25
1978:21 2043:5	posed 2030:7	pounding 1977:1	2017:20	prices 2045:8
2069:12	2033:5	2006:15,19	prefer 2067:23	2060:17,20
2073:20 2102:7	posit 2053:4	power 1969:25	prefiled 1963:22	pricing 2091:22
2102:8 2123:9	position 1962:24	1970:8 1972:13	1970:22 2090:5	primarily 1991:2
2123:14	1963:3,20	1972:15	preliminary	1996:23
places 2081:1	1974:15	1974:25 1975:3	1964:1,19	2025:10 2026:9
plan 1979:24	1976:19	1979:2,2	2024:24	Principal
2116:21	1982:25 2002:6	1980:3 1988:25	premature	2069:12
planned 1963:15	2004:14 2006:5	1989:1 1991:7	2017:12	principally
plant 2008:8	2007:10	1991:8 2001:19	premiums	2011:16
2058:16,16	2009:25 2012:5	2001:21 2002:6	1988:24	principle
2105:15	2012:7 2013:17	2002:8,9,11,12	prepare 2069:14	2014:17
pleading 2115:2	2019:16 2020:2	2003:11,24	prepared 2030:1	prior 1987:18
2115:21	2020:10,25	2004:1,12	2032:9 2035:16	2010:25
please 1962:11	2026:3 2046:11	2005:2,13,25	2065:22	privilege 2022:5
1969:1 1993:8	2046:12	2005:25 2006:1	2111:20	probably 1975:2
1996:10	2062:17 2114:6	2006:11 2007:2	prescriptive	1992:21
2000:15	positions 2026:1	2007:3,7,13,15	1989:24	2001:17
2013:13	positive 2023:22	2007:17,18,18	prescriptiveness	2013:22
2032:19 2037:7	possible 2027:12	2007:20,20	1990:4	2056:24 2088:6
2065:19	2079:4	2008:5,18,23	present 2109:14	2106:7,16
2073:16	possibly 2083:25	2008:24 2009:4	2123:8	problem 2018:24
2074:11,20	posted 2089:7,9	2009:12,15	presented 2004:2	problems
2077:2 2093:18	Poston 1961:6	2010:16,17	presenting	2022:15,15
2107:8,14	1963:5 1996:9	2011:10,16	2003:19	2068:24
2109:1 2111:7	2028:8 2031:7	2013:25	preserve 2003:23	procedure
2111:13	2034:4 2049:4	2018:22	president 2103:9	2114:25
plus 2048:7	2070:23	2019:14	Presiding	proceeding
point 1962:22,24	2095:14	2020:17,22	1959:17	2010:22
1964:12	2110:11	2036:25 2037:3	pretty 1989:23	proceedings
1968:23	2111:12 2113:2	2037:18	2026:6 2045:9	1959:6 2123:8
1977:17,19	2113:12	2062:23	2065:14	2123:11
2013:1 2019:19	2116:11 2119:9	2063:19	2106:17,19	proceeds 1989:1
2048:14 2076:6	Poston1996	2080:17,23	previous 1965:20	process 1989:7
2096:23	2118:4	2081:3 2082:24	previously	1990:5 1992:4
pointed 1977:21	pot 2001:24	2083:8,10,12	2004:25	1992:10,23
2061:9	2096:6,7	2083:17,19	2021:10	1999:10
points 1975:21	2102:6,13	2096:4 2112:11	pre-RTO	2019:20
1979:18	2104:4 2105:19	powerful	1974:14	2023:21
policy 1982:17	potential 2094:6	2015:12,14	price 1986:17	2027:20
P 1	Formula 2001.0	2010.12,11	F-100 1700.17	

2028:19 2050:6	provided 1982:2	2070:22 2075:2	1986:4 2005:2	2003:13 2007:1
2056:3 2115:3	1983:4 1998:13	2095:13	2007:7,22	2012:21 2013:4
procurement	2007:2 2015:21	2103:19	2011:25 2012:1	2020:23 2023:8
1980:3	2015:23 2027:2	2107:20	2020:4,4,10,11	2029:6 2039:8
produce 2007:20	2115:11	2110:10	2045:22 2058:2	2039:8 2045:16
2020:17	provides 1994:13	2111:17 2114:6	2058:3 2060:21	2049:21 2050:1
produces 1975:9	1997:22	2114:8,14,20	2061:14,17	2052:6 2054:8
production	2008:17 2018:9	pull 1971:15,16	2078:6 2079:9	2055:17
2007:24	2019:1 2091:15	pulled 2072:7	2079:10	2056:16 2058:7
products	providing	purchase 1978:9	2091:18,23	2062:8 2064:1
1970:18	1991:17	2073:20 2075:4	2092:4	2064:5,9,11,15
profits 2022:11	1997:25	2075:16,22	purchasing	2064:15 2067:4
project 1985:13	2027:15	2076:3,12,18	1986:9 2010:15	2075:19
projections	2049:24 2050:5	2076:19 2078:8	2038:25	2078:11 2080:4
2067:7	2050:11	2078:17,20	purpose 1999:15	2080:8,13
projects 1971:3,4	proving 1999:6	2079:3,4,6	2006:20,23	2085:3,6
2019:11,12	provision	purchased	2082:13	2086:12 2087:1
2049:16,22,23	1971:21,25	2001:21 2002:8	purposes 1977:9	2090:23 2091:4
2050:5	1991:24	2002:12	1978:8 2026:9	2102:16 2104:6
proper 1965:4	1998:19	2003:10,24	2042:17 2043:7	2104:7 2109:1
2001:9 2090:10	provisions	2004:1,12	2058:3 2075:3	2109:1 2114:4
properly 1971:25	1975:15,19	2005:12,25	2083:11	questioned
1972:20	1976:1,8,9	2007:3,17,18	push 2068:19	2090:14,21,25
Proposal 2109:7	prudence 1966:7	2008:4,18,24	pushing 2068:25	2104:7
proposed 1997:9	1980:11 2004:8	2009:12,15	put 1970:7	questioning
1997:16	2015:15	2011:10	1977:19	2096:18
2074:23	prudent 2014:4	2013:25	1981:25 1999:1	questions
proposing	2018:14	2016:12	2017:19	1963:24
2114:10	prudently	2018:22	2046:20	1964:24 1965:1
Proposition	2003:10	2019:14	2062:11 2067:8	1969:19
1973:4	PSC 2003:25	2020:22	2072:4 2092:22	1983:19 1986:8
protecting	2004:2	2036:25 2037:3		
			p.m 2117:7	1987:8 1993:4
2060:14,15	PSC's 2003:22	2037:17	P.O 1960:3,8	1993:21 2000:4
protections	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2	2037:17 2062:22	_	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11
protections 2000:1 2004:9	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4 1999:25	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6 1996:8,11	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases 1972:13	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1 1976:10	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15 2028:18,25
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4 1999:25 2018:19	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6 1996:8,11 2002:18 2016:5	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases 1972:13 1973:23 1974:8	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1 1976:10 1984:12 1985:8	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15 2028:18,25 2029:2,4,5
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4 1999:25 2018:19 2019:23	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6 1996:8,11 2002:18 2016:5 2023:13 2028:7	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases 1972:13 1973:23 1974:8 1974:21	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1 1976:10 1984:12 1985:8 1985:20	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15 2028:18,25 2029:2,4,5 2030:6 2031:4
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4 1999:25 2018:19 2019:23 2022:25	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6 1996:8,11 2002:18 2016:5 2023:13 2028:7 2030:2 2031:6	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases 1972:13 1973:23 1974:8 1974:21 1975:25 1976:4	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1 1976:10 1984:12 1985:8 1985:20 1995:15 2001:1	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15 2028:18,25 2029:2,4,5 2030:6 2031:4 2031:7,9,11,13
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4 1999:25 2018:19 2019:23 2022:25 2026:12,21	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6 1996:8,11 2002:18 2016:5 2023:13 2028:7 2030:2 2031:6 2032:11 2034:3	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases 1972:13 1973:23 1974:8 1974:21 1975:25 1976:4 1977:12	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1 1976:10 1984:12 1985:8 1985:20 1995:15 2001:1 2001:4,19	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15 2028:18,25 2029:2,4,5 2030:6 2031:4 2031:7,9,11,13 2031:14,17,19
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4 1999:25 2018:19 2019:23 2022:25 2026:12,21 2053:24	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6 1996:8,11 2002:18 2016:5 2023:13 2028:7 2030:2 2031:6 2032:11 2034:3 2049:3 2065:24	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases 1972:13 1973:23 1974:8 1974:21 1975:25 1976:4 1977:12 1978:12,16,25	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1 1976:10 1984:12 1985:8 1985:20 1995:15 2001:1 2001:4,19 2002:2,10,10	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15 2028:18,25 2029:2,4,5 2030:6 2031:4 2031:7,9,11,13 2031:14,17,19 2031:21 2033:5
protections 2000:1 2004:9 prove 2022:6 proven 2047:18 proves 1977:17 provide 1964:7 1982:6 1997:4 1999:25 2018:19 2019:23 2022:25 2026:12,21	PSC's 2003:22 public 1959:2 1961:5,5,6,6,7 1961:10,10,15 1961:18 1962:18 1963:1 1964:20 1965:15 1978:6 1996:8,11 2002:18 2016:5 2023:13 2028:7 2030:2 2031:6 2032:11 2034:3	2037:17 2062:22 2063:19 2080:23 2082:24 2083:17,18 2112:11 purchases 1972:13 1973:23 1974:8 1974:21 1975:25 1976:4 1977:12	P.O 1960:3,8 1961:7,16 Q quantity 2073:10 quarter 2011:1,6 2036:5 question 1964:1 1976:10 1984:12 1985:8 1985:20 1995:15 2001:1 2001:4,19	1993:21 2000:4 2000:6,9,11 2001:14 2002:5 2011:20,25 2012:17,19 2023:3,5,6 2028:2,4,6,8,10 2028:11,13,15 2028:18,25 2029:2,4,5 2030:6 2031:4 2031:7,9,11,13 2031:14,17,19

2037:23 2038:8	quote 1970:3,7	2057:3,9,22	2022:22 2044:2	recall 1967:4
2038:12,16	1971:24	2084:1,1,4,7	2044:3,5,7	1997:7 2005:20
2044:12,14	1974:13,14	2091:8,9,13	2068:22	2026:24
2046:7 2048:13	1976:19	ratemaking	reality 1975:6,12	2054:11
2048:16,20	2002:16	1996:18 2018:4	1976:17 1977:2	2089:14
2049:2,4	2003:23,24	2018:5 2056:3	1977:18	2103:14 2104:4
2050:23 2051:7	2004:6 2071:8	2084:9,13	1978:23 1979:1	receipt 2024:17
2054:4 2055:12	2072:7,10,24	ratepayers	realize 2064:24	2030:17
2056:13	2074:7,9,13,14	1994:13,23	2093:22	2033:15 2038:2
2058:25	2074:15,16	1995:9 2001:21	really 1965:2	2066:15 2068:6
2061:24 2062:2	quoted 2071:10	2002:8 2005:7	1967:23	2077:4 2079:17
2066:5,24	2071:15	2007:3,5	1978:19 1990:1	2095:3 2113:6
2067:1,22	2072:25	2008:19	1990:18	received 1977:10
2070:7,20,23	2073:25	2011:17	1992:24	1980:4 1987:1
2070:25	Quoting 2016:7	2016:10	1993:11	1991:13
2079:13,15,24		rates 1994:10,15	1998:15	2024:20,22
2079:25 2081:9	R	1995:2 1996:14	2020:21	2030:20,22
2084:16,18,20	R 1962:1 2121:15	2005:9 2008:16	2021:22	2033:18,20
2084:22	2121:17 2123:2	2019:24	2082:11 2084:9	2038:5,7
2088:17	raise 1972:20	2020:19 2023:1	2092:3 2106:12	2066:18,20
2092:15	1996:14 2107:8	2046:15	real-time	2068:9,11
2093:22 2094:8	2111:7	2051:11 2052:9	2040:25	2077:7,8
2095:12,14,16	raised 1969:20	2052:16,21	2059:11,18	2079:20,21
2095:18,20,21	1982:24 1999:5	2053:16	2060:8 2078:19	2092:24,25
2095:25	2003:20	2062:10	2079:1,3,7	2093:1 2095:7
2096:20 2101:3	range 2082:9	2063:16,23	reason 1966:25	2095:9
2103:23 2104:3	rapidity 1970:25	2064:14	1970:13	receives 1977:11
2105:22	rate 1962:12	2105:12	2017:15	recess 2106:5,7
2106:18	1969:3 1981:4	rating 2021:20	2064:22	recessed 2117:6
2109:13	1989:8 1990:25	reached 1964:20	reasonable	recognition
2110:11,13,15	1993:17 1995:1	2027:5	1982:8 1983:8	1965:23
2110:17,18,25	1996:15	reaching 1963:9	1983:15	recognize
2111:4 2112:21	1998:20 1999:3	read 2007:11	1997:22 1999:7	1999:14
2113:15,17,19	1999:19 2000:2	2025:15 2037:4	2019:24	2077:15
2113:21,23,24	2005:9,19	2037:11	reasonably	recognized
2114:2 2115:25	2008:7 2014:11	2073:16	2025:17	1969:14
2116:1,5,6	2014:14	2074:20 2078:9	reasons 1983:16	1970:23
2118:8,12,12	2016:24 2017:9	2078:10,15	1996:13 2020:9	1988:18
2118:13,15,22	2018:11 2019:7	2093:23	2057:16	2004:25
2119:2,9	2019:20 2022:6	2112:15	rebut 1976:6	recognizes
quick 1985:12	2023:15,21	reading 2011:13	rebuttal 2006:14	1978:11
2065:14	2035:15,15	ready 1968:16	2024:14 2030:1	recommendation
2094:13	2047:11,21	2024:6 2078:13	2032:10 2109:2	1988:21
quickly 2068:19	2048:8 2051:9	2107:4 2111:7	2112:5,13	recommended
quite 1988:1	2051:13,18,24	real 1989:4	2120:5,9,12,15	1987:17
2057:19	2052:21	1993:2 2017:19	2120:17 2121:4	reconsider
2074:10	2053:14,18	2020:20	rebutting 1965:7	2017:16,17
	l	l	l	I

			·	•
reconsidered	red 1988:1	2091:13	2102:25 2103:5	2088:22
2017:18	redirect 2029:8,9	2114:23	relining 2104:23	2107:15 2123:6
record 1965:8	2031:21 2054:5	regardless	relinings 2102:7	REPORTER'S
1966:16 1967:7	2054:7 2064:7	1996:16	relying 2087:8	2096:23
1967:16,23	2064:8 2067:14	regular 2101:2	remaining	reporting 2026:9
1968:3,4	2088:18,20	regulation	1994:23	2036:23
2001:23 2013:5	2090:6 2092:19	2004:18	remains 1982:11	2073:21
2021:9 2029:23	2103:24 2104:1	2009:13	1983:14	reports 1992:3
2056:6 2065:20	2110:20	2014:13,15	remember	1998:23
2076:24 2077:1	2116:10,11	2018:11	2055:15	2010:24
2078:10 2090:8	2118:15,16,23	regulatory	2056:17	2026:12 2027:3
2092:13,18	2119:4	1959:17	2104:18	2027:9 2034:14
2093:23,24	Reed 2116:21	1979:24	reminds 1976:20	2035:13,16
2116:18	refer 2054:20	1982:17	remove 1969:7	2061:7 2115:9
recorded	2075:10	2107:21	2008:7	Report2034
1978:10 2075:5	referred 2039:3	Rehearing	removed 2008:15	2121:24 2122:2
recording	2076:16	1972:6	2108:19	2122:4,6,9
2073:18 2094:1	referring	reined 2019:18	renumber	represents
2094:3	1965:15 2010:5	rejected 1971:19	1989:14	1983:2
records 1978:7	2042:21	1972:22 1973:6	repeat 2074:11	request 1964:21
2075:3 2094:2	2058:17 2075:7	1973:17	rephrase 2059:3	1966:13,14
record's 1968:13	2076:19,21	rejection 1973:9	replace 2101:19	2106:11,11
recover 2005:6,8	refers 2075:6,20	relate 2025:25	replacement	requested
2047:19,24,25	2076:10 2078:6	2064:23	1988:25 1989:1	1962:20
2051:12	2085:17	2092:15	report 1965:20	2021:10
2053:22 2084:2	reflect 1974:15	related 1988:25	1965:24,25	2027:16
recovered	1989:17,19	2009:17 2020:3	1966:6,7	requests 1981:20
2080:20	1991:20 2003:9	2049:22 2055:8	1969:12,14	1998:16
recovering	2003:9	2091:6 2092:5	1973:7 1981:3	1999:12
2047:20	reflected 1983:10	relates 1965:21	2011:6 2034:23	require 1984:25
recovery 2008:18	2056:2	2094:24	2034:24 2035:1	1985:2 1997:4
2008:25	reflection	relating 2017:8	2035:2,4,15	1997:11
recross 2029:6	1971:13	2113:11	2061:17,18	2115:15
2031:21	reflects 1983:7	relation 1995:22	2107:25	required 2041:20
2048:15 2064:4	2093:25	relationship	2108:11,15,19	2041:21
2067:14	refund 1990:21	2009:15 2092:4	2108:21,25	requirement
2084:22 2101:2	1992:14	release 2067:9	2109:6,14	1982:3 2026:15
2110:19 2116:4	refunded	relevance	2115:13	requirements
2116:9	1981:11	2089:16	reported 1959:22	1981:19,22
Recross-Exami	regard 1976:20	2091:16	1989:20 2011:6	1985:21 1997:4
2048:19 2049:7	1982:4 1987:16	relevant 1965:5,6	2036:12	1997:10
2050:24 2085:1	1994:6 2009:16	1967:1 1996:15	2037:11	2026:16
2101:6 2118:13	2105:10,19	2003:7 2015:2	2061:10	2027:15
2118:14,14,22	regarding	2019:6 2091:8	reporter 1962:6	2061:13,15,16
2119:3	1997:20	relied 1971:18	1962:9 2034:10	2061:20
REC'D 2120:2	1998:17 2025:5	relies 2104:20	2071:21	requires 1977:13
2121:8	2080:4 2081:10	reline 2101:20	2077:11	rereading
	l	l	l	<u> </u>

2014:25	2035:15	2053:19,24	rows 2037:17	Rygh 2067:21,22
research 1985:6	2051:16	2054:1,3	RPR 1959:23	2067:25
1985:10,13	revenue 1990:22	2055:9 2056:9	2123:19	2120:17
reserve 1987:8	1990:22 1998:7	2056:20	RRA 1985:10	
reserves 1975:16	1998:20	2058:10,23	RSMo 2009:9	S
resolution	2115:17	2065:8 2067:11	RTO 1974:17,17	S 1959:19 1962:1
1977:24 1982:8	revenues	2067:16	2073:18 2074:3	2120:15
1983:8,15	1959:13	2070:18	2074:8,9,13,16	sale 1978:8
resolutions	1989:17,19	2071:15	2082:16 2094:2	1986:15 2039:7
1977:24	1990:2,9,13	2072:12	2115:7	2073:20 2075:4
resolve 1983:3	1992:20 1997:5	2073:13,25	RTOs 1989:10	2075:6,10,14
1992:10	1997:16	2075:13 2076:5	1989:14	2075:20,21
resolved 1980:20	2008:14	2076:6,12,18	rule 1973:11	2076:2,10,11
1981:12,14	2025:19	2076:20	2112:1	2078:8,17,18
1995:21	2060:16	2077:21 2078:3	ruled 1969:18	2079:2,3,6
2022:17	2112:15 2114:9	2078:21	1981:10	sales 1973:23
resource 2041:3	revenue-produ	2079:10	rulemaking	1974:6,20
2042:10,19	2094:6	2084:21	1978:1 1999:17	1975:24 1976:2
resources	reversal 1982:16	2086:14,17	2004:18	1977:11
2042:16 2043:9	review 1966:7	2087:16 2093:7	rules 1962:23	1978:12,15,24
2051:4 2082:2	2004:8 2105:9	2095:10	1981:24,25	1979:4 1980:3
2082:4	reviewed	2096:21	1999:16 2016:2	1980:17,23
respect 2020:3	2017:11	2101:14,17,21	2088:3	1986:4 1987:1
2027:6	2086:23	2101:25 2102:3	ruling 2070:16	1994:20,21
respectively	reviewing	2102:8 2103:15	2110:6 2113:9	1995:5 2007:16
2032:13	1968:14	2103:20 2107:9	run 1994:8	2007:24
respond 2003:5	reviews 1980:11	2111:3,6,8	2040:16,18,24	2008:14
2090:9 2092:20	2015:15	2112:6 2115:3	2041:4,5,6	2009:17 2010:5
2109:1	riders 2088:11	right-hand	2058:22 2061:4	2010:13,17,20
responded	Ridge 2069:13	2036:8,11	2105:18	2011:8,9
2001:15	right 1964:16	2037:11	running 2041:6	2016:19 2020:4
response 2014:19	1978:22 1984:3	rising 2014:20	2049:23	2020:11,11,12
2029:7 2030:18	1985:22 1986:1	risk 1996:19	Rupp 1959:20	2036:23 2037:4
2033:16 2038:3	1986:6 1994:11	2013:24 2014:9	2000:10,11	2037:14,20
2066:16,23	2000:19	2015:7,8	2012:18,19	2060:22
2067:15 2068:7	2001:15 2005:6	RM04-12-001	2023:6 2029:3	2061:14,17
2069:1 2077:5	2012:13 2013:9	2120:21	2029:4 2031:18	2064:24 2065:2
2079:18	2017:7 2022:5	road 1967:24	2031:19 2046:6	2078:6 2079:9
2090:12 2095:5	2028:1 2031:2	2022:14	2046:7 2048:12	2079:9 2080:24
2113:7	2036:22	2069:13	2051:6 2055:11	2083:2,5
results 2079:1	2038:14,24	ROBERT	2062:1,2	Sarah 1960:7
resume 2116:17	2040:22,25	1959:19	2064:2,10	2109:8
2117:1	2044:10	room 2081:8	2067:13	satisfy 1997:10
return 1996:17	2046:24 2048:4	roughly 1971:3	2084:19,20	Saturday
2014:10,11,14	2049:17 2051:6	2047:3	2091:1 2118:15	1962:18
2016:24	2051:13 2052:1	rounds 2089:23	Rupp2046	save 1965:10 1966:3 1980:16
2018:11	2052:2,12,13	2092:20	2118:13	1900.5 1980.10
	I	I	ı	ı

	_	_	_	_
2015:25	2091:14	2020:12,15	1978:4,5,5	2050:5,11
saying 2058:20	2093:23	2039:11,12	2072:24	2053:24
2082:20	secondhand	2040:1,3	2073:17,24	2103:19
says 1973:21	2103:6	2041:24 2059:9	2074:21,24,25	2107:21,24
1974:24 1975:4	secret 1996:11	2060:11,24	2075:1,20,23	2108:11,15,19
1978:5,13	2001:23	2081:10,14	2076:3,7,9,17	2108:20,25
1981:5 2006:2	section 1972:9	2085:4,5,7,17	2112:7,12	services 1959:23
2006:3 2016:22	2003:6 2006:21	2085:24	sentences	1978:20 2123:7
2032:24,24	2007:4 2008:17	self-supplied	2072:14	serving 2007:25
2036:5,9	2009:9 2089:6	2039:4 2043:4	2093:24	2008:9 2049:17
2037:1 2057:3	see 1965:13	2086:6	separate 2092:1	session 2096:19
2074:7 2078:22	1985:17 1988:5	self-supply	2092:2	2096:24 2101:2
2078:25 2079:7	1988:7,12	2039:20,22,24	separately	2119:3
scenario 2008:2	1990:6 1995:17	2042:5,11,24	1976:4	set 2002:16,18
schedule 1990:7	2000:15	2058:5,7	September	2003:6 2005:9
1991:22,22	2023:10 2036:2	2081:10,14,24	2004:17	2006:23 2009:5
1992:7,17	2036:5,9	2082:17 2085:3	2006:22 2035:3	2044:18
2005:16 2010:6	2037:1 2062:7	2085:6,11,14	2122:5	2045:23,25
2010:14	2064:10,21	2086:3	serious 2018:24	2046:3 2047:11
2039:19 2041:7	2069:19	self-supplying	2022:15	2047:12
2041:11 2043:1	2077:23 2078:1	2039:11	serve 1969:25	2057:23
2049:18	2090:17	2081:15	1970:8 1974:9	2109:13 2123:9
2052:12	2113:25	sell 1975:10	1985:21,25	settled 1964:5
2057:14,18	seek 2005:5	2020:16	1986:10 1987:4	2026:2
2058:12 2060:9	2014:3	2039:17	2001:20 2002:7	settlement
2062:9 2082:4	Seeking 1971:12	2040:12,21	2006:12	1983:5 2044:25
2085:8 2089:11	seen 2017:3,15	2041:18 2044:4	2008:18 2010:4	settlements
2090:20,22	2042:24 2043:6	2044:5 2045:18	2010:11,19	2091:24 2092:1
2091:6,8,25	self 2058:9,11	2060:25	2011:10,17	2092:3
2092:5 2115:6	2081:24 2086:2	selling 2010:16	2012:2,11	settling 1975:24
2122:10	self-generated	sells 1972:13	2041:21	share 2025:23
schedules	1975:3 2002:9	1975:1,8	2047:16	2026:10
1989:14 1991:1	2008:23	1976:12 1977:8	2054:24	shareholders
1991:3,19	self-schedule	1985:21,23	2073:21 2074:3	1994:24
2010:1,3,21	1986:23,24	1986:13,15	2082:2,12	1995:11,12
2058:10	2039:23,25	2006:1,3,3,11	2094:3	2015:22
2092:18	2041:9,15,16	2007:13,15	served 2007:6	2016:10
SCOTT 1959:20	2058:5 2060:9	2028:20 2041:1	2014:2 2015:13	2018:10
screen 2000:16	2082:6 2085:12	2044:23 2045:6	2018:10	sharing 1980:1
2007:11	2085:16 2086:7	Senior 1961:6,12	serves 1970:5	1984:3,16,23
scrutinize	2086:9	1961:13	2004:11,25	1985:12
1999:12	self-scheduled	2111:18	service 1959:2,14	1993:18 1994:6
SEC 2067:10	2012:1 2039:4	sense 1971:9	1961:15,19	1994:12
second 1972:22	self-schedules	2017:11 2089:4	1965:16 1991:6	2017:17
1977:4 1978:3	1986:12	sensitive 2067:7	1991:9 2002:18	2019:22
2001:17	self-scheduling	sentence 1977:16	2014:15 2016:5	2022:17,19
2005:23 2041:5	1986:19	1977:22 1978:2	2042:25 2043:3	shed 1999:24
	l	l	l	l

sheet 1983:11	sit 2025:16	2108:16	1989:23 1993:6	2111:13 2123:3
1988:12 1990:6	2049:13	2109:25	1993:9,11,12	2123:16
1998:19 2091:6	situation 1963:16	2110:22 2116:7	1993:15,17	statement
2123:10	2026:25	sort 1964:15	1995:15,17	1974:23
sheets 1997:9	2082:17	1985:5 2056:13	1996:3 1999:17	1982:25
2091:5	six 1980:6	sought 1997:8,13	2005:17	2004:21
she'll 2113:3	2101:23 2102:6	1997:14	2015:14 2027:1	2006:24 2007:9
shift 1996:18	2104:11	sounds 2011:24	2028:3 2031:3	2062:6,13
short 2106:6	size 2094:5	source 2056:14	2033:25	2118:3,3,4,4,5
Shorthand	skin 2018:21	South 1960:8	2035:20	statements
2123:6	2022:23	speaking	2048:18	2006:7 2116:22
show 2010:3,18	slide 2002:17	2021:12	2070:19	states 1979:21
2033:12	2003:7 2006:25	2046:23	2095:11	1984:2 2002:21
showed 2061:6	2009:5	specific 2060:3	2107:25	2008:1 2021:7
shows 1975:12	slides 2000:21	2076:7 2085:18	2108:11	2038:21 2081:5
2004:24	slightly 1999:9	2085:25	2113:14	2086:17,19
2017:23	slip 2019:2	2096:13,16	Staff's 2109:6	2087:2,4,19
side 1976:21,22	slipped 2023:20	specifically	2119:5	2088:3,10
1976:24 2036:4	small 2050:13,15	1967:6 1970:22	stages 1971:5	2112:2
2036:8,12	2063:12	1973:8 1981:5	stake 2014:6,8	station 2042:25
signatory	2064:18	2009:16 2010:5	2022:23	2043:2
1962:25	smaller 2063:15	2046:23	stakeholder	statute 1972:9,17
1993:12	smelter 2001:25	2063:18	1989:12	1972:24 1973:5
signature	2102:18 2103:1	2085:19	stand 2024:8,9,9	1973:12
1995:17	2103:10	2088:14	2035:10	2002:16,22
signed 1995:15	Smith 1960:7	specifications	2069:17	2009:23
1995:20 1996:1	SNL 1985:10	2102:24	standards	2011:13
significance	sold 1977:6	specify 2082:6,8	1996:25	2020:23
2059:6,10	1986:18	speculate 1985:2	1997:20	statutes 2086:20
significant	solve 2059:15	speculating	start 1967:15	2086:24 2087:2
1970:13	2060:3	1985:15	2058:6 2112:11	2087:4,5,9
1987:23 2017:2	somebody	speculation	2115:3	stay 2055:24
2022:10	1984:20	1985:1 2053:9	started 1968:17	Stenotype
2101:13	1985:13,16	spell 2107:15	1977:17	2123:11,13
signs 2108:18	1992:3,21	spelled 1990:3	1991:24 2085:4	step 2029:10
similar 1974:2	2103:7	spent 2054:23	starting 1977:22	2031:22 2065:9
1992:8 2002:20	somebody's	2103:18	1990:6 2017:6	2067:17 2094:9
2026:25	1985:11	spoke 1979:11	2034:14	2105:24 2111:4
Similarly 2011:9	somewhat	1980:18	2101:25	2116:12
simply 1974:15	1985:1	ss 2123:3	2116:19	Steve 1964:3
1975:4 1988:6	sorry 1996:2	St 1960:4,15	starts 2089:21	Steven 2121:11
2018:12	2007:10	1961:2 1968:24	2112:7	2121:13
single-issue	2008:21	Staff 1961:11,18	startup 2004:23	stip 1987:16
1996:18 2018:4	2039:23 2062:3	1966:6,7	state 1959:1	2030:24
2084:8,13	2066:12	1967:7 1969:5	2029:22 2032:6	2033:22
sir 1966:23	2074:23	1980:10,13,21	2065:19 2088:7	stipulated 1963:3
1993:5 1994:4	2076:24	1988:22	2107:14	stipulation
	I	l		l

		 I		 I
1962:17,20,23	substantially	2006:10	suspect 1973:14	talk 1989:12
1963:17	2106:20	supposition	2094:13	2002:13 2057:9
1964:13,14,19	2112:22	1979:12	sustain 2059:2	2058:4 2091:17
1965:2 1979:7	substantive	Supreme	Swingley	talked 1998:25
1981:15 1983:4	1989:4,5	1996:13	2069:12	2012:22
1983:7,12	1992:1,24	2002:14 2003:3	switched 2085:3	2025:12
1989:3 1993:13	substantively	2015:17	sworn 2029:17	2027:20
1995:16	1993:1	surcharge	2032:1 2065:16	2055:18 2085:7
1997:23	sub-account	1997:1 2001:2	2069:4 2107:10	2090:4,5
1998:18	1998:1 2025:20	2007:5 2011:14	2111:9	talking 1966:21
1999:23 2023:8	sub-accounts	surcharged	symmetry	1968:14
2025:5,17	2019:2	2005:18,19	2019:22	2011:15
2026:2 2027:10	sufficient	surcharges	system 1982:21	2013:21 2039:9
2113:11 2114:5	2016:23	2002:15 2003:8	1991:12	2042:15
2114:12	suggest 2018:15	surcharging	2014:12	2051:20
stipulations	suggested	2002:25	2054:24	2052:11,19
1964:7	1980:14	sure 1968:10,13	2091:21 2092:6	2054:21
Stipulation's	suggestion	1983:25		2055:13,15,22
1983:14	2106:11	1987:20 1989:6	T	2072:2 2078:3
stop 2048:14	Suite 1960:8,14	2014:3 2017:18	T 1959:20 2123:2	2080:14,15
storm 2017:8	1960:18 1961:8	2021:11	2123:2	2085:4 2089:21
2101:12	summary 1983:9	2046:18 2052:4	table 1976:25	2093:17
straightforward	sums 1982:19	2052:8 2054:23	2006:16,19	talks 2015:1
2009:22	1992:14	2058:14,19	2032:22,23,24	2026:5 2079:8
Street 1960:8,18	supplement	2059:4 2060:8	2072:5 2108:17	tariff 1959:13
1961:8,16	2004:13	2074:10 2076:7	tact 1977:4	1971:21,25
strenuously	2026:17 2027:3	2093:13 2104:8	tacts 1976:18	1975:16,17,21
1972:21	supplemental	2106:14,17,19	take 1962:13	1976:7 1983:11
strike 1997:8,12	2026:13	2116:23	1964:12,22	1988:14,17
2018:17 2112:8	supplemented	surely 2028:22	1965:9 1966:8	1989:23
strong 2008:21	2007:21	surrebuttal	1966:13,18	1991:18,18,23
2018:13	supplements	2002:4 2004:20	1967:3,17,19	1997:9 1998:19
struck 1996:13	2005:1	2005:3 2008:2	1967:25	1999:9 2025:11
2015:18	supplied 2043:3	2010:2 2024:14	1982:12	2027:18
sub 2009:9	supply 2039:6	2032:11,18	1988:24 2013:3	2039:13,14,24
2026:7 2027:7	2081:18 2086:3	2070:1 2071:7	2022:20 2024:1	2040:2,4
subject 1974:24	supplying 2043:7	2096:3 2108:25	2035:22	2042:6,11
2004:7 2005:12	support 1978:9	2120:7,14	2041:13	2044:18,20,21
submit 2043:15	1995:18	2121:5,13,17	2068:16,20	2044:21
2109:20	2009:24	2121:21	2102:8 2106:8	2045:24 2046:1
submitted	2011:18	surr-surr-surr	2106:22,25	2046:4 2056:20
1999:16	2019:15 2075:4	2005:21	2116:21	2057:1 2085:12
2115:10	2109:5	surveillance	taken 1969:13	2085:15,25
subsequent	supporting	2010:24	1987:3 2021:24	2086:11 2089:2
2017:4,9	1963:3	2035:14 2061:6	2024:4 2026:1	2089:7 2091:5
substantial	supports 1983:9	2121:24 2122:2	2073:11 2107:2	2091:15
1982:14	supposedly	2122:4,6,9	takes 1994:12	2092:21 2115:8
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

tariffs 1985:4	2111:11	1993:25	think 1965:3,14	2053:14
2086:25	testifies 2110:7	1995:13 1996:7	1965:17,17	third 2006:6
2087:13	testify 2027:17	2000:3,7,12,24	1967:1,16	2018:23
TATRO 1960:2	2103:11	2001:5 2011:22	1968:3,7	2074:23
tbyrne@amere	testifying 1964:4	2012:14 2020:1	1970:18 1975:2	2093:23
1960:5	1964:5 2070:13	2023:2,23	1976:8 1982:13	Thompson
technical 2043:1	2094:19,23	2028:24	1984:13,14,24	1961:11 1993:7
techniques	2103:4 2110:2	2029:18 2031:4	1985:2,6	1993:24,25
2043:21	2110:3	2031:15 2032:2	1986:9,20	1994:4,9,19
tell 1984:6	testimonies	2034:1 2037:3	1987:25 1989:5	1995:3,8,12,14
1987:19	1966:19 1968:2	2037:7 2038:18	1991:4,19	1995:19,24
2006:16	2032:17 2070:4	2044:11,12	1992:18,19	1996:5 2024:18
2035:12	2089:25	2046:5,9	1996:19	2028:4 2031:4
2036:12	testimony	2048:14 2049:1	1998:25	2034:1 2048:17
2040:11	1963:19 1964:6	2050:19	2001:11	2048:19 2049:1
2041:11 2047:3	1964:7 1970:22	2061:23 2064:6	2002:23 2006:2	2054:8 2064:6
2050:12	1976:19	2067:2,11,18	2007:1 2014:25	2068:15
2086:19	1988:23 1997:8	2069:14	2016:20	2070:20
telling 2085:19	1998:13 2010:8	2070:20 2071:2	2020:20 2021:9	2095:12 2106:4
2104:9	2012:23 2013:1	2084:14 2094:7	2021:13,15	2118:3
tells 2043:17	2024:10,12	2095:22	2022:1,13,14	Thompson2048
2102:5	2030:2,7,11	2107:11 2110:8	2022:15,19	2118:13
ten 2087:15,22	2032:10,10,12	2110:25 2111:2	2026:3,6,16	Thought 2106:16
tend 2063:14	2046:19 2047:2	2113:15,25	2044:11	thousands
tender 2030:14	2065:24 2066:6	2114:1 2116:2	2046:19,21	2036:15
2033:9 2066:9	2067:25	2116:7 2117:4	2048:12,24	three 1962:11
2095:1 2109:22	2068:13	thanks 1993:23	2050:8 2054:13	1978:19
2113:4	2069:15,24	2084:16	2055:13,17,23	2087:13 2088:6
tends 1967:15	2072:11 2081:9	theoretical	2056:16,19	2109:8
term 1971:22	2081:13	2040:15	2063:14	three-year
1972:16 1975:3	2089:23 2090:6	theory 2020:17	2064:11,16	2048:8
2072:24	2092:20	thereof 2123:10	2065:14	threshold
2074:13 2085:5	2094:22 2096:4	they'd 1982:7	2074:18	2003:21
2085:15	2109:2,8,13	2084:2	2075:15,18	thrived 2022:10
2086:10	2111:24,25	thing 1966:9	2079:13	tie 2101:9
terms 1983:3,10	2112:5,14	1997:13,14	2080:12	tied 2091:23
1983:17 2078:8	2120:4,5,7,9,11	2018:23,23	2081:23	ties 2017:20
2079:9 2103:4	2120:12,14,15	2025:10 2045:3	2082:14,15	tighten 1988:7
territory 1991:6	2120:17 2121:2	2074:8,15	2090:10,10,13	2023:21
1991:9	2121:4,5,9,11	2076:4 2085:18	2093:7,7,17	time 1964:2
test 2046:25	2121:13,15,17	2092:2 2093:14	2094:8 2096:9	1965:10 1968:6
testified 2025:2	2121:19,21	2096:18	2096:15	1969:12
2029:20 2032:4	thank 1964:16	things 1966:5	2101:10 2106:7	1971:18,23
2065:17 2069:6	1967:21	1985:5 1989:13	2106:22	1981:19 1982:5
2094:14,16	1968:12,20,25	1989:24,25	2114:25	1983:18
2104:14,20	1987:10 1993:3	2025:24	thinking 1999:21	1988:19
2107:5,12	1993:5,7,20,23	2084:10 2103:8	thinks 2051:11	2001:15,16
	<u> </u>		l	l

	•	•		
2015:16	2082:11	1991:12,13,15	1972:15,16,23	2116:22
2016:14 2022:9	total 2033:1	1991:17,20,21	1973:10 1975:1	type 1989:16
2024:12	2057:5 2063:8	1993:15 2001:3	1979:1 2003:11	1990:7,14
2026:20,21	2075:7 2076:15	2002:11,11	2003:14,16	2009:9 2042:2
2028:25	2076:18,20	2003:13,15	2005:12	2080:13 2115:6
2037:10	totally 1974:19	2005:7 2008:25	2062:22	2115:16,17
2042:10 2044:2	tours 2105:16	2009:6,16	transporting	types 1989:15,16
2044:3,6,7	track 2061:14,19	2011:14,15	2081:3 2083:15	1989:18 1991:1
2051:20,22	tracked 1979:2	2012:10 2019:9	treat 2020:16	1998:20 2027:7
2055:23	2084:11	2019:12 2020:3	treated 2083:18	2081:6 2082:9
2084:16 2090:8	trackers 2088:11	2020:5 2038:21	treating 2083:17	typically 1986:13
2092:18,22	trans 2052:17	2045:12,17	trial 2005:22	
2094:18 2095:1	transacting	2046:2,3,23	tried 1971:14	U
2101:14,17	1976:5	2048:23	tries 1976:16	UCCM 2002:13
2106:5,18	transaction	2049:10,20	trip 2024:11	2014:22,25
2107:5 2110:2	2075:21,22	2050:3 2052:12	true 1969:23	Uh-huh 2037:9
2110:6,25	2076:11,12	2052:18,20	1970:11 1975:5	2086:18
2117:3 2123:9	transactions	2053:5 2054:10	1977:12 1980:8	ultimate 1998:4
2123:14	1978:9 2073:19	2054:17 2055:8	2070:9 2075:23	ultimately
timely 2004:7	2073:20 2075:4	2056:14	2088:10 2103:3	1971:2 2082:11
times 2039:18	2094:4	2057:13 2062:9	2109:17	uncertainty
2040:9	transacts	2080:6,13,15	2112:24	1971:1
timing 2105:19	1974:17	2080:19,22,23	2123:12	underneath
TIMOTHY	transcript	2080:24 2081:2	truly 2016:21	2027:8
1961:6	1959:6 2096:25	2081:6 2082:21	try 2000:23	understand
titled 2032:23	2123:13	2082:23 2083:7	2069:2 2090:7	1987:2 1995:4
today 1962:13	transcripts	2083:10,14,19	trying 1966:3	2022:3 2023:9
1965:11	1968:1	2086:13	1971:16	2026:18
1993:12 2001:8	transforms	2088:11	1973:18	2056:23
2001:16 2002:5	2005:24	2091:21 2092:3	2046:10 2047:3	2067:21
2003:19 2027:6	transition 1979:5	2092:6 2112:7	2055:13 2062:5	2081:13 2114:8
2029:16 2030:8	transmission	2112:9,10	turn 1973:18	2114:13,14
2047:11	1967:5 1969:8	transmissions	1975:9 2037:7	understanding
2049:13,25	1969:15 1970:3	1974:25	2077:23	1986:17 1987:5
2057:17	1970:4,12,14	transmit 2080:16	2093:18	1998:11
2068:17 2070:7	1970:17,20	transmitting	Tuxedo 1961:2	1999:11
2106:13	1971:8,10,13	2049:24	tweaks 1993:10	2025:21,22
2112:21	1971:17,24	transparency	two 1976:18	2026:4 2039:2
told 1987:7	1972:1,8,12,14	1997:15,15	1978:18 2001:7	2042:8 2050:4
2006:22 2041:9	1972:25 1973:3	2114:23	2004:23	2062:7 2101:18
2058:20	1973:10,18,25	transparent	2007:22	understood
TOMC 1960:2	1974:5,19	2027:12	2040:24	1983:25 2012:6
tomorrow	1976:10,15	2115:19	2046:16 2088:6	2058:19
2110:4 2113:4	1978:15,24	transport	2089:22 2091:5	undertaking
2116:18,19	1979:3,10	2080:16	2092:20	1985:6
2117:1	1984:7,21	2082:22	2101:15	undisputed
tool 2081:25	1985:14 1986:3	transportation	2105:15 2106:1	1979:17
	I	I	I	I

1981:13,16,23	2001:2 2004:6	viewpoints	2058:14	2006:18
1982:11	2014:19 2021:6	2046:16	2082:16	2011:15
unfair 2015:3	2021:13	views 2025:25	2090:20	2019:11 2024:5
2048:1 2092:17	2038:20 2075:2	violated 1973:4	2106:14	2024:6 2026:9
unfettered	2080:5 2087:12	violating 2027:14	watching	2026:17
2096:17	2087:18,18	virtually 2017:23	1968:24	2042:14,18
Union 1959:12	utility 1974:16	2017:24	water 2040:15	2043:19
1960:3,11	2013:24 2014:2	volatile 1970:24	2041:6 2059:7	2046:24
2013:22	2014:3,9,10,13	2016:12	2060:19	2047:17 2048:4
2015:20	2014:23 2015:6	volatility	way 1968:21	2048:8 2052:11
unit 2040:10	2015:7,10	1994:12,14	1994:9,18,20	2055:12,14,22
2041:11 2043:3	2017:21,25	1997:20	2042:23	2060:14,14
2045:1 2058:22	2018:13,20	volume 1959:9	2059:12	2080:15 2090:7
2060:5 2085:20	2019:1 2022:8	2091:20	2076:13,19,21	2093:13 2101:1
2085:21	2049:11	2096:24 2119:3	2086:2,10	2107:3,4
United 1979:21	2107:21	VUYLSTEKE	2089:5,8	2111:7
1984:2 2021:7	utility's 1996:16	1960:12	ways 2085:8	we've 1963:15
2038:20 2081:5	2018:18		weather 2043:13	1964:13,20
units 1986:24	2080:17	W	2043:14	1981:13 1982:6
1995:21 2005:1	utility-owned	Wabash 1980:18	website 2089:7,9	1982:9 1984:14
2007:21	2004:14	wait 2067:24	week 1962:11	1987:7 1988:15
2040:12,14	utilize 2082:3	walking 2090:18	1964:4 2001:11	1989:7,17
2051:5 2058:9	2085:9	want 1963:7	2001:12 2013:8	1990:24
2058:11 2086:8	utilized 2082:12	1968:13	weekend 1988:4	1991:13
2086:10		1979:17	Welcome	1997:13,14
unjust 2013:16	<u>V</u>	1983:25	1962:11	2010:24
unreasonable	vague 2052:3	1986:14	WENDY 1960:2	2013:21 2017:3
2013:17	variation 2014:1	2011:23	went 2014:21	2026:10
unwilling	2015:8,11	2012:21	2015:10	2027:11
2022:12	variations	2036:11	western 2080:11	2047:17 2090:4
upheld 1972:6	2018:19	2040:11	we'll 1963:13,13	2090:6 2105:14
urge 2019:9	various 1994:21	2041:13 2051:8	1963:14,21	2106:1
use 1970:3	2105:10	2058:4 2064:9	1964:10,12,15	whatsoever
1975:2 2008:20	vary 2009:14	2078:11	1985:17 1992:6	1981:21
2026:7 2074:7	vast 1969:9	2081:12	1992:9 2024:1	1982:24
2074:13,14	venture 2065:13	2093:13	2024:2 2028:12	WHITNEY
2075:10,14,16	versed 2020:14	wanted 1993:10	2037:10	1961:15
2076:19 2078:7	version 2032:11	1998:8 2115:1	2047:19,23	wholeheartedly
2079:11 2085:5	2069:18,22	2116:1	2068:19,20	2019:15
2086:5 2094:2	2108:6,7	wants 1999:4	2095:2 2096:21	wholesale
2102:25	versions 2030:3	wash 1986:15	2110:10	2007:19,22
uses 1998:2	2065:24	2039:7	2113:14 2117:1	wild 2063:9
2074:13	versus 1973:10	wasn't 1988:23	we're 1962:13	2065:14
USOA 2026:5,6	2081:20 2083:9	1991:23	1967:24	WILLIAMS
utilities 1978:7	2083:10	2006:20	1968:14,16	1961:12
1979:20 1984:1	vice 2103:9	2021:19	1978:19	willing 2106:25
1985:4 1996:14	view 1973:13	2045:10	2003:19 2004:4	wish 1966:11
	I	I	I	I

		I	I	
2066:21 2070:4	1967:8,11,14	2084:25	1976:14	2062:15
2084:22	1968:7,12,23	2088:18	2021:24	\$105 2056:1
wishes 2003:1	1983:19	2090:12 2091:2	2053:11 2088:8	\$15 2047:24
wishful 1999:20	1987:11 1993:5	2092:9,12,23	wrap 2046:10	\$15.8 2047:12
wishing 2101:2	1993:21 1996:3	2094:9,14	2062:5	\$171,791,000
withdraw 2058:1	1996:7 2000:4	2095:2,6,10,13	written 2076:14	2010:12
withdrawal	2000:8,10,12	2095:15,17,19	wrong 1981:8	\$20 2045:4
2091:16	2011:20	2095:23	2046:21 2116:7	\$30 2047:23
withdrawals	2012:15,18,20	2096:21 2101:1	wrote 2076:4	2048:10
2045:21	2013:3,7,10	2103:24		\$6 1971:3
2091:11,12	2019:25 2023:7	2104:22 2105:4	X	\$682,388 2036:14
2092:6	2023:23 2024:5	2105:23 2106:1	X 2057:3 2118:1	\$682,388,000
witness 1964:24	2024:13,16,19	2106:6,24		2036:19
1971:14 1975:7	2024:23 2028:1	2107:3,8,11	Y	\$682,452,000
1984:11 1986:8	2028:5,7,9,12	2109:23 2110:1	Y 1959:19	2010:9
2010:6 2023:25	2029:1,3,5,8,10	2110:5,9,12,14	yeah 1968:8	\$7 2047:15,19
2024:2,6,9	2029:13,18	2110:16,18,22	1984:24 1985:9	2049:9
2029:12,13,17	2030:16,19,23	2111:3,6,10	1987:24 1994:2	\$708 2011:7
2031:23,24	2031:2,6,8,10	2113:5,8,13,16	2045:14 2049:6	\$79 2011:11
2032:1 2035:10	2031:12,16,18	2113:18,20,22	2053:11 2086:1	\$854,243,000
2053:11	2031:20,24	2114:2 2115:24	2088:1 2089:5	2010:19
2065:10,16	2032:2 2033:11	2116:4,9,12,15	year 1971:23	
2067:18,19	2033:14,17,21	2116:25 2117:4	1972:3 1977:16	0
2069:4,17	2033:24 2034:3	2119:9	1982:20,20	0166 1969:15
2091:5 2094:11	2034:5,8,11,19	word 2008:21	2002:1,1	1971:12
2094:13	2038:1,4,10,14	2075:21 2076:2	2046:25 2048:5	1978:22
2096:12	2044:13 2046:6	2076:10	2048:8 2049:10	
2104:20	2048:15,18	2079:11	2056:1,1	11
2105:25 2107:7	2049:3,5	words 1970:3	years 1970:11,12	1 2004:23
2107:10	2050:21 2052:5	1988:13	1970:21 1980:6	2010:14
2109:22 2111:2	2053:10 2054:5	2009:22	1991:10	2108:17,25
2111:5,9	2059:2 2061:25	2025:17 2076:2	1996:21 2014:8	1st 1980:6 1998:5
2114:1 2116:14	2064:4,7	2086:5 2112:8	2015:6,7,8,9,17	1-17 2032:23
witnesses	2065:6,8,11	work 1982:6	2017:3,15	10 1991:10
1963:14,18,19	2066:11,14,17	2025:18 2057:4	2087:14	2005:4 2041:12
1964:3 1966:19	2066:21,24	2069:10,11	2101:24 2102:6	2068:23 2071:7
2106:2 2116:22	2067:3,13,16	2109:5 2114:13	2103:18	2087:21,25
wonder 1984:19	2067:20 2068:1	worked 1982:9	2104:11	2088:1 2106:20
wondering	2068:5,8,12,18	2022:25		2112:1
1987:18	2068:24 2069:2	working 1989:22	<u>Z</u>	10:00 1962:3
Woodruff	2069:5 2070:15	works 1994:9,18	zero 2010:18	100 1992:7
1959:17	2070:22,24	1994:19	2015:9 2040:15	2013:25 2014:9
1962:10 1963:6	2071:1,19	2090:24	2041:2 2059:20	2015:6,7,10
1963:9,12	2076:25 2077:3	world 1974:14	zoom 1977:20	2017:22 2086:4
1964:9,17	2077:6 2079:16	1974:14	2000:23	2087:17,22,25
1965:12	2079:19,23	worth 2014:25	\$	2088:1
1966:11,21,24	2084:17,19,21	wouldn't		101 1960:18
1,00,11,21,21	2001.17,17,21		\$100 2056:1	

	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
2120:20	1970s 2013:24	202 2108:1	22 2047:7	2122:1,8
11th 2005:21	2014:17	2020 2047:7	221 1960:18	314)259-2543
11:30 2024:3	1979 1996:14	2024 2120:4,5,7	2230 1961:7	1960:15
111 1960:8	2002:15 2015:4	2025 2118:7	23,69 2037:6	314)554-2237
12 2030:4,14,16	2015:5	2028 2118:8	23,699,000	1960:5
2034:23,24	1993 2118:3	2029 2118:9	2037:6	360 1961:16
2035:1,2,4	1998 2015:21	203 2109:23	24 2040:20	3600 1960:14
2036:9 2096:4		2030 2120:9,9	2059:14,15	386.266 2003:6
2112:5 2120:8	2	2032 2118:11	2060:3 2112:14	2007:4 2008:17
12NP/HC	2 2024:13,21	2033 2120:11,12	24-hour 2058:22	2009:9 2020:23
2030:21	2043:1 2089:3	2120:12,14	240-20.090(1)(b)	386.266.1
121,666,000	2093:9 2108:5	2035 2118:11	2009:13	1972:10
2036:24	2120:3	2038 2118:12	240-20.090(2)(A)	2006:21
129,791,000	2:15 2107:1	2121:24 2122:2	2112:2	39 2072:11,20
2037:16	2:25 2117:7	2122:4,6,9	26 2002:4	2093:19
13 2032:12	20 2046:25	204 2109:24	2032:25	
2033:5,9,11,19	2112:14 2122:3	2049 2118:14	26A 1967:6	4
2034:15 2078:5	20,580,000	2050 2118:14	2005:16	4 2009:12
2120:10	2037:21	2054 2118:15	2046:23	2024:14,22
138.5 2011:8	200 1960:8	2062 2118:15	2052:12	2107:22 2112:2
14 2006:14	1961:8,16	2064 2118:16	2057:10,14,18	2120:6
2032:12 2033:5	2003 2109:21	2065 2118:17	2057:23 2062:9	400 2111:20
2033:9,11,12	2004 2109:21	2066 2120:16,16	2063:18	2113:3,5
2034:15	2005 1969:23	2068 2120:17,17	2089:11,24	400NP/HC
2078:10,14	2004:23	2069 2118:21	2090:20,24	1962:7 2121:2
14NP/HC	2016:22 2071:9	2071 2118:21	2091:1,6,8,25	401 1962:7
2033:19	2006 2004:6,17	2120:19	2092:5	2111:21 2113:3
2120:12	2006:22	2077 2120:19,21	26A2088 2122:10	2113:5
15 1991:10	2007 2016:4,7	2079 2118:22	27 2002:4	401NP/HC
2032:12 2033:5	2021:11	2120:21	28 2006:14	2121:3
2033:9,11,20	2008 2017:4	2085 2118:22	29 1959:9	402 2111:21
2047:24 2048:7	2009 1980:6	2088 2118:23		2113:3,5
2068:23	2015:5 2017:6	2093 2122:10	3	402NP/HC
2078:10,14	2101:12,21,21	2094 2119:2	3A 2011:6	1962:8 2121:5
2106:21	201 2108:13	2097 2096:25	3HC 2024:13	42 2068:4,5,10
2120:13	2010 2009:9	2098 2119:3	3NP/HC 2024:21	2120:17
15.8 2047:6,20	2101:21	21 2066:11,13,14	2120:5	45 2032:25
16 2047:22,23	2012 1969:5	21NP/HC	3-B 2035:23	
166 2108:5	2013 2034:23	2066:19	2037:8	5
1669 2069:12	2118:5 2121:23	2120:15	30 1995:4,7	5 1994:7,15,23
168 2108:17	2014 2011:6,6,10	2100 2096:25	1999:4 2015:5	1995:10
18 2120:20	2034:25 2035:1	2101 2119:3	2015:9,17	2017:20,24
1901 1960:4	2035:3,5	2104 2119:4	2035:1,3	5,000 1975:17
1922 2013:23	2047:5,11	211 1960:14	2096:24 2119:3	2045:3
1962 2121:2,4,6	2122:1,3,5,8	2065:25 2066:9	2122:5	50 2014:8 2015:7
2121:10,12,14	2015 1959:8	2111 2119:9	31 2034:23,25	2022:20
2121:16,18,22	2047:6	2114 2119:9	2035:5 2121:23	2086:19 2088:3
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

2088:7	1960:9	2120:20	2017:25 2021:6	
50/50 2018:17	573)556-6622	682 2036:18	99 1979:22	
2022:19	1960:19		2017:25	
500NP/HC	573)751-3234	7	9998 2089:8	
1962:4 2121:9	1961:17	7 2004:17		
506 1962:4	573)751-4857	2010:14 2048:5		
2121:11	1961:9	2048:7		
507 1962:5	575 1988:13,14	70 2063:12		
2121:13	1988:16	711,146,000		
508 2069:18,24		2037:13		
2070:15	6	72,703,000		
508NP/HC	6 2032:22	2037:19		
1962:5 2121:15	60 1990:12	728 2033:1		
509 2069:21	1999:2	73.1 1988:12		
2070:1,15	60-day 2027:9	73.5 1990:6		
509NP/HC	600 2045:1,2	747 2033:1		
1962:5 2121:17	62 2037:2 2047:8	76 2009:5		
513 2094:22,25	62,139,000			
2095:4,8	2037:2	8		
514 2094:22	63017 2069:13	8 2008:1		
2095:1,4,8	63102 1960:15	8:30 2117:4		
515 1962:5	63103 1960:4	80 1977:23		
2121:19	63119 1961:2	2063:12		
516NP/HC	650 1961:8	2072:11,15,17		
1962:5 2121:21	65101-1575	2073:17		
524 2034:9,22	1960:18	2074:21		
2035:22	65102 1961:17	2076:17		
2037:25 2038:1	65102-2230	2093:24		
2037:23 2038:1	1961:8	838 1959:23		
525 2034:24	65205-0918	84 1977:23		
2037:8 2122:1	1960:9	2072:18		
526 2034:25		871 1961:2		
	2076:24,24,25	89 1973:7		
2122:3	2077:1,3,8			
527 2035:2	2093:5,6	9		
2122:5	2120:18	9 1959:8 2004:20		
528 2034:9	66149 1960:3	2010:2 2077:23		
2035:4 2037:25	668 2071:8	2112:6		
2038:1,6	2072:1,12	9th 1960:8		
2122:8	2072:1,12	91 1973:7		
529 2088:22	2077:16,21	918 1960:8		
2091:7 2092:8	2078:2,11 2093:8,11,14	95 1994:14,22		
2092:9,24	2120:19	1995:6 2019:5		
2093:1 2122:10		2056:8		
57 2003:4	668-A 2077:18 2078:6 2120:21	95/5 1994:6		
573)424-6779		98 1979:20		
1961:3	67 2077:10,15	1984:1,6		
573)443-3141	2079:14,16,21	1		