# No. : Ameren Missouri - Staff-022

Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri MPSC Case No. ER-2011-0028 Filed May 19, 2011 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Requested From:	Missouri Public Service Commission Staff
Requested By:	James B. Lowery

April 26, 2011

### **Information Requested:**

Date of Request:

- 1. Reference the two page document bearing an Exhibit sticker and labeled "Exhibit Mantle 10" attached to this data request.
  - a. Please confirm that the first page, bearing a handwritten number "1" in a circle in the bottom right hand corner, is a printout of a worksheet received by the Staff from the Company in connection with the calculation of the NBFC rates in Case No. ER-2008-0318. Please state which Staff member received it.

Staff response: Staff cannot confirm that this worksheet was received by Staff from the Company

b. Please confirm that the second page, bearing a handwritten number "2" in a circle in the bottom right hand corner, was a worksheet prepared by the Staff (and/or a modification by the Staff of the worksheet referenced in subpart a above) that the Staff sent to the Company in connection with the calculation of the NBFC rates in Case No. ER-2008-0318. Please state which Staff member(s) prepared (and or modified) this worksheet, and which Staff member sent it to the Company. Please provide the Microsoft Outlook email by which it was transmitted to the Company, and the Microsoft Excel file containing the worksheet transmitted to the Company.

Staff response: Staff cannot confirm that this worksheet was prepared by Staff. However, included in this response is a Microsoft Outlook email with an attached Microsoft Excel file consistent with the second page that shows that this Microsoft Excel file was supplied to Jeremy Hagemeyer of the Staff by Gary S. Weiss of Ameren Services on January 28, 2009.

## Response provided by Lena Mantle

2. Please confirm that the hourly loads used in the Staff's production cost modeling in Case No. ER-2007-0002 did not include transmission losses?

<u>Umerrn</u> Exhibit No<u>169</u> Date <u>5-4-11</u> Reporter <u>J</u> File No<u>ER-2011-0028</u>

Staff response: In the hourly loads for the final Staff fuel model run, Staff used a system loss percentage of 5.93%. Staff cannot confirm nor deny what is or is not in the system loss percentage of 5.93%.

## Response provided by Shawn Lange

- 3. Reference the Company's response and supplemental response No. 1 to Staff Data Request No. 0137 in Case No. ER-2007-0002, copies of which are attached to this data request.
  - a. In connection with his work in Case No. ER-2007-0002:
    - i. Did Staff employee Shawn Lange review the Company's response? When, or approximately when?

Staff response: Shawn Lange reviewed the initial response on 10/3/11, the same day it was filed in EFIS

## Response provided by Shawn Lange

ii. Did Mr. Lange review supplemental response No. 1? When, or approximately when?

Response provided by Shawn Lange

Staff response: Shawn Lange reviewed the supplemental response on 10/23/2006, the same day it was filed in EFIS.

### Response provided by Shawn Lange

b. Did Staff members other than Mr. Lange review supplemental response no. 1 in connection with their work in Case No. ER-2007-0002? If so, which Staff members, and when or approximately when?

Staff response: Mr. Lange forwarded the supplemental response to Erin Maloney on the day that he received the response. Ms. Maloney reviewed the supplemental response after she received it from Mr. Lange.

### *Response provided by Shawn Lange and Erin Maloney*

- 4. Reference the attached file "May 2010 UE Hourly Loads.xlsx."
  - a. Does the Staff agree that if the sum of the values in columns (starting in row 3) E+F+G+H+I+J+K+L in the "Final Data" tab, are subtracted from the values in column C, the resulting difference equals the AmerenUE (now Ameren Missouri) load at the generation level?

Staff response: Staff does not agree.

# Response provided by Erin Maloney

b. If Staff's answer to subpart a of this part 4 is anything other than an unequivocal "yes," please explain in detail.

Staff response: Staff has not been provided with enough information to make that determination. The physical MWs presented in the column titled "MISO losses" appear for the first time in this filing with no accompanying documentation. The physical MWs contained in columns E through L appear with the following information contained in the "Notes" tab of the submitted file:

Includes some estimates for wholesale customers that did not have hourly meter
readings available yet, all data potentially subject to revision
Taum Sauk pump load was included in control area load totals from Feb. 27, 2010
through May 5, 2010
California load switched from transmission only service to full requirements Jan. 1,
2010
Load reported in Central Prevailing Time. Daylight savings changes have been normalized so that every day has 24 hours."

# Response provided by Erin Maloney

c. If Staff's answer to subpart a of this part 4 is "yes," would Staff agree that the difference described in subpart a of this part 4 is Net System Input, as that term was described by Staff witness Lena Mantle during her deposition on April 25, 2011?

Staff response: N/A

d. Please confirm that the data in this file was provided to the Staff as part of the Company's 4 CSR 240-3.190(1)(C) reporting for the month of May 2010.

Staff response: The data on the "Final Data" tab of the file provided with the data request was contained in the 4 CSR 240-3.190 (1) (C) filing received in the month of May 2010.

# Response provided by Erin Maloney

- 5. Reference the attached file "MPSC Data Description 0310.xls."
  - a. Please confirm that the data in this file was provided to the Staff as part of the Company's 4 CSR 240-3.190(1)(C) reporting for the month of May 2010.

Staff response: The referenced file was not a part of the Company's 4 CSR 240-3.390 (1)(C) reporting for the month of May 2010. But rather, it was received as a part of the

February 2010 and March 2010 submittals which at that time did not contain any of the additional columns. Those file formats were significantly different then the file format received in May 2010.

Response provided by Erin Maloney

---

Provided May 2, 2011

.....

-

ۍ ۱

.

1

- - - -

#### AmerenUE Missouri Case No. ER-2008-0318 AmerenUE Net Base Fuel Cost (NBFC) 12 Months Ended March 31, 2008 Trued-Up Through September 30, 2008 Reflecting Settlement

		Total	Missouri	Missouri Summer	Missouri Winter
	Fuel & Purchased Power Costs Per Staff's Model	Total	1911330011	Junner	, mileer
	Fuel For Load	500,495,800	492,788,165	180,261,553	312,526,612
	Fixed Gas Supply Costs for Load (1)	6,246,987	6,150,783	2,055,592	4,095,192
	Purchased Power for Load	43,627,900	42,956,030	20,823,305	22,132,725
	Total Fuel and Purchased Power for Load	550,370,687	541,894,978	203,140,450	338,754,529
	Fuel For OSS	151,423,940	149,001,157	54,587,400	94,413,757
	Fixed Gas Supply Costs for OSS (1)	1,890,013	1,859,773	621,536	1,238,237
	Purchased Power for QSS	39,417,750	38,787,066	9,240,744	29,546,322
	Total Fuel and Purchased Power for OSS	192,731,703	189,647,996	64,449,680	125,198,316
	Totai Fuel and Purchased Power	743,102,390	731,542,974	267,590,130	463,952,844
8	Additional Fuel & PP Costs (from G. Weiss)				
	Westinghouse Credits (1)	(1,816,000)	(1,786,944)	(597,197)	(1,189,747)
	MISO Day 2 Excluding Admin (Acct 555) (1)	64,142,750	63,154,952	21,106,385	42,048,567
	Under-Forecasting Error (1)	3,800,000	3,741,480	1,250,403	2,491,077
	Total Additional Fuel & PP Costs	66,126,750	65,109,488	21,759,591	43,349,897
c	Sales				
	Off-System Energy Sales Per Staff's Model	451,748,000	444,520,032	134,507,880	310,012,152
	MISO Day 2 Revenues (Acct 447) (1)	12,257,104	12,060,990	4,030,783	8,030,207
	Capacity Sales (1)	6,408,950	6,306,407	2,107,601	4,198,806
	Taum Sauk Capacity Revenues (1)	4,900,000	4,821,600	1,611,379	3,210,221
	Ancillary Services Capacity Revenue (1)	3,500,000	3,444,000	1,150,985	2,293,015
	Black Box Settlement Amount (1)	3,000,000	2,952,000	986,558	1,965,442
	Total Sal <del>e</del> s	481,814,054	474,105,029	144,395,186	329,709,843
A + B - C	Net Base Fuel Costs	327,415,086	322,547,433	144,954,535	177,592,898
	Load Forecast at Generation Level Per Staff's Model	40.871.586.000	40,242,163,576	14,498.369.890	25,743,793,685
	Load Forecast at Generation Level Per Statt's Model	40,071,300,000	40,242,103,370	14,470,307,070	23,743,753,683
	Net Base Fuel Costs (\$ per MWH)	8.01	8.02	10.00	6.90
	Net Base Fuel Costs (cents per KWH)	0.801	0,802	1.000	0.690

(1) Allocated between summer and winter based on number of days in summer (122/365) or 33.42%.

#### AmerenUE Missouri Case No. ER-2008-0318 AmerenUE Net Base Fuel Cost (NBFC) 12 Months Ended March 31, 2008 Trued-Up Through September 30, 2008 Reflecting Settlement

		Total	Missouri	Missouri Summer	Missouri Winter
	Fuel & Purchased Power Costs Per Staff's Model				
	Fuel For Load	500,495,800	492,788,165	180,261,553	312,526,612
	Fixed Gas Supply Costs for Load (1)	6,246,987	6,150,783	2,055,592	4,095,192
	Purchased Power for Load	43,627,900	42,956,030	20,823,305	22,132,725
	Total Fuel and Purchased Power for Load	550,370,687	541,894,978	203,140,450	338,754,529
	Fuel For OSS	151,423,940	149,001,157	54,587,400	94,413,757
	Fixed Gas Supply Costs for OSS (1)	1,890,013	1,859,773	621,536	1,238,237
	Purchased Power for OSS	39,417,750	38,787,066	9,240,744	29,546,322
	Total Fuel and Purchased Power for OSS	192,731,703	189,647,996	64,449,680	125,198,316
	Total Fuel and Purchased Power	743,102,390	731,542,974	267,590,130	463,952,844
8	Additional Fuel & PP Costs (from G. Weiss)				
	Westinghouse Credits (1)	(1,816,000)	(1,786,944)	(597,197)	(1,189,747)
	MISO Day 2 Excluding Admin (Acct 555) (1)	64,142,750	63,154,952	21,106,385	42,048,567
	Under-Forecasting Error (1)	3,800,000	3,741,480	1,250,403	2,491,077
	Total Additional Fuel & PP Costs	66,126,750	65,109,488	21,759,591	43,349,897
c	Sales				
	Off-System Energy Sales Per Staff's Model	451,748,000	444,520,032	134,507,880	310,012,152
	MISO Day 2 Revenues (Acct 447) (1)	12,257,104	12,060,990	4,030,783	8,030,207
	Capacity Sales (1)	6,408,950	6,306,407	2,107,601	4,198,806
	Taum Sauk Capacity Revenues (1)	4,900,000	4,821,600	1,611,379	3,210,221
	Ancillary Services Capacity Revenue (1)	3,500,000	3,444,000	1,150,985	2,293,015
	Black Box Settlement Amount (1)	3,000,000	2,952,000	986,558	1,965,442
	Total Sales	481,814,054	474,105,029	144,395,186	329,709,843
A + B - C	Net Base Fuel Costs	327,415,086	322,547,433	144,954,535	177,592,898
	Load Forecast at Generation Level Per Staff's Model	40,871,586,000	40.212.338.146	14,487,622,474	25,724,715,672
	Net Base Fuel Costs (\$ per MWH)	8.01	8,02	10.01	6.90
	Net Base Fuel Costs (cents per KWH)	0,801	0.802	1.001	0.690

(1) Allocated between summer and winter based on number of days in summer (122/365) or 33.42%.

.

From:	Wells, Curt
Sent:	Thursday, January 29, 2009 7:38 AM
To:	Mantle, Lena
Subject:	FW: Net base fuel cost
Attachments:	AmerenUE NBFC Per OSS & FAC Stip. Case ER-2008-0318.xls

Good morning Lena,

Who should this go to?

From: Rackers, Steve Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 4:00 PM To: Wells, Curt Subject: FW: Net base fuel cost

Is this something you need to put into the tariffs for Net Base Fuel Cost?

From: Hagemeyer, Jeremy Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 2:05 PM To: Rackers, Steve; Cassidy, John Subject: FW: Net base fuel cost

From: Weiss, Gary S [mailto:GWeiss@ameren.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 2:02 PM To: Hagemeyer, Jeremy Subject: RE: Net base fuel cost

Jeremy:

Use the second worksheet Settlement True-Up Staff. This uses the kwh Steve and John provided me.

## Gary S. Weiss

Ameren Services Manager Regulatory Accounting (314)554-3878 gweiss@ameren.com

From: Hagemeyer, Jeremy [mailto:jeremy.hagemeyer@psc.mo.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 12:34 PM
To: Weiss, Gary S
Subject: Net base fuel cost

Gary, would it be possible to get your net base fuel cost?

Thank you, Jeremy Hagemeyer Utility Regulatory Auditor Missouri Public Service Commission (314) 877-2778 ext. 230 CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message including attachments, if any, is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and /or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

\* The information contained in this message may be privileged and/or confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Note that any views or opinions presented in this message are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Ameren. All emails are subject to monitoring and archival. Finally, the recipient should check this message and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Ameren accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the message and deleting the material from any computer. Ameren Corporation \*