FILED September 22, 2014 **Data Center** Missouri Public **Service Commission**

Exhibit No.:

Issue: Property Tax

Lisa M. Ferguson Witness: MoPSC Staff

Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

GR-2014-0152 Case No.:

Date Testimony Prepared: July 30, 2014

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION **UTILITY SERVICES - AUDITING**

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF LISA M. FERGUSON

LIBERTY UTILITIES (MIDSTATES NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES'

CASE NO GR-2014-0152

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2014

PSC	Exh	ibit No.	500	24
Date_	Exh 9811/	Repo	rter	SJP
Ello Ma	1			

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	**************************************	\mathbf{OF}		
3		LISA M. FERGUSON		
4 5		LIBERTY UTILITIES (MIDSTATES NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES'		
6		CASE NO. GR-2014-0152		
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
8	. A.	Lisa M. Ferguson, 111 N. 7 th Street, Suite 105, St. Louis, MO 63101.		
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
10	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as a		
11	Utility Regulatory Auditor IV in the Auditing Unit of the Utility Services Department,			
12	Regulatory R	Review Division.		
13	Q.	Are you the same Lisa M. Ferguson who contributed to the Staff's Revenue		
14	Requirement	Cost of Service Report filed on June 6, 2014?		
15	Α,	Yes.		
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?		
17	A.	My rebuttal testimony will address the Company's position on property tax		
18	expense and	why that position is not correct for proper calculation of property taxes for		
19	ratemaking p	purposes.		
20	PROPERTY	<u>Y TAX</u>		
21	Q.	What is Company's position on property tax expense?		

- A. The Company has calculated property tax expense based upon the balance of plant in service as of January 1, 2013, and added to that amount an estimation of property taxes associated with the new office building located in Jackson, MO.
 - Q. What is Staff's position on property tax expense?
- A. Staff believes the level of property tax expense should be that of the 2013 incurred expense without inclusion of the estimated property tax amount for the new office building in Jackson, MO.
- Q. In general, what is the timing experienced between when a utility's property taxes are assessed and when they are paid?
- A. In January of each year, a utility must provide to the appropriate taxing authorities a declaration of the total dollar amount of plant in service as of December 31 of the preceding year. The taxing authorities will then determine a tax rate later in the current year that will be applied to the total dollar amount of plant in service provided in the declaration from January of that year. The amount of property tax the utility will be required to pay for that given year is the calculation of the tax rate developed and applied to the declared plant in service balance. Any plant that comes into service after the cut-off point for the declaration (i.e., after December 31st of the previous year) will not be taxed during the current year but rather will be declared the following year and taxed based on the rate developed that year. In essence, in regard to plant in service, the utility is paying property tax in the current year based only on the prior years' plant balance.
- Q. Why does Staff believe that Company's position of including in rates the property taxes on the new building is improper?
- A. Staff believes it is improper to include a level of property tax expense for the new office building for two main reasons. First, construction was not complete and the building was

not included in rate base until April 1, 2014. The Company has been able to capitalize any property tax expense related to its balance of construction work in process (CWIP) at January 1, 2014. At that date, the costs of the office building were still included in the CWIP account and not in plant-in-service. Even though the building is in rate base as of March 31, 2014, it will not be included in Liberty's assessment for tax purposes until January 2015. This means that no property tax expense associated with the new office building will actually be paid out until December 2015. Further, the actual amount of property taxes due to be paid associated with the general office building will not be known and measureable until the last months of 2015. Second, if Staff were to include a level of property tax expense in this case for the new building as Company suggests, Staff would not only be violating the known and measureable standard but would also be making an out of period adjustment to the current cost of service to include a level of expense that has not yet been incurred.

- Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Liberty Utilities (Midstates) Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities') Case No. GR-2014-0152 Tariff Revisions Designed To Implement a) General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service) in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company)				
AFFIDAVIT OF LISA M. FERGUSON				
STATE OF MISSOURI) State of Missouri) State of Missouri) State of Missouri)				
Lisa M. Ferguson, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.				
Lisa M. Ferguson				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of July, 2014. LISA K, HANNEKEN				