

Exhibit No.: _____
Issue(s): Energy Allocation
Factors
Witness: Alan J. Bax
Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct
Testimony
Case No.: ER-2016-0285
Date Testimony Prepared: March 1, 2017

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS UNIT

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ALAN J. BAX

Staff Exhibit No. 243
Date 3-16-17 Reporter AF
File No. ER-2016-0285

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2016-0285

Jefferson City, Missouri
March 2017

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ALAN J. BAX

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2016-0285

1
2
3
4
5
6 Q. Please state your name and business address.

7 A. My name is Alan J. Bax and my business address is Missouri Public Service
8 Commission ("Commission"), P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.

9 Q. What is your position at the Commission?

10 A. I am a Utility Engineering Specialist III in the Engineering Analysis Unit,
11 Operational Analysis Department, Commission Staff Division.

12 Q. Are you the same Alan J. Bax that contributed to Staff's Revenue
13 Requirement - Cost of Service Report ("COS Report"), filed on November 30, 2016, and also
14 filed surrebuttal testimony in this case on January 27, 2017?

15 A. Yes, I am.

16 Q. What is the purpose of your true-up direct testimony?

17 A. I sponsor Staff's revised calculation of its jurisdictional energy allocation
18 factors. As explained on pages 155-156 of Staff's COS Report, adjustments for growth and
19 certain annualizations were applied to Staff's determination of its energy allocation factors.
20 As indicated, these adjustments were provided by Staff witnesses Matthew R. Young and
21 Michael J. Stahlman, respectively. Both Mr. Young and Mr. Stahlman revised their
22 respective analyses in regard to growth and certain annualization adjustments that were

True-Up Direct Testimony of
Alan J. Bax

1 originally included in Staff's COS Report. Consequently, I applied the modified results of
2 their respective analyses to calculate revised energy allocation factors.

3 Q. What are your calculated energy allocation factors after applying the revised
4 growth and annualization adjustments provided by Mr. Young and Mr. Stahlman?

5 A. The revised energy allocation factors are as follows:

6	Missouri Retail Jurisdiction:	0.5610
7	Kansas Retail Jurisdiction:	0.4373
8	Wholesale Jurisdiction:	0.0017
9	Total:	1.0000

10 These revised energy allocation factors were provided to Staff witness Cary G. Featherstone
11 to allocate related energy costs and revenues to the Missouri retail jurisdiction.

12 Q. Does this conclude your true-up direct testimony?

13 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light)
Company's Request for Authority to) Case No. ER-2016-0285
Implement A General Rate Increase for)
Electric Service)

AFFIDAVIT OF ALAN J. BAX

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss.
COUNTY OF COLE)

COMES NOW ALAN J. BAX, and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing True-Up Direct Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.


Further the Affiant sayeth not.




ALAN J. BAX

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 27th day of February, 2017.





Notary Public