# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Filed
July 14, 2015
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light	)	File No. ER-2014-0370
Company's Request for Authority to Implement	)	YE-2015-0194
a General Rate Increase for Electric Service	)	YE-2015-0195

### MOTION FOR LEAVE TO CORRECT TESTIMONY OF KEITH MAJORS

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff"), and respectfully requests the Commission grant it leave to correct the testimony of Staff witness Keith Majors and offer it into the record this week when he is called to the stand to be questioned on the Acquisition Transition Costs issue on Wednesday, July 1, 2015. The attached pages show the corrections proposed to be made to Mr. Majors direct testimony in the "XVII. Transition Cost Recovery Mechanism" section of the Staff's April 3, 2015 Revenue Requirement Cost Of Service Staff Report and in his surrebuttal testimony and schedules, "Administrative and General (A&G) Expenses" section, filed June 5, 2015. In support thereof, the Staff states as follows:

- 1. On Friday, June 19, 2015 while listening to the testimony of witness Lane Kollen who was on the witness stand, Mr. Majors realized that the data that he had used for certain administrative and general ("A&G") expense analysis for Westar Energy, Inc. ("Westar") in his direct filing and surrebuttal filing includes data from Westar's FERC Form 1 Annual Reports for Kansas Power & Light Co. but does not include data for either Kansas Gas & Electric Co. or Westar Generating, Inc. which are part of Westar and should be included with the Kansas Power & Light Co. data for purposes of his analysis.
- 2. As a consequence of Mr. Majors realizing this error in his filing, the Staff is requesting leave to make the necessary corrections to Mr. Majors' filing that relates to

Staff Exhibit No 246

Date 1-1-15 Reporter TV

File No. ER-2014-0370

the Westar data. Where data for Westar appears in tables and schedules in Mr. Majors' direct and surrebuttal testimony and schedules, for the most part, only the data for Westar changes. However, in his surrebuttal testimony the changes in the Westar data causes changes in the ranking of peer companies in some instances of the analysis Mr. Majors performed. Mr. Majors indicates a correction in the Westar data and a correction in the ranking of peer companies in tables and schedules from his original filings by the use of blue rather than black ink in the corrected pages that are being filed.

- 3. For the Staff's April 3, 2015 Revenue Requirement Cost Of Service Staff Report, there is a replacement page for page 234. On replacement page 234, line 21, there is an asterisk after the word "Westar." At the bottom of replacement page 234 there is an asterisk followed by the sentence: "Westar includes Westar Energy, Inc. (Kansas Power & Light), Kansas Gas & Electric, and Westar Generating, Inc." There are replacement pages for pages 235-238 which contain corrected numbers for Westar in blue rather than black ink. On page 238, line 8 of Mr. Majors' April 3, 2015 direct filing, the words "almost 400" are changed to the corrected words "about 250," and at the beginning of line 13, on page 238 the corrected words "in most years" are added to Mr. Majors' filing in blue rather than black ink.
- 4. For Mr. Majors' surrebuttal testimony, there are replacement pages for pages 41-43 and 52-54 which contain corrected numbers for Westar in blue rather than black ink. On pages 52-54, Mr. Majors performs rankings of peer companies which rankings have changed in some instances due to the correction of the Westar numbers. A correction in a ranking of a peer company appears in blue rather than black ink.

- 5. For Mr. Majors' surrebuttal testimony there are replacement pages for Schedule KM-s4 Page 1 of 1 and Schedule KM-s6 Pages 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 of 94 which contain corrected numbers for Westar in blue rather than black ink. Also, for Schedule KM-s6 Pages 3, 5, 6, 7 of 94 the rankings of some peer companies have changed due to the correction of the Westar numbers. A correction in a ranking of a peer company appears in blue rather than black ink.
- The word "CORRECTED" appears in the bottom right hand corner of each corrected page.
- Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.080(14)(C), this filing is being made as soon as possible and is not supplemental testimony.
  - 8. The Staff apologizes for any inconvenience this matter has caused.

WHEREFORE the Staff respectfully requests the Commission grant it leave to file the corrected direct report/testimony and corrected surrebuttal testimony and schedules of Staff witness Keith Majors. (Replacement pages attached.)

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Steven Dottheim

Steven Dottheim
Chief Deputy Staff Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 29149
Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Phone: (573) 751-7489

Fax: (573) 751-9285

E-mail: steve.dottheim@psc.mo.gov

Attorney for the Staff of the

Missouri Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 29<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2015.

/s/ Steven Dottheim

1 2

The Commission will give consideration to their [transition costs] recovery in future rate cases making an evaluation as to their reasonableness and prudence. At that time, the Commission will expect that KCP&L and Aquila demonstrate that the synergy savings exceed the level of the amortized transition costs included in the test year cost of service expenses in future rate cases. [Footnotes omitted]

KCPL has not maintained the Commission Ordered Synergy Savings Tracking Model. In the 2010 KCPL Case, the Commission relied on, among other things, this very model in its decision to amortize the transition costs and include the annual amortization amounts in the revenue requirements of KCPL and GMO. While KCPL has maintained its Synergy Charter Tracking Database for recording cumulative synergy savings, without the Commission Ordered Synergy Savings Tracking Model, Staff cannot determine whether the annual synergy savings, from an adjusted 2006 base year compared to the Commission-ordered test year in this case ending March 31, 2014, exceed the amount of the amortized transition costs.

#### B. Administrative and General (A&G) Expenses

## 1. Regional Electric Utility Comparison

Although the Staff cannot rely on the Commission Ordered Synergy Savings Tracking Model for its analysis, as it has not been maintained by KCPL, there is evidence that KCPL's administrative and general (A&G) expenses continue to increase and are the highest per average customer, third highest per megawatt hour sold, and highest per dollar of operating revenue of all the electric utilities this Commission rate regulates, and Westar. Staff's analysis used information directly from the individual utilities' FERC Form 1, their Annual Reports to the Commission, and information from the Westar Energy, Inc. ("Westar") FERC Form 1. Westar was included in Staff's analysis because it share's three baseload units with KCPL and is a vertically integrated electric utility that borders KCPL's service territory.

Staff presented a similar analysis in KCPL's 2010 Rate Case, and the Commission found in its Report and Order, Slip Opinion, page 154:

458. Staff did an analysis of the Companies' Administrative & General (A&G) expenses and other electric utilities in the region. [footnote omitted] Staff's analysis indicates that on a combined company basis, KCP&L and GMO have the highest A&G expenses per customer, per megawatt hour sold and per dollar of operating revenue. [footnote omitted]



Staff also updated and presented a similar analysis and conclusion about the A&G expense in the 2012 Rate Case

As can be seen below, KCPL and GMO's Administrative & General expenses remain pervasively high. The tables below are Staff's analysis through calendar 2013 (the last full year available) and calendar year 2011 (from the last rate case):

# 2013 Administrative & General (A&G) Expenses per Customer

Calendar Year 2013	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$44,699,513	\$251,903,994	\$202,602,915	\$74,536,767	\$155,757,596	\$230,294,363
Average Number of Customers	168,080	1,197,298	693,129	314,937	514,843	829,780
A&G Cost per Customer	\$265.94	\$210.39	\$292.30	\$236.67	\$302.53 Highest	\$277.54

# 2011 A&G Expenses per Customer

Calendar Year 2011	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$36,912,783	\$275,200,772	\$199,269,437	\$70,505,022	\$173,703,809	\$244,208,831
Average Number of Customers	166,236	1,190,483	686,795	312,716	512,125	824,841
A&G Cost per Customer	\$222.05	\$231.17	\$290.14	\$225.46	\$339.18 Highest	\$296.07

# 2013 A&G Expenses per Megawatt Hour Sold

Calendar Year 2013	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$44,699,513	\$251,903,994	\$202,602,915	\$74,536,767	\$155,757,596	\$230,294,363
Megawatt Hours Sold	5,620,276	43,158,374	28,824,595	8,413,828	21,683,329	30,097,157
A&G Cost Per MWH Sold	\$7.95	\$5.84	\$7.03	\$8.86	\$7.18	\$7.65

# 2011 A&G Expenses per Megawatt Hour Sold

Calendar Year 2011	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$36,912,783	\$275,200,772	\$199,269,437	\$70,505,022	\$173,703,809	\$244,208,831
Megawatt Hours Sold	5,815,365	48,142,970	29,095,902	8,520,415	20,374,582	28,894,997
A&G Cost Per MWH Sold	\$6.35	\$5.72	\$6.85	\$8.27	\$8.53 Highest	\$8.45

# 2013 A&G Expenses per Electric Operating Revenue

Calendar Year 2013	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$44,699,513	\$251,903,994	\$202,602,915	\$74,536,767	\$155,757,596	\$230,294,363
Total Electric Operating Revenues	534,280,086	3,390,675,469	2,408,058,267	800,537,114	1,671,422,009	2,471,959,123
A&G Cost Per Electric Revenue Dollar	\$0.0837	\$0.0743	\$0.0841	\$0.0931	\$0.0932 Highest	\$0.0932

# 2011 A&G Expenses per Electric Operating Revenue

Calendar Year 2011	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$36,912,783	\$275,200,772	\$199,269,437	\$70,505,022	\$173,703,809	\$244,208,831
Total Electric Operating Revenues	522,506,506	3,226,611,565	2,204,231,086	759,742,827	1,558,265,703	2,318,008,530
A&G Cost Per Electric Revenue Dollar	\$0.0706	\$0.0853	\$0.0904	\$0.0928	\$0.1115 Highest	\$0.1054

**CORRECTED** 

# Five Year Analysis of FERC Form 1 Administrative & General Expenses

## A&G Expenses per Customer

Company	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Empire	\$170.09	\$194.16	\$222.05	\$251.10	\$265.94
Ameren Missouri	\$211.03	\$201.85	\$231.17	\$198.47	\$210.39
Westar	\$257.00	\$281.25	\$290.14	\$305.20	\$292.30
GMO	\$214.65	\$198.10	\$225.46	\$240.43	\$236.67
KCPL	\$278.43	\$298.54	\$339.18	\$298.63	\$302.53
Combined KCPL & GMO	\$254.23	\$260.45	\$296.07	\$276.55	\$277.54

## A&G Expenses per Megawatt Hour Sold

Company	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Empire	\$5.28	\$5.46	\$6.35	\$7.47	\$7.95
Ameren Missouri	\$5.11	\$4.98	\$5.72	\$5.38	\$5.84
Westar	\$6.27	\$6.59	\$6.85	\$7.42	\$7.03
GMO	\$8.26	\$7.02	\$8.27	\$8.99	\$8.86
KCPL	\$7.08	\$7.10	\$8.53	\$6.97	\$7.18
Combined KCPL & GMO	\$7.42	\$7.07	\$8.45	\$7.53	\$7.65

# A&G Expenses per Electric Operating Revenue

Company	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Empire	\$0.0660	\$0.0678	\$0.0706	\$0.0825	\$0.0837
Ameren Missouri	\$0.0926	\$0.0793	\$0.0853	\$0.0757	\$0.0743
Westar	\$0.0931	\$0.0925	\$0.0904	\$0.0919	\$0.0841
GMO	\$0.1035	\$0.0838	\$0.0928	\$0.0992	\$0.0931
KCPL	\$0.1079	\$0.1007	\$0.1115	\$0.0969	\$0.0932
Combined KCPL & GMO	\$0.1064	\$0.0952	\$0.1054	\$0.0977	\$0.0932

In comparison to The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire"), Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri ("Ameren Missouri"), and Westar, KCPL and GMO combined have the highest A&G cost per customer, the third highest A&G cost per megawatt hour sold (GMO has the highest), and the highest A&G cost per dollar of electric revenue.

The result of the revised analysis is similar to that presented by Staff in the 2010 and 2012 Rate Cases. In addition, Staff compared the same utilities' A&G expenses to their respective overall O&M again using data from FERC Form 1:

Five Year A&G Expenses Compared to Total O&M Expense

Combined KCPL & GMO

Calendar Year 2013	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$44,699,513	\$251,903,994	\$202,602,915	\$74,536,767	\$155,757,596	\$230,294,363
Total O&M Expense	\$310,360,096	\$1,857,637,721	\$1,465,027,865	\$454,058,386	\$950,427,859	\$1,404,486,245
A&G as a % of Total O&M	14.40%	13.56%	13.83%	16.42%	16.39%	16.40%

# 3

#### 4 5

#### Company 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 **Empire** 14.40% 10.31% 10.66% 11.54% 13.82% Ameren MO 15.65% 14.17% 14.66% 14.93% 13.56% Westar 14.52% 14.79% 14.37% 15.13% 13.83% **GMO** 14.84% 13.14% 14.50% 17.13% 16.42% KCPL 19.41% 19.08% 19.42% 17.17% 16.39%

16.88%

6

7

8

9

As can be seen from the FERC Form 1 data, KCPL's cost structure had more A&G expenses as a portion of O&M for the last five years than other electric utilities in the region. In 2013, KCPL's A&G costs were almost 300 basis points higher than Ameren Missouri and about 250 higher than Westar. While KCPL's A&G costs have declined in relationship to the other utilities in 2009 to 2011, they remain high compared to the other utilities in Staff's study.

10 11 12

# Several conclusions can be drawn from the A&G analysis:

17.67%

13 14 1) KCPL has the highest A&G expense per customer in 2013 and the highest in most years among these utilities since Great Plain Energy's acquisition of Aquila.

17.69%

16.40%

17.16%

2) KCPL has the third highest (behind GMO and Empire) A&G expense per MWH sold in 2013. From 2010-11, KCPL had the highest A&G expense per MWH sold.

3) KCPL has the highest A&G expense per dollar of operating revenue in 2013, and for the majority of 2009-2013. Put another way, for every dollar of revenue KCPL receives, a larger portion of that dollar goes to A&G expenses than the other utilities in the study.

CORRECTED

Surrebuttal Testimony of Keith Majors

of Ameren Missouri, Empire, GMO, Westar, and KCPL and GMO on a combined company basis. This is the same analysis completed by Staff in the 2010 and 2012 KCPL and GMO rate cases.

In this case, Staff has expanded the A&G analysis to include the peer group utilities used to determine GPE executive compensation.

Q. Have you updated the A&G analysis for 2014 data?

A. Yes. The results are generally the same as the 2013 analysis. KCPL still has the highest A&G cost per customer, third highest A&G cost per MWh sold<sup>6</sup>, and highest A&G cost per dollar of revenue. KCPL has the highest A&G expense compared to its total operations and maintenance ("O&M") expense. On all four metrics, KCPL is higher than Westar and Ameren Missouri, both of which also own nuclear generation.

The results are shown below, and attached as Schedule KM-s4:

### 1. 2014 A&G Expenses per Customer

Calendar Year 2014	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	\$45,640,013	278,701,237	207,915,270	74,615,056	161,898,178	236,513,234
Average Number of Customers	168,553	1,200,005	696,020	316,593	518,988	835,581
A&G Cost per Customer	\$ 270.78	\$ 232.25	\$ 298.72	\$ 235.68	\$ 311.95 Highest	\$ 283.05

14

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

continued on next page

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Behind GMO and Empire.

# 2014 A&G Expenses per Megawatt Hour Sold

Calendar Year 2014	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	45,640,013	278,701,237	207,915,270	74,615,056	161,898,178	236,513,234
Megawatt Hours Sold	5,131,750	43,192,724	29,940,532	8,511,766	22,472,307	30,984,073
A&G Cost per Megawatt Hour Sold	\$ 8.89	\$ 6.45	\$ 6.94	\$ 8.77	\$ 7.20	\$ 7.63

2

3

# 2014 A&G Expenses per Electric Operating Revenue

4

Calendar Year 2014	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	45,640,013	278,701,237	207,915,270	74,615,056	161,898,178	236,513,234
Total Electric Operating Revenues	590,428,386	3,393,525,753	2,624,632,606	850,066,625	1,730,764,278	2,580,830,903
A&G Cost Per Electric Revenue Dollar	\$ 0.0773	\$ 0.0821	\$ 0.0792	\$ 0.0878	\$ 0.0935 Highest	\$ 0.0916

5

# 6

7

# 2014 A&G Compared to Total O&M Expense

Calendar Year 2014	Empire	Ameren Missouri	Westar	GMO	KCPL	Combined KCPL and GMO
A&G Expenses	45,640,013	278,701,237	207,915,270	74,615,056	161,898,178	236,513,234
Total O&M	363,252,937	1,859,500,297	1,588,381,400	520,213,138	1,001,292,788	1,521,505,926
A&G as a % of Total O&M	12.56%	14.99%	13.09%	14.34%	16.17% Highest	15.54%

8

9

10

continued on next page

CORRECTED

3

4

# Six Year Analysis of FERC Form 1 A&G Expenses

A&G Expenses per Customer	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Empire	\$ 170.09	\$ 194.16	\$ 222.05	\$ 251.10	\$ 265.94	\$270.78
Ameren Missouri	\$ 211.03	\$ 201.85	\$ 231.17	\$ 198.47	\$ 210.39	\$232.25
Westar	\$ 257.00	\$ 281.25	\$ 290.14	\$ 305.20	\$ 292.30	\$298.72
GMO	\$ 214.65	\$ 198.10	\$ 225.46	\$ 240.43	\$ 236.67	\$235.68
KCPL	\$ 278.43	\$ 298.54	\$ 339.18	\$ 298.63	\$ 302.53	\$311.95
Combined KCPL & GMO	\$ 254.23	\$ 260.45	\$ 296.07	\$ 276.55	\$ 277.54	\$283.05

A&G Cost per Megawatt Hour Sold	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Empire	\$5.28	\$5.46	\$6.35	\$7.47	\$7.95	\$8.89
Ameren Missouri	\$5.11	\$4.98	\$5.72	\$5.38	\$5.84	\$6.45
Westar	\$6.27	\$6.59	\$6.85	\$7.42	\$7.03	\$6.94
GMO	\$8.26	\$7.02	\$8.27	\$8.99	\$8.86	\$8.77
KCPL	\$7.08	\$7.10	\$8.53	\$6.97	\$7.18	\$7.20
Combined KCPL and GMO	\$7.42	\$7.07	\$8.45	\$7.53	\$7.65	\$7.63

A&G Cost Per Dollar of Electric Revenue	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Empire	\$0.0660	\$0.0678	\$0.0706	\$0.0825	\$0.0837	\$0.0773
Ameren Missouri	\$0.0926	\$0.0793	\$0.0853	\$0.0757	\$0.0743	\$0.0821
Westar	\$0.0931	\$0.0925	\$0.0904	\$0.0919	\$0.0841	\$0.0792
GMO	\$0.1035	\$0.0838	\$0.0928	\$0.0992	\$0.0931	\$0.0878
KCPL	\$0.1079	\$0.1007	\$0.1115	\$0.0969	\$0.0932	\$0.0935
Combined KCPL and GMO	\$0.1064	\$0.0952	\$0.1054	\$0.0977	\$0.0932	\$0.0916

A&G Expenses Compared to Total Operations & Maintenance Expense	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Empire	10.31%	10.66%	11.54%	13.82%	14.40%	12.56%
Ameren MO	15.65%	14.17%	14.66%	14.93%	13.56%	14.99%
Westar	14.52%	14.79%	14.37%	15.13%	13.83%	13.09%
GMO	14.84%	13.14%	14.50%	17.13%	16.42%	14.34%
KCPL	19.41%	19.08%	19.42%	17.17%	16.39%	16.17%
Combined KCPL & GMO	17.67%	16.88%	17.69%	17.16%	16.40%	15.54%

6

5

Alliant Energy	Corporation
Avista Co	rporation
Black Hills (	Corporation
Cleco Cor	rporation
IdaCor	p, Inc.
OGE Energy	Corporation
Pinnacle West Ca	pital Corporation
PNM Reso	urces, Inc.
Portland General I	Electric Company
TECO En	ergy Inc.
UNS Energy	Corporation
Westar En	ergy, Inc.
Wisconsin Ener	gy Corporation

Staff obtained the FERC Form 1<sup>14</sup> for each company listed for years 2013 and 2014. Staff compared the results to KCPL, GMO, and combined KCPL and GMO. I have attached the detailed calculations as Schedule KM-s6. The summary results of that comparison are shown below:

1

2

3

4

5

## 2013 and 2014 A&G Per Customer

Peer Company	2013 A&G per Customer	2013 Ranking	2014 A&G per Customer	2014 Ranking
Alliant	\$176	14	\$181	13
Avista	\$176	13	\$185	12
Black Hills	\$298	3	\$289	4
Cleco	\$190	10	\$201	9
GMO	\$237	7	\$236	7
IdaCorp	\$299	2	\$305	2
KCPL	\$303	1	\$312	1
KCPL & GMO	\$278	5	\$283	5
OGE	\$139	16	\$146	16
Pinnacle	\$186	12	\$165	14
PNM	\$266	6	\$257	6
Portland	\$189	11	\$192	10
TECO	\$209	8	\$187	11
UNS	\$209	9	\$221	8
Westar	\$292	4	\$299	3
Wisconsin	\$172	15	\$147	15

<sup>14</sup> From the FERC eLibrary online repository, www.ferc.gov.



# 2013 and 2014 A&G Per Megawatt Hour

	0	٦	١
		į	,
4	4		

Peer Company	2013 A&G per MWh	2013 Ranking	2014 A&G per MWh	2014 Ranking
Alliant	\$5.44	13	\$5.71	12
Avista	\$4.81	15	\$5.29	13
Black Hills	\$8.98	3	\$9.11	3
Cleco	\$4.87	14	\$2.60	16
GMO	\$8.86	4	\$8.77	4
IdaCorp	\$9.26	2	\$9.56	2
KCPL	\$7.18	8	\$7.20	8
KCPL & GMO	\$7.65	6	\$7.63	6
OGE	\$3.91	16	\$3.91	15
Pinnacle	\$6.66	11	\$5.83	11
PNM	\$11.26	1	\$11.09	1
Portland	\$7.43	7	\$7.67	5
TECO	\$7.79	5	\$7.03	9
UNS	\$6.87	10	\$7.33	7
Westar	\$7.03	9	\$6.94	10
Wisconsin	\$5.95	12	\$5.03	14

3

4

# 2013 and 2014 A&G Per Dollar of Revenue

Peer Company	2013 A&G per Revenue	2013 Ranking	2014 A&G per Revenue	2014 Ranking
Alliant	\$0.0637	11	\$ 0.0653	11
Avista	\$0.0610	13	\$ 0.0669	10
Black Hills	\$0.0954	3	\$ 0.0897	5
Cleco	\$0.0494	15	\$ 0.0453	16
GMO	\$0.0931	6	\$ 0.0878	6
IdaCorp	\$0.1216	1	\$ 0.1220	1
KCPL	\$0.0932	4	\$ 0.0935	3
KCPL & GMO	\$0.0932	5	\$ 0.0916	4
OGE	\$0.0468	16	\$ 0.0459	15
Pinnacle	\$0.0613	12	\$ 0.0545	13
PNM	\$0.1211	2	\$ 0.1150	2
Portland	\$0.0855	7	\$ 0.0840	7
TECO	\$0.0749	10	\$ 0.0651	12
UNS	\$0.0750	9	\$ 0.0759	9
Westar	\$0.0841	8	\$ 0.0792	8
Wisconsin	\$0.0584	14	\$ 0.0486	14

2013 and 2014 A&G Compared to Total O&M Expense

Peer Company	2013 A&G / O&M	2013 Ranking	2014 A&G / O&M	2014 Ranking
Alliant	9.20%	13	9.51%	12
Avista	9.36%	12	10.69%	11
Black Hills	14.36%	6	13.46%	6
Cleco	8.91%	14	7.33%	14
GMO	16.42%	3	14.34%	- 5
IdaCorp	19.39%	1	18.38%	1
KCPL	16.39%	5	16.17%	2
KCPL & GMO	16.40%	4	15.54%	4
OGE	7.39%	16	7.09%	15
Pinnacle	10.45%	11	9.12%	13
PNM	17.25%	2	16.14%	3
Portland	12.80%	8	13.24%	7
TECO	12.51%	9	10.93%	10
UNS	10.90%	10	11.28%	9
Westar	13.83%	7	13.09%	8
Wisconsin	7.91%	15	6.70%	16

2

3

To summarize, below is a table of KCPL's rankings compared to the peer group:

4

Category	KCPL 2013 Ranking	KCPL 2014 Ranking
A&G Per Customer	1 (Highest)	1 (Highest)
A&G Per MWh Sold	8	8
A&G Per Dollar of Revenue	4	3
A&G Compared to Total O&M	5	2

5

7

Staff's expanded study shows that KCPL has some of the highest A&G expenses of its national peers as well as Missouri electric utilities.

# 8

# RATE CASE EXPENSE

A.

9

10

Q. What is KCPL's recommendation regarding rate case expense?

11

time of KCPL's direct filing, KCPL projected \$1,362,261 of Missouri jurisdictional rate case

KCPL requests a three year amortization of current rate case expenses. At the

12

expenses, or \$454,087 per year. KCPL also requests an amortization over three years of

# 2014 Utility FERC Form 1 A&G Analysis

A&G Expenses per Average Customer	A&G	Expenses	per A	verage	Customer
-----------------------------------	-----	----------	-------	--------	----------

A&G Expenses per Average Customer	[												
h-				Ameren								Combined	
Calendar Year 2014		<b>Empire</b>		Missouri		Westar		<b>GMO</b>		KCPL	KC	CPL and GMC	Source
A&G Expenses	45	5,640,013		278,701,237		207,915,270		74,615,056		161,898,178		236,513,234	Page 323, line 197
Average Number of Customers		168,553		1,200,005		696,020		316,593		518,988		835,581	Page 301, line 14
A&G Cost per Customer	\$	270.78	\$	232.25	\$	298.72	\$	235.68	\$	311.95	\$	283.05	
A&G Expenses per Megawatt Hour So	old												
				Ameren								Combined	
Calendar Year 2014		<b>Empire</b>		Missouri		Westar		GMO		KCPL	KC	PL and GMO	
A&G Expenses	45	5,640,013		278,701,237		207,915,270		74,615,056		161,898,178		236,513,234	Page 323, line 197
Megawatt Hours Sold	5	5,131,750		43,192,724		29,940,532		8,511,766		22,472,307		30,984,073	page 301, line 14
A&G Cost per Megawatt Hour Sold	\$	8.89	\$	6.45	\$	6.94	\$	8.77	\$	7.20	\$	7.63	
A&G Expenses per Electric Operating	Paya	•••											
A&G Expenses per Electric Operating	Kever	lue		Ameren	_		_					Combined	
Calendar Year 2014		F!		Missouri		Westar		GMO		KCPL	VC	CPL and GMO	
	14	Empire				- was a facility of the					NC		
A&G Expenses		5,640,013		278,701,237	•	207,915,270		74,615,056		161,898,178			Page 323, line 197
Total Electric Operating Revenues		),428,386		3,393,525,753		2,624,632,606		50,066,625		,730,764,278			Page 300, line 27
A&G Cost Per Electric Revenue Dollar	\$	0.0773	\$	0.0821	\$	0.0792	\$	0.0878	\$	0.0935	\$	0.0916	
A&G Expenses Compared to Total O	neratio	ons & Mai	inte	nance Expense									
The o Expenses compared to Your o	peraer	7110 CD 111111		Ameren			_		-			Combined	1
CalendarYear 2014		Empire		Missouri		Westar		GMO		KCPL	KC	CPL and GMO	
A&G Expenses	4.5	5,640,013		278,701,237		207,915,270		74,615,056		161,898,178	00.000		Page 323, line 197
Total O&M		3,252,937		1,859,500,297		1,588,381,400		20,213,138		001,292,788			Page 323, line 198
A&G as a % of Total O&M	200	12.56%		14.99%		13.09%		14.34%		16.17%		15.54%	
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR AND A				1									

<sup>\*</sup> Westar includes Westar Energy, Inc. (Kansas Power & Light), Kansas Gas & Electric, and Westar Generating, Inc.

CORRECTED

## 2013 Peer Utility FERC Form 1 A&G Analysis

Administrative & General Expenses per Average Custome	e & General Expenses per Average Customer	
---	---	--

Calendar 2013	Alliant	t	Avista		Black Hills	C	Cleco	Ida	Corp	OGE	E	Pin	nacle	PNM		Portla	and	TEC	0	UNS	3	We	star	Wiscon	sin	Source	
A&G Expenses	17	4,249,506	6-	4,056,359	60,590,4	112	54,127,217		151,020,498		111,759,138		213,793,482	1	35,149,447	15	57,718,766	1	45,126,718		104,786,066		202,602,915	193	855,932	Page 323, line 197	1
Average Number of Customers		990,767		363,312	203,5	81	284,188		504,653		802,876		1,147,514		508,248		833,170		694,735		502,113		693,129	1	126,890	Page 301, line 14	
A&G Cost per Customer	\$	175.87	S	176.31	\$ 297.	.62	\$ 190.46	\$	299.26	S	139.20	\$	186.31	\$	265.91	\$	189.30	\$	208.90	\$	208.69	S	292,30	\$	172.03		

Administrative & General Expenses pe	er Megawatt Hour So	old											
Calendar 2013	Alliant	Avista	Black Hills	Cleco	IdaCorp	OGE	Pinnacle	PNM	Portland	TECO	UNS	Westar	Wisconsin
A&G Expenses	174,249,500	64,056,359	60,590,412	54,127,217	151,020,498	111,759,138	213,793,482	135,149,447	157,718,766	145,126,718	104,786,066	202,602,915	193,855,932 Page 323, line 197
Megawatt Hours Sold	32,056,70	13,318,994	6,748,081	11,115,732	16,302,681	28,578,159	32,087,545	12,001,980	21,226,863	18,639,927	15,255,416	28,824,595	32,555,334 page 301, line 14
A&G Cost per Megawatt Hour Sold	\$ 5.44	4 \$ 4.8	1 \$ 8.98	3 \$ 4.87	\$ 9.26	\$ 3.91	\$ 6.66	\$ \$ 11.26	5 7.43	\$ 7.79	\$ 6.87	\$ 7.03	\$ 5.95

	Annual Company of the	-	-
A&G Expenses	per Electric	Operating	Revenue

Calendar 2013	Alliant	Avista	Black Hills	Cleco	IdaCorp	OGE	Pinnacle	PNM	Portland	TECO	UNS	Westar	Wisconsin
A&G Expenses	174,249,500	64,056,35	60,590,412	54,127,217	151,020,498	111,759,138	213,793,482	135,149,447	157,718,766	145,126,718	104,786,066	202,602,915	193,855,932 Page 323, line 197
Total Electric Operating Revenues	2,734,981,639	1,049,456,90	635,077,523	1,095,822,127	1,242,150,868	2,388,998,192	3,484,980,000	1,116,019,344	1,845,416,891	1,936,621,293	1,397,057,466	2,408,058,267	3,320,546,496 Page 300, line 27
A&G Cost Per Electric Revenue Dollar	\$ 0.00	S S 0.061	\$ 0.0954	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.0841	\$ 0.06

#### A&G Expenses Compared to O&M

Calendar 2013	Alliant	Avista	Black Hills	Cleco	IdaCorp	OGE	Pinnacle	PNM	Portland	TECO	UNS	Westar	Wisconsin
A&G Expenses	174,249,506	64,056,359	60,590,412	54,127,217	151,020,498	111,759,138	213,793,482	135,149,447	157,718,766	145,126,718	104,786,066	202,602,915	193,855,932 Page 323, line 197
Total O&M	1,893,630,808	684,482,695	422,029,363	607,265,153	778,659,808	1,512,903,158	2,045,932,147	783,283,450	1,232,425,235	1,160,276,368	961,651,746	1,465,027,865	2,449,254,652 Page 323, line 198
A&G as a % of Total O&M	9.20%	9.36%	14.36%	8.91%	6 19.39%	7.39%	10.45%	17.25%	12.80%	12.51%	10.90%	13.83%	7.91%

Alliant includes Interstate Power and Light Company and Wisconsin Power and Light Company

Avista does not include Alaska Electric Light and Power Company Acquired in 2014

Black Hills includes Black Hills Power, Cheyenne Light, Fuel, and Power Company, and Colorado Electric Utility Company PNM Resources does not include Texas-New Mexico Power Company, a transmission and distribution utility, which does not file a FERC Form 1



## 2014 Peer Utility FERC Form 1 A&G Analysis

Calendar 2014	Alliant	A	rista	Black Hills	Cleco	IdaCorp	OGE	Pinnacle	PNM	Portland	TECO	UNS	Westar	Wisconsin Source
A&G Expenses	\$ 179,79	3,812	67,942,567	59,259,971	57,395,376	155,933,199	118,327,028	192,117,559	131,296,360	161,772,278	132,050,638	112,058,917	207,915,270	165,747,560 Page 323, line 1
Average Number of Customers	99	3,548	367,195	205,213	285,529	511,957	811,219	1,163,134	511,235	841,033	706,160	508,159	696,020	1,130,647 Page 301, line 1-
A&G Cost per Customer	S 1	0.97 \$	185.03	\$ 288.77	\$ 201.01	\$ 304.58	\$ 145.86	\$ 165.17	\$ 256.82	\$ 192.35	\$ 187.00	\$ 220.52	s 298.72	\$ 146.60
Administrative & General Expenses	oer Megawatt	Hour Sc	ld											
Calendar 2014	Alliant	A	rista	Black Hills	Cleco	IdaCorp	OGE	Pinnacle	PNM	Portland	TECO	UNS	Westar	Wisconsin
A&G Expenses	179,79	8,812	67,942,567	59,259,971	57,395,376	155,933,199	118,327,028	192,117,559	131,296,360	161,772,278	132,050,638	112,058,917	207,915,270	165,747,560 Page 323, line 19
Megawatt Hours Sold	31,47	,893	12,839,533	6,502,473	22,057,912	16,312,786	30,234,927	32,951,388	11,836,387	21,080,082	18,784,911	15,293,725	29,940,532	32,942,828 page 301, line 14
A&G Cost per Megawatt Hour Sold	S	5.71 \$	5.29	\$ 9.11	\$ 2.60	\$ 9.56	\$ 3.91	\$ 5.83	\$ 11.09	\$ 7.67	\$ 7.03	\$ 7.33	\$ 6.94	\$ 5.03
A&G Expenses per Electric Operation	a Revenue													
Calendar 2014	Alliant	A	rista	Black Hills	Cleco	IdaCorp	OGE	Pinnacle	PNM	Portland	TECO	UNS	Westar	Wisconsin
A&G Expenses	179,79	8,812	67,942,567	59,259,971	57,395,376	155,933,199	118,327,028	192,117,559	131,296,360	161,772,278	132,050,638	112,058,917	207,915,270	165,747,560 Page 323, line 1
Total Electric Operating Revenues	2,752,80	712	1,015,103,873	660,758,369	1,268,230,022	1,277,640,977	2,577,622,977	3,522,222,472	1,141,918,217	1,926,578,668		1,476,686,143	2,624,632,606	3,412,203,547 Page 300, line 2
A&G Cost Per Electric Revenue Dollar	\$	0.07 \$	0.0669	\$ 0.0897	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.1220	\$ 0.0459	\$ 0.0545	\$ 0.1150	\$ 0.0840	\$ 0.0651	\$ 0.0759	\$ 0.0792	
A&G Expenses Compared to O&M														
Calendar 2014	Alliant	A	rista	Black Hills	Cleco	IdaCorp	OGE	Pinnacle	PNM	Portland	TECO	UNS	Westar	Wisconsin
A&G Expenses	179,79	812	67,942,567	59,259,971	57,395,376	155,933,199	118,327,028	192,117,559	131,296,360	161,772,278	132,050,638	112,058,917	207,915,270	165,747,560 Page 323, line 1
AGG Expenses														
Total O&M	1,891,58		635,399,996			848,564,840	1,669,635,874							

#### Notes:

Alliant includes Interstate Power and Light Company and Wisconsin Power and Light Company

Avista does not include Alaska Electric Light and Power Company Acquired in 2014

Black Hills includes Black Hills Power, Cheyenne Light, Fuel, and Power Company, and Colorado Electric Utility Company PNM Resources does not include Texas-New Mexico Power Company, a transmission and distribution utility, which does not file a FERC Form 1



# FERC Form 1 A&G Analysis A&G Per Customer Rankings

	2013	A&G /	9F		2014	A&G /	
<b>Peer Company</b>	Custo	omer		Peer Company	Custo	omer	
KCPL	\$	303	1	KCPL	\$	312	1
IdaCorp	\$	299	2	IdaCorp	\$	305	2
Black Hills	\$	298	3	Westar	\$	299	3
Westar	\$	292	4	Black Hills	\$	289	4
KCPL & GMO	\$	278	5	KCPL & GMO	\$	283	5
PNM	\$	266	6	PNM	\$	257	6
GMO	\$	237	7	GMO	\$	236	7
TECO	\$	209	8	UNS	\$	221	8
UNS	\$	209	9	Cleco	\$	201	9
Cleco	\$	190	10	Portland	\$	192	10
Portland	\$	189	11	TECO	\$	187	11
Pinnacle	\$	186	12	Avista	\$	185	12
Avista	\$	176	13	Alliant	\$	181	13
Alliant	\$	176	14	Pinnacle	\$	165	14
Wisconsin	\$	172	15	Wisconsin	\$	147	15
OGE	\$	139	16	OGE	\$	146	16

	2013	A&G /		201	4 A&G /		
<b>Peer Company</b>	y Customer		2013 Ranking Customer			2014 Ranking	
Alliant	\$	176	14	\$	181	13	
Avista	\$	176	13	\$	185	12	
Black Hills	\$	298	3	\$	289	4	
Cleco	\$	190	10	\$	201	9	
GMO	\$	237	7	\$	236	7	
IdaCorp	\$	299	2	\$	305	2	
KCPL	\$	303	1	\$	312	1	
KCPL & GMO	\$	278	5	\$	283	5	
OGE	\$	139	16	\$	146	16	
Pinnacle	\$	186	12	\$	165	14	
PNM	\$	266	6	\$	257	6	
Portland	\$	189	11	\$	192	10	
TECO	\$	209	8	\$	187	11	
UNS	\$	209	9	\$	221	8	
Westar	\$	292	4	\$	299	3	
Wisconsin	\$	172	15	\$	147	15	



# FERC Form 1 A&G Analysis A&G Per Megawatt Rankings

Wisconsin

	2013	2013			2014	2014
Peer Company	A&G / MWH	Ranking		Peer Company	A&G / MWH	
PNM	\$ 11.26			PNM	\$ 11.09	
IdaCorp	\$ 9.26			IdaCorp	\$ 9.56	
Black Hills	\$ 8.98			Black Hills	\$ 9.11	
GMO	\$ 8.86		**	GMO	\$ 8.77	
TECO	\$ 7.79			Portland	\$ 7.67	
KCPL & GMO	\$ 7.65			KCPL & GMO	\$ 7.63	
Portland	\$ 7.43			UNS	\$ 7.33	
KCPL	\$ 7.18			KCPL	\$ 7.20	
Westar	\$ 7.03			TECO	\$ 7.03	
UNS	\$ 6.87	10		Westar	\$ 6.94	10
Pinnacle	\$ 6.66	11		Pinnacle	\$ 5.83	11
Wisconsin	\$ 5.95	12		Alliant	\$ 5.71	12
Alliant	\$ 5.44	13		Avista	\$ 5.29	13
Cleco	\$ 4.87	14		Wisconsin	\$ 5.03	14
Avista	\$ 4.81	15		OGE	\$ 3.91	15
OGE	\$ 3.91	16		Cleco	\$ 2.60	16
	0040	0040				
D	2013	2013	2014	2014		
Peer Company	A&G / MWH	Ranking	A&G / MWH	_		
Alliant	\$ 5.44			12		
Avista	\$ 4.81		\$ 5.29	13		
Black Hills	\$ 8.98		\$ 9.11	3		
Cleco	\$ 4.87		\$ 2.60	16		
GMO	\$ 8.86		\$ 8.77	4		
IdaCorp	\$ 9.26		\$ 9.56	2		
KCPL	\$ 7.18		\$ 7.20	8		
KCPL & GMO	\$ 7.65		\$ 7.63	6		
OGE	\$ 3.91		\$ 3.91	15		
Pinnacle	\$ 6.66		\$ 5.83	11		
PNM	\$ 11.26		\$ 11.09	1		
Portland	\$ 7.43		\$ 7.67	5		
TECO	\$ 7.79		\$ 7.03	9		
UNS	\$ 6.87		\$ 7.33	7		
Westar	\$ 7.03	9	\$ 6.94	10		

12 \$

5.03

5.95



Schedule KM-s6 Page 5 of 94

14

# FERC Form 1 A&G Analysis A&G Per Revenue Rankings

	2013		2013		2014		2014
Peer Company	A&G /	Revenue	Ranking	<b>Peer Company</b>	A&G	/ Revenue	Ranking
IdaCorp	\$	0.1216	1	IdaCorp	\$	0.1220	1
PNM	\$	0.1211	2	PNM	\$	0.1150	2
Black Hills	\$	0.0954	3	KCPL	\$	0.0935	3
KCPL	\$	0.0932	4	KCPL & GMO	\$	0.0916	4
KCPL & GMO	\$	0.0932	5	Black Hills	\$	0.0897	5
GMO	\$	0.0931	6	GMO	\$	0.0878	6
Portland	\$	0.0855	7	Portland	\$	0.0840	7
Westar	\$	0.0841	8	Westar	\$	0.0792	8
UNS	\$	0.0750	9	UNS	\$	0.0759	9
TECO	\$	0.0749	10	Avista	\$	0.0669	10
Alliant	\$	0.0637	11	Alliant	\$	0.0653	11
Pinnacle	\$	0.0613	12	TECO	\$	0.0651	12
Avista	\$	0.0610	13	Pinnacle	\$	0.0545	13
Wisconsin	\$	0.0584	14	Wisconsin	\$	0.0486	14
Cleco	\$	0.0494	15	OGE	\$	0.0459	15
OGE	\$	0.0468	16	Cleco	\$	0.0453	16

	2013		2013	2014		2014	
<b>Peer Company</b>	A&G	/ Revenue	Ranking	A&G	/ Revenue	Ranking	
Alliant	\$	0.0637	11	\$	0.0653		11
Avista	\$	0.0610	13	\$	0.0669		10
Black Hills	\$	0.0954	3	\$	0.0897		5
Cleco	\$	0.0494	15	\$	0.0453		16
GMO	\$	0.0931	6	\$	0.0878		6
IdaCorp	\$	0.1216	1	\$	0.1220		1
KCPL	\$	0.0932	4	\$	0.0935		3
KCPL & GMO	\$	0.0932	5	\$	0.0916	*	4
OGE	\$	0.0468	16	\$	0.0459		15
Pinnacle	\$	0.0613	12	\$	0.0545		13
PNM	\$	0.1211	2	\$	0.1150		2
Portland	\$	0.0855	7	\$	0.0840		7
TECO	\$	0.0749	10	\$	0.0651		12
UNS	\$	0.0750	9	\$	0.0759		9
Westar	\$	0.0841	8	\$	0.0792		8
Wisconsin	\$	0.0584	14	\$	0.0486		14



# FERC Form 1 A&G Analysis A&G Compared to O&M Expense Rankings

	2013	2013		2014	2014
Peer Company	A&G / O&M	Ranking	<b>Peer Company</b>	A&G / O&M	Ranking
IdaCorp	19.39%	1	IdaCorp	18.38%	1
PNM	17.25%	2	KCPL	16.17%	2
GMO	16.42%	3	PNM	16.14%	3
KCPL & GMO	16.40%	4	KCPL & GMO	15.54%	4
KCPL	16.39%	5	GMO	14.34%	5
Black Hills	14.36%	6	Black Hills	13.46%	6
Westar	13.83%	7	Portland	13.24%	7
Portland	12.80%	8	Westar	13.09%	8
TECO	12.51%	9	UNS	11.28%	9
UNS	10.90%	10	TECO	10.93%	10
Pinnacle	10.45%	11	Avista	10.69%	11
Avista	9.36%	12	Alliant	9.51%	12
Alliant	9.20%	13	Pinnacle	9.12%	13
Cleco	8.91%	14	Cleco	7.33%	14
Wisconsin	7.91%	15	OGE	7.09%	15
OGE	7.39%	16	Wisconsin	6.70%	16

	2013	2013	2014	2014	
Peer Company	A&G / O&M	Ranking	A&G / O&M	Ranking	
Alliant	9.20%	13	9.51%	1	12
Avista	9.36%	12	10.69%	1	11
Black Hills	14.36%	6	13.46%		6
Cleco	8.91%	14	7.33%	1	14
GMO	16.42%	3	14.34%		5
IdaCorp	19.39%	1	18.38%		1
KCPL	16.39%	5	16.17%		2
KCPL & GMO	16.40%	4	15.54%		4
OGE	7.39%	16	7.09%		15
Pinnacle	10.45%	11	9.12%	1	13
PNM	17.25%	2	16.14%		3
Portland	12.80%	8	13.24%		7
TECO	12.51%	9	10.93%	1	10
UNS	10.90%	10	11.28%		9
Westar	13.83%	7	13.09%		8
Wisconsin	7.91%	15	6.70%	1	16



Schedule KM-s6 Page 7 of 94

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light	)	
Company's Request for Authority to	)	Case No. ER-2014-0370
Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric	)	
Service	)	

#### AFFIDAVIT OF KEITH MAJORS

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

COMES NOW Keith Majors and on his oath declares that he has prepared the foregoing correction pages to:

- Revenue Requirement Cost of Service Staff Report (filed on April 3, 2015), Keith Majors, XVII. Transitions Cost Recovery Mechanism, pages 234 238;
- Keith Majors, Surrebuttal Testimony (filed on June 5, 2015), Administrative and General (A&G) Expenses pages 41 43 and 52 54; Schedule KM-s4, page 1 of 1; and, Schedule KM-s6 pages 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7.

that he has knowledge of the matters set forth therein, and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Keith Majors

**JURAT** 

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 29% day of June, 2015.

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Express: December 12, 2016
Commission Number: 12412070

Muziellan ben Notary Public