FILED
December 7, 2017
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No.

Issue: Maintenance and Repair, Audit and Tax

Preparation, Corporate Allocations

Witness: Phil Macias

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Indian Hills Case No.: WR-2017-0259 Date: October 13, 2017

Missouri Public Service Commission

Direct Testimony

of

Phil Macias

On Behalf of

Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.

October 13, 2017

TH Exhibit No. 4

Vatel-27-17 Reporter XF

File No. UR - 2017-0254

AFFIDAVIT

1	
2	
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)
4	COUNTY OF St (harles) ss
5	COUNTY OF SE (harles)
6	
7	I Mail Marine state that the annuage to the questions moved in the attached Direct
8 9	I, Phil Macias, state that the answers to the questions posed in the attached Direct
9	Testimony are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
l U	
12	TEW WAS
3	
4	
5	Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13+15 day of October, 2017.
6	A
7	
.8	Sunder aues
.9	Notary Public
20	
21	
22	My Commission Expires: 01/31/2021 BRENDA EAVES NO. 101/2021
23	V) "Votaly Fublic, Notary Seal II
	St Charles County
	Commission # 13443468 My Commission Expires 01-31-2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WITNESS INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE	5
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR EXPENSE	6
AUDIT AND TAX PREPARATION FEES	6
CORPORATE ALLOCATIONS	8

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PHIL MACIAS INDIAN HILLS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

1		WITNESS INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Phil Macias. My business address is 500 Northwest Plaza Drive
4		Suite 500. St. Ann MO, 63074
5	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR POSITION WITH INDIAN HILLS UTILITY OPERATING
6		COMPANY, INC. (INDIAN HILLS OR COMPANY)?
7	A.	I hold the position of Chief Financial Officer of First Round CSWR, LLC, the
8		ultimate parent company of Indian Hills, which is managed by Central States
9		Water Resources, Inc. We collectively refer to the family of companies ultimately
10		managed by Central States Water Resources, Inc. as Central States Water
11		Resources, CSWR, and Central States.
12	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
13		EXPERIENCE.
14	A.	The first thirteen years of my career were spent with the U.S. Coast Guard.
15		served at various duty stations including over 4 years in the accounting &
16		contracting function of the Central Regional Recruiting Command. I departed the
17		Service as a Senior Chief Petty Officer (E8), and joined The Anheuser-Busch
18		Eye Institute (Saint Louis University) as the Purchasing Manager in the Financial
19		Services department. My responsibilities included managing the acquisition of

PHIL MACIAS DIRECT TESTIMONY

construction materials and services as well as the sourcing and purchase of capital equipment and the acquisition of operational materials and services. I left Anheuser Busch to attend Saint Louis University full time and graduated with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration (Accounting). After graduation, I joined Ernst & Young, LLP (a public accounting firm), in assurance and advisory services. In my role at E&Y, I provided audit and advisory services to clients engaged manufacturing, banking and brokerage, communications, technology & software development. From E&Y, I joined Washington University in St. Louis as the Accounting Operations Project Manager in the Accounting Services Department where I prepared analysis on the Financial Services division's management activities for the Vice Chancellor for Financial Affairs, the Controller and other members of the Senior Management team. I also served as the interim manager of the Sponsored Projects Department where I managed the financial compliance and reporting process pertaining to grants and awards from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and National Science Foundation (NSF). From Washington University I joined CoreExpress, Inc, as the Senior Financial Project Manager. While at CoreExpress I managed the finance and accounting process related to approximately \$475 million in fiber optics related capital acquisitions. I also managed the operational relationships with finance and credit partners involving the credit facilities and vendor financing agreements. In addition, my responsibilities included the preparation & presentation of internal/external financial reporting information to senior management, equity stakeholders and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

From CoreExpress I joined GKN selected strategic business partners. Aerospace Services (GKN) as a Senior Financial Planning Analyst and Controller of the Engineering Development Corporation (EDC), a subsidiary of GKN. I directed the finance and accounting functions as the EDC transitioned from an integrated business unit with all accounting duties executed offsite at the corporate level to a legally separate, stand-alone business entity with all finance and accounting operations performed internally, on-site. This included working closely with the General Manager and the project management team to restructure complex percent-of-completion revenue recognition procedures, the accounts receivable recording process and inventory/cost-of-goods-sold (COGS) reporting to ensure compliance with GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles). At the corporate level, I developed financial analysis and forecasting tools to enhance the cash management and inventory modeling functions, assisted in the monthly accounting close process and developed various policies and procedures including capitalization. At the time I joined GKN/EDC, I also began a private CPA practice where I provided a range of accounting, audit and tax services to both domestic and international, private and publicly traded/SEC clients. In December of '02 I left GKN/EDC and began working full time in private practice where I continued for the next ten years. In 2006, I was asked to join adjunct faculty at Columbia College (accredited by the Higher Learning Commission) where I have now been teaching introductory accounting (Financial Accounting 280) for the past eleven years. In 2011, I joined the U.S. Soybean Export Council (USSEC) as the Controller and ended my tenure as the Chief

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Financial Officer (CFO). USSEC is a \$37MM international not for profit organization led by, and working on behalf of, America's soybean farmers. As CFO I partnered with the CEO and fellow members of the senior leadership team on all strategic and operational issues and provided support, guidance and recommendations to the Board of Directors and CEO on a wide range of financial and operational matters. I provided both strategic and tactical direction to the domestic & international accounting, finance, information technology, human resources, budget, legal, contracting, compliance and project management functions. Annually as the CFO I managed the independent third party financial audit in addition to, as a federally funded organization, I managed a United States Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Services, audit and a Circular A133 audit. Annually I also had to adhere to an United Soybean Board agreed upon procedures finance and compliance audit which required materiality of internal financial reporting of not to exceed 0.01 cents on a \$37MM budget. For USSEC's foreign operations I was in charge of another annual Singapore based foreign subsidiary independent third party financial audit by E&Y, a foreign subsidiary tax compliance audit by the Government of Mexico, and a full financial and regulatory compliance audit by the Government of China pertaining to operations conducted within the respective countries.

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR POSITION.

A. I have been the Chief Financial Officer of Central States since March of 2017.

1		<u>PURPOSE</u>
2	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
3	Α.	I will provide the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) with
4		testimony concerning maintenance and repair expense, audit and tax preparation
5		fees, and corporate allocations to Indian Hills as they relate to the revenue
6		requirement.
7		MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR EXPENSE
8	Q.	WHAT DISAGREEMENT DOES INDIAN HILLS HAVE WITH THE
9		COMMISSION STAFF IN REGARD TO ITS PROPOSED TREATMENT OF
10		MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR EXPENSE?
11	A.	The Staff characterized selected repair costs as one-time expenses and
12		amortized them over a five-year period. In addition, the test year selected by
13		Staff includes a significant period of time prior to the point when the facility
14		became fully operational. As a result, that period that does not accurately reflect
15		the actual, on-going level of maintenance and repair expense incurred by the
16		Company.
17		
18	Q.	WHY SHOULD THESE REPAIR EXPENESES NOT BE CONSIDERED "ONE
19		TIME"?
20	A.	As explained in greater detail by Indian Hills witness Thomas, these repair
21		expenses continue to be a part of our on-going operational requirement to
22		provide safe, adequate service. The repair expenses do not represent new

1		capitalized investments, but rather they are fixes inside antiquated, critical water
2		infrastructure.
3	Q.	WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE TREATMENT OF THIS ISSUE?
4	A.	The proper treatment for activity characterized as maintenance and repair is to
5		ensure the expense is recorded in the period incurred and reflected in the
6		Company's revenue requirement at the level it is expected to be incurred going
7		forward. Amortization is inappropriate. In addition, if maintenance and repair
8		expenses included in the revenue requirement were updated to reflect actual
9		expenses from the current year (12 months ended 09/17), which more fully
10		covers the period when the new plant additions were in operation, they would
11		total \$189,300 (See Schedule PM S-1). This amount accurately reflects the
12		Company's actual costs. \$189,300 is \$89,997 more than the amount the
13		Company has agreed to with Staff in the partial Stipulation agreement.
14		
15	Q.	WHAT AMOUNT SHOULD THE COMMISSION INCLUDE IN INDIAN HILLS'
16		REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR EXPENSE?
17	A.	\$99,303
18		
19		AUDITING AND INCOME TAX PREPARATION FEES
20	Q.	WHAT DISAGREEMENT DOES INDIAN HILLS HAVE WITH THE
21		COMMISSION STAFF IN REGARD TO AUDITING AND TAX PREPARATION
22		FEES?

1 A. Staff excluded both the audit and tax preparation fees for Indian Hills and the 2 pro-rata share of audit & tax fees from First Round.

4 Q. WHAT IS INDIAN HILLS'S ANNUAL SHARE OF THOSE COSTS?

A. Indian Hill's direct costs of \$14,000 (\$10,000, financial audit and \$4,000, tax preparation) combined with an 18% allocation of CSWR's audit and tax fees of \$20,158.76, 18% of which is \$3,628.58, for a total \$21,628.58.

A.

Q. WHY IS IT NECESSARY FOR INDIAN HILLS AND ITS PARENT TO HIRE AN OUTSIDE ACCOUNTANT OR FIRM TO PERFORM THESE SERVICES?

As a CFO and C.P.A. I believe financial audit and tax preparation fees are a routine cost of doing business for a professionally managed utility. This is particularly important for a utility, or group of utilities, that is actively engaged in attempting to raise capital. In fact, CSWR has been denied equipment financing based on a lack of audited financials for the respective utility. One of the issues facing a number of smaller utilities (water & sewer) is that the owners/managers frequently fail to maintain accurate financial records and/or compliant tax filings. It is another example of a disregard for regulatory/statutory requirements in the companies we are acquiring.

Q. WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE TREATMENT OF THIS ISSUE?

A. The Commission should order that Indian Hills' tax fees, Indian Hill's audit fees, and Indian Hills' corporate allocation of the actual audit and tax preparation fees

1		of First Round CSWR, LLC and Central States Water Resources, Inc. be
2		included in its revenue requirement.
3		
4		CORPORATE ALLOCATIONS
5	Q.	WHAT DISAGREEMENT DOES INDIAN HILLS HAVE WITH THE
6		COMMISSION STAFF IN REGARD TO THE STAFF'S PROPOSED
7		TREATMENT OF CORPERATE ALLOCATIONS?
8	A.	The Staff uses a corporate allocation factor of 16.5%, based on its interpretation
9		of time sheets instead of the 18% allocation proposed by the Company, based on
10		customers.
11		
12	Q.	HOW DID YOU DERIVE THIS CUSTOMER BASED ALLOCATION?
13	A.	During the test year, CSWR provided water service to 1,064 water customers
14		(238 at Hillcrest, 725 at Indian Hills, 101 at Smithview) and CSWR had another
15		121 in the acquisition process associated with Elm Hills (the current water
16		customers at Missouri Utilities), for a total of 1,185 water customers. During the
17		test year CSWR provided sewer service to 736 sewer customers (237 at Hillcrest
18		and 499 at Raccoon Creek) and CSWR had another 299 in the acquisition
19		process associated with Elm Hills (the sewer customers at Missouri Utilities and
20		State Park Village), for a total of 1,035 sewer customers.
21		
22	Q.	IS THAT THE CUSTOMER TOTAL THE COMPANY USED FOR ITS
23		CALCULATION?

1	A.	No. If we only used the resulting 2,220 water and sewer customer count present
2		in the test year, Indian Hills' customers would have amounted to 32.66% of the
3		CSWR customer base.
4		
5	Q.	WHAT DID THE COMPANY DO INSTEAD?
6	A.	In order to account for all of the potential acquisitions CSWR also included the
7		potential water and sewer customers of Alpine Village (162 water and 162 sewer
8		PSC regulated system under contract), Confluence Rivers (the 727 water
9		customers and 643 sewer customers mentioned in Josiah Cox's testimony made
10		up distressed regulated and unregulated MO systems under contract), and 185
11		other sewer customers we planned on acquiring. Of the 3,998 current and
12		planned water and sewer customers, Indian Hills customers represent 18.13% of
13		the customer base. This was rounded down to 18%. We believe this allocation
14		percentage correctly accounts for CSWR corporate overhead to existing
15		Commission regulated customers and currently unregulated work in process.
16		
17	Q.	HOW DID STAFF CREATE ITS 16.5% CORPORATE ALLOCATION FACTOR?
18	A.	Staff used selected labor hours as the cost driver in a multi-step model.
19		
20	Q.	WHAT IS UNREASONABLE ABOUT THIS METHODOLODGY?
21	Α	The Staff's model relies on applying accurately recorded labor hours in a 5 step
22		process. While the correct hours are recorded company wide, that information is
23		not used consistently by Staff in step 1 (IH direct hours). Staff uses actual hours

for three of six employees, while the remaining three are averaged together. Staff calculation Step 2 captures the administrative hours by individual employee but, as with Step 1, the actual hours are used for only three of the six employees. Staff calculation Step 3 builds on the result of #2 and applies it against a ratio of total non-regulated company hours over total regulated company hours, by However, the actual ratio used for three of the six positions (a different mix of employees than in steps one or two) is an unsupported value (not linked or agreed to data table or other information supporting the value). The ratios for the remaining employees agree to a supporting data table prepared by Staff. Staff Step 4 uses the incorrect calculation from #3 and applies it against another ratio (IH hours over total regulated company hours on a First Round -CSWR basis). Staff calculation step 5 is simply the sum of the results of steps 1 However, since each of the four steps is inconsistently/incorrectly calculated, the end result is also in error. In simple terms, the Staff model contains errors at each step in the process by using actual time for only three employees for the first two steps, using a ratio which uses hours of all employees but applying it to only three employees (a different mix of employees than in steps one and two) and then applies different ratios (that are not supported by Staff's data) to the remaining three employees.

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. IF YOU FOLLOW STAFF'S METHODOLOGY AND UTILIZE ACTUAL HOURS FOR ALL SIX EMPLOYEES, WHAT ALLOCATION DO YOU CALCULATE?

1	Α.	if you use actual nours for each employee and follow Start's methodology, the
2		resulting corporate allocation is 17.6%
3		
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE TREATMENT OF THIS ISSUE?
5	A.	A per customer allocation is a more accurate allocation. This would result in an
6		18% allocation for IH, which on a per customer per service basis, would also
7		match previous allocations CSWR has in place.
8		
9		PAYROLL AS A PART OF CORPORATE ALLOCATIONS
10	Q.	WHAT LABOR COSTS ARE RELEVANT TO THIS RATE CASE?
11	A.	Indian Hills has no employees. Several functions related to its operation are
12		provided by six employees of Central States, a financial manager, chief
13		executive, operations manager, senior accountant, accountant and a customer
14		service manager. A portion of the costs associated with those employees is then
15		allocated to Indian Hills.
16		
17	Q.	WHAT PORTION DO YOU PROPOSE TO ALLOCATE TO INDIAN HILLS?
18	A.	Eighteen percent (18%). This was based on a per customer basis, as described
19		above.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT DISAGREEMENT DOES INDIAN HILLS HAVE WITH THE
22		COMMISSION STAFF IN REGARD TO PAYROLL?

1	A.	I do not agree with the method Staff used to derive the base labor costs to be
2		allocated.
3		
4	Q.	HOW DID THE COMMISSION STAFF DEVELOP THOSE LABOR COSTS?
5	A.	In order to develop the labor costs associated with the Central States'
6		employees, the Commission Staff has used Missouri Economic Research and
7		Information Center (MERIC) wage estimates based on the St. Louis region and a
8		2016 study that has not been adjusted using U.S. Department of Labor,
9		Employment Cost Index (EPI) inflation rates. In addition, the Commission Staff
10		assumed that the employees possessed mean (or average) experience levels.
11		The salaries developed by the Staff are lower than the actual salaries paid for
12		Central States employees.
13		
14	Q.	HOW DOES INDIAN HILLS BELIEVE THESE LABOR COSTS SHOULD BE
15		DEVELOPED?
16	A.	Indian Hills finds the Staff's general approach to be acceptable. However, in
17		working through that process, the Commission should use EPI inflation adjusted
18		salaries for "experienced" personnel at each category for rate making purposes.
19		
20	Q.	WHY IS INDIAN HILLS' APPROACH MORE APPROPRIATE?
21	A.	All the salaries should be adjusted using the EPI in order to accurately reflect
22		current market conditions, rather than utilizing data that is two years old.
23		Moreover, in the case of the Central States employees, each employee's actual

experience, education levels, and current job roles demand that the individual be recognized as "experienced."

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

2

1

4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXPERIENCE OF THOSE CENTRAL STATES 5 EMPLOYEES.

As CFO of the company I have a BS in Business Administration from St. Louis University and am a CPA. I currently teach colligate level accounting. previous tenures have brought me experience in accounting beginning with 4 of my 13 years in the armed services. I worked inside one of the big four accounting firms, I have worked on hundreds of millions of dollars of telecommunications infrastructure projects, run my own private accounting practice, managed multiple types of federal government audits, managed third party audits on overseas foreign subsidiaries, managed audits by the governments of Mexico and China, and had to manage the materiality of internal financial reporting of not to exceed 0.01 cents on a \$37MM budget. Our president and the founder of CSWR has accomplished the utility acquisition work including evaluation of the existing utility assets for acquisition, determination of existing net book value of acquisition targets, engineering design/technology selection for new improvements, construction contractor selection, construction management (since 2015 Central States has completed approximately \$6MM in new plant investment with an additional \$1.5MM

currently part of an approved acquisition case), ongoing O&M management

including monitoring all plant remote operations and emergency responses, new

utility rate design/pro-forma financial models, and overall companywide management across multiple states.. This myriad current job responsibility is more than almost any executive I know inside or outside the water and wastewater utility industry. Before CSWR he held director level positions inside an engineer firm and was the CEO of an engineering and construction firm. His education includes a Bachelor of Science in Environmental Science from the University of Kansas and a Master of Business Administration from Washington University in St. Louis. Our Senior Vice President, who is responsible for running the operations and some development, was previously President of Brotcke Well and Pump (the 2nd largest well driller and service provider in the Midwest), Vice President of Operations and Business Development of the Midwest for American Water Contract Operations, and General Manager of Midwest operations for Environmental Management Corporation. At one time as an operations manager he was responsible for the operations and maintenance of water and wastewater systems servicing 64,000 households across multiple states and systems. He currently serves on the Technical Advisory Team for the Public Water Supply District 2 of St. Charles County, MO the largest water district in MO. His education includes a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering from The Missouri University of Science and Technology, and a Masters of Business Administration from Washington University in St. Louis. Our customer service manager holds a Bachelor of Arts from Washington University of St. Louis. She has over 30 years of director experience managing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1		large financial institution offices and most recently managed the office of the										
2		largest drinking water well services company in Missouri before coming to										
3		Central States for the last two and a half years.										
4												
5	Q.	WHAT SHOULD THE COMMISSION DO IN REGARD TO THIS ISSUE?										
6	A.	The Commission should find that salaries, for purposes of establishing the										
7		revenue requirement in this case, be adjusted to reflect MERIC "Experienced"										
8		employees for the St. Louis area, and be adjusted to the most recent reporting										
9		period of the Employment Cost Index for the US Bureau Labor of Statistics for										
10		the following SOC codes and titles:										
11		a. 11-1011 Experience Chief Executive;										
12		b. 11-3031 Experience Financial Manager;										
13		c. 11-1021 Experienced General and Operations Manager,										
14		d. 11-9199 Customer Service Manager.										
15												
16	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?										
17	A.	Yes, it does.										

Schedule PM-01

Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintenance & Repair

A31 - Maint Structures & Improvements 204 905 201 282 49 1,101 672 821 4,234 0 1,104 12,068 4,501 17,745 200 300 200 300 200 300 200 300 300 200 300 3	Expense Classification	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct_	Nov	Dec	Total 2016	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	ρυA	Sep	Total to Date 2017	inception To Date
431 - Marie Structures Employeements 204 905 201 202 49 1,101 672 891 4,204 0 1,104 1,204 200 1,00	Indian Hills:																					
## 27- March Express Proposed J. S. Proposed J. Proposed J. S. Proposed J. Propos				25,825					2,695	9,973	93,954	O	2,635	3,375	3,356	1,277	27,891	42,497			85,030	176,984
927 - Morti Clarifon Reverviole Cultified Reverviol				201	282		1,101	672		821	4,234	0		1,194			12,068		4,501			21,997
# Freedom Specific Memory Collection # Freedom Specific						300				1	695	o			200							895
He Federic Companies He Federic Strategy		0		0	_	0			0			0						816,1				815,1
Public Service Commissions 1	673 - Maint: Trans & Dist Mains	0					0			62	62	0		12					30.351	35,B01	66,217	66.279
611 - Maint Source of Suephy 417- 1, 150 409 400 4,772 4,259 1,047 470 - Maint Source of Suephy 470 - M	IH - Totals;	377	20,966	24,025	13,105	12,084	5,873	6,922	2,675	10,857	78,745	0	2,488	4,581	3,556	1,277	41,959	44,115	34,852	35,801	aloogii 148,820 g	267,773
Age	Public Service Commission:																					
431 - Mohit Switchier Emprovements 751 201 282 47 1,101 672 821 839 830 1,104 672 821 839 830 1,104 1,105 1,	61 I - Maint: Source of Supply		1,150		390	400	4,792	6.250	1.695	9,673	24.350	0	2.635	3,375	2,530	2.530	2,530	000 2.530 o	2.530	2.330	21,170	45,540
470 - Morth Storper L Engg 9	631 - Maint: Structures & Improvements			201					.,			ā	-,									7,605
## Amerikan Fors A Dial Mons 2.9 3.9		195		201			1,	D. 1.		02.				7,17								1,043
## PSC - Forlise 1.44 3.550 1.150 1.421 1.478 6.842 7.871 2.444 11.506 37.242 4.77 3.437 5.530 3.770 3.7		175	200			300				20		_	5.2	10					APPROXIMENT.	CONTRACTOR OF SALES AND A		191
PSC - Torloles 1, 144 3,059 1, 150 1,421 1,478 4,842 7,871 2,444 11,504 37,524 749 3,437 5,530 3,770 3		040	040	0.46	5140	0.10	640	210	0.40													
Record R								The state of the s														16,133
PSC (W o Amartined Exp): 195 20.812 20.825 13.105 17.49 16.205 13.105 17.49 16.205 13.105 17.49 16.205 13.105 17.49 16.205 13.105 17.49 16.205 13.105 17.49 17	rsc - tordis:	1,144	3,050	1,150	1,621	1,678	6,842	7,871	2,644	11,506	37,624	749	3,637	5,530	3,770	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,976	3,970	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	70,511
PSC (W/o Amortized Expt): 195 20,812 26,025 13,105 749 5,893 4,922 1,695 10,505 85,982 0 2,688 4,881 3,021 3	III.	200	20.011	ov por	12.100	15.004	F 003	, 200	0.405	10.057	erene a a anti-		0.100		2664	1.022		44115	21.050	05.004	1/8 800	D. 7 777
Verlance: (634) (434) 0 0 0 (11.5x) 0 0 0 (10.09) (3.4) (12.773) 0 0 0 0 (5.59) 1.744 (29.23) 14.00 (27.80) (27.80) (27.80) (27.80) (27.80) 1.744 (29.23) 1.												_										267,773
FSC Manufaction FSC																						111,347
FSC (w/ Amortized Exp.): 1.144 3.050 1.150 1.421 1.698 4.842 7.871 2.644 11.506 37.693. 949 34.337 5.530 3.070 3.0	Variance:	(204)	(154)	0	U	[11.33,5]	0	U	[1,000]	(300)	(12,973)	0	0	0	(535)	1,/44	(38%-33)	141,0941	(30.201)	[32,280]	(143,433)	[156,406
Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintenance & Repair 16-May 16-Jun 16																						267,773
Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Repair & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Inclian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. Maintonance & Inclian Hills Uti	PSC (w/ Amortized Exp):_			1,150	1,621	1,69B	6.842		2.644	11,506	37,624	947	3,637	5,530	3.970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	33,736	71,460
Maintenance & Repair	Variance:	745	[17.916]	[24,876]	[11.ज्ह्रंब]	(10,336)	949	949	(51)	649	् (61, 42 1).	949	949	949	414	2,693	(37,799)	lac rabi	(30,882)	(31.85)	(134,072)	(196,313
16-May 1						<u> </u>	····													Inception		
Indian Hills: 399 20,966 26,025 13,105 12,084 5,873 6,922 2,695 10,857 0 2,688 4,581 3,556 1,277 41,957 44,115 34,852 35,801 267,773 PSC - Actual: 175 20,812 26,025 13,105 749 5,893 6,922 1,695 10,857 0 2,688 4,581 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 93,221 PSC - W/ Amortization: 1,144 3,050 1,150 1,421 1,698 6,842 7,871 2,644 11,506 949 3,637 5,530 3,670		16-Apr	16-May	16-Jun	16-30	14-Aug	16-500	16-Oct	14-Nov	16-Dec	17-Jan	17-Feb	17-Mar	17-Apr	17-May	17-Jun	17-Jul	17-Aug	17-500			
PSC - Actual: 175 20,812 26,025 13,105 749 5,893 6,922 1,895 10,557 0 2,688 4,581 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 93,221 PSC - W/ Amortization: 1,144 3,050 1,150 1,621 1,698 6,842 7,871 2,644 11,506 949 3,637 5,530 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 71,440 Test Year: 16-Apr 16-May 16-Jun 16-Jun 16-Jun 16-Jun 16-Sup 16-Sup 16-Oct 16-Nov 16-Dec 17-Jun 17-Feb 17-May 17-Feb 17-May 17-Jun 18-Jun 18-Ju	Indian Hills Actual:	399	20,966	26,025	13,105		5.873	6.922	2.695	10.857		2.688	4.581					34.852	35.801		-	
PSC - W/ Amorification: I.144 3.050 I.150 I.421 I.698 6.842 7.871 2.644 II.506 949 3.637 5.530 3.970 3.970 3.970 3.970 3.970 3.970 71,440 Test Year: 14-Apr 14-May 14-Jun 14	PSC - Actual:	195																				
Indian Hills: 399 20,966 26,025 13,105 12,084 5,893 6,922 2,695 10,857 0 2,686 4,581 196,214 PSC - w/ Amortization: 1,144 3,050 1,150 1,621 1,698 6,842 7,971 2,644 11,506 949 3,637 5,530 47,640 Variance: 745 (17,71-a) (24,876) (11,484) (10,396) 949 949 (51) 647 949 949 949 (58,574) Current Year: 16-Oct 16-Nev 16-Dec 17-Jan 17-Feb 17-Mar 17-Apr 17-May 17-Jun 17-Jul 17-Aug 17-5ep 1616 1610n Hills: 4,922 2,695 10,857 0 2,688 4,581 3,556 1,227 41,959 44,115 34,852 35,801 187,301 196,000 197														3,970	3,070		3,970	3,970				
Indian Hills: 399 20,966 26,025 13,105 12,084 5,893 6,922 2,695 10,857 0 2,686 4,581 196,214 PSC - w/ Amortization: 1,144 3,050 1,150 1,621 1,698 6,842 7,971 2,644 11,506 949 3,637 5,530 47,640 Variance: 745 (17,71-a) (24,876) (11,484) (10,396) 949 949 (51) 647 949 949 949 (58,574) Current Year: 16-Oct 16-Nev 16-Dec 17-Jan 17-Feb 17-Mar 17-Apr 17-May 17-Jun 17-Jul 17-Aug 17-5ep 1616 1610n Hills: 4,922 2,695 10,857 0 2,688 4,581 3,556 1,227 41,959 44,115 34,852 35,801 187,301 196,000 197																						
PSC - w/ Amoritration: 1.144 3.050 1.150 1.621 1.678 6.842 7.871 2.644 11.506 949 3.637 5.530 47.640 Variance: 745 (17.714) (24.876) (11.434) (10.346) 949 949 (51) 649 949 949 949 (58.574) Current Year: 1040in Hills: 16.922 2.695 10.857 0 2.688 4.581 3.556 1.277 41.759 44.115 34.852 35.801 187.301 PSC - Proposed Rate: 3.970 3.970	Test Year:	16-Apr	16-May	16-Jun	16-30	16-Aug	14-Sep	16-Oct	14-Nov	16-Dec	17-Jan	17-Feb	17-Mar	Total								
Variance: 745 (17.71a) (24.87b) (11.484) (10.98b) 949 94	Indian Hills:	399	20,966	26,025	13,105	12,084	5,893	6,922	2,695	10,857	0	2,688	4,581	104,214]	
Varience: 745 (17.71a) (24.87b) (11.484) (10.96) 949	PSC - w/ Amortization;	1,144	3,050	1,150	1,621	1.698	6.842	7.871	2.644	11,506	949	3.637	5,530	47.640								
Indion Hills: 6,922 2,695 10,857 0 2,688 4,581 3,556 1,277 41,959 44,115 34,852 35,801 (187,301) PSC - Proposed Rate: 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970	Variance:	745	(12,216)	(24.876)	(11,484)	(10,386)	949	949	(51)		949	949		(58,574)								
Indion Hills: 6,722 2,675 10,857 0 2,688 4,581 3,556 1,277 41,757 44,115 34,852 35,801 187,801 PSC - Proposed Rate: 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970	.																					
PSC - Proposed Rate: 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970 3,970																					<u>.</u>	
											-									350		
Variance: {2,952 1,275 (6,967) 3,970 1,292 (611) 414 2,693 (9,789) (9,140] (9,289) (1,401) (41,741)	PSC - Proposed Rate:							3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970_	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3.970	47,840	<u> </u>	
	Varlance:							[2,952]	1,275	(6,987)	3,970	1,282	(611)	414	2,693	(39,789)	[39,145]	(49)(82)	(31,831)	(141,661)	Ī	