Exhibit No.:

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Ketter/Surrebuttal

Testimony

Issue: Rates

Sponsoring Party: Missouri Public

Service Commission

Company: Kansas City Power

and Light Company

Case No.: HO-86-139

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES L. KETTER

Jefferson City, Missouri April, 1987

Care 4 081 Care to 4080139

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

ste	the matter of the investigation of) cam service rendered by Kansas City) Case No. HO-86-139 wer & Light Company.)
	AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES L. KETTER
	ate of Missouri)) ss anty of Cole)
wri pag att kno	James L. Ketter, of lawful age, on his oath states: at he has participated in the preparation of the attached atten testimony in question and answer form consisting of threes, to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the ached written testimony were given by him; that he has wledge of the matters set forth in such statements: and that he matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief. James L. Ketter
Sub	scribed and sworn to before me this ${\it 5tt}$ day of April, 1987
	Standard King Notary Public
ſу	Commission expires 9/4/87

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

·

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

40

27 28 OF

JAMES L. KETTER

KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. HO-86-139

- Q. Please state your name for the record.
- A. James L. Ketter.
- Q. Are you the same James L. Ketter who has previously filed direct and rebuttal testimony in Kansas City Power and Light Company's (KCPL or Company) Case No. HO-86-139?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. What is the purpose of this surrebuttal testimony?
- A. The purpose of this surrebuttal testimony is to rebut statements made by Company witness Bernard J. Beaudoin in rebuttal testimony.
- Q. What statements, made by Mr. Beaudoin, will you be addressing in this testimony?
- A. Mr. Beaudoin states on page 2 of his rebuttal testimony that:

Because of the unusual circumstance, KCPL believes that the Staff's traditional interpretation of promotional practice rules should be rejected in order to alleviate the financial burden on KCPL's existing steam customers due to the cost of conversion to another steam source.

- Q. Why are you addressing this statement concerning the interpretation of the promotional practice rule?
- A. Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-14.010(2) provides that a variance to the Promotional Practices rule may be granted only upon a showing by a

Ó

with that it is faced with unregulated competition. No such showing has been made by KCPL. The rule stands on its own and requires a consistent application. Providing equipment as an inducement to use electric service is clearly prohibited by the rule.

- Q. On page 6 of his rebuttal testimony, Mr. Beaudoin indicates that he has no objection to any recommendation that electric boiler customers be charged the applicable electric rate and that the test boiler program indicates that many of KCPL's steam customers could be better off economically as electric customers. Would the customers with the test boilers be better off on the electric rate or steam rate?
- A. Schedule 4 of my direct testimony provides the measured steam usage, steam revenue, Kwh usage and the average cost per Kwh for the test boilers. The steam revenue includes applicable taxes so it is appropriate to compare rates with taxes. An update of Schedule 4 of my direct testimony is attached as Schedule 1.

The separately metered space heating rate available for the on-site boilers after May 1986 is 4.331¢ per Kwh. Schedule I shows that for the time period this rate was charged (October, 1986 to February, 1987) in only one month did the average rate exceed 4.331¢. This was for the month of October for McWhirter Printer when the average cost was 5.46¢ per Kwh, but this was a very low usage month.

If the steam customer were to become an electric customer of KCPL, ownership costs of the boiler would add to the cost of providing heat from an on-site boiler.

For the month of November 1986 for McWirter Printing, the question becomes, would it be best to buy a boiler and operate it at an energy cost of 4.331c per Kwh, or take the steam rate at 4.32c?

Rebuttal Testimony of James L. Ketter

My analysis shows that the customers with the test boilers have paid lower steam revenue than if they had been billed on an electric schedule.

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

" OPERATIONAL STATISTICS OF TEST BOILERS

	Stanley Sargent (1406 Main)					Upsher Labs (1336 Walnut)				McWhirter Printer (909 Wyandotte)				Home Savings			
Month	Steam	Steam Re	Energy	Average Cost	Steam	Steam Rev	Energy	Average Cost	Station	Steam Rev	Energy	Average Cost	Steam	Steam Rev	Energy	Average Cost	
	MLBS.	\$	Kwh	e/Kwh	MLBS.	\$	Kwh	¢/Kwh	MLBS.	\$	Kwh	¢/Kwh	MLBS.	\$	Kwh	e/Kwh	
1985																	
Out	18	203	6960	2.92													
Mov	dada	509	14,200	3.58													
300	117	1229	37,560	3.27													
1986																	
Jan	6.8	750	21,840	3.43	100	1,079	33,840	3.19	202	2,121	70,080	3.03					
Feb	73	767	26,120	2.94	135	1,377	43,360	3.18	239	2,360	80,640	2.93					
May	99	606	19,360	3.13	62	634	21,840	2.90	183	1,767	67,680	2.61					
Apr	19	195	4,192	4.65	2	68	•	-	53	605	12,560	4.82					
Oet	23	328	8,208	3.99	39	522	13,528	3.86	55	706	12,944	5.46	51	668	18,000	3.71	
Nov	60	770	18,308	4.20	94	1,178	29,448	4.00	199	2,430	56,240	4.32	529	6,882	174,900	3.93	
Dec	86	1,171	28,616	4.09	124	1,666	46,560	3.58	258	3,405	99,928	3.41	971	12,434	350,760	3.54	
1987																	
Jan	83	1,141	27,184	4.20	119	1,578	40.832	3.86	270	3.510	88,672	3.96	1,004	12,646	339,120	3.73	
#eb	36	766	18,840	4.07	75	1,010	26,064	3.88	256	3,319	78,112	4.25	620	7,927	215,640	3.68	
* 1986-87 Westing																	
	310	4,176	101,156	4.13	451	5,954	156,432	3.81	1,038	13,370	335,896	3.98	3,175	40,557	1,098,420	3.69	

Separately metered space heating rate effective May, 1986 is 3.691¢ per Kwh; 4.331¢ per Kwh including taxes.

^{* 1986-87} Heating months include the months of October, 1986 through the month of February, 1987 as provided by the company for the test boilers.