

Exhibit No.:  
Issues: Low Income  
Witness: Carol Gay Fred  
Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff  
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony  
File No.: ER-2010-0355  
Date Testimony Prepared: January 5, 2011

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION**

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**CAROL GAY FRED**

**KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

**FILE NO. ER-2010-0355**

*Jefferson City, Missouri  
January 2011*

*Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-219  
Date 1/18/11 Reporter LMB  
File No. ER-2010-0355*



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**CAROL GAY FRED**

**KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

**FILE NO. ER-2010-0355**

Q. Please state your name and business address

A. My name is Carol Gay Fred, and my business address is 200 Madison Street, Suite 800, Jefferson City, MO 65101. .

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am the Consumer Services Manager for the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission).

**Background of Witness**

Q. Are you the same Carol Gay Fred who filed rebuttal testimony on December 8, 2010?

A. Yes.

**Purpose of Testimony**

Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to clarify my recommendation of the recovery of the cost associated with Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL or Company) Economic Relief Pilot Program (ERPP).

Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Carol Gay Fred

1 **Low Income Programs**

2 Q. In your rebuttal testimony you stated that you recommend full recovery of the  
3 cost associated with ERPP as requested, did you not?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. How do you wish to clarify your answer regarding full recovery of the cost  
6 associated with ERPP?

7 A. I was, and still am, recommending full recovery of the ERPP cost; however, I  
8 was not, and am not, recommending how that cost is to be recovered. Given that I am not an  
9 accountant and that I am unfamiliar with the accounting treatment for full recovery the  
10 Company proposed, I defer to the recommendation of Staff witness Bret Prenger, an  
11 accountant, for how KCPL should recover the cost of the ERPP from its Missouri customers.

12 Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

13 A. Yes.