FILED August 31, 2023 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. 111

Staff – Exhibit 111 Roth Direct File No. WR-2023-0006

Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff *Type of Exhibit:* Direct Testimony Date Testimony Prepared: June 8, 2023

Rate Design Keri Roth Case No.: WR-2023-0006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

WATER, SEWER, & STEAM DEPARTMENT

DIRECT TESTIMONY Rate Design

OF

KERI ROTH

CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

CASE NO. WR-2023-0006

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2023

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	KERI ROTH
4	CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5	CASE NO. WR-2023-0006
6	RATE DESIGN: WATER OPERATIONS2
7	RATE DESIGN SEWER OPERATIONS
8	MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES8
9	SUMMARY9

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
2		KERI ROTH
3	CO	ONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
4		CASE NO. WR-2023-0006
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	А.	My name is Keri Roth and my business address is 200 Madison Street,
7	P.O. Box 360), Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as
10	a Senior Rese	earch/Data Analyst in the Water, Sewer, & Steam Department, Industry Analysis
11	Division.	
12	Q.	Please describe your educational background and work experience.
13	А.	I graduated from Lincoln University in May 2011 with a Bachelor of Science
14	degree in A	ccounting. Prior to accepting my current position with the Commission in
15	August 2021,	, I was employed by the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") as a Senior
16	Utility Regul	atory Auditor from September 2012 to August 2021.
17	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
18	А.	Yes. Please refer to Schedule KR-d1, attached to this direct testimony, for a list
19	of cases for w	vhich I have filed testimony.
20	Q.	What is the purpose of this direct testimony?
21	А.	The purpose of this direct testimony is to provide the Commission with Staff's
22	("Staff") reco	ommended rate design for the Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc.
23	("Confluence	e") rate case.

1

RATE DESIGN: WATER OPERATIONS

2

16

17

18

Q. What is the purpose of Staff's rate design?

3 The purpose is to design rates that will be utilized to collect the appropriate A. levels of revenue from each service territory and from each customer class based upon the 4 5 revenue requirement. Staff's rate design for Confluence's water operations is based on the actual revenue requirement for each district. The rate structure that is utilized generally consists 6 7 of a fixed monthly customer charge and a commodity (usage) charge. The customer charge is 8 generally developed by comparing certain costs that are generally considered fixed,¹ and used 9 primarily to serve a particular customer (such as meters and service lines), and the number of 10 customers in each class. Commodity charges are generally composed of costs that vary with 11 water production, such as pumping, chemical use, etc. Staff's results of proposed reasonable 12 rates are provided in Attachments 1 - 7, attached to this testimony. It should be noted that a 13 number of customers are currently on flat rates for water, which means they pay a single 14 customer charge, and all costs are recovered via a fixed monthly charge. These flat rate 15 customers are in remote areas that do not yet have meters installed.

Q. Generally, Staff undertakes a class cost of service study to help guide its rate design recommendations in large rate cases. Did Staff conduct a Class Cost of Service ("CCOS") study in this proceeding?

A. No. Most of the systems that Confluence operates are small, distinct systems
that generally only serve residential customers. As such, there is no need to undertake further
analysis to separate costs among classes. Any larger customers that are connected to the
system generally have a larger meter, such as a 1-2" or larger in diameter meter, compared to

¹ Confluence has several water systems that have a flat monthly customer charge.

the standard 5/8" or 3/4" meter of a residential customer, and are charged based on that larger
 meter size.

3

Q. What is Confluence's current water rate design structure?

A. Confluence currently has approximately 20 different customer charges for its water utility systems ranging from \$13.23 to \$71.25. This is largely because of Confluence's rapid acquisition of small systems over the past several years, and adoption of the acquired systems existing rates. Further, as noted above, not all water systems have meters. For those that do, there is an additional commodity charge per 1,000 gallons of water used, with the exception of Port Perry. Port Perry's rate includes 2,000 gallons of water with the customer charge, and a commodity charge that applies to usage above this amount.

11

Q.

How did Staff design its proposed rates?

Staff proposes a single-block rate structure for all Confluence customers that 12 A. 13 have meters in place. A single-block rate structure is one in which the commodity rate is 14 constant regardless of the volumes used. Staff is also proposing that all metered customers have 15 a monthly customer charge and commodity rate for usage, rather than a minimum amount of 16 water usage included in the customer charge, as Port Perry rates currently have. Confluence's 17 customers are almost entirely residential, and the few commercial customers' consumption is 18 not significantly different from the residential customers' consumption. Therefore, Staff did 19 not conduct a CCOS study or establish separate customer classes. Finally, Staff proposes a flat 20 monthly rate for residential customers that do not yet have meters.

21

22

Q. Is Staff proposing any consolidation of water rates among Confluence's districts?

1	А.	Yes, Staff is proposing consolidation into three (3) rate districts as shown in	
2	Attachments	1-3, attached to this testimony. Staff recommends the Commission order three	
3	(3) rate distri	cts as follows:	
4 5	•	Water District #1 – Cedar Green, Fawn Lake, Missing Well, Spring Branch, Branson Cedars, Prairie Heights, and Glen Meadows;	
6	•	Water District #2 – Hillcrest Manor, Elm Hills, and Port Perry; and,	
7 8 9	•	Water District #3 – Osage Utilities, Terre Du Lac, and Indian Hills; Confluence Rivers (which consists of Auburn Lakes, Calvey Brooks, Eugene, Evergreen Lakes, Gladlo, Majestic Lakes, Roy-L, Smithview, and Willows).	
10	Q.	What is a rate district?	
11	А.	For the purposes of this rate design, a rate district means all of the customers	
12	within a distr	ict will have the same rate, based on their meter size.	
13	Q.	Why did Staff choose these groupings?	
14	А.	Staff has chosen these groupings with an attempt to keep systems with a similar	
15	cost of servic	e in the same district, achieve reasonable rates, and mitigate rate shock.	
16	Q.	Please explain why having multiple districts is more beneficial than single-tariff	
17	rates.		
18	А.	There are several reasons why having multiple districts is beneficial. The	
19	primary bene	efit to having multiple districts in this proceeding is to spread out the costs of	
20	investment w	ithout causing customers who did not receive the same level of investment in their	
21	systems to e	xperience unnecessary and substantial rate increases. All water systems will	
22	eventually need significant investment of some kind. Confluence, in particular, has purchased		
23	several systems that require upgrades, though not all upgrades have been completed. Keeping		
24	the systems	that have had recent investments and have very few customers as stand-alone	
25	systems could	d result in very high rates, as there are so few customers upon which to spread this	
	I		

cost recovery. For example, Prairie Heights' current customer charge is \$20.00 per month, and 1 2 would increase to \$107.50 per month if it were not consolidated with other systems. By 3 consolidating Prairie Heights with other systems, the customer charge will only increase to 4 \$58.57, helping to mitigate rate shock. Combining these small systems into districts with larger 5 systems mitigates this potential rate shock. Further, those systems with lower cost of service 6 are not subject to unnecessary increases in rates. Some of the systems that have already had a 7 previous rate case after upgrades, and therefore already have very high rates, will receive a 8 decrease in rates under Staff's proposal. It is Staff's position that these rate districts result in 9 the most reasonable rates for the customers.

10Q.For those systems that have unmetered customers, how are flat monthly rates11calculated?

12 A. There are a couple of different ways to calculate flat rates for unmetered 13 customers. For example, the simplest way is to divide the total cost of service by the number 14 of customers receiving service from that system if every customer in that system is unmetered. 15 However, Staff utilized a different method when calculating flat rates for unmetered customers, 16 because each district proposed by Staff has a mixture of metered and unmetered customers. 17 Staff has calculated flat rates for unmetered customers by applying a factor ranging from 1.62 to 18 1.89 (depending on the district), against the number of unmetered customers in the district to 19 calculate the customer equivalent. This factor is then multiplied by the customer charge, which 20 was calculated by dividing the customer charge portion of the cost of service by the total number 21 of customer equivalents.

Q. Why did Staff utilize a different factor for each district when calculating theflat rate?

1	A. The goal when establishing flat rates in these situations is to have the resulting
2	rate mimic the expected average bill that a metered customer would pay. Because the customer
3	and commodity charges vary among the districts, utilizing a single factor for all flat rate
4	customers among all of the districts would not mathematically result in flat rates that
5	approximate a metered customer's monthly bill. Utilizing a factor of 1.89 for Staff's proposed
6	District #1, develops a flat rate that mimics the expected average bill that a metered customer
7	would pay in District #1. Utilizing a factor of 1.62 for Staff's proposed District #2, develops a
8	flat rate that mimics the expected average bill that a metered customer would pay in District #2.
9	Utilizing a factor of 1.67 in Staff's proposed District #3, develops a flat rate that mimics the
10	expected average bill that a metered customer would pay in District #3. By utilizing the factor
11	chosen for each individual district, the bills an unmetered customer pays compared to what a
12	metered customer pays, based on the assumption of 5,000 gallons of usage per month, should
13	be similar.
14	Q. In his Direct Testimony, Staff witness Curt B. Gateley described problems with
15	the quality of Confluence's water sales data. Did this affect Staff's rate design?
16	A. Yes. Due to the quality of Confluence's water sales data, Staff has utilized an
17	estimate of 5,000 gallons per customer per month.
18	Q. Why did Staff choose 5,000 gallons per month?
19	A. Staff used this number based on its experience with customer usage among the
20	various water systems in the state. It is Staff's position that this is a reasonable assumption of
21	water usage.
22	RATE DESIGN: SEWER OPERATIONS

DESIGN:

- 23
- Q. How did Staff develop rates for sewer operations?

1	А.	Confluence's sewer operations are relatively small and generally consist of only			
2	residential cus	tomers. Staff based its rates for Confluence's sewer operations on both the results			
3	of Staff's audit and the development of COS for Confluence's sewer operations, based on the				
4	Water, Sewer,	& Steam Department's small company rate design method.			
5	Q.	What is Confluence's current sewer rate design structure?			
6	А.	Confluence currently has several different flat rate charges for its sewer utility			
7	systems rangi	ng from \$16.67 for a residential customer to \$99.88 per month. Similar to			
8	Confluence's	water systems, this is largely because of Confluence's rapid acquisition of small			
9	systems over t	he past several years, and adoption of the acquired systems existing rates.			
10	Q.	Is Staff proposing any consolidation of sewer rates?			
11	А.	Yes. Similar to Staff's proposal regarding water rate design, Staff is proposing			
12	consolidation	into four (4) districts, as shown in Attachments $4 - 7$, attached to this testimony.			
13	Staff recomme	ends the Commission order four (4) rate districts as follows:			
14 15	•	Sewer District A – Deer Run, Missing Well, Prairie Heights, DeGuire, Glen Meadows, Freeman Hills, and Cedar Green;			
16	•	Sewer District B – Branson Cedars, Clemstone, and Hillcrest;			
17	•	Sewer District C – Port Perry, Osage Utilities, and Terre Du Lac; and,			
18	•	Sewer District D – Raccoon Creek, Confluence Rivers, and Elm Hills.			
19	Q.	Please explain why having multiple districts is more beneficial than single-tariff			
20	rates.				
21	А.	As previously discussed with the water districts, the primary benefit to having			
22	multiple distri	cts in this proceeding is to spread out the costs of investment without causing			
23	certain custom	ners who did not receive the same level of investment to experience substantial			
24	rate increases.	It is beneficial to consolidate the sewer systems into districts in order to spread			
	и				

1	costs amongst a larger customer base. Confluence has made significant investment in some of
2	the sewer systems, but eventually other systems will need a large capital investment.
3	By consolidating the sewer systems into districts, it will help smaller systems to mitigate rate
4	shock. For example, Freeman Hills currently has a customer charge of \$16.67 per month, but
5	the customer charge would increase to \$213.90 per month if Freeman Hills continued as a
6	stand-alone system and was not consolidated. By consolidating Freeman Hills with other
7	sewer systems, the customer charge will only increase to \$60.64 per month, helping to mitigate
8	rate shock.
9	MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES
10	Q. What are Miscellaneous Service Charges?
11	A. Miscellaneous Service Charges are designed to recover the actual costs to the
12	company associated with performing the services listed, such as disconnecting or reconnecting
13	water service, that are caused by a particular customer.
14	Q. Why does Staff review the Miscellaneous Service Charges during each
15	rate case?
16	A. Miscellaneous Service Charges are intended to ensure that the cost-causer for
17	these infrequent, but recurring services bears the Company's actual cost of performing the
18	specific service. If adequate compensation is not obtained, then other ratepayers bear the
19	remaining costs through higher rates. If excessive compensation is obtained, it could contribute
20	to over earning by a company.
21	Q. Is Staff proposing any changes to Confluence's Miscellaneous Service Charges
22	in the effective tariffs?

1	А.	Yes. Staff proposes the Commission order the same miscellaneous service
2	charges be ut	tilized across all water systems and the same miscellaneous service charges be
3	utilized acros	s all sewer systems. Staff proposes these charges be based on the costs to perform
4	the services, a	as described in Confluence's response to Staff Data Request No. 0250. Please see
5	Attachment 8	, attached to this testimony for Staff's proposed charges.
6	Q.	Why does Staff propose the same charges for all systems, rather than different
7	charges amor	ng the districts?
8	А.	Confluence did not report differences in the cost to perform these services at
9	different syste	ems. Generally, Confluence owns many systems in rural areas, scattered across a
10	large geograp	hical area. Staff finds it reasonable that mobilization costs, travel time, and labor
11	for these activ	vities would not vary significantly among the districts.
12	SUMMARY	
13	Q.	Can you please summarize Staff's position?
13 14	Q. A.	Can you please summarize Staff's position? It is Staff's position that the rate design and cost of service calculations discussed
14	A.	
14	A. previously in	It is Staff's position that the rate design and cost of service calculations discussed
14 15 16	A. previously in the individual	It is Staff's position that the rate design and cost of service calculations discussed this testimony correctly allocates the cost of providing water and sewer service to
14 15 16	A. previously in the individual calculated for	It is Staff's position that the rate design and cost of service calculations discussed this testimony correctly allocates the cost of providing water and sewer service to customers served within each specific district. It is Staff's position that the rates
14 15 16 17	A. previously in the individual calculated for	It is Staff's position that the rate design and cost of service calculations discussed this testimony correctly allocates the cost of providing water and sewer service to customers served within each specific district. It is Staff's position that the rates r water and sewer service provide a reasonable opportunity for Confluence to
14 15 16 17 18	A. previously in the individual calculated for recover the re	It is Staff's position that the rate design and cost of service calculations discussed this testimony correctly allocates the cost of providing water and sewer service to customers served within each specific district. It is Staff's position that the rates r water and sewer service provide a reasonable opportunity for Confluence to evenue requirement, while mitigating rate shock to the extent possible.
14 15 16 17 18 19	A. previously in the individual calculated for recover the re Q.	It is Staff's position that the rate design and cost of service calculations discussed this testimony correctly allocates the cost of providing water and sewer service to l customers served within each specific district. It is Staff's position that the rates r water and sewer service provide a reasonable opportunity for Confluence to evenue requirement, while mitigating rate shock to the extent possible. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

In the Matter of Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc.'s Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water Service and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas

Case No. WR-2023-0006

AFFIDAVIT OF KERI ROTH

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW KERI ROTH and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Keri Roth*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

KERI

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ______ day of June 2023.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

ullankin)

Notary Public

Keri Roth

Educational, Employment Background and Credentials

I am currently a Senior Research/Data Analyst in the Water, Sewer and Steam Department, Industry Analysis Division for the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission). I joined the Commission in August 2021. Prior to joining the Commission, I was employed by the State of Missouri – Office of the Public Counsel from September 2012 to August 2021 as a Senior Utility Regulatory Auditor.

I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Lincoln University in Jefferson City, MO in May 2011. In earning this degree I completed numerous core Accounting and business classes.

Utility	Case Number	Testimony Issues	
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2012-0345	Rate Case Expense, 2007 Ice Storms Cost	
Emerald Pointe Utility CompanySR-2013-0016Over-Charge Refund, Refund of Late Fees and Reconnection Fees, Customer Deposit Refunds		Rate Case Expense, Sewer Commodity Charge Over-Charge Refund, Refund of Late Fees and Reconnection Fees, Customer Deposit Refunds, Legal Fee Expense, Hollister Sewage Treatment Expense, Interest Related to Refunds	
Lake Region Water & Sewer CompanyExpense, Rate Case Expense, Miscellaneous Expense – Service Charges and Charitable Contributions, Legal Fees, PWSD #4 Labor		Contributions, Legal Fees, PWSD #4 Labor Expense, Equipment Rental, Office Supplies, Travel and Entertainment, Transportation Expense,	
Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.	GR-2014-0086	Bargain Purchase Discount, Depreciation Reserve	
Hickory Hills Water & WR-2014-0167 Sewer Company, Inc. SR-2014-0166		Receiver Fees, Receiver Fee Amortization Expense, System Maintenance and Repairs, Meter Reading Expense, Amortization Expense, Depreciation Expense and Reserve, CIAC Amortization and Expense, Return on Equity	

Summary of Case Testimony Filed

Utility	Case Number	Testimony Issues
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2014-0351	Vegetation Management, Infrastructure Inspection Annualized Expense and Trackers, Advanced Coal Project Investment Tax Credit (ITC) Over- Collection, Iatan 2, Iatan Common, and Plum Point Operations and Maintenance Expense and Trackers, Corporate Franchise Tax, Riverton Unit 7 Depreciation Expense, Rate Case Expense, Prepayments, Injuries and Damages, Riverton 12 Operations and Maintenance Expense Tracker Request
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2015-0178	Telemetric Equipment Replacement Costs, "Budget" Infrastructure Costs
Missouri Gas Energy	GO-2015-0179	"Budget" Infrastructure Costs
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2015-0301	Atrazine Settlement Refund, Insurance Other Than Group, Equipment Lease Expense, Payroll and Benefits, Advertising Expense, PSC Assessment, Postage Expense, Tank Painting Tracker and Expense, Emerald Pointe Pipeline Amortization, Investment Tax Credit (ITC), Materials and Supplies, Prepayments
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2016-0023	Vegetation Management Expense and Trackers, Advanced Coal Tax Credit (ITC) Over-Collection, Iatan 2, Iatan Common, and Plum Point Operations and Maintenance Expense and Trackers (Generation Plant O&M Trackers), May 2011 Tornado Deferrals, Iatan 1, Iatan 2, and Plum Point Carrying Costs, Southwestern Power Administration Hydro Reimbursement, Bad Debt Expense, Riverton 12 Long-Term Maintenance Contract Tracker, Trackers in Rate Base
Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WR-2016-0064	Employee Wages, Auditing and Income Tax Preparation Fees, Property Taxes
Raccoon Creek Utility Operating Company, Inc.	SR-2016-0202	Corporate Allocation Factor, Employee Wages, Auditing and Income Tax Preparation Fees, Property Taxes, Self-Dealing, Capital Structure, Return on Equity, Cost of Debt, Account 301 – Organization Costs, Rate Case Expense
Moore Bend Water Utility, LLC	WC-2016-0252	Safe and Adequate Service
Terre Du Lac Utilities Corporation	WR-2017-0110	Revenue Requirement

Utility	Case Number	Testimony Issues
Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WR-2017-0259	Employee Salaries and Benefits, Pre-Acquisition Engineering Fees, AFUDC, Management Consultant Fees, Auditing Expense, Tax Preparation Expense, Bank Fees, Rate Base, Revenue Requirement, Partial Disposition Agreement, Leak Repairs, Rate Case Expense, Corporate Allocation Factor
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2017-0285	Hickory Hills Amortization, Woodland Manor Amortization, Arnold Pipeline Amortization, Lobbying Expense, Charitable Contributions, City of Hollister Pipeline Amortization, Main Break Expense, Maintenance Expense, Promotional Giveaways, Payroll, Lead Service Lines – Accounting Treatment, Main Break Expense
Gascony Water Company	WR-2017-0343	Mileage Expense, Rate Case Expense
Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. D/B/A Liberty Utilities	GR-2018-0013	Payroll, Incentive Compensation, Pensions and OPEBs Expense, Revenues
Kansas City Power & Light Company	ER-2018-0145	Opinion Information – Lobbying Expense
KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	ER-2018-0146	Opinion Information – Lobbying Expense
Spire Missouri, Inc.	GU-2019-0011	Annual PSC/OPC Assessment AAO Request
Osage Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WA-2019-0185	Publicity and Customer Notice, Debit Acquisition Adjustment, Additional Standing Offers to Acquire OWC, Termination of Reflections Sale Agreement, Public Interest
Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WA-2019-0299	Public Interest
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2020-0344	Vacant and Temporary Payroll Positions, COVID- 19 AAO Cost Recovery
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2022-0303	Class Cost of Service, Rate Design

Water Rate Making Income Statement

Operating Revenues at Current Rates			
Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	229,546	
Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-	
Total Operating Revenues	\$	229,546	

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

Cost of Service				C	Customer	C	commodity
Item		Amount			Charge		Charge
Total Source of Supply Expenses	\$	1,456	1	\$	1,456	\$	-
Total Pumping Expenses	\$	35,727	1	\$	35,727	\$	-
Total Water Treatment Expenses	\$	192,836	0.95	\$	183,194	\$	9,642
Total Transmission & Distribution Expenses	\$	6,005	1	\$	6,005	\$	-
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$	16,990	1	\$	16,990	\$	-
Total Administration & General Expenses	\$	62,007	1	\$	62,007	\$	-
Total Other Operating Expenses	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	315,021	-	\$	305,379	\$	9,642
Property Taxes	\$	489	1	\$	489	\$	-
Payroll Taxes (FICA)	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Payroll Taxes (Unemployment)	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Income Tax	\$	1,691	1	\$	1,691	\$	-
Sub-Total Taxes	\$	2,180		\$	2,180	\$	-
Depreciation Expense	\$	50,000	1	\$	50,000	\$	-
Interest Expense	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	50,000	-	\$	50,000	\$	-
Return on Equity	\$	68,733	1	\$	68,733	\$	-
Total Cost of Service	\$	435,934	•	\$	426,292	\$	9,642
Cost to Recover in Rates	\$	435,934	-	\$	426,292	\$	9,642
Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	206,388					

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 6

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates - Water

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

Retail Metered Customers						
	No. of	Bills Per	Total			Annual
Meter Size	Customers	Year	Bills	Rate *		Revenue
Flat Branson Cedars	58	12	696	\$ 61.99	\$	43,145
Flat Cedar Green	54	12	648	\$ 26.79	\$	17,360
3/4" Fawn Lake	29	12	348	\$ 50.00	\$	17,400
Flat Glen Meadows	233	12	2796	\$ 27.50	\$	76,890
Flat Missing Well	73	12	876	\$ 20.00	\$	17,520
Flat Prairie Heights	56	12	672	\$ 20.00	\$	13,440
Flat Spring Branch	115	12	1380	\$ 30.99	\$	42,766
Total	618		7416		\$	228,521

* Monthly Customer Charge

Annualized Commodity Sales - Volumes & Revenues							
					Α	nnual	
		Total Sales	l	Rate	Re	evenue	
		341.765	\$	3.00	\$	1,025	
		0	\$	-	\$	-	
	Total	341.765			\$	1,025	
Other Operating Revenues							
Late Fees	\$	-					
Disconnect/Reconnect	\$	-					
Total Other Revenues	Ş	-					
Total Op	erating Rev	enues					
Customer Charge Revenues	\$	228,521					
Commodity Charge Revenues	\$	1,025					
Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues	ç	229,546					
Other Operating Revenues	ç	-					
Total Operating Revenues	Ş	229,546					

Development of Tariffed Rates - Water

Current Revenue\$ 229,546Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase\$ 206,388Percentage Increase Needed90%

. .

Metered Customer Rates								
	C	urrent	Pro	oposed	Cı	ırrent	Pro	posed
Meter	Cu	stomer	Cu	stomer	U	sage	U	sage
Size	C	harge	C	harge		Rate	F	Rate
Flat Branson Cedars	\$	61.99	\$	58.78	\$	-	\$	-
Flat Cedar Green	\$	26.79	\$	58.78	\$	-	\$	-
3/4" Fawn Lake	\$	50.00	\$	31.10	\$	3.00	\$	5.54
Flat Glen Meadows	\$	27.50	\$	58.78	\$	-	\$	-
Flat Missing Well	\$	20.00	\$	58.78	\$	-	\$	-
Flat Prairie Heights	\$	20.00	\$	58.78	\$	-	\$	-
Flat Spring Branch	\$	30.99	\$	58.78	\$	-	\$	-

				Pr	oposed
Customer	Number of		Customer	Cu	ustomer
Charge	Customers	Factor	Equivalents	(Charge
5/8"	0	1	0	\$	31.10
3/4"	29	1	29	\$	31.10
1"	0	1.75	0	\$	54.43
1 1/2"	0	3	0	\$	93.30
2"	0	4	0	\$	124.41
3"	0	10	0	\$	311.01
4"	0	15	0	\$	466.52
Flat Rate - Unmetered	589	1.89	1113.21	\$	58.78
			1142.21		
Customer Charge Calculation:		\$ 426,292	\$ 31.10		
Commodity	Gallons**	Bronocod			

 Commodity	Gallons**	Pro	posed
\$ 9,642	1740000	\$	5.54

**Due to reliability concerns with Confluence's customer sales data, Staff assumes 5,000 gallons per customer per month for development of the commodity rate

o. of comers 0 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 589 29	Bills Per Year 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	d Customer C Total Bills 0 348 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7068 7416 es - Volumes 8	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Rate * 31.10 31.10 54.43 93.30 124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78		Annual evenue - 10,823 - - - - - 415,469 426,292
comers 0 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 589 29 29	Year 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Bills 0 348 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31.10 31.10 54.43 93.30 124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78	R \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	evenue - 10,823 - - - - - 415,469
comers 0 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 589 29 29	Year 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Bills 0 348 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31.10 31.10 54.43 93.30 124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78	R \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	evenue - 10,823 - - - - - 415,469
0 29 0 0 0 0 0 589 29	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	0 348 0 0 0 0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31.10 31.10 54.43 93.30 124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 10,823 - - - - - 415,469
0 0 0 0 589 29	12 12 12 12 12 12 12	0 0 0 0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31.10 54.43 93.30 124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - 415,469
0 0 0 0 589 29	12 12 12 12 12 12 12	0 0 0 0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	54.43 93.30 124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - 415,469
0 0 589 29	12 12 12 12 12 12	0 0 0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$ \$	93.30 124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78	\$ \$ \$ \$	
0 0 589 29	12 12 12	0 0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$	124.41 311.01 466.52 58.78	\$ \$ \$	
0 589 29	12 12	0 7068 7416	\$ \$ \$	466.52 58.78	\$ \$ \$	
589 29	12	7068 7416	\$ \$	466.52 58.78	\$ \$	
29	12	7068 7416	\$	58.78	\$	
	modity Sale					
ed Com	modity Sale	es - Volumes &	& Rev			
ed Com	modity Sale	es - Volumes &	& Rev	onuos		
	•			CIIUES		
						Annual
		Total Sales		Rate	R	levenue
		1740	\$	5.54	\$	9,642
		0			\$	-
	Total	1740			\$	9,642
Oth	er Operatin	g Revenues				
		\$-				
Tota	al Operatin	g Revenues				
				-		
			\$			
ies			\$	435,934		
			\$	-		
ites			\$	435,934		
oosed Rates	vs. Current Rates					
		\$ 435,934				
		\$ 229,546				
		\$ 206,388				
ues		\$ 206,388				
))	Tot es tes	Other Operatin Total Operatin	1740 0 Total 1740 0 1740 Other Operating Revenues \$ - \$ - Total Operating Revenues tes \$ - hosed Rates vs. Current Rates \$ 435,934 \$ 229,546 \$ 206,388	1740 \$ 0 0 Total Other Operating Revenues \$ - Total Operating Revenues \$ tes \$ tes \$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc. Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates - Water

Residential Customer Bill Comparison - Water

Proposed Base

Customer Charge

\$

58.78

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

* Assume 5,000 usage					
Branson Cedars Cu	irrent F	Rates	Cedar Green Curr	<u>en</u> t Rat	es
Customer Charge	\$	61.99	Customer Charge	\$	26.79
Usage Charge	\$	-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	61.99	Total Bill	\$	26.79
Branson Cedars Pr	oposed	l Rates	Cedar Green Prop	osed R	ates
Customer Charge	\$	31.10	Customer Charge	\$	58.78
Usage Charge	\$	-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	31.10	Total Bill	\$	58.78
Fawn Lake Current	t Rates		Glen MeadowsCu	rrent R	ates
Customer Charge	\$	50.00	Customer Charge	\$	27.50
Usage Charge	\$	3.00	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	53.00	Total Bill	\$	27.50
Fawn Lake Propos	ed Rate	es	Glen Meadows Pr	oposed	l Rates
Customer Charge	\$	31.10	Customer Charge	\$	58.78
Usage Charge	\$	5.54	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	36.64	Total Bill	\$	58.78
Missing Well Curre	ent Rat	es	Prairie Heights Cu	rrent R	ates
Customer Charge	\$	20.00	Customer Charge	\$	20.00
Usage Charge	\$	-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	20.00	Total Bill	\$	20.00
Missing Well Prop	osed Ra	ates	Prairie Heights Pro	oposed	Rates
Customer Charge	\$	58.78	Customer Charge	\$	58.78
Usage Charge	\$	-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	58.78	Total Bill	\$	58.78
Spring Branch Curi	rent Ra	tes			
Customer Charge	\$	30.99			
Usage Charge	\$	-			
Total Bill	\$	30.99			

Spring Branch Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 58.78
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 58.78

Customer Bill Increases/Decreases

Branson Cedars Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ (30.89)
% Increase	-49.83%

Branson Cedars Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Fawn Lake Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ (18.90)
% Increase	-37.80%

Fawn Lake Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ 2.54
% Increase	84.71%

Missing Well Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ 38.78
% Increase	193.91%

Missing Well Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Spring Branch Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ 27.79
% Increase	89.68%

Spring Branch Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Cedar Green Customer Charge\$ Increase\$ 31.99% Increase119.42%

Cedar Green Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Glen Meadows Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ 31.28
% Increase	113.75%

Glen Meadows Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Prairie Heights Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ 38.78
% Increase	193.91%

Prairie Heights Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Water Rate Making Income Statement

Operating Revenues at Current Rates			
Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	375,976	
Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-	
Total Operating Revenues	\$	375,976	

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

Cost of Service	9			С	ustomer	Co	ommodity
Item		Amount			Charge		Charge
Total Source of Supply Expenses	\$	830	0	\$	-	\$	830
Total Pumping Expenses	\$	18,521	0	\$	-	\$	18,521
Total Water Treatment Expenses	\$	61,992	0	\$	-	\$	61,992
Total Transmission & Distribution Expenses	\$	26,041	0	\$	-	\$	26,041
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$	26,240	0	\$	-	\$	26,240
Total Administration & General Expenses	\$	93,058	1	\$	93,058	\$	-
Total Other Operating Expenses	\$	559	1	\$	559	\$	-
Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	227,241	-	\$	93,617	\$	133,624
Property Taxes	\$	111	1	\$	111	\$	-
Payroll Taxes (FICA)	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Payroll Taxes (Unemployment)	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Income Tax	\$	819	1	\$	819	\$	-
Sub-Total Taxes	\$	930	-	\$	930	\$	-
Depreciation Expense	\$	52,230	1	\$	52,230	\$	-
Interest Expense	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	52,230	-	\$	52,230	\$	-
Return on Equity	\$	92,362	1	\$	92,362	\$	-
Total Cost of Service	\$	372,763	=	\$	239,139	\$	133,624
Cost to Recover in Rates	\$	372,763	-	\$	239,139	\$	133,624
Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	(3,213)					

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 2 Page 1 of 6

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates - Water

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

Retail Metered Customers							
	No. of	Bills Per	Total				Annual
Meter Size	Customers	Year	Bills	R	ate *	F	Revenue
Flat Elm Hills	142	12	1704	\$	60.15	\$	102,496
3/4" Hillcrest Res	220	12	2640	\$	40.48	\$	106,867
3/4" Hillcrest Apt	11	12	132	\$	32.38	\$	4,274
1" Hillcrest	10	12	120	\$	60.72	\$	7,286
5/8" Port Perry	383	12	4596	\$	13.23	\$	60,805
3/4" Port Perry	69	12	828	\$	16.26	\$	13,463
1" Port Perry	1	12	12	\$	22.33	\$	268
2" Port Perry	1	12	12	\$	55.69	\$	668
Total	837		10044			\$	296,128

* Monthly Customer Charge

Other Operating Revenues

Total Operating Revenues

Annualized Commodity Sales - Volumes & Revenues							
							Annual
		Тс	otal Sales	1	Rate	R	evenue
	3/4" Hillcrest Res		8819.14	\$	7.35	\$	64,821
	3/4" Hillcrest Apt		187.253	\$	7.35	\$	1,376
	1" Hillcrest		349.79	\$	7.35	\$	2,571
	5/8" Port Perry		2668.361	\$	3.58	\$	9,553
	3/4" Port Perry		424.074	\$	3.58	\$	1,518
	1" Port Perry		2.045	\$	3.58	\$	7
	2" Port Perry		0.4	\$	3.58	\$	1
	Total		12451.063			\$	79,848
Ot	her Operating Re	eve	nues				
Late Fees		\$	-				
Disconnect/Reconnect		\$	-				
Total Other Revenues		\$	-				
					_		
Тс	otal Operating Re	ver	nues				
Customer Charge Revenues		\$	296,128				
Commodity Charge Revenues		\$	79,848				
Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues		\$	375,976				

\$

\$

-

375,976

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 2 Page 2 of 6

Development of Tariffed Rates - Water

Current Revenue	\$ 375,976
Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase	\$ (3,213)
Percentage Increase Needed	-1%

Metered Customer Rates								
	C	urrent	Pro	oposed	Cı	irrent	Pro	posed
Meter	Cu	stomer	Cu	stomer	U	sage	U	sage
Size	C	harge	C	harge		Rate	F	Rate
Flat Elm Hills	\$	60.15	\$	34.48	\$	-	\$	-
3/4" Hillcrest Res	\$	40.48	\$	21.28	\$	7.35	\$	2.66
3/4" Hillcrest Apt	\$	32.38	\$	21.28	\$	7.35	\$	2.66
1" Hillcrest	\$	60.72	\$	37.25	\$	7.35	\$	2.66
5/8" Port Perry	\$	13.23	\$	21.28	\$	3.58	\$	2.66
3/4" Port Perry	\$	16.26	\$	21.28	\$	3.58	\$	2.66
1" Port Perry	\$	22.33	\$	37.25	\$	3.58	\$	2.66
2" Port Perry	\$	55.69	\$	85.14	\$	3.58	\$	2.66

Customer	Number of		Cu	istomer		oposed Istomer
Charge	Customers	Factor	Eqι	uivalents	C	harge
5/8"	383	1		383	\$	21.28
3/4"	300	1		300	\$	21.28
1"	11	1.75		19.25	\$	37.25
1 1/2"	0	3		0	\$	63.85
2"	1	4		4	\$	85.14
3"	0	10		0	\$	212.84
4"	0	15		0	\$	319.26
Flat Rate - Unmetered	142	1.62	Ĩ	230.04	\$	34.48
	837		(936.29		
Customer Charge Calculation:		\$ 239,139	\$	21.28		

Commodity		Gallons**	Proposed		
\$	133,624	50220000	\$	2.66	

**Due to reliability concerns with Confluence's customer sales data, Staff assumes 5,000 gallons per customer per month for development of the commodity rate

Annuali	zed Customer	Counts and	l Customer Ch	arge	Revenues		
Meter	No. of	Bills Per	Total				Annual
Size	Customers	Year	Bills		Rate *		levenue
5/8"	383	12	4596	\$	21.28	\$	97,823
3/4"	300	12	3600	\$	21.28	\$, 76,623
1"	11	12	132	\$	37.25	\$	4,917
1 1/2"	0	12	0	\$	63.85	\$	-
2"	1	12	12	\$	85.14	\$	1,022
3"	0	12	0	\$	212.84	\$	-
4"	0	12	0	\$	319.26	\$	-
Flat Rate - Unmetered	142	12	1704	\$	34.48	\$	58,755
Total	837		10044			\$	239,139
* Monthly Customer Charge							
Anr	nualized Comr	nodity Sale	s - Volumes &	Reve	enues		
							Annual
			Total Sales		Rate	F	Revenue
			50220	\$	2.66	\$	133,624
			0			\$	-
		Total	50220			\$	133,624
	Othe	er Operatin	g Revenues				
Total Other Revenues			\$-				
	Tota	al Operating	g Revenues				
Customer Charge Revenues				\$	239,139		
Commodity Charge Revenue	ic .			ې خ	133,624		
Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Re				\$	372,763		
Other Operating Revenue				\$	572,705		
Total Revenues at Propos				<u>ې</u> د	372,763		
Total Revenues at Propos	eu hales			<u> </u>	372,703		
Revenue Che	eck - Proposed Rates v	s. Current Rates					
Total Revenues at Proposed Rates	,		\$ 372,763				
Total Revenues at Current Tariffed	Rates		\$ 375,976				
Increase in Revenues at Proposed F							
Agreed-Upon Increase in Operating			\$ (3,213) \$ (3,213)				
	,		+ (0)==0)				

Residential Customer Bill Comparison - Water

Proposed E	Base
------------	------

Customer Charge

\$

34.48

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

* Assume 5,000 gallon usage

Elm Hills Current Ra	tes		Hillcrest 3/4" Current Rates	
Customer Charge	\$	60.15	Customer Charge \$	40.48
Usage Charge	\$	-	Usage Charge _\$	36.75
Total Bill	\$	60.15	Total Bill \$	77.23
Elm Hills Proposed R	ate	s	Hillcrest 3/4" Proposed Rates	
Customer Charge	\$	34.48	Customer Charge \$	21.28
Usage Charge	\$	-	Usage Charge\$	13.30
Total Bill	\$	34.48	Total Bill \$	34.59
Port Perry 5/8" Curr	ent	Rates	Port Perry 3/4" Current Rates	
Customer Charge	\$	13.23	Customer Charge \$	16.26
Usage Charge	\$	17.90	Usage Charge \$	17.90
Total Bill	\$	31.13	Total Bill \$	34.16
Port Perry 5/8" Prop	ose	ed Rates	Port Perry 3/4" Proposed Rates	
Customer Charge	\$	21.28	Customer Charge \$	21.28
Usage Charge	\$	13.30	Usage Charge \$	13.30
Total Bill	\$	34.59	Total Bill \$	34.59

Customer Bill Increases/Decreases

Elm Hills Custo	mer Charge	Hillcrest 3/4" Custo	omer Charge
\$ Increase	\$ (25.67)	\$ Increase	\$ (19.20)
% Increase	-42.68%	% Increase	-24.86%
Elm Hills Usage	Charge	Hillcrest 3/4" Usag	e Charge
\$ Increase	\$ -	\$ Increase	\$ (23.45)
% Increase	N/A	% Increase	-63.80%
Port Perry 5/8"	Customer Charge	Port Perry 3/4" Cus	stomer Charge
\$ Increase	\$ 8.05	\$ Increase	\$ 5.02
% Increase	60.88%	% Increase	30.90%

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 2 Page 5 of 6

Port Perry 5/8" Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ (4.60)
% Increase	-25.68%

Port Perry 3/4" Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ (4.60)
% Increase	-25.68%

Water Rate Making Income Statement

Operating Revenues at Current Rates				
Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	1,672,267		
Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-		
Total Operating Revenues	\$	1,672,267		

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

Cost of Service				Customer	С	ommodity	
Item		Amount		Charge		Charge	
Total Source of Supply Expenses	\$	54,854	0.75	\$ 41,141	\$	13,714	
Total Pumping Expenses	\$	81,175	0.75	\$ 60,881	\$	20,294	
Total Water Treatment Expenses	\$	540,315	0.85	\$ 459,268	\$	81,047	
Total Transmission & Distribution Expenses	\$	191,438	0.85	\$ 162,722	\$	28,716	
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$	113,078	0.75	\$ 84,809	\$	28,270	
Total Administration & General Expenses	\$	933,006	1	\$ 933,006	\$	-	
Total Other Operating Expenses	\$	2,652	1	\$ 2,652	\$	-	
Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	1,916,518		\$ 1,744,478	\$	172,040	
Property Taxes	\$	12,793	1	\$ 12,793	\$	-	
Payroll Taxes (FICA)	\$	-		\$-	\$	-	
Payroll Taxes (Unemployment)	\$	-		\$-	\$	-	
Income Tax	\$	8,717	1	\$ 8,717	\$	-	
Sub-Total Taxes	\$	21,510	_	\$ 21,510	\$	-	
Depreciation Expense	\$	243,078	1	\$ 243,078	\$	-	
Interest Expense	\$	-		\$-	\$	-	
Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	243,078	_	\$ 243,078	\$	-	
Return on Equity	\$	471,090	1	\$ 471,090	\$	-	
Total Cost of Service	\$	2,652,196	_	\$ 471,090	\$	-	
Cost to Recover in Rates	\$	2,652,196	_	\$ 2,480,156	\$	172,040	
Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	979,929					

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 3 Page 1 of 9

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates - Water

Retail Metered Customers						
	No. of	Bills Per	Total			Annual
Meter Size	Customers	Year	Bills	Rate	*	Revenue
3/4" Indian Hills Mtrd	617	12	7404	\$ 50.	90 \$	376,864
Flat Cedar Glen	37	12	444	\$ 24.	76 \$	10,993
3/4" Cedar Glen Mtrd	182	12	2184	\$ 24.	76 \$	54,076
3/4" Chelsea Rose Mtrd	34	12	408	\$ 24.	76 \$	10,102
Flat Cimmaron Bay	75	12	900	\$ 24.	76 \$	22,284
3/4" Cimmaron Bay Mtrd	19	12	228	\$ 24.	76 \$	5,645
3/4" Eaglewoods Mtrd	35	12	420	\$ 24.	76 \$	10,399
3/4" TDL Res Mtrd	1326	12	15912	\$ 14.	85 \$	236,293
1" TDL Res Mtrd	5	12	60	\$ 37.	14 \$	2,228
2" TDL Res Mtrd	1	12	12	\$ 118.	85 \$	1,426
Flat Auburn Lake	61	12	732	\$ 69.	63 \$	50,969
Flat Calvey Brooks	16	12	192	\$ 69.	63 \$	13,369
3/4" Eugene Mtrd	50	12	600	\$ 46.	42 \$	27,852
3/4" Evergreen Lakes Mtrd	72	12	864	\$ 46.	42 \$	40,107
3/4" Gladlo Mtrd	71	12	852	\$ 46.	42 \$	39 <i>,</i> 550
Flat Majestic Lakes	109	12	1308	\$ 69.	63 \$	91,076
3/4" Majestic Lakes Mtrd	153	12	1836	\$ 46.	42 \$	85,227
Flat Roy-L Full-Time	11	12	132	\$ 69.	63 \$	9,191
Flat Roy-L Part-Time	15	12	180	\$ 50.	64 \$	9,115
3/4" Roy-L Mtrd	35	12	420	\$ 46.	42 \$	19,496
Flat Smithview	151	12	1812	\$ 71.	25 \$	129,105
Flat Willows	160	12	1920	\$ 69.	63 \$	133,690
Total	3235		38820		\$	1,379,059

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

* Monthly Customer Charge

^ Non-Metered

Annualized Commodity Sales - Volumes & Revenues

				Annual
	Total Sales	Rate	F	Revenue
	16042.091	\$ 7.01	\$	112,455
3/4" Indian Hills Mtrd	160.42	\$ 7.70	\$	1,235
3/4" Cedar Glen Mtrd	2046.044	\$ 5.86	\$	11,990
3/4" Chelsea Rose Mtrd	1006.638	\$ 5.86	\$	5,899
3/4" Cimmaron Bay Mtrd	359.841	\$ 5.86	\$	2,109
3/4" Eaglewoods Mtrd	1212.995	\$ 5.86	\$	7,108
3/4" TDL Res Mtrd	80191.176	\$ 1.80	\$	144,344
1" TDL Res Mtrd	446.31	\$ 1.80	\$	803
2" TDL Res Mtrd	4036.38	\$ 1.80	\$	7,265

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 3 Page 2 of 9

_

Total Operating Revenues \$ 1,379,059 **Customer Charge Revenues Commodity Charge Revenues** \$ 293,209 \$ 1,672,267 **Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues**

Other Operating Revenues \$ **Total Operating Revenues** \$ 1,672,267

Late Fees

Total Other Revenues

Development of Tariffed Rates - Water

Current Revenue

Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase

Percentage Increase Needed

\$ 1,672,267 \$ 979,929 59%

Metered Customer Rates								
	C	Current	Proposed Current		urrent	Pro	posed	
Meter	Cι	istomer	Cı	ustomer Usage		Usage		sage
Size	(Charge	(Charge		Rate	1	Rate
3/4" Indian Hills Mtrd	\$	50.90	\$	56.36	\$	7.70	\$	7.53
Flat Cedar Glen	\$	24.76	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
3/4" Cedar Glen Mtrd	\$	24.76	\$	56.36	\$	5.86	\$	7.53
3/4" Chelsea Rose Mtrd	\$	24.76	\$	56.36	\$	5.86	\$	7.53
Flat Cimmaron Bay	\$	24.76	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
3/4" Cimmaron Bay Mtrd	\$	24.76	\$	56.36	\$	5.86	\$	7.53
3/4" Eaglewoods Mtrd	\$	24.76	\$	56.36	\$	5.86	\$	7.53
3/4" TDL Res Mtrd	\$	14.85	\$	56.36	\$	1.80	\$	7.53
1" TDL Res Mtrd	\$	37.14	\$	98.63	\$	1.80	\$	7.53
2" TDL Res Mtrd	\$	118.85	\$	225.44	\$	1.80	\$	7.53
Flat Auburn Lake	\$	69.63	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
Flat Calvey Brooks	\$	69.63	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
3/4" Eugene Mtrd	\$	46.42	\$	56.36	\$	7.01	\$	7.53
3/4" Evergreen Lakes Mtrd	\$	46.42	\$	56.36	\$	7.01	\$	7.53
3/4" Gladlo Mtrd	\$	46.42	\$	56.36	\$	7.01	\$	7.53
Flat Majestic Lakes	\$	69.63	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
3/4" Majestic Lakes Mtrd	\$	46.42	\$	56.36	\$	7.01	\$	7.53
Flat Roy-L Full-Time	\$	69.63	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
Flat Roy-L Part Time	\$	50.64	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
3/4" Roy-L Mtrd	\$	46.42	\$	56.36	\$	7.01	\$	7.53
Flat Smithview	\$	71.25	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-
Flat Willows	\$	69.63	\$	94.12	\$	-	\$	-

					oposed
Customer	Number of		Customer	Cι	istomer
Charge	Customers	Factor	Equivalents	C	Charge
5/8"	0	1	0	\$	56.36
3/4"	2594	1	2594	\$	56.36
1"	5	1.75	8.75	\$	98.63
1 1/2"	0	3	0	\$	169.08
2"	1	4	4	\$	225.44
3"	0	10	0	\$	563.59
4"	0	15	0	\$	845.38
Flat Rate - Unmetered	635	1.67	1060.45	\$	94.12

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 3 Page 4 of 9

Commodity	Gallons	1	Proposed			
Customer Charge Calculation:		\$	2,480,156	\$	56.36	
	3235			3	667.2	

22860000

\$

172,040

**Due to reliability concerns with Confluence's customer sales data, Staff assumes 5,000 gallons per customer per month for development of the commodity rate

\$

7.53

Re	evenue Annua	_	Proposed Rat	es - V	Vater		
Annual	Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues						
Meter Size	No. of Customers	Bills Per Year	Total Bills		Rate *		Annual Revenue
5/8"	0	12	0	\$	56.36	\$	-
3/4"	2594	12	31128	\$	56.36	\$	1,754,343
1"	5	12	60	\$	98.63	\$	5,918
1 1/2"	0	12	0	\$	169.08	\$	-
2"	1	12	12	\$	225.44	\$	2,705
3"	0	12	0	\$	563.59	\$	-
4"	0	12	0	\$	845.38	\$	-
Flat Rate - Unmetered	635	12	7620	\$	94.12	\$	717,191
Total	3235		38820			\$	2,480,156
٨٣	nualized Com	modity Sal	os - Volumos 8	P. Dov	001105		
		intourty Sale		x nev	enues		Annual
			Total Sales		Rate		Revenue
			22860000	\$	7.53	\$	172,040
			0	Ŧ	1.00	\$	_,_,_,_
		Total	22860000			\$	172,040
	Oth	er Oneratir	ng Revenues				
	01		ig nevenues				
Total Other Revenues			\$-				
	Tel						
	10	tai Operatin	g Revenues				
Customer Charge Revenues	5			\$	2,480,156		
Commodity Charge Revenu	es			\$1	.72,039.70		
Sub-Total Tariffed Rate F	Revenues			\$ 2	2,652,196		
Other Operating Revenu	es			\$	-		
Total Revenues at Propo					2,652,196		
Douonus Ch	ock Droposod Datas	In Current Datas					
Total Revenues at Proposed Rates	eck - Proposed Rates v	73. CUITEIIL Kales	\$ 2,652,196				
Total Revenues at Current Tariffe			\$ 2,032,190 \$ 1,672,267				
Increase in Revenues at Proposed			\$ 979,929				
			\$ 979,929				
Agreed-Upon Increase in Operatir	ig revenues		2 3/3,323				

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 3 Page 6 of 9

Residential Customer Bill Comparison - Water

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Indian Hills Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 50.90
Usage Charge	\$ 38.50
Total Bill	\$ 89.40

Indian Hills Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Cedar Glen Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 24.76
Usage Charge	\$ 29.30
Total Bill	\$ 54.06

Cedar Glen Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Cimmarron Bay Flat Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 24.76
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 24.76

Cimmarron Bay Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Eaglewoods Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 24.76
Usage Charge	\$ 29.30
Total Bill	\$ 54.06

Eaglewoods Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Cedar Glen Flat Current RatesCustomer Charge\$ 24.76Usage Charge\$

Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 24.76

Cedar Glen Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Chelsea Rose Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 24.76
Usage Charge	\$ 29.30
Total Bill	\$ 54.06

Cheslea Rose Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Cimmarron Bay Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 24.76
Usage Charge	\$ 29.30
Total Bill	\$ 54.06

Cimmarron Bay Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Terre Du Lac Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 14.85
Usage Charge	\$ 9.00
Total Bill	\$ 23.85

Terre Du Lac Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 3 Page 7 of 9

Auburn Lake Flat Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 69.63
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 69.63

Auburn Lake Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Eugene Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 46.42
Usage Charge	\$ 35.05
Total Bill	\$ 81.47

Eugene Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Gladlo Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 46.42
Usage Charge	\$ 35.05
Total Bill	\$ 81.47

Gladlo Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Majestic Lakes Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 46.42
Usage Charge	\$ 35.05
Total Bill	\$ 81.47

Majestic Lakes Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Roy-L Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 46.42
Usage Charge	\$ 35.05
Total Bill	\$ 81.47

Calvey Brooks Flat Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 69.63
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 69.63

Calvey Brooks Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Evergreen Mtrd Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 46.42
Usage Charge	\$ 35.05
Total Bill	\$ 81.47

Evergreen Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Majestic Lakes Flat Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 69.63
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 69.63

Majestic Lakes Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Roy-L Flat Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 69.63
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 69.63

Roy-L Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Smithview Flat Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 71.25
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 71.25

Roy-L Mtrd Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 56.36
Usage Charge	\$ 37.63
Total Bill	\$ 93.99

Willows Flat Current Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 69.63
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 69.63

Willows Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Smithview Flat Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 94.12
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 94.12

Sewer Rate Making Income Statement

Operating Revenues at Current Rates					
Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	144,770			
Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-			
Total Operating Revenues	\$	144,770			

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

Cost of Service						
Item		Amount				
Total Collection Maintenance Expenses	\$	701				
Total Pumping Operations Expenses	\$	20,998				
Total Pumping Maintenance Expenses	\$	-				
Total Treatment & Disposal Operations Expenses	\$	150,436				
Total Treatment & Disposal Maintenance Expenses	\$	8,969				
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$	11,310				
Total Administration & General Expenses	\$	39,159				
Other Operating Expenses	\$	-				
Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$	231,573				
Property Taxes		593				
Payroll Taxes (FICA)	\$ \$	-				
Payroll Taxes (Unemployment)	\$	-				
Income Tax	\$	1,109				
Sub-Total Taxes	\$ \$ \$	1,702				
Depreciation Expense	\$	41,006				
Interest Expense	\$	-				
Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$ \$	41,006				
Return on Equity	\$	45,156				
Total Cost of Service	\$	319,437				
Cost to Recover in Rates	\$	319,437				
Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	174,667				

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates - Sewer

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

	Nia of				A
	No. of		D *		Annual
	Customers		Rate *	<u> </u>	Revenue
Qrtly Flat - Cedar Green	54	\$	142.65	^ \$	30,812
Flat - Deer Run	61	\$	20.00	\$	14,640.00
Flat - DeGuire	24	\$	20.00	\$	5,760.00
Flat - Freeman Hills	16	\$	16.67	\$	3,200.64
Flat - Glen Meadows	233	\$	27.50	\$	76,890.00
Flat - Missing Well	30	\$	20.00	\$	7,200.00
Flat - Prairie Heights	19	\$	25.00	\$	5,700.00
Com - DeGuire	1	\$	28.92	\$	347.04
Com - Freeman Hills	1	\$	18.34	\$	220.08
Total	439			\$	144,770
* Monthly flat service charge					
^ Monthly Rate:	\$ 47.55				
	Other O	Dper	ating Re	even	ues
Late Fees				\$	-
Disconnect/Reconnect					-
Total Other Revenues				\$ \$	-
				,	
	Total C	pera	ating Re	even	ues
Sub-Total Tariffed Rate R	levenues			\$	144,770
Other Operating Revenu	es			\$	-
Total Operating Revenue				\$	144,770
1 0					, -

Development of Tariffed Rates - Sewer

Current Revenue	\$ 144,770
Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase	\$ 174,667
Percentage Increase Needed	121%

	C	urrent	Pr	oposed	
	Cι	istomer	Cι	ustomer	
		Charge	(Charge	
Qrtly Flat - Cedar Green	\$	142.65 ^	\$	60.64	
Flat - Deer Run	\$	20.00	\$	60.64	
Flat - DeGuire	\$	20.00	\$	60.64	
Flat - Freeman Hills	\$	16.67	\$	60.64	
Flat - Glen Meadows	\$	27.50	\$	60.64	
Flat - Missing Well	\$	20.00	\$	60.64	
Flat - Prairie Heights	\$	25.00	\$	60.64	
Com - DeGuire	\$	28.92	\$	60.64	
Com - Freeman Hills	\$	18.34	\$	60.64	
^ Monthly Rate		47.55			

Customer	Number of		Cu	stomer	oposed stomer
Charge	Customers	Factor		ivalents	harge
5/8"	437	1		437	\$ 60.64
1"	0	1		0	\$ -
1.5"	2	1		2	\$ 60.64
2"	0	7		0	\$ -
3"	0	12		0	\$ -
4"	0	20	_	0	\$ -
				439	
Total Cost to Recover in R	lates	\$ 319,437	\$	60.64	

Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates - Sewer

An	Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues								
Meter Size	No. of Customers		Rate		Annual Revenue				
5/8"	437	\$	60.64	\$	317,982				
1"	0	\$	-	\$	-				
1 1/2"	2	\$	60.64	\$	1,455				
2"	0	\$	-	\$	-				
Total				\$	319,437				
		Oth	er Oper	ating	g Revenue	S			
Total Other F	Revenues			\$	-				
		Tot	al Opera	ating	Revenue	S			
	riffed Rate Reventing Revenues	nues				\$ \$	319,437		
Total Revenu	ies at Proposed I	Rates				\$	319,437		
Re	venue Check - Propose	ed Rates	s vs. Current	Rates					
Total Revenues at	Proposed Rates			\$	319,437				
Total Revenues at	Current Tariffed Rate	S		\$	144,770				
Increase in Reven	ues at Proposed Rates			\$	174,667				
Agreed-Upon Incr	ease in Operating Rev	enues		\$	174,667				

Residential Customer Bill Comparison - Sewer

Proposed Base

Customer Charge

\$

60.64

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Cedar Green Currer Customer Charge	\$ 47.55	Customer Charge	\$	20.00
Usage Charge	\$ -	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 47.55	Total Bill	\$	20.00
Cedar Green Propo	sed Rates	Deer Run Proposed	Rates	
Customer Charge	\$ 60.64	Customer Charge	\$	60.64
Usage Charge	\$ -	Usage Charge		-
Total Bill	\$ 60.64	Total Bill	\$ \$	60.64
DeGuire Current Ra	ites	Freeman Hills Curre	ent Rates	5
Customer Charge	\$ 20.00	Customer Charge	\$	16.67
Usage Charge	\$-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 20.00	Total Bill	\$	16.67
DeGuire Proposed	Rates	Freeman Hills Prop	osed Rat	es
Customer Charge	\$ 60.64	Customer Charge	\$	60.64
Usage Charge	\$-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 60.64	Total Bill	\$	60.64
Glen Meadows Cur	rent Rates	Missing Well Curre	nt Rates	
Customer Charge	\$ 27.50	Customer Charge	\$	20.00
Usage Charge	\$-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 27.50	Total Bill	\$	20.00
Glen Meadows Pro	posed Rates	Missing Well Propo	sed Rate	es
Customer Charge	\$ 60.64	Customer Charge	\$	60.64
Usage Charge	\$-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 60.64	Total Bill	\$	60.64
Prairie Heights Curi	rent Rates			
Customer Charge	\$ 25.00			
Usage Charge	\$-			
Total Bill	\$ 25.00			

Prairie Heights Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 60.64
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 60.64

Customer Bill Increases/Decreases

Cedar Green Customer Charge		Deer Run Custom	er Charge			
\$ Increase	\$ 13.09	\$ Increase	\$ 40.64			
% Increase	27.52%	% Increase	203.19%			
Cedar Green Usa	age Charge	Deer Run Usage (Charge			
\$ Increase	\$ -	\$ Increase	\$-			
% Increase	N/A	% Increase	N/A			
DeGuire Custom	er Charge	Freeman Hills Cus	stomer Charge			
\$ Increase	\$ 40.64	\$ Increase	\$ 43.97			
% Increase	203.19%	% Increase	263.75%			
DeGuire Usage C	Charge	Freeman Hills Usage Charge				
\$ Increase	\$ -	\$ Increase	\$-			
% Increase	N/A	% Increase	N/A			
Glen Meadows (Customer Charge	Missing Well Cus	tomer Charge			
\$ Increase	\$ 33.14	\$ Increase	\$ 40.64			
% Increase	120.50%	% Increase	203.19%			
Glen Meadows L	Jsage Charge	Missing Well Usa	ge Charge			
\$ Increase	\$ -	\$ Increase	\$-			
% Increase	N/A	% Increase	N/A			
Prairie Heights C	Customer Charge					
\$ Increase	\$ 35.64					

142.55%

-N/A

\$

% Increase

\$ Increase

% Increase

Prairie Heights Usage Charge

Sewer Rate Making Income Statement

Operating Revenues at Current Rates					
Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	348,774			
Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-			
Total Operating Revenues	\$	348,774			

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

Cost of Service		
Item		Amount
Total Collection Maintenance Expenses	\$	1,873
Total Pumping Operations Expenses	\$	2,327
Total Pumping Maintenance Expenses	\$	999
Total Treatment & Disposal Operations Expenses	\$	103,018
Total Treatment & Disposal Maintenance Expenses	\$	5,238
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$	12,809
Total Administration & General Expenses	\$	33,172
Other Operating Expenses	\$	123
Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$	159,559
Property Taxes		199
Payroll Taxes (FICA)	\$	-
Payroll Taxes (Unemployment)	\$	-
Income Tax	\$	1,156
Sub-Total Taxes	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,355
Depreciation Expense		94,213
Interest Expense	\$	-
Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$ \$ \$	94,213
Return on Equity	\$	91,030
Total Cost of Service	\$	346,157
Cost to Recover in Rates	\$	346,157
Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	(2,617)

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates - Sewer

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

	No. of Customers Rate *				Annual Revenue
Flat - Branson Cedars	59	\$	61.97	\$	43,875
Flat - Clemstone	76	\$	60.00	\$	54,720
Flat - Hillcrest	229	\$	83.56	\$	229,623
Apt Flat - Hillcrest	20	\$	66.85	\$	16,044
Com Flat - Hillcrest	3	\$	125.34	\$	4,512
Total	387			\$	348,774

* Monthly flat service charge

Other Operating Revenues						
	¢.					
Late Fees	Ş	-				
Disconnect/Reconnect	\$	-				
Total Other Revenues	\$	-				
Total Operating Revenues						

Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues	\$ 348,774
Other Operating Revenues	\$ -
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 348,774

Development of Tariffed Rates - Sewer

Current Revenue	\$ 348,774
Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase	\$ (2,617)
Percentage Increase Needed	-1%

		Cu	stome	r Rates	
	C	urrent	Pr	oposed	
	Cu	istomer	Cu	stomer	
		Charge	C	Charge	
Flat - Branson Cedars	\$	61.97	\$	74.54	
Flat - Clemstone	\$	60.00	\$	74.54	
Flat - Hillcrest	\$	83.56	\$	74.54	
Apt Flat - Hillcrest	\$	66.85	\$	74.54	
Com Flat - Hillcrest	\$	125.34	\$	74.54	

						Pro	oposed		
Customer	Customer Number of					Customer			
Charge	Customers		Factor	Equivalents		С	harge		
5/8"	364		1		364	\$	74.54		
5/8"	20		1		20	\$	74.54		
1.5"	3		1		3	\$	74.54		
2"	0		7		0	\$	-		
3"	0		12		0	\$	-		
4"	0		20		0	\$	-		
					387				
Total Cost to Recover in Ra	ates	\$	346,157	\$	74.54				

Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates - Sewer

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues								
Meter Size	No. of Customers		Rate		Annual Revenue			
5/8"	364	\$	74.54	\$	325,584			
5/8"	20	\$	74.54	\$	17,889			
1 1/2"	3	\$	74.54	\$	2,683			
2"	0	\$	-	\$	-			
Total				\$	346,157			
			-		_			
		Oth	er Oper	ating	g Revenue	es		
Total Other F	Revenues			\$	-			
		Tot	al Opera	ating	, Revenue	s		
Other Operat	riffed Rate Reve ting Revenues les at Proposed I					\$ \$ \$	346,157 - 346,157	
		lates				<u> </u>	340,137	
Rev	venue Check - Propose	ed Rates	s vs. Current	Rates				
Total Revenues at				\$	346,157			
	Current Tariffed Rate	s		\$	348,774			
Increase in Reven	ues at Proposed Rates	;		\$	(2,617)			
					<u> </u>			

\$

Agreed-Upon Increase in Operating Revenues

(2,617)

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 5 Page 4 of 6

Residential Customer Bill Comparison - Sewer

Proposed I	Base
------------	------

Customer Charge

\$

74.54

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Branson Cedars Curre	ent Rates	Clemstone Current Rat	es	
Avg Customer Charge	\$ 61.97	Avg Customer Charge	\$	60.00
Usage Charge	\$-	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 61.97	Total Bill	\$	60.00
Branson Cedars Prop	osed Rates	Clemstone Proposed Ra	ates	
Customer Charge	\$ 74.54	Customer Charge	\$	74.54
Usage Charge	\$ -	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 74.54	Total Bill	\$	74.54
Hillcrest Current Rate	es	Hillcrest Apt Current Ra	ates	
Avg Customer Charge	\$ 83.56	Avg Customer Charge	\$	66.85
Usage Charge	\$ -	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$ 83.56	Total Bill	\$	66.85
Hillcrest Proposed Ra	ites	Hillcrest Apt Proposed	<u>Ra</u> tes	
Customer Charge	\$ 74.54	Customer Charge	\$	74.54
Usage Charge	\$ -	Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Dill	\$ 74.54	Total Bill	Ś	74.54
Total Bill	Ş /4.54		Ş	74.54
		ncreases/Decreases	Ş	74.34
Branson Cedars Custo	Customer Bill I		Ŧ	74.54
Branson Cedars Custo	Customer Bill I	ncreases/Decreases	Ŧ	14.54
Branson Cedars Custo	Customer Bill I	ncreases/Decreases	harge	14.54
Branson Cedars Custo \$ Increase	Customer Bill I omer Charge \$ 12.57 20.28%	ncreases/Decreases Clemstone Customer Clemstone	harge \$	-
Branson Cedars Custo \$ Increase % Increase Branson Cedars Usag	Customer Bill I omer Charge \$ 12.57 20.28%	ncreases/Decreases Clemstone Customer Cl \$ Increase % Increase	harge \$	14.54
Branson Cedars Custo \$ Increase % Increase Branson Cedars Usag	Customer Bill I omer Charge \$ 12.57 20.28% e Charge	ncreases/Decreases Clemstone Customer Cl \$ Increase % Increase Clemstone Usage Charg	harge \$ 3	14.54 24.23%
Branson Cedars Custo \$ Increase % Increase Branson Cedars Usag \$ Increase	Customer Bill I omer Charge \$ 12.57 20.28% e Charge \$ - N/A	ncreases/Decreases Clemstone Customer Cl \$ Increase % Increase Clemstone Usage Charge \$ Increase	harge \$ ge\$	14.54
Branson Cedars Custo \$ Increase % Increase Branson Cedars Usag \$ Increase % Increase	Customer Bill I omer Charge \$ 12.57 20.28% e Charge \$ - N/A	ncreases/Decreases Clemstone Customer C \$ Increase % Increase Clemstone Usage Charg \$ Increase % Increase % Increase	harge \$ ge\$	14.54 24.23%
Branson Cedars Custo \$ Increase % Increase Branson Cedars Usag \$ Increase % Increase % Increase Hillcrest Customer Ch	Customer Bill I omer Charge \$ 12.57 20.28% e Charge \$ - N/A	Clemstone Customer Cl \$ Increase % Increase Clemstone Usage Charge \$ Increase \$ Increase % Increase # Increase % Increase # Increase % Increase # Increase # Increase # Increase % Increase % Increase # Increase # Increase	harge \$ ge \$ <u>Ch</u> arge	14.54 24.23% - N/A
Branson Cedars Custo \$ Increase % Increase Branson Cedars Usag \$ Increase % Increase % Increase Hillcrest Customer Ch \$ Increase	Customer Bill I pmer Charge \$ 12.57 20.28% e Charge \$ - N/A harge \$ (9.02) -10.80%	Clemstone Customer Cl \$ Increase % Increase Clemstone Usage Charge \$ Increase \$ Increase % Increase	harge \$ ge \$ Charge \$	14.54 24.23% - N/A 7.69

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 5 Page 5 of 6 % Increase

N/A

N/A

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 5 Page 6 of 6

Sewer Rate Making Income Statement

Operating Revenues at Current Rates					
Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	508,102			
Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-			
Total Operating Revenues	\$	508,102			

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

Cost of Service					
Item		Amount			
Total Collection Maintenance Expenses	\$	59,731			
Total Pumping Operations Expenses	\$	51,334			
Total Pumping Maintenance Expenses	\$	17,622			
Total Treatment & Disposal Operations Expenses	\$	332,093			
Total Treatment & Disposal Maintenance Expenses	\$	117,121			
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$	58,338			
Total Administration & General Expenses	\$	125,141			
Other Operating Expenses	\$	60			
Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$	761,440			
Property Taxes		2,504			
Payroll Taxes (FICA)	\$ \$	-			
Payroll Taxes (Unemployment)	\$	-			
Income Tax	\$	3,667			
Sub-Total Taxes	\$ \$ \$	6,171			
Depreciation Expense	\$	86,456			
Interest Expense	\$	-			
Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	86,456			
Return on Equity	\$	124,604			
Total Cost of Service	\$ \$ \$ \$	978,671			
Cost to Recover in Rates	\$	978,671			
Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	470,569			

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates - Sewer

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

	No. of			Annual
	Customers	Rate *	Revenue	
Flat - Eaglewood	57	\$ 29.02	\$	19,850
Flat - Cimmarron Bay	90	\$ 29.02	\$	31,342
Flat - Chelsea Rose	30	\$ 29.02	\$	10,447
Flat - Cedar Glen	209	\$ 29.02	\$	72,782
Flat - FT Port Perry	184	\$ 18.94	\$	41,820
Flat - PT Port Perry	68	\$ 14.21	\$	11,595
Flat - Terre Du Lac	1325	\$ 19.72	\$	313,548
Commercial	1	\$ 55.50	\$	666
Pool - Port Perry	4	\$ 37.37	\$	1,794
Com 1" - Terre Du Lac	4	\$ 49.29	\$	2,366
Com 2" - Terre Du Lac	1	\$ 157.74	\$	1,893
Total	1973		\$	508,102
* Monthly flat convice charge				

* Monthly flat service charge

Other Operating Revenues

Late Fees	¢	_
Disconnect/Reconnect	\$	-
Total Other Revenues	\$	-

Total Operating Revenues

Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues	\$ 508,102
Other Operating Revenues	\$ -
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 508,102

Development of Tariffed Rates - Sewer

Current Revenue\$Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase\$Percentage Increase Needed\$	508,102 470,569 93%
reicentage increase Needed	53/0

Customer Rates								
	C	Current Proposed						
	Cu	Customer		stomer				
	<u> </u>	Charge	С	harge				
Flat - Eaglewood	\$	29.02	\$	41.34				
Flat - Cimmarron Bay	\$	29.02	\$	41.34				
Flat - Chelsea Rose	\$	29.02	\$	41.34				
Flat - Cedar Glen	\$	29.02	\$	41.34				
Flat - FT Port Perry	\$	18.94	\$	41.34				
Flat - PT Port Perry	\$	14.21	\$	41.34				
Flat - Terre Du Lac	\$	19.72	\$	41.34				
Commercial	\$	55.50	\$	41.34				
Pool - Port Perry	\$	37.37	\$	41.34				
Com 1" - Terre Du Lac	\$	49.29	\$	41.34				
Com 2" - Terre Du Lac	\$	157.74	\$	41.34				

						Pro	oposed
Customer	Number of			Cu	stomer	Cu	stomer
Charge	Customers	F	actor	Equ	ivalents	С	harge
5/8"	1895		1		1895	\$	41.34
5/8"	68		1		68	\$	41.34
1"	5		1		5	\$	41.34
2"	5		1		5	\$	41.34
3"	0		0		0	\$	-
4"	0		0		0	\$	-
					1973		
Total Cost to Recover in R	ates	\$	978,671	\$	41.34		

Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates - Sewer

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues								
Meter Size	No. of Customers		Rate		Annual Revenue			
5/8"	1895	\$	41.34	\$	939,981			
5/8"	68	\$	41.34	\$	33,730			
1"	5	\$	41.34	\$	2,480			
2"	5	\$	41.34	\$	2,480			
Total				\$	978,671			
		Oth	er Oper	ating	g Revenue	es		
Total Other F	Total Other Revenues \$ -							
		Tot	al Opera	ating	g Revenue	s		
	riffed Rate Reventing Revenues	nues				\$ \$	978,671 -	
Total Revenu	es at Proposed I	Rates				\$	978,671	
Rev	venue Check - Propose	ed Rates	s vs. Current	Rates				
Total Revenues at	Proposed Rates			\$	978,671			
Total Revenues at	Current Tariffed Rate	S		\$	508,102			
Increase in Reven	ues at Proposed Rates			\$	470,569			

\$

Agreed-Upon Increase in Operating Revenues

470,569

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 6

Page 4 of 6

Residential Customer Bill Comparison - Sewer

Proposed Base

Customer Charge

\$

41.34

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Osage Utilities Current Rates

Avg Customer Charge	\$ 29.02
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 29.02

Osage Utilities Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 41.34
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 41.34

Terre Du Lac Current Rates

Avg Customer Charge	\$ 19.72
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 19.72

Terre Du Lac Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 41.34
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 41.34

FT Port Perry Current Rates

Avg Customer Charge	\$ 18.94
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 18.94

FT Port Perry Proposed Rates

FT Port Perry Customer Charge

FT Port Perry Usage Charge

\$ Increase

% Increase

\$ Increase

% Increase

\$

\$

22.40

N/A

118.25%

Customer Charge	\$ 41.34
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 41.34

Customer Bill Increases/Decreases

Osage Utilities Customer Charge

Ş Increase	Ş 12.32
% Increase	42.44%

Osage Utilities Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Terre Du Lac Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$	21.62
% Increase	1	09.61%

Terre Du Lac Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$
-------------	----

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 6 Page 5 of 6

% Increase

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 6 Page 6 of 6

Sewer Rate Making Income Statement

Operating Revenues at Current Rates			
Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	2,094,978	
Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-	
Total Operating Revenues	\$	2,094,978	

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

Cost of Service			
Item	Amount		
Total Collection Maintenance Expenses	\$	46,559	
Total Pumping Operations Expenses	\$	52,628	
Total Pumping Maintenance Expenses	\$	10,409	
Total Treatment & Disposal Operations Expenses	\$	679,514	
Total Treatment & Disposal Maintenance Expenses	\$	150,440	
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$	167,288	
Total Administration & General Expenses	\$	240,807	
Other Operating Expenses	\$	1,865	
Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$	1,349,510	
Property Taxes		3,544	
Payroll Taxes (FICA)	\$ \$	-	
Payroll Taxes (Unemployment)	\$	-	
Income Tax	\$	5,251	
Sub-Total Taxes	\$ \$ \$	8,795	
Depreciation Expense		283,385	
Interest Expense	\$	-	
Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	283,385	
Return on Equity	\$	296,936	
Total Cost of Service	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,938,626	
Cost to Recover in Rates	\$	1,938,626	
Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	(156,352)	

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates - Sewer

	No. of				Annual
	Customers	Rate *		Revenue	
Flat - Auburn Lakes	60	\$	72.48	\$	52,186
Flat - Calvey Brook	16	\$	72.48	\$	13,916
Flat - Gladlo	63	\$	72.48	\$	54,795
Flat - Lake Virginia	38	\$	72.48	\$	33,051
Flat - Majestic Lakes	271	\$	72.48	\$	235,705
Flat - Mill Creek	75	\$	72.48	\$	65,232
Flat - Roy-L	57	\$	72.48	\$	49,576
Flat - Villa Ridge	204	\$	72.48	\$	177,431
Flat - Willows	161	\$	72.48	\$	140,031
Flat - Missouri Utilities	134	\$	99.88	\$	160,607
Flat - Rainbow Acres	45	\$	99.88	\$	53 <i>,</i> 935
Flat - State Park Village	177	\$	99.88	\$	212,145
Flat - Twin Oaks	43	\$	99.88	\$	51,538
Flat - Berkshire Glen	32	\$	44.81	\$	17,207
Flat - Private Gardens	83	\$	44.81	\$	44,631
Flat - Wil-Mar	85	\$	44.81	\$	45,706
Flat - Countryside Meadows	7	\$	44.81	\$	3,764
Flat - Park Estates	14	\$	44.81	\$	7,528
Flat - Fox Run	40	\$	44.81	\$	21,509
Flat - Country Hill	53	\$	44.81	\$	28,499
Flat - WPC	84	\$	95.76	\$	96,526
Flat - WSS	172	\$	95.76	\$	197,649
Flat - Villages	278	\$	79.74	\$	266,013
Raccoon Creek	1	\$	-	\$	19,057
Confluence	1	\$	-	\$	40,406
Elm Hills	1	\$	-	\$	6,335
Total	2195			\$ 2	2,094,978

* Monthly flat service charge

Other Operating Revenues

Late Fees	\$ -
Disconnect/Reconnect	\$ -
Total Other Revenues	\$ -

Total Operating Revenues

Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues

\$ 2,094,978

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 7 Page 2 of 8 Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues

\$ -
\$ 2,094,978

Development of Tariffed Rates - Sewer

Current Revenue

Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase

Percentage Increase Needed

		Cu	istome	r Rates	
		Current	Pr	oposed	
	C	Customer	Cu	stomer	
		Charge	C	Charge	
Flat - Auburn Lakes	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Calvey Brook	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Gladlo	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Lake Virginia	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Majestic Lakes	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Mill Creek	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Roy-L	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Villa Ridge	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Willows	\$	72.48	\$	73.60	
Flat - Missouri Utilities	\$	99.88	\$	73.60	
Flat - Rainbow Acres	\$	99.88	\$	73.60	
Flat - State Park Village	\$	99.88	\$	73.60	
Flat - Twin Oaks	\$	99.88	\$	73.60	
Flat - Berkshire Glen	\$	44.81	\$	73.60	
Flat - Private Gardens	\$	44.81	\$	73.60	
Flat - Wil-Mar	\$	44.81	\$	73.60	
Flat - Countryside Meadows	\$	44.81	\$	73.60	
Flat - Park Estates	\$	44.81	\$	73.60	
Flat - Fox Run	\$	44.81	\$	73.60	
Flat - Country Hill	\$	44.81	\$	73.60	
Flat - WPC	\$	95.76	\$	73.60	
Flat - WSS	\$	95.76	\$	73.60	
Flat - Villages	\$	79.74	\$	73.60	
Raccoon Creek	\$	-	\$	73.60	
Confluence	\$	-	\$	73.60	
Elm Hills	\$	-	\$	73.60	

				Pr	oposed
Customer	Number of		Customer	Cu	stomer
Charge	Customers	Factor	Equivalents	C	harge
5/8"	2192	1	2192	\$	73.60
5/8"	0	0	0	\$	-
1"	3	1	3	\$	73.60

Case No. WR-2023-0006 Attachment 7 Page 4 of 8

\$ 2,094,978 \$ (156,352) -7%

2"	0	0	0	\$ -
3"	0	0	0	\$ -
4"	0	0	 0	\$ -
			 2195	
Total Cost to Recover in Rates		\$ 1,938,626	\$ 73.60	

Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates - Sewer

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues						
Meter Size	No. of Customers		Rate		Annual Revenue	
5/8"	2192	\$	73.60	\$	1,935,976	
5/8"	0	\$	-	\$	-	
1"	3	\$	73.60	\$	2,650	
2"	0	\$	-	\$	-	
Total				\$	1,938,626	
		Otl	her Opei	ratir	ng Revenue	S
Total Other F	Revenues	То	tal Onar	\$	-	-
		10	tal Oper	atin	g Revenue:	S
Other Opera	riffed Rate Rever ting Revenues Jes at Proposed I					\$ 1,938,626 \$ - \$ 1,938,626
Re	evenue Check - Propos	ed Rate	es vs. Current	Rates		
Total Revenues at	t Proposed Rates			\$	1,938,626	
Total Revenues at	t Current Tariffed Rate	s		\$	2,094,978	
Increase in Reven	ues at Proposed Rates			\$	(156,352)	
Agreed-Upon Incr	rease in Operating Rev	enues		\$	(156,352)	

Residential Customer Bill Comparison - Sewer

Proposed Base

Customer Charge

\$

73.60

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Confluence Current Rates

Avg Customer Charge	\$ 72.48
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 72.48

Confluence Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 73.60
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 73.60

Elm Hills Current Rates

Avg Customer Charge	\$ 44.81
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 44.81

Elm Hills Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 73.60
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 73.60

Raccoon Creek Current Rates

Avg Customer Charge	\$ 79.74
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 79.74

Raccoon Creek Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$ 73.60
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 73.60

Elm Hills Current Rates Avg Customer Charge \$ 99.88 Usage Charge \$ \$ Total Bill 99.88 **Elm Hills Proposed Rates** Customer Charge Ċ 73 60

Customer Charge	Ş	75.00
Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	73.60

-

Raccoon Creek Current Rates

Avg Customer Charge	\$ 95.76
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 95.76

Raccoon Creek Proposed Rates

Customer Charge	\$,	73.60
Usage Charge	\$;	-
Total Bill	\$;	73.60

Customer Bill Increases/Decreases

Confluence Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ 1.12
% Increase	1.55%

Elm Hills Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ (26.28)
% Increase	-26.31%

Confluence Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Elm Hills Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ 28.79
% Increase	64.25%

Elm Hills Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Raccoon Creek Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ (6.14)
% Increase	-7.70%

Raccoon Creek Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Elm Hills Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Raccoon Creek Customer Charge

\$ Increase	\$ (22.16)
% Increase	-23.14%

Raccoon Creek Usage Charge

\$ Increase	\$ -
% Increase	N/A

Miscellaneous Service Charges

Water - Miscellaneous Service Charges

<u>New Service Connection Fee</u> Consists of the costs incurred by the Company for construction including parts, material, I equipment, but excluding the cost of the meter.	Actual Cost labor and
Service Connection Inspection Fee	\$ 82.50
Water Service Line Inspection Fee	\$ 25.00
<u>Turn-On/Turn-Off (Requested by the Customer)</u> 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday (scheduled 24 hours in advance)	\$ 27.50
Before 8:00 a.m. and after 5:00 p.m., Saturdays/Sundays, or scheduled less than 24 hours in advance.	\$ 164.00
Turn-On/Turn-Off Associated with Non-Payment \$	
	27.50 per trip
<u>On-Site Collection Charge</u> This charge will be added to the current bill if the Company personnel is on-site to disconservice when the Customer pays the bill. The disconnection fee may not be assessed if the not physically disconnected.	\$ 27.50 nect the
<u>On-Site Collection Charge</u> This charge will be added to the current bill if the Company personnel is on-site to discon service when the Customer pays the bill. The disconnection fee may not be assessed if th	\$ 27.50 nect the
<u>On-Site Collection Charge</u> This charge will be added to the current bill if the Company personnel is on-site to discon- service when the Customer pays the bill. The disconnection fee may not be assessed if the not physically disconnected.	\$ 27.50 nect the service is
On-Site Collection Charge This charge will be added to the current bill if the Company personnel is on-site to discon- service when the Customer pays the bill. The disconnection fee may not be assessed if the not physically disconnected. <u>Meter Test Fee</u>	\$ 27.50 nect the service is \$ 120.00

Miscellaneous Service Charges

Sewer - Miscellaneous Service Charges		
New Connection, Disconnect and Recommend Charge	Actual Cost	
Construction Inspection Charge per Connection	\$ 82.50	
<u>Turn-On/Turn-Off (Requested by the Customer)</u> 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday (scheduled 24 hours in advance)	\$ 27.50	
Before 8:00 a.m. and after 5:00 p.m., Saturdays/Sundays, or scheduled less than 24 hours in advance.	\$ 164.00	
Turn-On/Turn-Off Associated with Non-Payment\$ 2	7.50 per trip	
On-Site Collection Charge\$ 27.50This charge will be added to the current bill if the Company personnel is on-site to disconnect the service when the Customer pays the bill. The disconnection fee may not be assessed if the service is not physically disconnected.		
Late Charges	\$ 5.00 or 3%	
Returned Check Charges	\$ 25.00	
Service Calls for Damages caused by Customer	Actual Cost	