Exhibit No.:

Issues: AG Processing Inc. Growth

& Special Contracts

Witness: Michael J. Ensrud Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: HR-2009-0092

Date Testimony Prepared: April 9, 2009

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL J. ENSRUD

KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

CASE NO. HR-2009-0092

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2009

**Denotes Highly Confidential Information **



BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Steam Heating Service)) Case No. HR-2009-0092)
AFFIDAVIT OF M	ICHAEL J. ENSRUD
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)	
preparation of the following Surrebuttal consisting of 16 pages of Surrebuttal that the answers in the following Surrebutt	Testimony in question and answer form, Testimony to be presented in the above case, al Testimony were given by him; that he has answers; and that such matters are true to the
	Muhuel J. Ensud Michael J. Ensud
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8	day of April, 2009.
SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Callaway County Commission #06942086	Susan Shendermeyer Notary Public

1	Table of Contents
2 3	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
4	
5 6	\mathbf{OF}
7	MICHAEL J. ENSRUD
8	
9 10	KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY
11	CASE NO. HR-2009-0092
12	
13	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
14	THE COMPANY DOES NOT HAVE DISCRETION TO APPLY NON-UNIFORM
15	BILLING TREATMENTS6
16	COMMISSION-APPROVED STIPULATIONS AND TARIFFS REQUIRE STAFF'S
17	ADJUSTMENT9
18	GMO'S EXISTING TARIFF RECOGNIZES THIS TREATMENT AS A SPECIAL
19	CONTRACT
20	PAST PRACTICE AND SIMILAR ADJUSTMENTS 12
21	USE OF GMO'S ELECTRIC TARIFF CLAUSES TO DETERMINE STEAM
22	REVENUES
23	ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION 16



	Surrebuttal Tes Michael J. Ens	stimony of rud
1	<u>•</u>	
2		
3	<u>•</u>	
4	_	
5		
6		
7	<u>•</u>	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
		



Surre	ebuttal Testimon hael J. Ensrud	y of			
			_		
	<u> </u>				
	-				

	-					
		**				
THE	COMBANY	DOES NOT	THANE DI	CODETION T	O ADDIV N	ON LINIEO
BILL	COMPANY ING TREATM	<u>MENTS.</u>	HAVE DI	SCRETION T	U AFFLY N	ION-UNIFU
	**					

_

Sur Mia	rebuttal Test chael J. Ensr	timony of				
IVII	chael J. Elisi	uu				
	-					
			_			
				<u> </u>		
			_			

Surrebuttal Testimo Michael J. Ensrud	ny of			
	-			
** COMMISSION-A	PPROVED STIP	ULATIONS AND	TARIFFS REC	DUIRE STAFF'
ADJUSTMENT	ITROVED SIII	CETTIONS THE	THIRT S ILL	OIRE SIMIT
**				

Su M	rrebuttal Testimony of ichael J. Ensrud
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 _	
7	
8	
9	
-	
·	
2	
3	
4	**
	MO'S EXISTING TARIFF RECOGNIZES THIS TREATMENT AS A SPECIA ONTRACT
7	**
3	
9	
0	
1	
3	
1 2 3 4 5	
5	
7	



-			

Surrebuttal Testimony of

Suri Mic	rebuttal Testimony hael J. Ensrud	of		
IVIIC	naci J. Ensiud			
-				
			**	
PAS	ST PRACTICE A	ND SIMILAR AD	JUSTMENTS	
	**			
	<u> </u>			
_				
	_			

chael J. E							
							**
							^ ^ ^
SE OF	GMO'S	ELECTRIC	TARIFF	CLAUSES	TO	DETERMINE	STEAM
	<u>s</u>						
**							
_							
_							
_							
_							
	SE OF		SE OF GMO'S ELECTRIC	SE OF GMO'S ELECTRIC TARIFF	SE OF GMO'S ELECTRIC TARIFF CLAUSES	SE OF GMO'S ELECTRIC TARIFF CLAUSES TO	SE OF GMO'S ELECTRIC TARIFF CLAUSES TO DETERMINE

Michael J.				
-				

Surrebuttal Testimony of	of
Michael J. Ensrud	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	-			
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				

	Surrebuttal Testimony of Michael J. Ensrud	
1		
2	**	
3	ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION	
4	**	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		**
4	Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?	
5	A. Yes it does.	

Attachment I

Is Deemed

Highly Confidential

In Its

Entirety

Attachment II

Is Deemed

Highly Confidential

In Its

Entirety