FILED March 22, 2018 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.: Issues:

Exhibit Type: Sponsoring Party: Case No.:

Witness:

1 1 14

Capital Structure and Overall Rate of Return Scott W. Rungren Direct Missouri-American Water Company WR-2017-0285 SR-2017-0286 June 30, 2017

Date:

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. WR-2017-0285 CASE NO. SR-2017-0286

DIRECT TESTIMONY

 \mathbf{OF}

SCOTT W. RUNGREN

ON BEHALF OF

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

harden and the foregoing and the	<u> </u>	khibit No	33	
Date3	816	L Repor	ter MI	r L
File No.	WR	-201	7-02	TS

Exhibit 33 WR-2017-0285 Direct Testimony of Scott W. Rungren

DIRECT TESTIMONY SCOTT W. RUNGREN MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CASE NO. WR-2017-0285 CASE NO. SR-2017-0286

Y . 7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction1
II.	Recommended Capital Structure and Overall Rate of Return

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

IN THE MATTER OF MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO FILE TARIFFS REFLECTING INCREASED RATES FOR WATER AND SEWER SERVICE

l

£.

CASE NO. WR-2017-0285 CASE NO. SR-2017-0286

AFFIDAVIT OF SCOTT W. RUNGREN

Scott W. Rungren, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the witness who sponsors the accompanying testimony entitled "Direct Testimony of Scott W. Rungren"; that said testimony and schedules were prepared by him and/or under his direction and supervision; that if inquiries were made as to the facts in said testimony and schedules, he would respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesaid testimony and schedules are true and correct to the best of his knowledge.

Scott W. Rungren

State of Missouri County of St. Louis SUBSCRIBED and sworn to Before me this 5^{m} day of Gure2017.

May Both Hercules Notary Public

My commission expires:

MARY BETH HERCULES Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI St. Louis County My Commission Expires April 26, 2020 Commission # 96546828

DIRECT TESTIMONY

SCOTT W. RUNGREN

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	My name is Scott W. Rungren, and my business address is 727 Craig Road, St. Louis,
4		MO, 63141.
5		
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7	А.	I am employed by American Water Works Service Company ("Service Company") and
8		my title is Principal, Regulatory Analyst. The Service Company is a subsidiary of
9		American Water Works Company, Inc. ("American Water") that provides support
10		services to American Water's utility subsidiaries
11		
12	Q,	Please summarize your educational background and professional experience.
13	А.	In May of 1983, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration
14		with a major in Energy Management from Eastern Illinois University. In May of 1986,
15		I received a Master of Business Administration degree with a specialization in Finance
16		from Northern Illinois University. From 1986 to 1999, I was employed by the Illinois
17		Commerce Commission ("Illinois Commission"). I held various positions while
18		employed there. I joined the Finance Department of the Illinois Commission in 1987,
19		and was promoted to Senior Financial Analyst in 1989. My principal responsibility in
20		that role was to analyze the cost of capital, financial condition and corporate structure

Page 1 MAWC – DT-SWR

t j

1 of electric, gas, telephone, and water utilities using dividend discount and risk premium 2 models. In 1993, I transferred to the Energy Programs Division where I performed 3 research and analysis of the integrated resource plans (IRPs) filed by Illinois electric 4 utilities. In 1995 I returned to the Finance Department in the role of Senior Financial 5 Analyst. I remained in the Finance Department at the Illinois Commission until 6 February of 1999. In March of 1999, I began employment with Cinergy Corp., working 7 in the Retail Commodity Services group and focusing on their Real Time Pricing 8 program. In 2001, I began performing long-run generation planning studies for 9 Cinergy's Kentucky and Indiana service areas. In 2006, by which time Cinergy Corp. 10 had merged with Duke Energy, I began working in the Rates Department as a Rates 11 Coordinator, assisting with the development of cost of service studies for the electric 12 and gas operations of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky. I also prepared 13 various rate and revenue analyses in that role. In May of 2007, I joined the Service 14 Company as a Senior Financial Analyst. My current duties as a Rates and Regulatory 15 Analyst with the Service Company include the preparation of reports required by the 16 various regulatory commissions governing the jurisdictions in which American Water 17 operates, and assisting in the preparation of financing and rate-related filings for American Water's regulated operating companies. 18

19

20 Q. Have you previously testified in regulatory matters?

A. Yes, I have presented testimony before the Missouri Public Service Commission
("MoPSC" or "Commission"), and have testified before the Illinois Commerce
Commission, the Iowa Utilities Board, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, the
Kentucky Public Service Commission, and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

- 1
- 2 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this proceeding?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the recommended capital structure to be used
 for computing Missouri-American Water Company's ("Company" or "MAWC")
 weighted average cost of capital ("WACC"). The WACC is used as the authorized
 overall rate of return on rate base. The Company's WACC reflects, among other things,
 the rate of return on common equity recommendation presented in the Direct
 Testimony of MAWC witness Ms. Ann Bulkley.
- 9

10 Q. Have you prepared any schedules to accompany your testimony?

- 11 A. Yes, I have prepared Schedule SWR-1 to show the Company's WACC and supporting 12 calculations for the 12 months ended December 31, 2016, the 12 months ending May 31, 2018, and the 12 months ending May 31, 2019 ("future test year"). The WACC for 13 14 the future test year reflects the use of a thirteen-month average to compute the cost and 15 balance for each capital component. The WACC for the future test year is used as the 16 rate of return on rate base in this case. Schedule SWR-1 also shows the Company's 17 cost of long-term debt, cost of preferred stock, and balance of common equity for each 18 of the three time periods noted above.
- 19
- 20
- 21

II. <u>RECOMMENDED CAPITAL STRUCTURE</u> AND OVERALL RATE OF RETURN

22

Q. What capital structure do you recommend be used for computing the Company's
WACC for ratemaking purposes?

2

1	A.	Because this proceeding will set rates for future service, the capital structure
2		components should be developed from estimates for the period during which those rates
3		will be in effect. As a starting point, I used MAWC's actual capital structure as of
4		December 31, 2016. I then adjusted the component balances in that capital structure to
5		reflect all changes expected to occur by May 31, 2019. The pro forma changes made
6		to each capital component are discussed below. In addition, the capital component
7		balances were calculated using 13-month averages for the future test year. The pro
8		forma May 31, 2019 capital structure is composed of 48.92% long-term debt, 0.05%
9		preferred stock, and 51.03% common equity, as shown on Schedule SWR-1, page 1.
10		This capital structure should be used to calculate the WACC because it reflects the
11		capital that will be in place to fund the Company's rate base.
12		
13	Q.	Do you believe that MAWC's thirteen-month average capital structure for the
13 14	Q.	Do you believe that MAWC's thirteen-month average capital structure for the future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes?
	Q. A.	
14		future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes?
14 15		future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes?
14 15 16	A.	future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes? Yes, I do.
14 15 16 17	А. Q .	future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes? Yes, I do. How did you determine that capital structure is reasonable?
14 15 16 17 18	А. Q .	<pre>future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes? Yes, I do. How did you determine that capital structure is reasonable? To determine whether MAWC's future test year capital structure is reasonable for</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	А. Q .	future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes? Yes, I do. How did you determine that capital structure is reasonable? To determine whether MAWC's future test year capital structure is reasonable for ratemaking purposes, I examined the average common equity ratios of the proxy group
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	А. Q .	future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes? Yes, I do. How did you determine that capital structure is reasonable? To determine whether MAWC's future test year capital structure is reasonable for ratemaking purposes, I examined the average common equity ratios of the proxy group of eight water companies that MAWC witness Ms. Ann Bulkley relied on to perform
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	А. Q .	future test year is reasonable for ratemaking purposes? Yes, I do. How did you determine that capital structure is reasonable? To determine whether MAWC's future test year capital structure is reasonable for ratemaking purposes, I examined the average common equity ratios of the proxy group of eight water companies that MAWC witness Ms. Ann Bulkley relied on to perform her cost of equity analysis in this case. Specifically, I compared MAWC's common

۱ »

Page 4 MAWC – DT-SWR

Survey reports published on April 14, 2017. These eight water utilities and their corresponding equity ratios are shown in the table below:

4		Equity Ratio at
5	Company	12/31/16
5	American States Water	60.60%
6	American Water Works	47.50%
	Aqua America	51.60%
7	California Water	55.40%
0	Connecticut Water Service	54.40%
8	Middlesex Water	61.50%
9	SJW Corp.	49.30%
)	York Water	57.40%
10	Average	54.71%

11

1

2

3

12 As of the month ending December 2016, the average common equity ratio of the proxy 13 group was 54.71%, with a standard deviation of 4.74%, representing a range of 49.98% 14 - 59.45% around the Value Line mean of 54.71%. Thus, MAWC's future test year common equity ratio of 51.03% is within this range, and is actually slightly lower than 15 the average of the peer group noted above. MAWC's future test year equity ratio is 16 17 also close to the average common equity ratio of the proxy group when calculated using 18 data from each company's 10-K report. As shown on Schedule AEB-10, accompanying the Direct Testimony of Ms. Bulkley, based on company 10-K reports 19 20 the average equity ratio of the proxy group (including American Water) as of year-end 21 2016 was 53.79%.

22

To further check the reasonableness of my proposed capital structure, I also examined
 Value Line's projected equity ratios for the eight water utilities as published in the same

Page 5 MAWC – DT-SWR

ı.

١

1		Value Line reports discussed above. Based on the Value Line projections the average
2		common equity ratio for the eight water utilities will be 54.5% in 2017, 53.9% in 2018,
3		and 53.7% over the 2020-2022 period. Thus, MAWC's future test year equity ratio is
4		also reasonably close to Value Line's projected equity ratios for the eight water utilities.
5		
6		Based on these comparisons, I concluded that MAWC's capital structure for the future
7		test year is reasonable and, therefore, should be used to compute the Company's
8		WACC in this proceeding.
9		
10	Q.	The capital structure you recommend for the future test year in this case was
11		calculated using projected data for MAWC. Please explain why you did not use
12		American Water's consolidated capital structure to calculate MAWC's WACC.
13	A.	It is more appropriate to use MAWC's capital structure in this case for three
14		fundamental reasons; 1) MAWC is a separate corporate entity that issues its own debt
15		and common stock and, therefore, has an independently-determined capital structure,
16		2) MAWC's stand-alone capital structure comprises the capital that actually finances
17		MAWC's jurisdictional rate base, to which the overall rate of return set in this
18		proceeding will be applied, and 3) MAWC's stand-alone capital structure, as noted
19		above, is in line with the capital structure ratios maintained, on average, by the group
20		of publicly-traded U.S. water utilities.
21		
22	Q.	Please explain how MAWC manages its capital structure and makes financing
23		decisions.

t ·

1	A.	Although it is a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Water, MAWC has its own
2		board of directors and, in conjunction with all of its financing requirements, MAWC
3		separately considers the appropriate mix of debt, preferred stock and common equity
4		appropriate for its capital structure. MAWC's determination of whether to issue equity
5		or debt, and the type of debt, is made by MAWC based on its capital structure objectives
6		and on capital market conditions at the time the security is to be issued, and I am
7		unaware of an instance in which those decisions were overridden by the parent
8		company.
9		
10		In addition, MAWC has the ability to obtain the most favorable financing terms
11		possible. The Financial Services Agreement ("FSA") between MAWC and AWCC
12		explicitly permits MAWC to issue debt to non-affiliated entities. Paragraph 7 of the
13		FSA, which addresses the issue of non-exclusivity, specifically states:
14 15 16 17 18		"Nothing in this Agreement prohibits or restricts the Company from borrowing from third parties, or obtaining services described in this Agreement from third parties, whenever and on whatever terms it deems appropriate."
19		Thus, MAWC will not issue Notes to American Water's financing subsidiary, AWCC,
20		unless it can determine, based on market conditions applicable at the time, that such
21		issuance will result in the lowest overall cost available to MAWC when compared to
22		securities of comparable type, maturity, and terms. With respect to equity capital,
23		MAWC retains a significant portion of its earnings in its business, with the remainder
24		paid as dividends to the parent, as would be the case in any holding company structure.
25		At the same time, a portion of those dividends is returned to Missouri periodically in

• 1

1

2

the form of capital infusions by the parent. For example, MAWC has a planned \$64,000,000 equity infusion in October 2017 that will be booked to paid-in capital.

3

Q. You noted that use of MAWC's capital structure, rather than American Water's
consolidated capital structure, is appropriate because MAWC's stand-alone
capital structure represents the actual capital that finances MAWC's
jurisdictional rate base. Why is the actual capital financing MAWC's
jurisdictional rate base relevant and appropriate for ratemaking purposes?

9 Α. It is relevant and appropriate for ratemaking purposes because it represents the actual 10 dollars that are financing MAWC's jurisdictional rate base to which the rate of return 11 authorized in this proceeding will be applied. In contrast, the consolidated American 12 Water capital structure contains capital that was not used to finance MAWC's 13 jurisdictional rate base. For example, it includes the long-term debt and equity capital 14 of American Water's other operating water subsidiaries, which finances the jurisdictional rate bases of those other water subsidiaries. It also reflects the capital 15 16 applicable to American Water's non-regulated businesses.

17

MAWC's rate base is financed by the mix of capital in MAWC's capital structure and not that of American Water's consolidated capital structure. That is, MAWC's rate base is financed by the capital components that comprise MAWC's capital structure, in the ratio of each capital component's proportion to total capital. It is this capital structure that should be used to determine the weighted cost of each of the individual capital components, because the sum of these weighted component costs is the overall cost of capital (WACC). It is this overall cost of capital that represents the rate of return

Page 8 MAWC – DT-SWR

1 MAWC needs to earn on its rate base to satisfy the contractual obligations to, and the 2 return requirements of, its investors. Using the consolidated capital structure of 3 American Water will not ensure that MAWC is provided the proper level of funding to 4 service its various sources of capital, and ensuring that proper level of funding is the 5 primary purpose of determining a utility's WACC.

- 6
- -

Q. How would use of American Water's consolidated capital structure, rather than
 MAWC's capital structure, affect the Commission's ability to establish a
 reasonable overall allowed rate of return on rate base in this case?

10 A. For the reasons I explained above, using American Water's consolidated capital 11 structure in place of MAWC's will not produce an overall rate of return on rate base 12 that reflects MAWC's capital costs because the capital component ratios differ. Thus, 13 the overall rate of return authorized by the Commission could be higher or lower than 14 that needed to satisfy the return requirements of MAWC's investors. If that were to 15 occur, then MAWC's overall authorized rate of return may not be reasonable from a regulatory standpoint. When a public utility is authorized a rate of return equal to a 16 17 reasonable cost of capital, the interests of ratepayers and investors are properly 18 balanced. Therefore, the interests of ratepayers and investors are best served when a 19 utility's allowed rate of return is set equal to a reasonable overall cost of capital.

20

Q. How does MAWC's future test year capital structure, used to derive the
Company's proposed WACC in this case, compare with the capital structures
maintained by the publicly-traded U.S. water utilities?

1	A.	As explained previously, the equity ratio of the Company's future test year capital
2		structure is comparable to the average equity ratio of the eight water companies in Ms.
3		Bulkley's proxy group. This is true when examining the proxy group's actual equity
4		ratio at December 31, 2016, as well as the average equity ratios as projected by Value
5		Line for December 31, 2017, for December 31, 2018, and for the 2020-2022 period.
6		As previously noted, MAWC's future test year equity ratio is actually slightly lower
7		than that of the average of the proxy group for each time period analyzed. Thus, these
8		comparisons confirm that MAWC's proposed capital structure is reasonable for
9		ratemaking purposes in this proceeding.
10		
11	Q.	How does American Water's consolidated company capital structure compare
12		with the capital structures of the publicly-traded U.S. water utilities (i.e., the proxy
13		group)?
14	A.	The American Water consolidated company capital structure reflects a higher level of
15		financial risk relative to the proxy group. American Water's consolidated equity ratio
16		is 47.5% at December 31, 2016, as reported by Value Line, whereas the proxy group
17		average equity ratio is 54.71% which includes American Water. The proxy group
18		equity ratio is 55.74% excluding American Water. American Water's December 31,
19		2016 capital structure is also more leveraged than MAWC's future test year capital
20		structure, which contains 51.03% common equity.
21		
22	Q.	If MAWC's rates were based on an alternate capital structure, such as American
23		Water's consolidated capital structure, would that affect MAWC's earnings?

t s

Page 10 MAWC – DT-SWR

1 A. Yes it would, and the effect would be significant. As I mentioned above, MAWC's 2 rate base is funded by the amounts of debt and equity that comprise its capital structure. 3 Those percentages of debt and equity influence the WACC and, thus, the calculation 4 of MAWC's allowed earnings. Therefore, if rates in this case are set using an equity 5 ratio lower than that supporting MAWC's rate base, MAWC's actual earnings will be 6 lower than that resulting from use of MAWC's actual capital structure. In addition, use 7 of the American Water consolidated capital structure would not give MAWC the 8 opportunity to earn the allowed rate of return on equity that the Commission authorizes 9 in this case.

10

11 Q. Please provide an example of how this would work.

A. Assume a company has an actual capital structure of 50% equity and 50% debt and has a 10% cost of equity and a 6% cost of debt. Its WACC will be 8%. If, however, rates are set on a 40% equity ratio and a 60% debt ratio using the same capital cost rates, the WACC allowed for ratemaking will be only 7.6%. Given the fact that the 50-50 equity ratio has not changed, the 40 basis point shortfall between the actual WACC and that allowed in ratemaking will produce an actual opportunity rate of return on equity of only 9.2% instead of the 10% deemed reasonable.

19

Q. How would setting rates based on a lower equity ratio than that which is actually supporting MAWC's rate base affect Missouri-American?

A. The Company would be significantly disadvantaged vis-à-vis other American Water
 operating utilities in the competition for discretionary capital to invest in MAWC
 infrastructure and efficiency-improving investments. For example, for comparison Ms.

4

÷

1		Bulkley notes that PA-American and NJ-American have capital structures with equity
2		ratios of 51.69% and 52.00%, respectively and have rates in place that reflect those
3		equity ratios. If PA-American and NJ-American are awarded approximately the same
4		ROE as MAWC, but MAWC has an equity ratio that is significantly lower, those two
5		companies will have superior earnings to that of MAWC, all else equal. That would
6		put MAWC at a substantial disadvantage in relation to its sister companies in the
7		competition for discretionary capital to invest in much needed infrastructure. I would
8		also note that Iowa-American Water Company and Illinois-American Water Company
9		have capital structures containing authorized equity ratios of 52.04% and 49.80%,
10		respectively.
11		
11 12	Q.	Why is MAWC's actual equity ratio higher than the American Water
	Q.	Why is MAWC's actual equity ratio higher than the American Water consolidated company equity ratio?
12	Q. A.	•
12 13	-	consolidated company equity ratio?
12 13 14	-	consolidated company equity ratio? Earnings are either retained in the operating company to be invested in its operations,
12 13 14 15	-	consolidated company equity ratio?Earnings are either retained in the operating company to be invested in its operations, or paid to the parent company as a dividend. In the case of MAWC, a portion of
12 13 14 15 16	-	consolidated company equity ratio?Earnings are either retained in the operating company to be invested in its operations, or paid to the parent company as a dividend. In the case of MAWC, a portion of earnings have been retained and reinvested in the operating subsidiary. In other words,
12 13 14 15 16 17	-	 consolidated company equity ratio? Earnings are either retained in the operating company to be invested in its operations, or paid to the parent company as a dividend. In the case of MAWC, a portion of earnings have been retained and reinvested in the operating subsidiary. In other words, earnings retained by MAWC have caused MAWC's common equity to grow over time
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	-	consolidated company equity ratio? Earnings are either retained in the operating company to be invested in its operations, or paid to the parent company as a dividend. In the case of MAWC, a portion of earnings have been retained and reinvested in the operating subsidiary. In other words, earnings retained by MAWC have caused MAWC's common equity to grow over time and, in addition, are actually supporting MAWC's rate base. The table below illustrates

ł ,

2016	216,782,519.16
2015	203,747,398.97
2014	192,679,799.78
2013	182,065,537.92
2012	169,604,677.24
2011	158,162,156.16
2010	146,201,758.36
2009	140,212,350.80
2008	134,904,077.40
CAGR	6.11%

- 1
- 2

Q. How could MAWC bring its actual capital structure into line with a capital
structure authorized for ratemaking purposes that has a lower equity ratio than
the Company's actual capital structure?

ł

6 A. To the extent that the Company were to manage its capital structure to a ratemaking 7 equity ratio that is lower than its actual equity ratio, the earnings of MAWC would not 8 be retained for reinvestment in local operations but would be paid to the parent 9 company as a dividend, or a series of dividends. In addition, MAWC could forego 10 equity infusions from the parent company until such time as its actual equity ratio 11 approximated the equity ratio reflected in the capital structure approved for ratemaking 12 purposes.

13

14 Q. How would that affect MAWC's risk profile?

A. MAWC would have less cash available to invest in operations and its financial risk
 profile and ability to respond to any financial downturn or periods of financial stress
 could be weakened. The better option is for the Commission to set rates based on the
 Company's stand-alone capital structure, which, not coincidentally, conforms quite
 well to the average equity ratio of the proxy group of publicly-traded water companies.
 Page 13 MAWC – DT-SWR

- 1
- Q. Based on the results of industry comparisons you have presented what do you
 conclude with respect to MAWC's future test year capital structure and the
 American Water consolidated capital structure?
- 5 A. MAWC's future test year capital structure is reasonable from a cost standpoint, based 6 on the proximity of its degree of financial leverage to that of the proxy group. Thus, 7 MAWC's capital structure is reasonable for ratemaking purposes. Further, the use of 8 American Water's consolidated capital structure in this case would warrant a higher 9 allowed rate of return on equity to compensate investors for the higher level of financial 10 risk indicated by American Water's capital structure relative to that of MAWC's. This 11 is explained in greater detail in Ms. Bulkley's Direct Testimony.
- 12

Thus, for the three reasons described and supported above, MAWC's capital structure,
and not the American Water consolidated company capital structure, should be used to
calculate MAWC's WACC.

- 16
- 17 Q. Did you make any pro forma adjustments to MAWC's principal amount of long18 term debt?

A. Yes, I did. The Company's pro forma principal amount of long-term debt at May 31,
20 2019 reflects two long-term debt issuances projected to occur during the forecast
21 period. The first is a \$70,000,000 issuance planned for mid-July 2017. This is expected
22 to be a thirty-year taxable bond issued through American Water Capital Corp.
23 ("AWCC"), which is American Water's financing subsidiary. The assumed interest
24 rate on this new issuance is 4.266%. The second is a \$55,000,000 issuance projected

Page 14 MAWC – DT-SWR

1		to occur on May 31, 2018. This is also expected to be a thirty-year taxable bond issued
2		through AWCC. The assumed interest rate on this new issuance is 4.34%. Issuance
3		costs are projected to be 1.00% for each of the new bonds. In addition, MAWC's long-
4		term debt carrying value was adjusted to reflect the amortization of debt issuance
5		expense and debt discount that will occur during the pro forma period. The pro forma
6		carrying value of long-term debt at May 31, 2019 is \$630,972,137 as shown on
7		Schedule SWR-1, pages 1 and 7. This balance reflects the use of 13-month average
8		balances for face amount outstanding, unamortized debt expense, and unamortized debt
9		discount for the future test year ending May 31, 2019.
10		
11	Q.	What is MAWC's cost of long-term debt?
12	A.	MAWC's cost of long-term debt for the future test year ending May 31, 2019 is 5.24%,
13		as shown on Schedule SWR-1, page 1. The computation of this cost is shown on
14		Schedule SWR-1, page 7.
15		
16	Q.	Please describe AWCC.
17	A.	AWCC is a corporation organized under Delaware law with its principal office in
18		Voorhees, New Jersey. AWCC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Water
19		dedicated to providing financial services to American Water's water and wastewater
20		service subsidiaries by aggregating the financing requirements of such subsidiaries, and
21		creating larger and more cost efficient debt issues at more attractive interest rates and
22		lower transaction costs than would otherwise be available for the subsidiaries.
23		

23

Page 15 MAWC – DT-SWR

٢

)

1	Q.	Does AWCC provide a cost-effective means for MAWC to obtain long-term debt
2		financing?
3	A.	Yes, it does. AWCC is generally able to arrange for the issuance of long-term debt on
4		terms more favorable than MAWC could obtain if it issued its own debt outside of
5		AWCC (i.e., obtaining debt from a third-party lender). MAWC also incurs lower
6		transaction costs because of its participation in the AWCC financing arrangement.
7		
8	Q.	How does AWCC recover the costs incurred to provide financial services to
9		MAWC and other participants?
10	A.	The costs incurred by AWCC in connection with each long-term borrowing by AWCC
11		are divided among each participant in proportion to the principal amount of that
12		borrowing that is loaned to that participant. Such issuance costs are less (per dollar of
13		debt issued) than the costs that each participant (including MAWC) would incur by
14		issuing debt on its own behalf.
15		
16	Q.	Please explain the pro forma adjustment you made to MAWC's preferred stock
17		balance.
18	A.	I started with the Company's preferred stock balance as of December 31, 2016 and then
19		made adjustments to reflect sinking fund payments of \$250,000 that will occur on
20		November 1, 2017 and November 1, 2018, and the appropriate amortization of the
21		issuance expense that will occur during the pro forma period. The Company's pro
22		forma adjusted preferred stock balance is \$597,262, as shown on Schedule SWR-1,
23		pages 1 and 10. This balance reflects the use of 13-month average balances for face

t s

Page 16 MAWC – DT-SWR

1		amount outstanding and unamortized issuance expense for the future test year ending
2		May 31, 2019.
3		
4	Q.	What is MAWC's cost of preferred stock?
5	A.	MAWC's cost of preferred stock for the future test year ending May 31, 2019 is 9.70%,
6		as shown on Schedule SWR-1, pages 1 and 10.
7		
8	Q.	Please explain the pro forma adjustments you made to MAWC's common equity
9		balance.
10	A.	Starting with the Company's actual common equity balance at December 31, 2016, I
11		made a pro forma adjustment to reflect MAWC's \$64,000,000 common equity infusion
12		planned for October 2017 in the form of paid-in capital from its parent, American
13		Water. American Water currently owns 100% of the outstanding common stock of
14		MAWC. The funds from this equity infusion will be used to pay down short-term debt
15		that had been employed to temporarily fund additions to utility property.
16		
17	Q.	What other adjustment did you make to MAWC's common equity balance?
18	A.	I adjusted MAWC's December 31, 2016 retained earnings balance, which is a
19		component of common equity, to capture the changes expected to occur between
20		December 31, 2016 and the end of the future test year, May 31, 2019. First, I added
21		net income and subtracted dividend payments expected to occur during the period from
22		December 31, 2016 to May 31, 2018, which results in a net pro forma increase to
23		retained earnings of \$12,970,816. Adding that increment to the December 31, 2016
24		retained earnings balance, along with the planned \$64,000,000 planned equity infusion

Page 17 MAWC – DT-SWR

t).

1		to paid in capital, produces a total pro forma common equity balance of \$646,564,091
2		at May 31, 2018, as shown on Schedule SWR-1, pages 2 and 13. Next, I added net
3		income and subtracted dividend payments expected to occur during the period from
4		May 31, 2018 to May 31, 2019, which results in a net pro forma increase to retained
5		earnings of \$18,517,949. Adding that to the May 31, 2018 retained earnings balance
6		produces a total pro forma common equity balance of \$665,082,040 at May 31, 2019,
7		as shown on Schedule SWR-1, pages 1 and 14. The thirteen-month average common
8		equity balance of \$658,276,723 for the future test year is also shown on Schedule SWR-
9		1, pages 1 and 14.
10		
11	Q.	Have you reviewed the testimony of Ms. Bulkley, the Company's cost of equity
12		witness in this case?
13	٨	Yes, I have.
15	A.	1 CS, 1 Have.
13	A.	
	А. Q.	What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this
14		
14 15		What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this
14 15 16	Q.	What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this case?
14 15 16 17	Q.	What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this case? The Company has requested and used a cost of equity of 10.80%. This cost of common
14 15 16 17 18	Q.	What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this case? The Company has requested and used a cost of equity of 10.80%. This cost of common equity lies at the upper portion of a range of returns on equity developed and
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this case? The Company has requested and used a cost of equity of 10.80%. This cost of common equity lies at the upper portion of a range of returns on equity developed and recommended by Ms. Bulkley, and is applied to the Company's pro forma capital
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this case? The Company has requested and used a cost of equity of 10.80%. This cost of common equity lies at the upper portion of a range of returns on equity developed and recommended by Ms. Bulkley, and is applied to the Company's pro forma capital structure to arrive at the 8.07% overall weighted cost of capital proposed in the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	What cost rate have you applied to MAWC's common equity component in this case? The Company has requested and used a cost of equity of 10.80%. This cost of common equity lies at the upper portion of a range of returns on equity developed and recommended by Ms. Bulkley, and is applied to the Company's pro forma capital structure to arrive at the 8.07% overall weighted cost of capital proposed in the

1 5

Schedule SWR-1 Page 1 of 14

١

)

Missouri-American Water Company Weighted Average Cost of Capital Pro Forma for the Thirteen-Month Average Ending May 31, 2019 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

<u>Class of Capital</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Percent <u>of Total</u>	Cost <u>Rate</u>	Weighted Cost of <u>Capital</u>
Short-Term Debt	\$0	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%
Long-Term Debt	630,972,137	48.92%	5.24%	2.56%
Preferred Stock	597,262	0.05%	9.70%	0.00%
Common Equity	658,276,723	51.03%	10.80%	5.51%
Total Capitalization	\$1,289,846,122	100.00%	-	8.07%

Schedule SWR-1 Page 2 of 14

Missouri-American Water Company Weighted Average Cost of Capital Pro Forma at May 31, 2018 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

١,

í

<u>Class of Capital</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Percent <u>of Total</u>	Cost <u>Rate</u>	Weighted Cost of <u>Capital</u>
Short-Term Debt	\$0	0.00%	1.53%	0.00%
Long-Term Debt	630,595,930	49.35%	5.25%	2.5 9 %
Preferred Stock	731,167	0.06%	9.61%	0.01%
Common Equity	646,564,091	50.59%	10.80%	5.46%
Total Capitalization	\$1,277,891,188	100.00%	_	8.06%

Schedule SWR-1 Page 3 of 14

۱

ţ.

Missouri-American Water Company Weighted Average Cost of Capital As of December 31, 2016 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

<u>Class of Capital</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Percent <u>of Total</u>	Cost <u>Rate</u>	Weighted Cost of <u>Capital</u>
Short-Term Debt	\$48,003,822	4.08%	0.92%	0.04%
Long-Term Debt	558,709,112	47.46%	5.20%	2.47%
Preferred Stock	979,153	0.08%	9.52%	0.01%
Common Equity	569,593,275	48.38%	10.80%	5.23%
Total Capitalization	\$1,177,285,361	100.00%	-	7.75%

Schedule SWR-1 Page 4 of 14

Missouri-American Water Company Short-Term Debt Average for Thirteen Months Ending May 31, 2019 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

	ST Debt	CWIP	Interest
<u>Date</u>	<u>Balance (1)</u>	Balance (1)	<u>Rate (1)</u>
5/31/2018	\$11,539,265	\$28,393,989	
6/30/2018	30,375,138	30,870,237	
7/31/2018	31,460,940	33,740,872	
8/31/2018	33,046,126	37,860,383	
9/30/2018	31,481,679	41,512,872	
10/31/2018	42,628,031	48,487,831	
11/30/2018	48,215,549	51,403,368	
12/31/2018	6,583,635	21,134,669	
1/31/2019	-6,407,747	16,600,657	
2/28/2019	-3,080,807	19,007,750	
3/31/2019	12,177,709	29,851,758	
4/30/2019	14,019,730	31,228,433	
5/31/2019	19,756,557	33,547,881	2.00%
Average Balance	\$20,907,370	\$32,587,746	
Net Short Term Debt		\$0	

(1) Forecasted data

í ì

Schedule SWR-1 Page 5 of 14

Missouri-American Water Company Short-Term Debt Average for Thriteen Months Ending May 31, 2018 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

Date	ST Debt <u>Balance (1)</u>	CWIP <u>Balance (1)</u>	Interest <u>Rate (1)</u>
5/31/2017	\$56,813,895	\$29,320,257	
6/30/2017	72,316,192	36,497,116	
7/31/2017	12,131,600	39,980,895	
8/31/2017	11,911,902	47,921,573	
9/30/2017	18,678,100	60,196,809	
10/31/2017	28,896,237	69,869,855	
11/30/2017	29,933,121	75,444,558	
12/31/2017	8,711,381	12,450,040	
1/31/2018	1,511,045	10,673,403	
2/28/2018	2,409,884	11,764,555	
3/31/2018	16,756,332	22,103,467	
4/30/2018	18,274,275	24,107,174	
5/31/2018	11,539,265	28,393,989	1.53%
Average Balance	\$22,298,710	\$36,055,668	
Net Short Term Debt		\$0	

(1) Forecasted data

Schedule SWR-1 Page 6 of 14

Missouri-American Water Company Short-Term Debt Average for Thirteen Months Ended December 31, 2016 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

	ST Debt	CWIP	Interest
<u>Date</u>	Balance	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Rate</u>
12/31/2015	\$27,200,689	\$64,263,062	
1/31/2016	58,934,805	2,476,861	
2/29/2016	69,565,367	7,199,155	
3/31/2016	94,850,842	10,313,831	
4/30/2016	104,210,814	14,027,264	
5/31/2016	109,620,349	17,302,043	
6/30/2016	114,530,070	16,782,900	
7/31/2016	79,343,408	15,377,545	
8/31/2016	69,276,704	15,457,685	
9/30/2016	70,624,455	16,541,671	
10/31/2016	68,655,867	13,867,312	
11/30/2016	-35,700,710	15,552,081	
12/31/2016	20,937,510	18,839,080	0.9233%
Average Balance	\$65,542,321	\$17,538,499	
Net Short Term Debt		\$48,003,822	

Sched Je Se¹ Fage 70/14

) ()

Missouri-American Watur Company Pro Forma Cest of Long-Torin Daits for Thitsen-Morth Average Ending May 31, 2019 Case No. 59-2017-0216

											Unamorated				Unamortized					
							Arrourt				55-8-CE				Debt	Cartying				
							Outstanding 13-Month	Unamortized		Kerchy	Ectore	Unamorated		Marthey	Discount	Vz1.e	6.0.2	Annual		
			Maturity	Frincipal	Arrount Outstanding	Fro Forma		89.54		Amortantion	13-Моте́л	Dekt		Anortexton	13-Morth	13-14-55	Amontization		ken k	
Subjection	Fate	Date:	Dete		€ 12/31/13		Average Erong	Ectarse	Fro Forma		Average Loong		Pro Farma	C+614		Average Endra	Debt	Dett	Interest.	Total
20170042			05/31/48	Arrowet 555.000.000	\$55,000,000	Alustraria	5/31/2019	<u>@ 12/31/19</u>	<u> 14: strets</u>	Ecerse	5/31/2019	<u>e 11/31/11</u>		Discourt	5/31/2019	5/31/2019	Die:H	Discutt	Ere et	Cost
E0170041			07/15/47	71000000			\$55,000,000	\$533,306		\$1,115	\$\$42,833			\$1,528	\$\$42,533			\$12,333	\$2,317,055	\$2,415,178
6017:040			12/01/45	107,480,000	70,000,000		70,000.000	665,273		2.003	655,954			1544	657,917	68 645 129		23, 333	2555340	3,033707
ED170033			09/01/45	50,000,000	50,000,000		107,493,000	1,043,453		3,133	1045,813			2,451	133,615			29,772	4,299,200	4,399564
60170005			(6/01/27	\$,000,000	£.000,000		\$0,000,000	455,435		1,454	456,859			1 8 2 5	\$\$5,755			21,900	2,153,000	2,113353
F0170006			01/01/25	3,000,000	3 000,000		0,000,000	31,975		317	32,295					7,567,7-4		٥	£232CO	6.1.99B
80170007			03/01/34	12,500,000			3200.000	16,000		216	16.216					7,593,784		¢	257,400	25:393
50170007			10/15/37	103.000.000	12,500,000		12,500,000	126.97		693	127,648					12 372 332		0	232,500	9X,170
20170019			05/31/23	71,000,000	70.000.000			651,212		Z #38	654,100					102.345,900		0	6,790,790	6,521444
E0173021			10/15/97				70,000,000	77,074		1,458	78,542					F9,921,458		Đ	4 545 000	4.6 617
60170024			10/15/37	25,000,000	25,000,000		25,000,000	0		0	0					25,000,000		0	1212500	1,262,990
60170025			1.915/37	18,292,000	18,292,000		15,292,000	•		0	0					15,292,000	0	D	930,581	SX 551
50170026			10/15/17	10,443,000	10,544,000		10,544,000	0		q	0					10,544,000	0	D	538,592	538,992
60170027			10/15/17	1426.000	ŏ		0	0		0	o					0	¢	0	0	0
20170032			10/16/17	2059000	ŏ			0			0					Q	0	0	0	0
80170033			10/16/17	7,906,000						0	0					D	0	0	Q	0
E0170034			10/15/17		0		0	0			0					0	D	0	0	0
£0173035			13/15/17	11,429,000						0	0					0	0	0	0	G
60173028			13/15/17	16,159,000 2.331,000			1 221 570	0		0	0					0	0	0	o	a
60173029			12/15/37		2,331,000		2,331,500	U.		0	0					2,331,000	ç	¢	114,219	114219
B0170030			10/15/37	10,354,000 13.061,000	10.354,000		10,364,000	0		0	0					10,364,000	0	0	\$27,\$36	507,836
50170031			10/15/37	72,712,000	13,081,000		13,081,000	0			0					13,081,000	0	0	640,569	£4_969
80170036			12/01/42	15.000.000			22,712,000			0	0					22,712,000	0	D	1112855	1,1126-4
80170037					15,000,000		15,000,000	122,144		425	172,570	21,766		76	21,642	14,855,588	5,1:6	910	E45,000	651016
E0170033			12/21/21 03/01/24	25,000,000	20,000,000		20,000,000	0		0	0					23,000,000	0	¢	680,000	680 303
60170006			01/01/23	4,550,000	25,000,000		25,000,000	55,410		1 545	59,997	50,138		8.9	50,997	21,243,036	19,037	9,703	512 500	991240
6017:009					0		0	51,923		1682	\$3,605					-53,005	12.581	0	0	12581
60170030			62/51/21 07/01/26	4,500,000 £ 009,000	0		0	537,258		608	137,416					-137,816	7,332	D	0	7,302
B0170011			11/30/28	19,000,000	0		•	111,664		1,241	112,955					-112,905	14,853	0	0	14469
60170013			63,401/30	29,000,000	0		0	0		0	°					0	0	¢	0	o
80170014			64/01/32		0		-	650,687		2,885	£53,573					-653,573	34,£26	0	o	34,626
ED170017				15,000,000	•		0	•		0	0					0	0	¢	0	0
E0173020			12/01/45 12/01/34	57,453,000 25,000,000	0		0	554,518		2 # 32	557,349					-557,349	33,591	0	0	31991
B0350006 - Q			11/30/29		0		0	420,106		6,772	426,878					-426,878	A1 266	0	0	81,265
ED350007-R			(1/31/21	0 0	ő		0	311,125		2,375	313,500					-313,500	28,500	0	0	28 500
50350008 - 5	0.50CN			0			0	137,550		5,518	143,458					-143,463	66,215	Ð	0	66216
80350009 - 1			02/01/23		ŏ		0	200,651		5,423	206.074					-206,074	65,075	0	0	65.076
80350010 - U				15,000,000	•		0	106 191		2,157	128,348					-108,343	26,003	Ģ	0	26.003
£0350011 - Y			06/01/25	12,000,000	0		0	126,627		1,645	121,271					-128 271	19,734	0	Ð	19,734
E0350013-X				19,900,000	0		0	244,732		2,845	251,379					-251 379	31,753	o	0	31,753
ED350014 - Y				25,000,000 40,000,000	0		0			0	0					Q	٥	0	0	0
ED330014-1	3000	03/01/39	03,01/14	40,000,000	5		U	€82,645		3,027	685,672					-685,E72	35,327	0	0	36,327
			_																	
			_	\$555.425.000	\$541 754 090	50	\$541 704,000	\$7.977.279	57	\$53.525	\$4,030,854	\$2,692,306	\$2	\$1.653	\$2,703,959	\$530,977,137	\$647.245	61.53.63.	\$42.132.270	()) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

<u>5555 655 000 5561 7040001</u> 50 5561 704000 5<u>7,977 59 551 555</u> 514 630 864 52 692 <u>51663</u> 52 703 569 5550 577 117 5642 766 51 03553 577 534 031513

Cost of Long-Term Debt = (Total Cost / Cartying Value)

\$245

Schedule SWR-1 Fage 8 of 14

Missouri-Amerikan Water Company Pro Forma Cost of Long-Term Debt at May 31, 2018 Case No. WR-2017 4285 Case No. SR-2017-4286

							Fra Facera	Unamortrad		North	Unamortized	Unamortized		Watty	Unamortzed		k nal	Annual		
					Arost		Arcust	hs are		Americation	bsuarce	Debt		Anortzation	Dest	Carnying	Amontasticn	Amontation	Annual	
		55.4	Maturity	rincipal	Outstanding	Fro Forma	Outstanding	Exc erse	Pro Forma	Debt	Ligense	Oscourt.	Fro Forma	Debt	D stored	10	Dett	Dest	inter <i>e</i> st	Total
Stieten	2.0	Cate	Date	ACC 11	£ 12/31/15	Advetments	£ 5/31/18	£ 12/31/16	Advantagents	Lorse .	<u># 5/31/11</u>	<u>¢ 12/31/15</u>	Adustriants	Discount	<u>e.v.u/1</u>	<u>@ 3/31/11</u>	Expense	Discount	<u>Essente</u>	Cost
60170042		05/31/18	05/31/48		\$0	\$\$5,000,000	\$55,000,000		\$\$50,000	\$1.57Z			\$550,000		\$\$50,000	\$\$3,500,000		\$18,393	\$2,397,055	52,423,722
60170041	4.268	07/15/17	07/15/47	Û	0	70,000,000	70,000,000		700,000	1,914	679,513		730,000		679,583	68,540,834		23,333	2.525,340	3,033,007
1017:040	4,00056	11/17/15	12/5/1/45	107,450,000	107,450,000		107,460,000	1,118,653		3,133	1,045,409	890,676		2,451	141.501	105,566,050		29,772	4,299,200	4,356.554
EO17033	4 3025	Ce/13/15	C9, V1/45	50,000,000	50 000 000		50,000,000	500,317		1,454	475,555	627,770		1,825	555,745	43,927,660		21,900	7,150,000	2 159 351
B0170005	7,790%	06,01/97	CE 01/27	8,009,000	\$,000,000		8,000,000	39,576		317	34,195					7,965,805		e	623,200	626,998
E0173006	8 3557N	64/21/95	03, 01/25	3,000,000	3,000,000		3,000,000	21.187		215	17,513					2,592,437		0	257,430	259,593
£0170007	7147	03/16/94	03/01/34	12,500,000	12,500,000		12,500,000	143,759		658	331,453					12,368,147		0	\$92,500	500,570
E017:015	6.593%	12/22/07	12/15/37	103,000,000	\$33,000,000		103,000,000	720,521		2 & 3 3	671,427					102.328.573		0	6,790,790	6,825,444
£017:013	6.550%	C2/01/08	05/31/23	70,000,000	70,000,600		70,000,000	112.5.7		1,4f8	87,350					19,912,850		0	4,585,600	4,602,617
8017.021	5.050%	11/21/11	10/15/37	25,000,000	25,000,000		25,000,000	0		0	D					25,000,000		0	1,262,530	1262,500
E0170024	4.925%	€€/11/12	10/15/37	18,292,000	11,292,000		18,292,000	0		0	0					18,292,000		0	900,831	900 851
1017025			1./15/37	10,544,000	13,544,000		10,944,000	0		0	0					10,544,030		0	538,992	533,592
ED170026			1/15/17	10,443,000	10,443,000	[10.443,000]	Ð	0		0	0					0	0	0	0	0
60170027			12/15/17	3,426,000	3,226,000	(3,828,000)	0	0		0	0					0	0	0	0	0
60170032			12/16/17	2,019,000	2,069,000	[2.069,000]	٥	0		o	0					0	0	0	0	0
ED170033			1:/16/17	7,906,000	7,506,000	(7,515,000)	٥	0		0	0					0	0	0	0	0
60170034			10/15/17	11,429,000	11,429,000		¢	0		¢	¢					0	0	0	0	0
ED170035	2.600%	07/02/12	20/15/17	16,198,000	16 195,000	(16 158,000)	0	0		0	Q					0	e	0	٥	0
6017025	4 900%	07/52/12	12/15/37	2.331.000	2331090		2,331,000	0		0	0					2,331,000	0	0	114,219	114 219
10170329	4.900N	07/02/12	13/15/37	10,364,000	10.354,000		13354,000	0		0	D					10.364.000	0	Ď	507,836	527,835
E0170630	4,5005	07/22/12	10/15/17	13,061,000	13,011,000		13,001,009	0		0	0					13,081,000		0	640,569	640,569
60170031	4,900%	07/02/12	10/15/37	22,712,000	22,712.000		22,712,000	0		0	0					22,712,000		0	1,112,653	1 112,888
60170035	4.300%	12/17/12	12/21/42	15,000,000	15,000,000		15,001,000	132,357		426	125,123	23,585		75	22,297	14,852,580		910	645,000	651,016
F0170037			12/21/21	20,000,000	28,009,000		20,000,000	0		0	0					20,000,000		0	680,000	680,000
60170038			61,51/24	25,003,000	25,000,000		25,000,000	135,454		1.585	109,515	19,554		809	55,811	24,134,667	33,637	9,703	552,500	991,240
EO17008			02/01/23	4,953,000	D		0	77,845		1,682	59,455					-59,455	12 591	•	0	12,521
1017009			02/51/24	4,500,000	0		0	151.811		608	141,457					-141,657	7,332	0	0	7,352
10170019			07/01/26	6,000,000	٥		o	141,441		1,241	120,349					-120,343	14,223	0	0	14 489
F017011			11/30/24	19,000,000	D		0	103,419		٥	0					0	0	0	0	Ð
\$0173013	5.900%	03,01/00	03/01/30	29,000,000	٥		0	719,540		2,685	670,826					-670,425	34 625	0	0	34,626
60170014			64,01/32	15,000,000	0		0	88,452		e	0					0		0	0	0
\$0170017	4.600%	12/13/16	32/01/45	57,430,000	٥		Q	1,022,479		2,632	974,340					-974,340	33,531	0	0	33,581
ED170020			12/01/38	25,000,000	¢.		0	582,688		6,772	457,511					-467,511	\$1,266	0	0	\$1,266
60350006 - Q	0.0005	61/01/05	11/33/23	0	0		0	358,125		2,375	327,750					327,750	28,500	o	Q	28,500
PD350007 - R	0.000%	61/01/05	01/31/21	0	Ŷ		0	270,382		5,518	176,575					-176,575	65,215	0	0	£6,216
60350008 - S			01/31/21	0	0		0	803,633		5,423	235,\$12					-238,612	65,075	0	0	65,076
60350009 - 1			C2/C1/23	15,080,093	0		a	155,157		2 167	121,349					-221,343	26,003	0	0	26,003
			CC, C1/25	12,000,000	0		0	166,055		1,645	138,138					-132,133	19,734	0	0	17,734
60350011 - V			11/01/26	19,500,000	0		0	312.239		2,645	267,255					-267,255	31 753	0	D	31,753
60350913 - X			C3/C1/28	25,070,090	¢		Q	79,927		0	0						0	0	0	0
80350014 - Y	5 000%	63,701/99	03/01/29	40,000,000	0		0	755,299		3,027	7:3,836					-703.635	36,327	0	0	36,327
			_																	

5441 455.000 5565.575.000 511739.000 5541 764 000 51 254.590 51 254.590 51 253.000 513.477 59355 116 51 511 598 51 250.000 54 663 51.797 545 5528.585 530 5545 550 557 537 537 537 531 681 751

Cost of Long-Term Debt + [Totel Cost / Certying Value]

5.25%

Schedule Shill Page 9 of 14

) (¹)

Missoori-Araerican Water Congaay Cost of Long-Term Dabt at December 31, 2016 Case No. W7-2017-0285 Case No. 58-2017-0285

						Unamortized	Monthly	Uramortized	Monthly		Anneal	Anneal		
					Accurt	Issuance	Amortization	Dett	Amortization	Carrying	Amortization	Amortization	Annual	
		issue	Maturity	Principal	Dutstanding	Expense	Debt	Decount	Debt	Ya ve	Debt	Debt	Interest	Total
Sto'ebrer	Pate	Date	Date	<u>Arrow - 1</u>	0 12/51/16	@ 12/31/16	Expense	£ 12/31/16	Discount	<u>© 12/31/15</u>	Expense	Discount	Expense	Cost
ED170040	4 000%	11/17/16	12/01/45	\$107,450,000	\$107,450,000	\$1,118,663	\$3,133	\$890,878	\$2,431	\$105,470,659	\$37,592	\$29,772	\$4,259,200	\$4,366,554
5D170033	43.0%	CE/13/15	09/01/45	50,000,000	50,000,000	500,317	1,454	627,770	1,825	43,871,913	17,451	21,500	2,150,000	2,189,351
60170005	7.737%	CE/01/97	06/01/27	8,000,000	8,000,000	39,576	317			7,550,424	3,758	0	623,200	626,558
50170006	8.580%	04/21/95	03/01/25	3,000,000	3,000,000	21,167	215			2,978,813	2,593	0	257,400	259,593
50170007	7.140%	03/16/94	03/01/34	12,500,000	12,500,000	143,710	6 98			12,356,290	\$,370	0	892,500	900,870
5D170018	6.593¥	10/22/07	10/15/37	103,000,000	103,000,000	720,521	2,558			102,279,479	34,654	o	6,790,790	6,825,414
6D170019	6 550%	68/01/08	05/31/23	70,000,000	70,000,000	112,307	1,458			69,287,693	17,617	0	4,585,000	4,602,517
6D170021	5 060%	11/21/11	10/15/37	25,000,000	25,000,000	0	0			25,000,000	0	0	1,262,500	1,262,500
6D170024	4 925%	CE/11/12	10/15/37	18,292,000	18,292,000	0	0			15,292,000	0	0	900,281	900,881
6D170025	4 9 2 5 %	CE/11/12	10/15/37	10,944,000	10,944,000	Q	0			10,944,000	0	0	538,592	538,592
80170026	2.650%	CE/11/12	10/15/17	10,443,000	10,443,000	0	0			10,443,000	0	0	276,740	275,740
50170027	2.650%	C6/11/12	10/15/17	3,826,000	3,826,000	o	D			3,826,000	0	0	101,359	101,389
60170092	2.800%	07/02/12	10/15/17	2,069,000	2,069,000	0	0			2,049,000	0	0	\$7,532	57,932
60170033	2 500%	07/02/12	10/16/17	7,906,000	7,906,000	0	0			7,526,000	0	0	221,368	221,368
60170034	2.800%	07/02/32	10/15/17	11,429,000	11,429,000	0	0			11,429,000	0	D	320,012	320,012
5D170035	2.500%	07/02/12	10/15/17	16,153,000	16,158,000	0	0			16,153,000	o	0	453.544	453,544
8D170028	4.900%	07/02/12	10/15/37	2,331,000	2,331,000	0	0			2,331,000	0	0	314,219	114,219
6D170029	4500%	07/02/12	10/15/37	10,354,000	10,354,000	0	0			10,364,000	¢	e	507,536	507,835
BD170050	4,500%	07/02/12	10/15/37	13,081,000	13,061,000	0	0			13,061,000	0	¢	640,559	640,959
6D170031	4 900%	07/02/12	10/15/37	22,712,000	22,712,000	0	0			22,712,000	0	c	1,112,883	1,112,888
80170035	4 330%	12/17/12	12/01/42	15,000,000	15,000,000	132,357	426	23,515	76	14,844,057	5,105	910	645,000	651,016
BD170037	3.400%	07/31/13	12/21/21	20,000,000	20,000,000	0	0			20,000,000	0	0	ES0,000	650,000
5D170038	3.850%	11/20/13	03/01/24	25,000,000	25,000,000	136,484	1,586	69,564	809	24,733,952	19,037	9,703	552,500	\$91,240
80170006	5.500%	05/12/93	01/01/23	4,550,000	0	77.535	1.062			-77.635	12 581	0	0	12,531
BD170009	5 000%	02/01/53	02/01/28	4,500,000	٥	151,811	505			-151.632	7,302	0	D	7,302
6D170010	5.850%	07/28/55	07/01/25	6,000,000	0	141,441	1,241			-141,441	14,859	0	0	14,833
BD170011	5 000%	11/01/58	11/30/28	19,000,000	0	103,419	10,825			-103,419	0	٥	0	0
6D170013	\$ \$00%	03/01/00	03/01/30	29,000,000	o	719,940	2.856			-719,940	34,626	0	0	34,626
50170014	5 200%	04/01/02	04/01/32	15,000,000	¢	88,493	9,316			-83,495		D	c	0
50170017	4 600%	12/19/16	12/01/45	57,450,000	0	1,022,479	2,832			-1,022,479	33,531	0	0	33,951
5D170020	8 250%	02/04/09	12/01/58	25,000,000	0	582,638	5,772			-582,638	81,765	D	0	\$1.265
6D350005 - Q	0.000%	01/01/05	11/50/29	0	0	353 125	2,375			-345 125	28,500	0	0	28,500
6D350007 - R	0.000%	01/01/05	01/31/21	D	D	270 382	5,51B			-270,312	65,216	٥	0	65,216
8D350008 - S	0.000%	01/01/05	01/31/21	0	D	330,503	5,423			-330,503	65,076	ò	0	65,076
8D350009 - T		02/01/93		15,000,000	0	158,187	2,167			-158,167	26,003	ō	ō	25,003
6-D350010 - U		06,/01/95		12,000,000	0	166 095	1,645			-165,065	19,73-4	ō	0	19,734
6D350011 - V		11/01/55		19,900,000	ō	312,239	2,645			-312,239	31,753	ō	0	31,753
BD350013 - X		03/01/93		25,000,000	ō	79,927	8,413			-73,927	0	ů.	0	0
50350014 - Y		03/01/59		40,000,000	ō	755,299	3,027			-755,299	36,327	0	õ	36,327
					-		-,			,		-		
			-											

\$\$41,405,000 \$\$553,575,000 \$8,254,290 \$79,021 \$1,611,555 \$5,150 \$555,709,112 \$504,873 \$62,285 \$28,394,860 \$29,062,017

Cost of Long-Term Debt × [Total Cost / Carrying Value]

5.20%

Schedule SWR-1 Page 10 of 14

9.70%

Missouri-American Water Company Pro Forma Cost of Preferred Stock for Thirteen-Month Average Ending May 31, 2019 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

<u>Type, Par Value</u>	Dividend <u>Rate</u>	Date <u>Issued</u>	Amount Outstanding @ 12/31/18	<u>Adjustments</u>	Amount Outstanding 13-Month Average Ending <u>6/30/2019</u>	Unarnortized Issuance Expense @ 12/31/18	<u>Adjustments</u>	Unamortized Issuance Expense 13-Month Average Ending <u>6/30/2019</u>	Catrying Value <u>5/30/2019</u>	Annua! <u>Amortization</u>	Annuai Dividends	Total Annual <u>Cost</u>
Preference Stock \$100 par	9.18%	10/3/91	5500,000	\$115,385	\$615,385	\$18,004	\$118	\$18,123	\$597,262	\$1,421	\$56,492_	<u>\$57,913</u>
Total Preferred Stock			\$500,000	\$115,385	\$615,385	\$18,004	\$118	\$18,123	\$597,262	\$1,421	\$56,492	\$57,913

Total Cost of Preferred Stock = [Total Annual Cost/Carrying Value]

 e^{-ik} e^{ik}

Schedule SWR-1 Page 11 of 14

9.61%

Missouri-American Water Company Pro Forma Cost of Preferred Stock at May 31, 2018 Case No. VR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

<u>Type, Par Value</u>	Dividend <u>Rate</u>	Date <u>Issued</u>	Amount Outstanding @ 12/31/16	<u>Adjustments</u>	Amount Outstanding <u>© 5/31/18</u>	Unamortized Issuance Expense <u>@ 12/31/16</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	Unamortized Issuance Expense ゆ 5/31/18	Cerrying Value @ 5/31/18	Annual <u>Amortization</u>	Annual <u>Dividends</u>	Total Anoual <u>Cost</u>
Preference Stock \$100 par	9.18%	10/3/91	\$1,000,000	(\$250,000)	\$750,000	520,847	(52,014)	<u>\$18,833</u>	\$731,167	\$1,421	\$68,850	\$70,271

Total Preferred Stock \$1,000,000 (\$250,000) \$750,000 \$20,847 (\$2,014) \$18,833 \$731,167 \$1,421 \$68,850 \$70,271

Total Cost of Preferred Stock = [Total Annual Cost/Carrying Value]

 $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

Schedule SWR-1 Page 12 of 14

Missouri-American Water Company Cost of Preferred Stock at December 31, 2016 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

<u>Түре, Par Value</u>	Dividend <u>Rate</u>	Date <u>Issued</u>	Amount Outstanding @ 12/31/16	Unamortized Issuance Expense @ 12/31/16	Carrying Value @ 12/31/16	Annual <u>Amortization</u>	Annual <u>Dividends</u>	Total Annual <u>Cost</u>
Preference Stock \$100 par	9.18%	10/3/91	\$1,000,000	\$20,847	\$979,153	\$1,421	\$91,800	\$93,221
Total Preferred Stock			\$1,000,000	\$20,847	\$979,153	\$1,421	\$91,800	\$93,221
Total Cost of Preferred Stock = [Total Annual Cost/Carrying Value]								9.52%

Schedule SWR-1 Page 13 of14

Missouri-American Water Company Pro Forma Common Equity at May 31, 2018 Case No. WR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

	Balance <u>@ 12/31/16</u>	Equity Infusion	Adjustments <u>Net Income</u>	Dividends Paid	Balance <u>@ 5/31/18</u>		
Common Stock Paid-in Capital Retained Earnings	\$95,994,075 256,816,681 216,782,519	\$64,000,000	- - \$61,768,804	- (\$48,797,988)	\$95,994,075 320,816,681 229,753,335		
Total Common Equity	\$569,593,275	\$64,000,000	\$61,768,804	(\$48,797,988)	\$646,564,091		
<u>Pro-Forma Adjustments:</u> Additionai Paid-in Capital Retained Earnings Add: Net Income Available to	Common	\$64,000,000		ABP Oct '17			
ABP Jan '17 - May '18	61,768,804						
Less: Common Stock Dividends							
ABP Jan '17 - May '18		(48,797,988)					
Total Pro Forma RE Adjustmer		\$12,970,816					

Schedule SWR-1 Page 14 of 14

\$658,276,723

Missouri-American Water Company Monthly Common Equity Balances for Thirteen Months Ending May 31, 2019 Case No. NR-2017-0285 Case No. SR-2017-0286

<u>5/31/18</u> <u>6/30/18</u> <u>7/31/18</u> <u>8/31/18</u> <u>9/30/18</u> <u>10/31/18</u> <u>11/30/18</u> <u>12/31/18</u> <u>1/31/19</u> 2/28/19 <u>3/31/19</u> <u>4/30/19</u> <u>5/31/19</u> Common Stock \$95,594,075 \$95,994,075 \$95,994,075 \$95,594,075 \$95,594,075 \$95,594,075 \$95,594,075 \$95,994,075 \$95,994,075 \$95,994,075 Paid in Capital 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 320,816,681 **Retained Earnings** <u>229,753,335</u> <u>230,836,673</u> <u>238,170,354</u> <u>245,211,551</u> <u>242,617,575</u> <u>247,452,084</u> <u>251,221,285</u> <u>238,145,379</u> <u>240,926,160</u> <u>243,945,186</u> <u>238,511,721</u> <u>242,994,567</u> 248,271,284 \$646,564,091 \$647,647,429 \$654,981,120 \$663,022,707 \$659,428,331 \$664,262,840 \$668,032,041 \$654,956,135 \$657,736,916 \$650,755,942 \$655,322,477 \$659,805,323 \$665,082,040 Total Common Equity

Thirteen-Month Average

) 😐 🥍