

Qualifying SBC Missouri Exchanges
60 Day Trigger for Business
Minimum Number of Competitors

Exhibit B-1 (HC)

Line	Exchange	Business Total	Business Commercial Agreements	Business UNE-P	Business Wireless Companies	Business VoIP
1	Archie	<u>**7**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**1**</u>	3	
2	Ash Grove	<u>**8**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	2	
3	Billings	<u>**7**</u>	<u>**1**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	3	
4	Boonville	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	5	3
5	Carthage	<u>**16**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	4	1
6	Cedar Hill	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	1
7	Chillicothe	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	4	1
8	De Soto	<u>**16**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	4
9	Dexter	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	2	4
10	Farley	<u>**7**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	
11	Gray Summit	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	2
12	Hannibal	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	2	1
13	Hillsboro	<u>**11**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	3	2
14	Kennett	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	2	3
15	Kirksville	<u>**17**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**8**</u>	5	
16	Marionville	<u>**7**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	
17	Marshall	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	1
18	Mexico	<u>**18**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	4
19	Moberly	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	3
20	Neosho	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	
21	Portage Des Sioux	<u>**5**</u>	<u>**1**</u>	<u>**1**</u>	3	
22	Richmond	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	4	1
23	St Clair	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	1
24	Union	<u>**17**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	4
25	Ware	<u>**8**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**0**</u>	3	3
26	Webb City	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	4	

Competitor counts exclude resellers, prepaid providers, AT&T companies and SBC affiliates including Cingular. The commercial agreements were mutually negotiated between SBC and the CLEC. Companies included in commercial agreement column were excluded from the UNE-P column.

The count of wireless competitors excludes companies that do not have their own network (i.e., Mobile Virtual Network Operators, or MVNOs, such as Virgin Mobile).

VoIP providers were counted only where cable modem service was available (excluding exchanges where it was not available) and only where a customer can port their number or obtain a local telephone number. Only 5 providers were investigated.

Qualifying SBC Missouri Exchanges
60 Day Trigger for Residential
Minimum Number of Competitors

Exhibit B-2 (HC)

Line	Exchange	Residential Total	Residential Commercial Agreements	Residential UNE-P	Residential Wireless Companies	Residential VoIP
1	Antonia	<u>**11**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	3
2	Archie	<u>**9**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	1
3	Ash Grove	<u>**8**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	2	
4	Billings	<u>**6**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	3	
5	Bonne Terre	<u>**11**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	2	1
6	Boonville	<u>**16**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	5	3
7	Camdenton	<u>**17**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**8**</u>	3	3
8	Cape Girardeau	<u>**17**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	4
9	Carthage	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	4	1
10	Cedar Hill	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	2
11	Chillicothe	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	4	1
12	Clever	<u>**7**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	
13	De Soto	<u>**17**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	5
14	Dexter	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	2	4
15	Eldon	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	4	2
16	Excelsior Spring	<u>**16**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	4
17	Farley	<u>**9**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	1
18	Festus-Crystal City	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**0**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	5
19	Flat River	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	2	2
20	Fulton	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	1
21	Grain Valley	<u>**11**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	2
22	Gravois Mill	<u>**16**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	4	1
23	Gray Summit	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	3
24	Greenwood	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	1
25	Hannibal	<u>**12**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	2	1
26	Herculaneum-Pevely	<u>**12**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	3	2
27	High Ridge	<u>**18**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	4	5
28	Hillsboro	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	3	3
29	Imperial	<u>**18**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	5
30	Jackson	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	1
31	Joplin	<u>**18**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	4	4
32	Kennett	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	2	3
33	Kirksville	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	5	
34	Lake Ozark-Osage Beach	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	1
35	Marionville	<u>**7**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	3	
36	Marshall	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	1
37	Maxville	<u>**18**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	5
38	Mexico	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	4
39	Moberly	<u>**15**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	3
40	Neosho	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	
41	Poplar Bluff	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	3	1
42	Portage Des Sioux	<u>**7**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**1**</u>	3	
43	Richmond	<u>**14**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	4	2
44	Sedalia	<u>**17**</u>	<u>**4**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	5	1
45	St Clair	<u>**12**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**5**</u>	3	1
46	Union	<u>**16**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	<u>**6**</u>	3	4
47	Walnut Grove	<u>**5**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**1**</u>	2	
48	Ware	<u>**12**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**3**</u>	3	4
49	Webb City	<u>**13**</u>	<u>**2**</u>	<u>**7**</u>	4	

Competitor counts exclude resellers, prepaid providers, AT&T companies and SBC affiliates including Cingular. The commercial agreements were mutually negotiated between SBC and the CLEC. Companies included in the commercial agreement column were excluded from the UNE-P column. The count of wireless competitors excludes companies that do not have their own network (i.e., Mobile Virtual Network Operators, or MVNOs, such as Virgin Mobile). VoIP providers were counted only where cable modem service was available (excluding exchanges where only DSL was available) and only where a customer can port their number or obtain a local telephone number. Only 6 VoIP providers were investigated.