# Laclede Gas Company / Missouri Gas Energy GR-2017-0215 / GR-2017-0216

# Response to MPSC Data Request 0507

1. How was the \$2.5 million average investment value determined? Please provide all supporting documentation and calculations with formulas intact

## Response.

. · ·

See attached 0507 excel workbook

2. Is the incremental replacement cost in schedule CEL R-1 the same cost as the approximately \$700,000 that is mentioned on page 9 line 5 of witness Lobser's testimony?

## **Response:**

Yes

3. Would the \$700,000 include any annual maintenance that would need to be performed on the Meter Interface Units (MIU's)? If not, please provide the annual maintenance cost that would be necessary for the deployed meter devices and the appropriate FERC accounts this cost would be recorded in.

## **Response:**

No. All annual maintenance is built into the monthly service fee of \$.0.24. Landis & Gyr dedicates about 8 full time employees to working the maintenance calls for this system. Vehicle expenses and administrative expenses are also build into the fee. This is part of what we pay the service fee for. The service fee also includes operating the system and providing us a read. No study has ever been done to see how much it would cost LAC to do the maintenance.

4. How was the 2.5% property tax rate determined? Please provide all supporting documentation and calculations with formulas intact.

## **Response:**

16,600,000 \* 96.25% depreciation (year 1 from MACRS table below) \* .3333 assessment rate \* 8% estimated tax rate = 426,000 = 2.566% of 16,600,000

 $\frac{5+0+1+1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100}$ 

1			TION COMPANY						
COMPAN	Laclede Gas Company, dba Missouri Gas Energy								
							<b>D</b>		
COUNTY	Christian				District City of Ozark / 019006				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			30-3,3-00-000-000-003-000				
			MAA Marina		ļ	30-3.3-00-000-003-000			
Account Number: Account Name:		367 Mains	376 Mains	380 Services		Total		١	Market /alue Real
Construct in Progre	tion Work				\$	_	100.00%	: <u>+</u>	
ni Fiogle:	2016		124,156	206,015	*	330,171	96.25%		317,790
.	2015		14-17 14-4		*		89.03%	\$	-
Original Costs are reported by year place in service	2014			235,650	\$	235,650	82.35%	\$	194,058
28 L	2013	<u></u>		252,211	\$	252,211	76,18%	\$	192,134
i, i	2012	• •	1	267,652	\$	267,652	70.46%	\$	188,588
- Se	2011		1	213,217	\$	213,217	65.18%	\$	138,975
i ai	2010			197,594	\$	197,594	60.29%	\$	119,129
an f	2009			200,299	\$	200,299	55.77%	\$	111,707
- <sup>2</sup>	2008			153,002	\$	153,002	51.31%	\$	78,505
ğ	2007			182,499	\$	182,499	46.85%	\$	85,501
2 E	2006			275,190	\$	275,190	42.38%	\$	116,626
ů 🗧	2005			210,400	#	210,400	37.92%	\$	79,784
st [	2004			273,122	\$	273,122	33.46%	\$	91,387
8 [	2003			248,966	\$	248,966	29.00%	\$	72,200
	2002			220,771		220,771	24.54%	\$	54,177
2	2001			320,413		320,413		\$	64,339
	2000			338,428		338,428	20.00%	\$	67,686
	< 1999			4,891,158		4,891,158	20.00%	\$	978,232
	\$	-	\$ 124,156	\$8,686,587	\$	8,810,743		\$	2,950,816

5. Please explain in detail why the number of devices and the capital cost in schedule CEL R-1 differ from the number of deployed devices and purchase price on the Bill of Sale of the executed contract with Landis+Gyr.

#### **Response:**

The Bill of Sale total of 700,262 includes all AMR devices in Laclede's possession including devices attached to meters that are currently pulled from service due to meter sampling program or inaccurate/no read and new meters not yet installed. The total on the schedule reflects the devices for customers who are receiving a monthly billing read.

6. What is the failure rate of the MIU's that are being purchased from Landis+Gyr?

#### **Response:**

4.5%

7. Is there software or other interface costs associated with using the MIU's? If so, please describe what the costs are as well as the annual amount of these costs.

# **Response:**

No. There is no software or interface costs.

Signed by: Glenn Buck