Exhibit No.:

Issues: Fuel Expenses

Witness: David W. Elliott

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2005-0436

Date Testimony Prepared: October 14, 2005

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID W. ELLIOTT

AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS – MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P

CASE NO. ER-2005-0436

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2005

**Denotes Highly Confidential Information **



BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila)	
Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-)	
L&P, for Authority to File Increasing)	C N- ED 2005 0426
Electric Rates For the Service Provided to)	Case No. ER-2005-0436
Customers in the Aquila Networks-MPS	,	
and Aquila Networks-L&P Area.		

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID W. ELLIOTT

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
COUNTY OF COLE)

David W. Elliott, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12 day of October, 2005.

Notary Public

My'commission expires

1 2	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
3 4	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	

Direct Testimony of David W. Elliott 1 **DIRECT TESTIMONY** 2 3 **OF** 4 5 DAVID W. ELLIOTT 6 7 AQUILA, INC. 8 AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS 9 AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P 10 11 12 **CASE NO. ER-2005-0436** 13 14 Q. Please state your name and business address. 15 16 A. David W. Elliott, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. 17 By whom are you employed and in what capacity? Q. 18 I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) A. 19 as a Utility Engineering Specialist III in the Energy Department of the Utility Operations 20 Division. 21 Q. Please describe your educational and work background. 22 A. I graduated from Iowa State University with a Bachelor of Science degree 23 in Mechanical Engineering in May 1975. I was employed by Iowa-Illinois Gas and 24 Electric Company (IIGE) as an engineer from July 1975 to May 1993. While at IIGE, I 25 worked at Riverside Generating Station, first as an assistant to the maintenance engineer, 26 and then as an engineer responsible for monitoring station performance. In 1982, I 27 transferred to the Mechanical Design Division of the Engineering Department where I 28 was an engineer responsible for various construction and maintenance projects at IIGE's 29 power plants. In September 1993, I began my employment with the Commission. 30 Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission?

	Direct Testimony of David W. Elliott		
1	A.	Yes. Please refer to Schedule 1 for the list of cases I have filed in.	
2	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this Aquila, Inc. rate case, Case	
3	No. ER-2005-	-0436?	
4	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the results of the Staff's	
5	production co	ost model simulations that were used to establish a reasonable level of	
6	annualized fuel and purchased power expense for Aquila, Inc. (Aquila) for the updated		
7	test year.		
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		
9	Q.	Please provide an executive summary of your testimony.	
0	A.	This testimony describes the modeling methods and inputs used to	
1	determine the	variable fuel and purchase power costs necessary to meet the net system	
2	loads in this case. Inputs include such items as net system loads, fuel type, fuel prices,		
3	turbine-generator operating characteristics, and purchase power prices. The Staff used the		
4	Realtime© production costs model, which Aquila also used. Staff used the same fuel		
5	allocation me	thodology used by Aquila. The variable fuel and purchase power cost for	
6	electric is **	** and the variable fuel cost for steam is ****.	
7	FUEL ANI	D PURCHASED POWER ANALYSIS	
8	Q.	To which of the Aquila operations are you directing your testimony?	
9	A.	This testimony addresses the electric operations and steam operation of	
20	Aquila in Mis	souri.	
21	Q.	How many different scenarios did you run simulations on?	
22	A.	I ran five different scenarios. One electric scenario for Aquila Networks-	



MPS (MPS) on a stand-alone basis, one electric scenario for Aquila Networks-L&P

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- division when otherwise that division would run a more expensive unit, or purchase higher priced power to meet load.
- Q. Why was it necessary to model joint dispatch and stand-alone scenarios required for steam sales from L&P?
- Both scenarios were necessary because both systems share common plant, A. therefore, the operation of the L&P electric system varies based on the operation of the

- L&P steam system. The steam costs in a joint electric dispatch would therefore be different from those steam costs of a stand-alone L& P electric dispatch.
 - Q. Why did you run stand-alone scenarios?
- A. I needed the stand-alone scenarios to allocate the annualized fuel and purchased power costs of the joint scenario back to the two divisions, L&P and MPS. Schedule 2 shows the allocation method for fuel and purchased power costs. Schedule 4 shows the allocated costs.
 - Q. What is a production cost model?
- A. A production cost model estimates the cost to meet a utility's net system load. The Staff's production cost model is a computer program used to perform an hourby-hour, chronological simulation of a utility's generation and power purchases. The model simulates the way the company dispatches its generating units and schedules purchased power to meet the net system load in a least cost manner.
- Q. What is meant by an "hour-by-hour, chronological simulation" of a utility's generation and power purchases?
- A. The production cost model used by the Staff operates in a chronological fashion, meeting each hour's energy demand, or load, before moving to the next hour. It schedules purchased power, or dispatches generating units to serve the load in each hour in a least-cost manner based upon the fuel prices, unit availability and operating conditions, and the cost of purchased power.
 - Q. What production cost model did the Staff use in this case?

What different types of purchased power were used in the production cost

21

22

Q.

model?

A.

- 2
- capacity contract purchases, spot purchased energy, and emergency purchased energy.

Three types of purchased power were used in the production cost model:

- 3
- Q. Please explain what is meant by capacity contract purchases.
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

- A. Capacity contract purchases are energy purchases made through firm capacity contracts. Under these contracts, the purchaser pays a fixed cost for the ability to receive a maximum number of megawatts per hour and also pays a variable cost for the amount of megawatt-hours that is actually being purchased in any given hour. The purchasing company can obtain any quantity of hourly energy up to the maximum amount shown in the capacity contract.
 - What capacity contract purchases were used in the production cost model? Q.
- The capacity contract purchases used in the production cost model are the A. Nebraska Public Power District Gentlemen Purchase (NPPD), Gray County Wind Energy LLP (Wind), and Nebraska Public Power District Cooper Purchase (Cooper) contracts. These are firm, long term contracts that Aquila has entered into with each of these entities.
 - Q. How did you calculate the hourly energy prices for each capacity contract?
- I used historical prices for energy obtained from 3.190 data for the NPPD, A. and the Wind contracts. The prices were the same for each hour of the year regardless of amount of energy purchased up to the contract maximum. The Cooper capacity contract is a unit participation purchase; i.e., the energy output is tied to a specific unit. Therefore, Staff models the Cooper capacity contract as a unit in the production cost model which will take into effect the outages.
 - Q. What is spot energy?

- A. Spot energy is energy purchased on an hourly basis rather than through a longer-term contract. The purchasing company decides to buy spot energy from one or more suppliers based on the economics and availability of its generating units and capacity purchases. Purchases of spot energy are made in order to lower costs when the spot market price is below both the marginal cost of providing that energy from the company's generating units and the cost of capacity purchases. Since the spot market depends on energy supply and demand, the prices tend to be much more volatile than capacity purchases.
 - Q. What methodology did you use to determine the spot energy prices?
- A. I used a procedure developed by the Commission's Energy Department-Engineering Section in 1996. It is described in the document entitled <u>A Methodology to Calculate Representative Prices for Purchased Energy in the Spot Market</u>. The method uses a statistical calculation based on the truncated normal distribution curve to represent the hourly purchased power prices in the spot market. Aquila's actual hourly non-contract transaction prices, obtained from Aquila's July 2004 through June 2005 3.190 data, are used as price inputs in the calculation. The calculation yields an hourly spot energy price for each hour of the year.
 - Q. How did you determine the amount of spot purchased energy available?
- A. I limited the hourly spot purchased energy available to the maximum that was actually purchased in the same hour across all days of each particular month as shown by the 3.190 data. For example, the maximum amount of allowed MW to be purchased in the model for the hour of 1:00 pm until 2:00 pm in October was the

maximum amount actually purchased by Aquila in October 2004 between the hours of

2 1:00 pm and 2:00 pm, regardless of what day the purchase occurred.

The spot energy available for each stand-alone case was determined. The amount of spot energy available for MPS was then added to the amount of spot energy available for L&P to produce a combined amount of spot energy available for the joint dispatch scenario. This combined amount was input into Staff's production cost model to calculate the amount of spot energy purchased to meet load in a least cost manner.

- Q. What is emergency energy?
- A. Emergency energy is energy purchased on a short-term hourly basis when energy is needed to meet load irrespective of economic considerations, such as when a large unit goes off line unexpectedly, or possibly multiple units go off line, or the utility experiences transmission problems. In these rare instances, the price of emergency energy would likely be considerable higher than spot as it is purchased only when other resources become unavailable.
 - Q. What did you use for the price of emergency energy?
- A. I used a price of \$500/MWhr, which was assigned to every hour of the year. This ensured that in these relatively rare instances, emergency purchased energy was purchased only after all generating resources were exhausted.
- Q. What did you use for the amount of emergency purchased energy available?
- A. I estimated the hourly emergency energy available to be approximately 15 percent of Aquila's total generation capacity. This amount was then assigned to every hour of the year.

Q. How did Staff determine unit capacities used in the model?

model uses a statistical sampling method to determine when the outages will occur.

22

and I calculated a cost for using gas for flame stabilization in Boiler 5 at Lake Road.

A.

Q. Please describe what banking is.

2

degrees when not producing steam. This allows the boiler to be brought on line to

A boiler is banked by keeping it at a temperature of several hundred

4

produce steam in a relatively short period of time, should the need arise. Typically, this

5

is done when there are several boilers on a header system providing steam and reliability

6

is an important issue. One boiler may be operating and providing the steam needed and a

7

second boiler may be banked as a standby. If the first boiler is unable to respond to the

8

increase in steam needed or it goes off line, the banked boiler can be brought up to

9

operating pressure and temperature quickly.

10

Q. Please describe what flame stabilization is.

11

A. A boiler burning coal may have an unstable coal flame if it is operating at

12

a low load, or if abnormally wet coal is being burned due to heavy rains, or if coal of

13

widely varying quality is being burned. When such conditions like this occur, the

14

operation may require burning natural gas in order to stabilize the flame in the boiler.

15

Furthermore, a coal flame that extinguishes while the boiler is on line creates both

16

operational problems and potentially a dangerous explosive condition. Gas is burned to

17

avoid this unsafe condition.

- 18
- Q. How were both these costs calculated?

19

A. In the spreadsheet used for the allocation process (See Schedule 5), an estimate of amount of gas used daily for banking and flame stabilization is multiplied by

2021

the price of gas to determine costs.

22

Q. What Lake Road Plant boiler data did Staff use in the steam model?

	Direct Testimony of David W. Elliott	
1	A.	Staff used the data furnished by Aquila. See Schedule 3 for list of data
2	requests.	
3	Q.	Are there additional calculations performed in conjunction with the
4	production mo	del?
5	A.	Yes. Several spreadsheet calculations are done as part of the process to
6	determine Lak	e Road Plant fuel costs for the electric and steam customers.
7	Q.	Please explain the process of determining the fuel costs.
8	A.	Schedule 5 outlines the allocation process and identifies the spreadsheets
9	used to calcula	ate the allocated fuel costs. These spreadsheets were created to calculate
10	the Lake Road Plant fuel allocations in accordance with allocation procedures filed in	
11	Case No. EO-9	94-36.
12	Q.	Please briefly summarize the results of the production cost model
13	simulations.	
14	A.	The results of the production cost model simulation runs are shown in
15	Schedule 4. T	he annual cost of fuel and purchased power for the joint electric dispatch of
16	MPS and L&I	P is ****. The annual cost of fuel for steam sales for the
17	joint electric o	dispatch scenario is ** **. These amounts were supplied to
18	Staff witness	Graham A. Vesely. For further discussion of how Staff annualized the
19	overall fuel ex	pense in this case, please refer to the direct testimony of Mr. Vesely.
20	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
21	A.	Yes, it does.



Previous Testimony of David W. Elliott

- 1) ER-94-163, St. Joseph Light & Power Co.
- 2) HR-94-177, St. Joseph Light & Power Co.
- 3) ER-94-174, The Empire District Electric Co.
- 4) ER-95-279, The Empire District Electric Co.
- 5) EM-96-149, Union Electric Co.
- 6) ER-99-247, St. Joseph Light & Power Co.
- 7) EM-2000-369, UtiliCorp United, Inc. and The Empire District Electric Co.
- 8) ER-2001-299, The Empire District Electric Co.
- 9) ER-2001-672, Utilicorp United, Inc.
- 10) ER-2002-424, The Empire District Electric Co.
- 11) ER-2004-0034, Aquila, Inc.
- 12) ER-2004-0570, The Empire District Electric Co.
- 13) HM-2004-01618, Trigen-Kansas City Energy Corp. and Thermal North America, Inc.

Allocation of Electric Fuel Expenses

A = Fuel and purchase power expenses for Aquila

B = Fuel and purchased power expenses for L&P stand-alone

C = Fuel and purchased power expenses for MPS stand-alone

D = Fuel and purchased power expenses of Aquila allocated to L&P

E= Fuel and purchased power expenses of Aquila allocated to MPS

Allocation formula:

$$D = A \times (B / (B + C))$$

$$E = A \times (C / (B + C))$$

INPUT DATA SOURCES FOR REALTIME PRODUCTION COST MODEL

INPUT SOURCE

Heat Rate Curves	Aquila's response to Staff Data Requests No. 34 in ER-2005-0436.
Forced Outage Hours	Aquila's responses to Staff Data Request No. 34 and No 313 in ER-2005-0436.
Maintenance Hours	Aquila's responses to Staff Data Requests No. 34 and No. 313 in ER-2005-0436.
Purchased Power Prices & Energy	Aquila's monthly data provided per 4 CSR 240-3.190
Hourly Net System Loads	Staff Witness Shawn Lange
Fuel prices	Staff Witness' Graham Vesely and Charles Hyneman
Unit Specific Data	Aquila's response to Staff Data Request No 34 in ER-2005-0436.
Steam sales	Staff Witness Janice Pyatte

SCHEDULE 4

IS DEEMED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS

ENTIRETY

<u>Lake Road 900 lb Steam System Allocation of Fuel Costs</u> Staff Procedure

- 1. The electric scenario is run to meet the hourly electric loads.
- 2. This scenario produces the costs of dispatching all the units needed to meet the net system load. A specific report from this scenario identifies the hourly electric generation for Lake Road Turbines 1, 2, and 3, which are connected to the 900 lb steam header system.
- 3. An Excel spreadsheet (Stmoutin) is then used to calculate the hourly amount of steam in mmBTUs required for the Lake Road Turbines 1, 2, and 3 to generate these hourly electric loads. This calculation uses the turbine heat rate curves to determine the amount of mmBTUs.
- 4. The hourly steam mmBTU requirements needed for Lake Road Turbines 1, 2, and 3 are added to the hourly steam mmBTU load requirements of the L&P steam customers.
- 5. The steam scenario is run to meet the hourly steam loads and calculates the fuel costs for boilers to produce the steam.
- 6. This scenario produces the costs of the fuel used by the five boilers generate the steam required for the Lake Road Turbines 1, 2, and 3, and the industrial steam customers. Several reports from this scenario break this fuel usage into daily amounts, by boiler, and by fuel type.
- 7. An Excel spreadsheet (ALOC) is used to allocate the daily fuel cost between the Lake Road Turbines 1, 2, and 3, and the steam customers.
- 8. The annual fuel costs for Lake Road Turbines 1, 2, and 3 are added to the fuel cost of the other units to determine total fuel costs for the electric customers.
- 9. The annual fuel cost for the steam customers is determined in the spreadsheet ALLOC.

Lake Road Plant 900 Lb Steam System

Lake Road Plant 900 lb steam system consists of five boilers (Boiler 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) connected to a steam header system, which supplies steam to both the industrial steam customers and the Lake Road turbines 1, 2, and 3 (see schedule 6-2)to produce electricity. Boilers 1 through 4 burn natural gas while Boiler 5 can both natural gas and coal. Boilers 1, 2, 4, and 5 all produce steam at 900 pounds per square inch gauge (psig), while Boiler 3 produces steam at 685 psig. Turbines 1 and 2 require steam at 900 psig and Turbine 3 requires steam at 200 psig. Turbine 1 is an extraction type turbine with an extraction point at 200 psig.

One of the industrial steam customers takes steam at 850 psig while the remaining industrial steam customers take steam at 150 psig. Steam is produced at a higher pressure than the customer requirements in order to compensate for any reduction in pressure due to friction in the transportation piping system from the plant to the customers.

There are two header systems, one at 900 psig and one at 200 psig. The two headers are tied together through a pressure reducing valve that allows steam at 900 psig to flow into the 200 psig header. This way all the 900 psig steam boilers can supply steam to the industrial steam customers at either pressure and can also supply steam to all the turbines. Boiler 3 with the pressure reducing valve can only supply steam to the low pressure industrial steam customers and turbine 3.

Turbine 1 is an extraction type turbine which allows a certain amount of steam to be removed from a certain point in the turbine at a specific pressure, which in this case is 200 psig. This reduces the amount of steam passing through the remaining blade sections of the turbine which affects the overall amount of electricity generated. The energy in the steam before it is extracted is used to rotate the turbine to produce electricity. An extraction turbine can be used to generate electricity while producing available steam at a reduced pressure instead of merely reducing the steam pressure by a pressure reducing valve.

