## In the Matter of:

## THE APPLICATION OF SPRIRE MISSOURI INC. d/b/a SPIRE FOR PERMISSION, etc.

## GA-2021-0259, VOL. I

July 15, 2021



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	Discovery Conference
7	July 15, 2021
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 1
10	
11	
12	
13	In the Matter of the Application ) of Spire Missouri Inc. d/b/a )
14	Spire Missouri inc. d/b/a ) Spire for Permission and ) Approval and a Certificate of )
15	Convenience and Necessity to ) Construct, Install, Own, Operate,) File No. GA-2021-0259
16	Maintain, and Otherwise Control ) and Manage a Natural Gas
17	Distribution System to Provide ) Gas Service in Buchanan County, )
18	Missouri as an Expansion of its ) Existing Certificated Areas )
19	Existing Certificated Areas
20	
21	CHARLES HATCHER, Presiding
22	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
23	
24	REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES
2	RACHEL NIEMEIER, Regulatory Counsel Spire Missouri Inc.
3	700 Market Street, 6th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63101
4	314.342.0533 Rachel.Niemeier@spireenergy.com
5	MARC POSTON, Public Counsel
6	200 Madison Street, Suite 650 PO Box 2230
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 marc.poston@opc.mo.gov
8	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
9	RON IRVING, Associate Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 800
10	PO Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
11	573.751.8702 ron.irving@psc.mo.gov
12	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE HATCHER: Let's go on the record. Today
3	is July 15, 2021. The Commission has set this time for
4	a prehearing conference in File No. GA-2021-0259. My
5	name is Charles Hatcher. I am the Regulatory Law Judge
6	assigned to this case. Let's have counsel for the
7	parties make their entry of appearance. Spire Missouri,
8	Ms. Niemeier, please?
9	MS. NIEMEIER: Good morning. Rachel Niemeier
10	on behalf of Spire. My office address is 700 Market
11	Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.
12	JUDGE HATCHER: Any other counsel for Spire
13	wish to make their introductions?
14	MS. NIEMEIER: Not on this call.
15	JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. We'll move on to Staff,
16	please?
17	MR. IRVING: Yes, good morning, Judge. My
18	name is Ron Irving, Staff Counsel, and I believe the
19	court reporter has my information.
20	JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And for Office of
21	the Public Counsel, Mr. Poston?
22	MR. POSTON: Yes. Thank you. Marc Poston
23	appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel.
24	JUDGE HATCHER: All right. The purpose of our
25	procedural conference is to potentially set up a

procedural schedule. It appears that there is a disagreement between the parties. So we'll move forward as the Commission proceeds with that.

I have a couple three things that I wanted to get to first. Just to throw out there, the issues in this case strike me as perhaps similar to the growing Missouri issue in Spire's pending rate case 0108. And I did not know if I should be expecting any parties to request a postponement of this case until the Commission would make its decision in the rate case, but I'll just throw that out there if anyone was thinking that way.

Second is, what I'm going to be asking for, because of that possible confluence of events, is the order I'll come out with today will be directing you all to come out with a status report or a proposed procedural schedule.

And the third issue I wanted to bring up today is issue lists. This case is just beginning and this is a good point for the Commission to let it be known that with its issues lists that are produced by the parties, and I'm not talking about position statements, but that the Commission has noted that those are getting to be longer questions and perhaps a bit more argumentative, and I just wanted to relay that if the Commission would get perhaps frustrated in its reading or attempted

2.5

reading to decipher what the actual issue was that the Commission has the power to reword those issues. So I just wanted to let all the parties know that a succinct, concise, and I understand there's probably some argument negotiation over wording, I could point to the estate tax vs. the death tax as an example, but trying to keep those maybe a bit shorter might be better for everyone to identify the issues.

That said, as part of the schedule that I'm going to ask Staff to be responsible for, and I'm going to ask Staff to as much as possible file a joint motion if the parties can agree. What I'm looking for is, and this is my standard ask in all of the procedural requests, a joint stipulation of facts and that can be as small or as large as the parties wish. I use that in writing the final decision. And sometimes naming that Spire is a public utility can be lost in all of our focus on more substantive issues.

Second thing I'm looking for is the submission of the lists, and this is the common directive of the list of issues, the lists of position statements, the lists of witnesses. The Commission would like that at least one week in advance. And that's a calendar week.

MS. MYERS: A week in advance of the evidentiary hearing?

1	JUDGE HATCHER: Yes.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?
3	JUDGE HATCHER: Name?
4	MS. MYERS: Jamie Myers.
5	THE COURT REPORTER: What was your question?
6	MS. MYERS: It was a week in advance of what?
7	It appears it's the evidentiary hearing.
8	JUDGE HATCHER: On the phone if that was hard
9	to hear, Counsel Myers for Staff, inquired if my
10	directive on the submission of lists was to be one week
11	in advance of the evidentiary hearing and my answer is
12	yes.
13	Third thing the Commission is looking for is a
14	list of exhibits just to be submitted prior to the
15	hearing, and I believe we have typically not asked that
16	those be submitted in EFIS. I'm sorry. Is someone on
17	the phone wanting to talk?
18	ANN: This is Ann. I just joined. I was
19	having some technical difficulties.
20	JUDGE HATCHER: I'm sorry about that.
21	MS. NIEMEIER: Hi, Ann. We are in the
22	procedural conference with the Judge and he is giving us
23	a list of things he wants us to file.
24	JUDGE HATCHER: And also please account for a
25	ten-day turnaround on the transcript. Just to

summarize, we are here to ask all the parties to come together and discuss their procedural schedule, how much time they want to spend doing that, and again the Judge is aware that this issue may be similar to the growing Missouri issue in Spire's pending rate case. That's 0108, GR-2021-0108. And just opening that up in case the -- if anyone was thinking along the lines of postponing this case pending the outcome of the rate case. But knowing that that is going to be -- I think the decision operation of law date is November 11 in the rate case.

Second was I'm going to ask for a status report or a proposed procedural schedule, and I'm going to give at least 30 days to do that. And then lastly I brought up the issue list. The Commissioners have expressed an interest in more succinct, concise statements of the issues.

And then we talked about assigning Staff the responsibility of filing a joint procedural schedule, if agreement is possible.

We are about done. Let me open it up to the parties while I think of what I want to say next. Does anyone have any issues they'd like to bring up today?

MR. IRVING: No.

JUDGE HATCHER: Spire first.

MS. NIEMEIER: I was just going to say it's 1 2 not really an issue. I was just hoping that the parties could stay online and talk about this a little bit 3 4 further today after your portion of this is over so that we can discuss what you requested but also the specific 5 6 facts of the case. 7 JUDGE HATCHER: Yes, ma'am. That is the plan. 8 Okay. 9 MR. POSTON: This is Marc Poston. I've got a question on the issue list. So is it the concern that 10 11 the way each issue is being written is too long or is it 12 the concern of the issue list themselves are just too 13 long, too many issues? JUDGE HATCHER: It was the first. I am not 14 15 touching with a 10-foot pole the number of issues. I am 16 only addressing the succinctness and conciseness of 17 issue description. 18 MR. POSTON: Okay. All right. That sounds 19 fine too. 20 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. To share with you a 21 brief discussion a couple of the judges had was almost 22 it lends itself to thinking of a word limit. And the 23 thought was well, somebody thought of three. That might seem like too few of words for some of the issues that 24 2.5 the Commission attends to and 100 words might seem too

```
many. But we I think all could see examples where sure,
 1
 2
     I could describe something in three words. So I don't
 3
    really want to handcuff the parties. I just want to
 4
    provide helpful guidance. However you all take that and
 5
     come out with that I leave to you.
 6
               Okay.
                     Turning now to actual deadline matters.
 7
     I need to set a deadline in my order for Staff to
 8
    present this joint proposed procedural schedule or
 9
     status report. I'm willing to be super flexible with
10
           If I can get a date now, then awesome. If not, I
     that.
11
    will accept an email as long as it's copied to everybody
12
    of that date so then I can put that in the order saying
13
     it's due by. So if everyone understands that, I'm going
     to start at three days and we'll go from there.
14
15
     anyone want or need more than 30 days to produce a
16
     status report or a proposed procedural schedule?
17
               MR. IRVING: I think Staff, I think we're fine
18
    with that 30 days.
19
               JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. We'll just set it at
20
          I'll go upstairs. What is today? 15th. So August
21
     15th is a Sunday. So 17th.
22
               MR. IRVING: Okay.
23
               JUDGE HATCHER: Make sure I give you a Monday
24
     to work on that. So everyone --
2.5
                              Thank you, Judge.
               MS. NIEMEIER:
```

```
JUDGE HATCHER: -- on the phone, I am getting
 1
 2
    ready to leave as is the court reporter. We're going to
 3
     leave the phone bridge on. I'm going to hang up on my
 4
    private phone and we're going to all cross our fingers
 5
     that that does not end the call for everyone else.
 6
               MR. IRVING: I apologize.
 7
               JUDGE HATCHER: No, no, you're fine.
 8
              MR. IRVING: So you indicated 30 days and you
 9
     said the 17th?
10
               JUDGE HATCHER: Yes.
11
              MR. IRVING: Of August?
12
               JUDGE HATCHER: Yes.
13
              MR. IRVING: I think it's the 16th.
14
               JUDGE HATCHER: Yes.
15
              MR. IRVING: You're right. I apologize.
     think the 17th is actually a Tuesday.
16
17
               JUDGE HATCHER: Yes. I wanted to give you a
18
    Monday so you didn't have to work over the weekend if
    you don't get everything done Friday.
19
20
               MR. IRVING: Yes, I absolutely agree. I just
21
    want to make sure. I apologize.
22
             JUDGE HATCHER: A month and a day.
23
             MR. IRVING: Yes, thank you.
24
             JUDGE HATCHER: I want to make sure that all
25
     the parties understand I'm asking for a status report or
```

## GA-2021-0259, Vol. I

1	a joint proposed procedural schedule. So if the parties
2	jointly say that they are engaged in settlement
3	discussions or something else, then we can deal with
4	that. Okay. I need to stop talking before I say
5	something I shouldn't.
6	Are there any other issues that we want to talk
7	about before I end the conference, and I think we're in
8	the last 30 seconds of me being here, and again the
9	conference itself will continue informally with the
10	parties.
11	MS. NIEMEIER: Spire doesn't have anything
12	else, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you all. Stay on
14	the line. Don't hang up. Let's go off the record.
15	Adjourned.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,
Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court
Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby
certify that I was personally present at the proceedings
had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place
set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
and place.
Beverly Jean Bentch
Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640

	advance 5:23,24 6:6,	Commissioners	discussions 11:3
0	-	7:15	<b>due</b> 9:13
<b>0108</b> 4:7 7:6	<b>agree</b> 5:12 10:20	common 5:20	
-	agreement 7:20	<b>concern</b> 8:10,12	E
1	<b>Ann</b> 6:18,21	<b>concise</b> 5:4 7:16	<b>EFIS</b> 6:16
<b>10-foot</b> 8:15	<b>apologize</b> 10:6,15,21	conciseness 8:16	<b>email</b> 9:11
<b>100</b> 8:25	appearance 3:7	<b>conference</b> 3:4,25 6:22 11:7,9	<b>end</b> 10:5 11:7
<b>11</b> 7:10	appearing 3:23	confluence 4:13	engaged 11:2
<b>15</b> 3:3	appears 4:1 6:7	continue 11:9	entry 3:7
<b>15th</b> 9:20,21	argument 5:4		estate 5:5
<b>16th</b> 10:13	argumentative 4:23	<b>copied</b> 9:11	events 4:13
<b>17th</b> 9:21 10:9,16	assigned 3:6	<b>counsel</b> 3:6,12,18,21, 23 6:9	evidentiary 5:25 6:7,
17th 9:21 10:9,16	assigning 7:18	<b>couple</b> 4:4 8:21	11
2	attempted 4:25	court 3:19 6:2,5 10:2	examples 9:1
	attends 8:25	cross 10:4	exhibits 6:14
<b>2021</b> 3:3	August 9:20 10:11		expecting 4:8
3	aware 7:4	D	expressed 7:16
	awesome 9:10	date 7:10 9:10,12	
<b>30</b> 7:14 9:15,18,20 10:8 11:8		day 10:22	F
10.6 11.6	В	days 7:14 9:14,15,18	facts 5:14 8:6
6	beginning 4:18	10:8	file 3:4 5:11 6:23
00404 0 44	behalf 3:10,23	deadline 9:6,7	filing 7:19
<b>63101</b> 3:11	bit 4:23 5:7 8:3	<b>deal</b> 11:3	<b>final</b> 5:16
7	bridge 10:3	death 5:6	fine 8:19 9:17 10:7
	bring 4:17 7:23	decipher 5:1	fingers 10:4
<b>700</b> 3:10	brought 7:15	decision 4:10 5:16	flexible 9:9
Α	-	7:10	<b>focus</b> 5:18
	<b>C</b>	describe 9:2	forward 4:2
absolutely 10:20	calendar 5:23	description 8:17	<b>Friday</b> 10:19
accept 9:11	<b>call</b> 3:14 10:5	difficulties 6:19	frustrated 4:25
account 6:24	<b>case</b> 3:6 4:6,7,9,10,18	directing 4:14	
actual 5:1 9:6	7:5,6,8,9,11 8:6	directive 5:20 6:10	G
address 3:10	Charles 3:5	disagreement 4:2	<b>GA-2021-0259</b> 3:4
addressing 8:16	Commission 3:3 4:3,	discuss 7:2 8:5	give 7:14 9:23 10:17
Adjourned 11:15	9,19,22,24 5:2,22 6:13	discussion 8:21	give 1.14 9.23 10.17

giving 6:22			
<b>good</b> 3:9,17 4:19	J	M	Р
<b>GR-2021-0108</b> 7:6	<b>Jamie</b> 6:4	<b>make</b> 3:7,13 4:10 9:23	<b>part</b> 5:9
growing 4:6 7:4	joined 6:18	10:21,24	parties 3:7 4:2,8,20
guidance 9:4	joint 5:11,14 7:19 9:8	Marc 3:22 8:9	5:3,12,15 7:1,22 8:2
	11:1	Market 3:10	9:3 10:25 11:1,10
Н	jointly 11:2	matters 9:6	pending 4:7 7:5,8
handcuff 9:3	Judge 3:2,5,12,15,17,	Missouri 3:7,11 4:7	<b>phone</b> 6:8,17 10:1,3,4
hang 10:3 11:14	20,24 6:1,3,8,20,22,24 7:3,25 8:7,14,20 9:19,	7:5	plan 8:7
hard 6:8	23,25 10:1,7,10,12,14,	Monday 9:23 10:18	point 4:19 5:5
<b>Hatcher</b> 3:2,5,12,15,	17,22,24 11:13	month 10:22	<b>pole</b> 8:15
20,24 6:1,3,8,20,24	judges 8:21	<b>morning</b> 3:9,17	portion 8:4
7:25 8:7,14,20 9:19,23 10:1,7,10,12,14,17,22,	July 3:3	motion 5:11	position 4:21 5:21
24 11:13	K	move 3:15 4:2	<b>Poston</b> 3:21,22 8:9,
hear 6:9		Myers 5:24 6:4,6,9	postponement 4:9
hearing 5:25 6:7,11,	knowing 7:9	N	postponing 7:8
15			potentially 3:25
helpful 9:4		naming 5:16	power 5:2
Honor 11:12	large 5:15	negotiation 5:5	prehearing 3:4
hoping 8:2	lastly 7:14	<b>Niemeier</b> 3:8,9,14 6:21 8:1 9:25 11:11	present 9:8
<del></del>	law 3:5 7:10	noted 4:22	prior 6:14
	leave 9:5 10:2,3	November 7:10	private 10:4
identify 5:8	lends 8:22	number 8:15	procedural 3:25 4:1,
informally 11:9	let all 5:3	Humber 6.15	16 5:13 6:22 7:2,13,19
information 3:19	limit 8:22	0	9:8,16 11:1
inquired 6:9	lines 7:7		proceeds 4:3
interest 7:16	list 5:21 6:14,23 7:15	office 3:10,20,23	produce 9:15
introductions 3:13	8:10,12	online 8:3	produced 4:20
Irving 3:17,18 7:24	<b>lists</b> 4:18,20 5:20,21, 22 6:10	<b>open</b> 7:21	<b>proposed</b> 4:15 7:13 9:8,16 11:1
9:17,22 10:6,8,11,13, 15,20,23	long 8:11,13 9:11	opening 7:6	provide 9:4
issue 4:7,17,18 5:1	longer 4:23	operation 7:10	public 3:21,23 5:17
7:4,5,15 8:2,10,11,12, 17	lost 5:17	order 4:14 9:7,12	purpose 3:24
issues 4:5,20 5:2,8, 18,21 7:17,23 8:13,15, 24 11:6	<b>Louis</b> 3:11	outcome 7:8	put 9:12

	sounds 8:18	ten-day 6:25	writing 5:16
	specific 8:5	thing 5:19 6:13	written 8:11
question 6:5 8:10	spend 7:3	things 4:4 6:23	
questions 4:23	<b>Spire</b> 3:7,10,12 5:17 7:25 11:11	thinking 4:11 7:7 8:22	
R	<b>Spire's</b> 4:7 7:5	thought 8:23	
Deal of on	<b>St</b> 3:11	throw 4:5,11	
Rachel 3:9	Staff 3:15,18 5:10,11	time 3:3 7:3	
rate 4:7,10 7:5,8,11	6:9 7:18 9:7,17	today 3:2 4:14,17	
reading 4:25 5:1	standard 5:13	7:23 8:4 9:20	
ready 10:2	start 9:14	touching 8:15	
record 3:2 11:14	<b>statements</b> 4:21 5:21	transcript 6:25	
Regulatory 3:5	7:17	Tuesday 10:16	
relay 4:24	<b>status</b> 4:15 7:12 9:9, 16 10:25	turnaround 6:25	
<b>report</b> 4:15 7:13 9:9, 16 10:25	<b>stay</b> 8:3 11:13	Turning 9:6	
	stipulation 5:14	typically 6:15	
<b>reporter</b> 3:19 6:2,5 10:2	stop 11:4		
request 4:9	Street 3:11	U	
requested 8:5	strike 4:6	understand 5:4	
requests 5:14	submission 5:19	10:25	
responsibility 7:19	6:10	understands 9:13	
responsible 5:10	submitted 6:14,16	upstairs 9:20	
reword 5:2	substantive 5:18	utility 5:17	
<b>Ron</b> 3:18	<b>succinct</b> 5:3 7:16		
	succinctness 8:16		
S	summarize 7:1	wanted 4:4,17,24 5:3	
<b>schedule</b> 4:1,16 5:9	Sunday 9:21	10:17	
7:2,13,19 9:8,16 11:1	super 9:9	wanting 6:17	
seconds 11:8		week 5:23,24 6:6,10	
<b>set</b> 3:3,25 9:7,19	T	weekend 10:18	
settlement 11:2	talk 6:17 8:3 11:6	witnesses 5:22	
share 8:20	talked 7:18	word 8:22	
shorter 5:7	talking 4:21 11:4	wording 5:5	
<b>similar</b> 4:6 7:4	tax 5:6	words 8:24,25 9:2	
small 5:15	technical 6:19	work 9:24 10:18	