Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Red Tag Repair, Heating

Only For Low Income, Insulation Financing Program, EnergyWise

Dealer Program

Curtis B. Gateley Witness:

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff *Type of Exhibit:* Rebuttal Testimony Case No.:

GR-2017-0215 and

GR-2017-0216

Date Testimony Prepared: October 20, 2017

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION ENERGY RESOURCES**

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CURTIS B. GATELEY

SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., d/b/a SPIRE

LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY **GENERAL RATE CASE**

CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 AND GR-2017-0216

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2017

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF	
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
3	OF	
4 5	CURTIS B. GATELEY	
6	SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., d/b/a SPIRE	
7 8	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE	
9	CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 AND GR-2017-0216	
10	RED TAG REPAIR, HEATING ONLY FOR LOW INCOME2	
11	INSULATION FINANCING PROGRAM	
12	ENERGYWISE DEALER PROGRAM	
13		

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY				
2	OF				
3	CURTIS B. GATELEY				
4 5	SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., d/b/a SPIRE				
6 7	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE				
8	CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 AND GR-2017-0216				
9	Q. Please state your name and business address.				
10	A. Curtis B. Gateley, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102				
11	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?				
12	A. I am a Utility Policy Analyst II. I work in both the Water and Sewer				
13	Department and in the Energy Resources Department, both of which are in the				
14	Commission Staff Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission.				
15	Q. Are you the same Curtis B. Gateley that contributed to Staff's Class Cost of				
16	Service Report filed in this proceeding?				
17	A. Yes, I am.				
18	Q. Please describe your work and educational background.				
19	A. A copy of my work and educational experience was provided in Appendix 1 of				
20	Staff's Class Cost of Service Report.				
21	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?				
22	A. The purpose of my testimony is to rebut portions of the direct testimony of				
23	Scott A. Weitzel addressing the Red Tag Repair Program. I will also discuss changes to the				
24	EnergyWise Dealer Program and the Insulation Financing Program proposed by LAC and				
25	MGE in their proposed tariffs, but not supported by testimony filed in this case.				

RED TAG REPAIR, HEATING ONLY FOR LOW INCOME

- Q. Are LAC and MGE proposing changes to this program?
- A. On page 7 of his testimony, LAC and MGE witness Weitzel proposes that the maximum amount of assistance to a single customer be increased from \$450 to \$1,000. A maximum of \$700 for work on a furnace, and a maximum of \$450 would be available to help pay for repair or replacement of other appliances, piping, and venting. Mr. Weitzel also proposes specifying that the assistance is available to renters upon approval of the landlord.
 - Q. Does Staff support these changes?
- A. Yes. Given the cost of these expenses, additional assistance is appropriate. However, Staff proposes the condition that the Companies require additional reporting from the Community Action Agencies ("CAAs") administering the assistance. LAC and MGE should require the CAAs to report on the effectiveness of this funding level, and on the number of customers that qualified for the program, but were unable to complete repairs or replacement. If customers otherwise qualify, but could not come up with the remaining necessary funds even after all available assistance was considered, then additional adjustments should be considered in subsequent rate cases.

INSULATION FINANCING PROGRAM

- Q. What is the Insulation Financing Program?
- A. The Insulation Financing Program is a loan program from the utility to finance installation of insulation, duct sealing, attic ventilation, caulking and weatherstripping, storm doors and storm windows. This program is currently available in the former LAC service territory, has existed since 1994, and has been in its current form since August 8, 2002.

- Q. What changes were made in LAC's and MGE's proposed tariffs filed in these cases?
- A. The Companies have proposed to: 1) expand the program to include the former MGE territory; 2) increase loan amounts from \$2000 to \$5,000 per dwelling unit; and 3) increase the maximum amount of outstanding loans at any one time from \$2,000,000 to \$4,000,000.
- Q. Have LAC and/or MGE witnesses provided any testimony to support these changes?
- A. No. I have reviewed the direct testimony filed in both cases, and have not found any supporting these changes.
 - Q. What is Staff's position on these proposed changes?
- A. Without justification for these changes, Staff cannot support the increase in loan amounts or the increase in maximum outstanding loans. However, Staff does not oppose expanding the program such that it is offered in both the former MGE and LAC territories.

ENERGYWISE DEALER PROGRAM

- Q. Can you please describe this program?
- A. This program provides on-bill financing for purchase of high efficiency appliances, heating and air conditioning systems. The purchase must be made through contractors authorized by the Company, although this authorization process is not defined in the tariff or testimony. Customers must be "credit-qualified," although this is also not defined in the tariff or testimony. The maximum amount to be financed for a single customer is \$10,000, and the loan term is for no more than five years. The financing is limited to current and future customers who own their building, and is available only in the LAC service area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. What changes have been made in the proposed tariffs filed in these cases? A. The proposed tariffs filed in these cases provide additional detail on what appliances would qualify, increase the maximum loan amount to \$15,000, and increase the loan term to no greater than seven years. Q. Have LAC and/or MGE witnesses provided any testimony to support these changes? A. No. I have reviewed the direct testimony filed in both cases, and have not found any witness testimony supporting these changes. Q. What is Staff's position on these proposed changes? A. Staff does not oppose the proposed additional detail on the qualifying appliances. However, without information to support the changes in loan amounts and length of the loan, Staff cannot support these proposed changes. Q. Does this conclude your testimony? A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service)	Case No. GR-2017-0215			
In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service))	Case No. GR-2017-0216			
AFFIDAVIT OF CURT B. GATELEY					
STATE OF MISSOURI) COUNTY OF COLE)	*0	* · · ·			
COMES NOW CURT B. GATELEY and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind					
and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and that the same is					
true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.					
Further the Affiant sayeth not.					

JURAT

CURT B. GATELEY

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ____/9 + ____ day of October, 2017.

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: December 12, 2020
Commission Number: 12412070

In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's

Susullankin) Notary Public