BEFUI		TATE OF MISSOURI	
In the matter of)	JAN 0 5 2007
USW Local 11-6, and	Complainant) GC-2006-0390)	Missouri Public Service Commission
Laclede Gas Company,	Respondent)	
	AFFIDAVIT	OF GRACE FORBES	
STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)) ss)		
Grace Forbes, of laws preparation of the following pages of Direct Testimony to Direct Testimony were given answers; and that such matter	Direct Testimo be presented in by her; that sh	in the above case, that the ne has knowledge of the m	r form, consisting of 3 answers in the following natters set forth in such

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of September, 2006.

My commission expires

"NOTARY SEAL " Petrina M. Bailey, Notary Public St. Louis City, State of Missouri My Commission Expires 11/5/2007

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GRACE FORBES

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF USW 11-6

LACLEDE GAS COMPANY

CASE NO. GC-2006-0390

1	Q.	Please state your name and address.
2	A.	My name is Grace Forbes. I reside at **, MO 63116. I have
3		resided at this address for around five years.
4	Q.	When did Laclede notify you that an AMR device was to be installed in your
5	 	home?
6	A.	In March of 2006, a hang-tag was left on my door, stating that an AMR device
7		was to be installed on my meter. I called Laclede, attempting to schedule the
8	}	installation for a day I was off work.
9	Q.	How did you first find out about the installation of AMR devices on gas
10	<u> </u> 	meters by Celinet subcontractors?
11	A.	After receiving the Laclede hang-tag, I read in the Labor Tribune that Cellnet
12		subcontractors, not Laclede gasworkers, were installing the AMR devices. I then
13		called Laclede several times and asked if a Laclede gasworker could install the
14		AMR device. Each time, the service representative said that a supervisor would
15		call me back but I never received a return call.



Q. Why did you ask to have a Laclede gasworker, as opposed to a Cellnet .
subcontractor, install the AMR device?

- A. I understand and believe that union gasworkers have substantial training not available to the Honeywell subcontractors that enable them to recognize and fix potential problems when installing the AMR device. Accordingly, I believe it is safer to have an AMR device installed on my gas meter by a union gasworker rather than by a Honeywell subcontractor.
- Q. Did you ever make contact with Laclede about having a gasworker install the AMR device?
- A. In mid-June of 2006, I called Laclede again and was finally connected to a person to discuss the possibility of having a Laclede gasworker install the AMR device. I cannot remember his name, but he may have been a supervisor. He had a very insistent demeanor. He said that Laclede hired the Honeywell subcontractors because they were the best ones for the job. Furthermore, he said that the gasworkers union thought that Honeywell was the best company to perform the installation and approved that Laclede had subcontracted out this work.
- Q. Was an AMR device eventually installed on your meter?
- A. In July of 2006, I had an AMR device installed. To my understanding, my only choices were to have the AMR device installed or to continue receiving estimated bills. Based on my experience, I have found estimated bills to be higher than non-estimated ones. For instance, I own a duplex, and at one time my son was living in one side. Despite the fact that he did not cook there and only used an electric space heater at night, one bill last winter was around \$198. Because I did not

1	[]	want to receive such high estimated bills in the future, I decided to have the AMR
2		device installed.
3		I was very upset about the whole experience. Not only did a Cellnet
4	<u> </u> 	subcontractor install the AMR device, but he also engaged in deceptive conduct to
5		gain entry to my home.
6	Q.	Are you an employee or member of USW Local 11-6, or to your knowledge
7		are you related by blood or marriage to any USW Local 11-6 officer or
8		business representative?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
11	A.	Yes.