Exhibit No.: Issues: Pension, OPEBs, SERP Witness: James A. Fallert Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: The Empire District Electric Company Case No.: ER-2019-0374 Date Testimony Prepared: March 2020

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

True-Up Direct Testimony

of

James A. Fallert

on behalf of

The Empire District Electric Company a Liberty Utilities Company

March 2020



JAMES A. FALLERT TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

TABLE OF CONTENTS TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES A. FALLERT THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2019-0374

SUBJECT		AGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
III.	ONGOING PENSION AND OPEB EXPENSE	2
IV.	FAS 88 SETTLEMENT EXPENSE	3
V.	SERP	4
VI.	TRACKER BALANCES	4
VII	. PREPAID PENSION ASSET	5

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES A. FALLERT THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2019-0374

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS AFFILIATION, AND BUSINESS
3		ADDRESS.
4	A.	My name is James A. Fallert. I am doing business as James Fallert Consultant LLC and
5		my business address is 3507 Burgundy Way Dr., St. Louis, MO 63129.
6	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF IS YOUR TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

7 **PRESENTED**?

8 A. The Empire District Electric Company, a Liberty Utilities company ("Liberty-Empire" or 9 the "Company").

10 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME JAMES A. FALLERT THAT SUBMITTED DIRECT AND

11 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF LIBERTY-EMPIRE IN THIS 12 CASE?

13 A. Yes.

14 II. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?

- A. The purpose of this testimony is to support the normalized pension, OPEB, and SERP
 expense included in Liberty-Empire's true up filing (IS ADJ 11), as well as, the related
 rate base amounts (RB ADJ 4).
- 4

III. ONGOING PENSION AND OPEB EXPENSE

5 Q. HOW DID LIBERTY-EMPIRE DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE AMOUNT

6 OF ONGOING PENSION AND OPEB EXPENSE FOR ITS TRUE-UP FILING?

A. The ongoing expense amounts were based on the most recent available final 2019
regulatory expense amounts as calculated by the Company's actuary, CBIZ Cottonwood.

9 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN BY REGULATORY EXPENSE 10 AMOUNTS?

11 A. When Liberty-Empire was acquired by Liberty Utilities, the accounting rules required 12 that certain pension and OPEB balances be eliminated as part of the acquisition 13 accounting. However, these balances should remain in place for regulatory purposes. As 14 a result, CBIZ Cottonwood provides two actuarial valuations. One valuation is based on 15 acquisition accounting and is used for external financial reporting purposes. The second 16 valuation is done as if the acquisition did not occur and is used for regulatory purposes. The Company's direct filing, September 2019 update, and January 2020 true up are all 17 18 based on the valuation for regulatory purposes.

19 Q. WHY IS IT APPROPRIATE TO USE THE REGULATORY VALUATION 20 RATHER THAN THE VALUATION BASED ON ACQUISITION 21 ACCOUNTING?

A. The acquisition of Empire by Liberty Utilities was approved by the Commission in Case
No. EM-2016-0213. Paragraph 3 of the Stipulation & Agreement in that case stated in

part: "The Joint Applicants will ensure that the merger will be rate-neutral for Empire's
 customers." It is necessary to utilize the regulatory valuation (expense) approach to
 determine cost of service as it relates to ongoing Pension and OPEB balances to comply
 with this provision within the Order.

5 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.

6 Acquisition accounting requires that certain unamortized balances in the plans be A. 7 immediately recognized as part of the business combination. Since amortization of these 8 balances is a key component of pension and OPEB expense, eliminating them from the 9 rate calculation would have a substantial impact on customer rates. This would violate 10 the aforementioned paragraph 3 of the Stipulation & Agreement in Case No. EM-2016-11 0213. Therefore, rates are based on the regulatory valuation, which continues amortization as if the acquisition did not occur, and therefore, is rate neutral for 12 13 customers and compliant with Paragraph 3.

14 Q. WHAT CHANGES WERE MADE TO ONGOING PENSION AND OPEB 15 EXPENSE IN THE TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENT, IS ADJ 11?

A. The Missouri allocation percentages were trued up to the amounts being used by the
Company for Missouri retail customers as of January 31, 2020.

18 IV. FAS 88 SETTLEMENT EXPENSE

19 Q. WHAT CHANGES WERE MADE TO FAS 88 SETTLEMENT EXPENSE IN THE 20 TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENT, IS ADJ 11?

A. The Missouri allocation percentages were trued up to the amounts being used by the
Company for Missouri retail customers as of January 31, 2020.

1 V. <u>SERP</u>

2 Q. WHAT CHANGES WERE MADE TO SERP EXPENSE IN THE TRUE-UP 3 ADJUSTMENT, IS ADJ 11?

A. The Missouri allocation percentages were trued up to the amounts being used by the
Company for Missouri retail customers as of January 31, 2020.

6 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS REGARDING SERP 7 EXPENSE IN THE TRUE-UP?

8 A. Yes. The Company's true-up calculation is based on expense as determined by the 9 Company's actuary. My rebuttal testimony explained why this method is preferable to 10 the payment basis advocated by Staff. However, my rebuttal testimony also pointed out 11 that, in the event that a payment basis is used, the allocation factor included in Staff's 12 adjustment should be specific to SERP rather than the factor used by Staff (which was 13 based on the ongoing FAS 87 pension expense). When this correction was applied, 14 normalized expense on a payment basis was calculated in rebuttal as \$426,454 (Total 15 Allowance of \$519,132 x 82.1474% allocation factor). This calculation changes slightly 16 to \$428,660 using the true-up allocation factor (Total Allowance of \$519,132 x 17 82.5724% allocation factor).

18 VI. TRACKER BALANCES

19Q.WHAT CHANGES WERE MADE TO THE TRACKER BALANCES AND THE20RESULTING AMORTIZATION IN THE TRUE-UP CALCULATION FOR IS21ADJ 11?

A. Balances were adjusted to actual levels at January 31, 2020, compared with the estimates
included in the previous calculations.

JAMES A. FALLERT TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

1	Q.	WHAT ARE THE PENSION TRACKER BALANCES IN THE TRUE-UP FILING		
2		COMPARED WITH STAFF'S DIRECT FILING?		
3	A.	The True-up filing includes a total tracker balance of \$12,260,836, which is an increase		
4		of \$226,954 from the \$12,033,882 balance in Staff's direct filing.		
5	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE \$226,964 INCREASE.		
6	A.	The increase consists of three items:		
7		1. Activity between Sept. 30, 2019 and Jan. 31, 2020 \$(3)	82,259)	
8		2. Errors in Staff's development of the balance in account 182359 \$(9	60,627)	
9		3. Doublecount of adjustment to remove FAS 88 settlements		
10		(acquisition accounting basis) in Staff's direct filing $\$1$,	<u>569,840</u>	
11		Total \$	226,954	
12	Q.	WHAT CAUSED THE DOUBLECOUNT REFERENCED IN ITEM	I THREE	
13		ABOVE?		
14	A.	It is necessary to remove FAS 88 settlements on an acquisition accounting basis and		
15		replace it with FAS 88 settlements on a regulatory accounting basis. Staff included an		
16		entry removing this amount from the tracker balance. However, the FAS 88	entry which	
17		added FAS 88 on a regulatory accounting basis was already net of the acquisition		
18		accounting amount, hence the doublecount.		
19	VII.	PREPAID PENSION ASSET		
20	Q.	WHAT CHANGES WERE MADE TO PREPAID PENSION ASSET B	ALANCES	
20	ν.	IN THE TRUE-UP CALCULATION FOR RB ADJ 4?		
<u>~1</u>				
$\gamma\gamma$	٨	Palances were adjusted to actual levels at January 21, 2020, compared with the	a nra farra-	
22 23	A.	Balances were adjusted to actual levels at January 31, 2020, compared with th estimates included in the previous calculations.	e pro forma	

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

3 A. Yes.

JAMES A. FALLERT TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

VERIFICATION OF JAMES A. FALLERT

James A. Fallert, under penalty of perjury, declares that the foregoing true-up direct testimony is true and correct to the best of her/his knowledge, information, and belief.

/s/James A. Fallert

James A. Fallert