EXHIBIT A

Excerpts from the Report and Order

Decision:

AmerenUE is given a goal of reducing peak demand and energy growth by:

10 percent in 2009/2010;

15 percent by 2011-2012;

20 percent by 2013/2014; and

25 percent by 2015/2016.

G. Low Income Programs

Discussion:

As a result of the stipulation and agreement that resolved Staff's last rate complaint against AmerenUE, the company agreed to initiate a low-income weatherization program. That program is currently funded by AmerenUE at an annual level of \$1.2 million. The Department of Natural Resources recommends the program continue to be funded at that level. Staff recommends AmerenUE shareholders provide \$600,000 of that funding, with the other \$600,000 recovered from ratepayers.

AmerenUE contends it is under no obligation to continue funding the low-income weatherization program. However, it is willing to pay the \$600,000 recommended by Staff as a component of its proposal for implementation of a fuel adjustment clause.²⁶⁰

Staff and the Department of Natural Resources also agree that AmerenUE should include the program in its tariff and use up to \$120,000 of the program funding to do a process and impact evaluation of the weatherization program. AmerenUE accepts those recommendations.

²⁵⁸ Wilbers Direct, Ex. 650, Page 12, Lines 4-6.

²⁵⁹ Mantle Rebuttal, Ex. 221, Page 4, Lines 21-23.

²⁶⁰ Mark Surrebuttal, Ex. 039, Page 3, Lines 7-11.

Findings of Fact:

Richard Mark, testifying for AmerenUE, agreed the weatherization program has been successful and should continue to be supported by AmerenUE.²⁶¹ So all the parties agree the program should be continued. However, AmerenUE appeared to make its promise of future support contingent upon the Commission approving its request for a fuel adjustment clause. The Commission denies that request in this order.

Conclusions of Law:

No additional conclusions of law are made for this issue.

Decision:

AmerenUE and its customers would benefit from continuation of the low-income weatherization program. The Commission assumes that AmerenUE really did not intend to hold those low-income customers hostage to try to force this Commission to grant it a fuel adjustment clause. Therefore, the Commission directs that the low-income weatherization program continue with funding provided \$600,000 by ratepayers and \$600,000 by AmerenUE's shareholders. In addition, AmerenUE shall include the program in its tariff and use up to \$120,000 of the program funding to do a process and impact evaluation of the weatherization program.

H. Voluntary Green Power Program

Discussion:

AmerenUE has proposed a tariff that would implement a Voluntary Green Program.

This program would allow AmerenUE's customer to purchase and retire Renewable Energy

Certificates (RECs). A REC is defined as the environmentally beneficial component of

²⁶¹ Transcript, Page 1669, Lines 6-8.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE's Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

<u>Case No. ER-2007-0002</u> Tariff No. YE-2007-0007

REPORT AND ORDER

Issue Date: May 22, 2007

Effective Date: June 1, 2007

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a |) | |
|--|---|-------------------------|
| AmerenUE's Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric |) | Case No. ER-2007-0002 |
| Service Provided to Customers in the Company's |) | Tariff No. YE-2007-0007 |
| Missouri Service Area | j | |

APPEARANCES

<u>James B. Lowrey</u>, Attorney at Law, and <u>William Jay Powell</u>, Attorney at Law, SMITH LEWIS, LLP, P.O. Box 918, Columbia, Missouri 65205

<u>Thomas M. Byrne</u>, Managing Associate General Counsel, and <u>Wendy Tatro</u>, Assistant General Counsel, Ameren Services Company, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103

Robert J. Cynkar, Attorney at Law, CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP, 507 C Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20002

<u>James M. Fischer</u>, Attorney at Law, FISCHER & DORITY, P.C., 101 Madison Street, Suite 400, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

For Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE.

Kevin Thompson, General Counsel,
Steven Dotthiem, Attorney at Law
Nathan Williams, Attorney at Law
Denny Frey, Attorney at Law
Steven Reed, Attorney at Law
David Meyer, Attorney at Law
Jennifer Heintz, Attorney at Law, and
Blane Baker, Attorney at Law,
P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

For the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

<u>Lewis Mills</u>, Public Counsel, and <u>Christina Baker</u>, Attorney at Law, P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

For the Office of the Public Counsel and the Public.

<u>Douglas E. Micheel</u>, Assistant Attorney General, and <u>Robert E. Carlson</u>, Assistant Attorney General, P.O. Box 899, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

For the State of Missouri and the Missouri Department of Economic Development.

Todd Iveson, Assistant Attorney General, P.O. Box 899, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

For the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.

<u>Diana Vuylsteke</u>, Attorney at Law, BRYAN CAVE, LLP, One Metropolitan Square, Suite 3600, St. Louis, Missouri 63102

For Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers.

<u>Lisa Langeneckert</u>, Attorney at Law, THE STOLAR PARTNERSHIP LLP, 911 Washington Avenue, Suite 700, St. Louis, Missouri 63101-1290

For the Missouri Energy Group.

<u>Rick D. Chamberlain</u>, Attorney at Law, BEHRENS, TAYLOR, WHEELER & CHAMBERLAIN, 6 N.E. 63rd, Suite 400, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

<u>Koriambanya S. Carew</u>, Attorney at Law, BAKER STERCHI COWDEN & RICE, LLC, 2400 Pershing Road, Suite 500, Kansas City, Missouri 64108

For The Commercial Group.

<u>Stuart W. Conrad</u>, Attorney at Law, FINNEGAN, CONRAD & PETERSON, L.C., 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209, Kansas City, Missouri 64111

For Noranda Aluminum, Inc.

John W. Coffman, Attorney at Law, 871 Tuxedo Blvd., St. Louis, Missouri 63119-2044.

For AARP and the Consumers Council of Missouri.

Gaylin Rich Carver, Attorney at Law, HENDREN ANDRAE, LLC, 221 Bolivar Street, P.O. Box 1069, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

For the Missouri Association for Social Welfare.

<u>Samuel E. Overfelt</u>, Attorney at Law, 618 E. Capitol Avenue, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

For the Missouri Retailers Association.

<u>Michael C. Pendergast</u>, Vice President and Associate General Counsel, Laclede Gas Company, 720 Olive Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101

For Laclede Gas Company.

<u>L. Russell Mitten</u>, Attorney at Law, BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND P.C., 312 E. Capitol Avenue, P.O. Box 456, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

For Aquila, Inc.

DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE: Morris L. Woodruff

REPORT AND ORDER

Table of Contents

| Appearances | 1 |
|--|----|
| Procedural History | 5 |
| Public Counsel's Motion to Dismiss | 7 |
| The Partial Stipulations and Agreements | 8 |
| Overview | 9 |
| The Rate Making Process | 12 |
| Conclusions of Law Regarding Jurisdiction | 13 |
| Conclusions of Law Regarding Just and Reasonable Rates | 13 |
| The Proposed Fuel Adjustment Clause | 15 |
| 2. Off System Sales | 27 |
| 3. Capital Structure | 33 |
| 4. Return on Equity | 36 |

| 5. | Electric Energy, Inc 44 |
|----|---|
| 6. | Inclusion of Combustion Turbine Generators in Rate Base 59 |
| | A. Pinckneyville and Kinmundy 59 |
| | B. Peno Creek 67 |
| 7. | SO ₂ Allowance Sales |
| 8. | Depreciation |
| | A. 4 CSR 240-10.020 79 |
| | B. Life Span of Fossil Fuel and Hydro Plants 81 |
| | C. Callaway Plant Life Span 85 |
| | D. Terminal Net Salvage and Inflation Costs |
| | E&F. Historic or Future Inflation Rates and Actual Net Salvage Expense 89 |
| | G. Difference between Actual Book and Theoretical Accrued Depreciation 94 |
| | H. Net Salvage Percentages for Account 322 |
| 9. | Metro East |
| 10 |). Class Cost of Service, Rate Design, and Tariff Issues |
| | A. Economic Development and Retention Riders |
| | B. Industrial Demand Response Program101 |
| | C. Essential Services Rate105 |
| | D. Safety Net Proposal107 |
| | F Wind Power |

| F. Demand Side Management | 109 |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| G. Low Income Programs | 112 |
| H. Voluntary Green Programs | 113 |
| Taum Sauk Regulatory Capacity | 115 |
| Ordered Paragraphs | 118 |

Summary

This order denies AmerenUE's request for a fuel adjustment clause. It allows AmerenUE to increase the revenue it may collect from its Missouri customers by approximately \$43 million. As a result, the average residential customer's monthly bill will increase by \$2.33, or approximately 3.26 percent.

Procedural History

On July 7, 2006, Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE filed tariff sheets designed to implement an annual general rate increase for electric service in the amount of \$360,709,000. The tariff revisions carried an effective date of August 6, 2006.

On July 11, the Commission suspended AmerenUE's tariff until June 4, 2007, the maximum amount of time allowed by the controlling statute.¹ In the same order, the Commission directed that notice of AmerenUE's tariff filing be provided to interested parties and the public. The Commission also established July 31 as the deadline for submission of applications to intervene.

The State of Missouri; the Missouri Energy Group (MEG);² Noranda Aluminum, Inc.; the Missouri Department of Natural Resources; Aquila, Inc.; the Missouri Industrial Energy

¹ Section 393.150, RSMo 2000.

² The members of MEG are Barnes-Jewish Hospital, Buzzi Unicem USA, Inc.; Holcim US, Inc.; and SSM HealthCare.

EXHIBIT B

Collaborative Committee Report

AmerenUE Low-Income Weatherization Fund Agreement of the Parties Addressing Purpose, Procedures and Organizational Considerations

November 15, 2002

This narrative addresses portions of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commissions' August 4, 2002 Report and Order in Case No. EC-2002-1, pertaining to the establishment and use of a Weatherization Fund. The Stipulation and Agreement states in section number 5 that "A weatherization fund for the benefit of UE's low-income customers in UE's service territory will be created and administered as determined under Section 11 of this Agreement." Section 11 of the Agreement directs that "The low-income weatherization fund and the residential and commercial energy efficiency fund will be utilized in accordance with plans developed by separate collaborative committees of interested signatories."

Representatives of the following interested signatories have met in a series of meetings that commenced September 9, 2002, to discuss the Agreement's weatherization components: Public Service Commission, Office of the Public Counsel, AmerenUE, and Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Energy Center. The following sets out the purposes, responsibilities and implementation agreed to by the parties involved in the low-income weatherization fund collaborative.

The Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Energy Center (DNR EC), or its predecessor, has administered a federal weatherization program in Missouri for the past 25 years. The Energy Center disburses funds to 18 local organizations and agencies that deliver energy-efficiency weatherization services to low-income citizens in every Missouri county and the city of St. Louis. The program is operated in accordance with detailed and specific laws and regulations established by Congress and the United States Department of Energy and in accordance with an annual workplan developed by the Energy Center after public hearing. Copies of the state workplan and associated federal requirements are attached to this document. In general, the collaborative members have agreed to carry out the weatherization program and use the associated funds provided for in the Stipulation and Agreement in a manner consistent with the existing laws, rules and state workplan for the federal weatherization program. The collaborative participants believe this consistency will ease implementation of the program provided for in the Agreement so funds may be used as quickly and efficiently as possible for the benefit of Missouri ratepayers and low-income citizens. These benefits include reduced energy use, reduced utility bills, improved health and safety for recipients of weatherization services and reduced arrearages for AmernUE and its ratepayers.

Program Guidelines and Administration:

• AmerenUE will disburse \$2 million in an initial payment and \$500,000 in subsequent annual payments over a four (4) year period totaling \$4 million to fund a Weatherization Assistance Program for AmerenUE low-income electric customers. These monies will be disbursed to an account established by the Environmental

Improvement and Energy Resources Authority (EIERA). EIERA is a quasi-governmental financing authority established in Missouri statute and contained organizationally within the Department of Natural Resources.

- The DNR Energy Center will allocate AmerenUE weatherization funds through a
 grant agreement with the existing network of local weatherization agencies on an
 annual basis according to an allocation formula agreed to by the collaborative team
 cited above. The allocation formula agreed to by the collaborative for the first year is
 attached.
- Grant payments will be disbursed from the EIERA weatherization account according
 to procedures established by the DNR/EC. The AmerenUE weatherization assistance
 project will be administered as a separate grant.
- The administration of the AmerenUE weatherization project shall be generally consistent with the DNR/EC's Weatherization State Pan, Weatherization Operations Manual policies and procedures and the U.S. Department of Energy's Weatherization guidelines. The federal/state eligibility criteria and client selection guidelines will not be consistent because only AmerenUE electric customers are eligible for this program. The intent is that programmatic policy, financial management and technical standards of the existing DNR/EC's Weatherization Program will apply to the AmerenUE weatherization assistance project.
- The DNR/EC will provide information from Department of Social Services Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) recipient list to local weatherization agencies participating in the AmerenUE weatherization project. [Should we state the purpose of this information sharing??]
- Ameren UE will assist local agencies in promoting the program and soliciting applications for the AmerenUE weatherization assistance project (example: AmerenUE bill inserts suggesting weatherization assistance in disconnection notices).

Administration of Local Agency Grant:

- AmerenUE low-income electrical customers that are at or below 150% of federal poverty guidelines are eligible to receive weatherization assistance.
- DNR/EC will prepare a Terms of Grant agreement including scope of services document between DNR/EC and local weatherization agencies. Local weatherization agencies will review budgets and production schedules and signify acceptance through signature process.

Reporting Requirements:

• DNR/EC provides progress reports detailing the number of homes weatherized and expenditure rates on a quarterly basis to the collaborative committee no later than thirty days past the quarterly report period.

- An annual report will be issued to the collaborative committee no later than forty-five (45) days past the twelve-month program budget period.
- A final report will be issued to the collaborative committee. The final report may include an evaluation of how well agencies dealt with (1) large inflow of utility funds, (2) program criteria of UE customers only and (3) effectiveness of utility referrals.
- The Collaborative committee will consult on providing program progress reports and a final report to the Public Service Commission.

EXHIBIT C

Disbursement Request

| Mail Direct to: | (FOR DNR/EC USE ONLY) |
|---|--|
| Department of Natural Resources Energy Center P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102 | P.O. # ER-0040 GRANT #_G07-16-AMUE |

AMERENUE REQUEST FOR FUNDS (DE-5)

| Request Date: | Name of Subgrantee: |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Program Year: <u>2007/2008</u> | |
| Program Title: Weatherization | Address: |
| | |
| • | d belief the date below is correct and that all greement and the payment is due and has not |
| | Signature of Authorized Official |

| COST CATEGORY | REQUEST FOR FUNDS |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| ADMINISTRATION | |
| INSURANCE | |
| PROGRAM OPERATIONS | |
| FINANCIAL AUDIT | |
| | · |
| TOTAL | |
| | |
| DNR/EC APPROVAL: | DATE: |

EXHBIT D

Agency Payment Schedule

| | Total UE Accounts by Agency | Percent of Total UE Accounts by Agency | 50% Allocation Based on UE Accounts | Estimated UE Poverty Accounts by Agency | Percent of Estimated UE Poverty Accounts by Agency | 50% Allocation Based on UE Poverty Accounts | Sum of Columns (5) + (7) | Sum of Column (8) \$10,000 Base Allocation |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Central Missouri Community Action | 21,278 | 2.29% | \$12,240 | 6,122 | 2.48% | \$13,244 | \$25,484 | \$35,483 |
| Kansas City Neighborhood & Comm Serv Dep | 5,379 | 0.58% | \$3,094 | 1,375 | 0.56% | \$2,975 | \$6,070 | \$16,070 |
| North East Community Action Corporation | 114,437 | 12.30% | \$65,828 | 21,238 | 8.59% | \$45,946 | \$111,774 | \$121,774 |
| Green Hills Community Action Agency | 6,130 | 0.66% | \$3,526 | 2,064 | 0.83% | \$4,465 | \$7,991 | \$17,991 |
| West Central Missouri Community Action Agend | 4,034 | 0.43% | \$2,320 | 1,532 | 0.62% | \$3,315 | \$5,635 | \$15,635 |
| Urban League | 144,821 | 15.57% | \$83,306 | 81,992 | 33.16% | \$177,380 | \$260,686 | \$270,686 |
| Community Action Agency of SLC | 425,349 | 45.73% | \$244,675 | 72,066 | 29.14% | \$155,906 | \$400,581 | \$410,581 |
| Community Services, Inc. | 2,941 | 0.32% | \$1,692 | 759 | 0.31% | \$1,643 | \$3,335 | \$13,335 |
| Jefferson-Franklin Community Action Corp | 103,681 | 11.15% | \$59,641 | 19,198 | 7.76% | \$41,532 | \$101,172 | \$111,172 |
| Missouri Valley Community Action Agency | 0 | 0.00% | \$0 | 0 | 0.00% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Missouri Ozarks Community Action | 22,755 | 2.45% | \$13,089 | 7,354 | 2.97% | \$15,909 | \$28,999 | \$38,999 |
| Northeast Mo. Community Action Agency | 10,954 | 1.18% | \$6,301 | 5,146 | 2.08% | \$11,134 | \$17,435 | \$27,435 |
| East Missouri Action Agency | 41,451 | 4,46% | \$23,844 | 14,443 | 5.84% | \$31,245 | \$55,089 | \$65,089 |
| Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corp. | 26,847 | 2.89% | \$15,443 | 14,009 | 5.66% | \$30,307 | \$45,750 | \$55,750 |
| TOTAL | 930.057 | 100.00% | \$535,000 | 247.300 | 100.00% | \$535,000 | \$1.070.000 | \$1,200,000 |

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

Column 5 = Total UE Accounts by Agency x Agency Total % of Poverty Households to Total Poverty = Estimated UE Poverty Accounts by Agency

(2)

(3)

(1)