Exhibit No.:

Issue: True-up Revenue Requirement

Witness: Cary G. Featherstone

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: True-up Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2014-0370

Date Testimony Prepared: July 7, 2015

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION UTILITY SERVICES - AUDITING

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CARY G. FEATHERSTONE

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2014-0370

Jefferson City, Missouri July 7, 2015

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	CARY G. FEATHERSTONE
4	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
5	CASE NO. ER-2014-0370
6	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
7	TRUE-UP4
8	NON-UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENTS
9	

1	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY	
2	OF	
3	CARY G. FEATHERSTONE	
4	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	
5	CASE NO. ER-2014-0370	
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
7	A. Cary G. Featherstone, Fletcher Daniels State Office Building, 615 East 13th	
8	Street, Kansas City, Missouri.	
9	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
10	A. I am a Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service	
11	Commission ("Commission" or "Missouri Commission").	
12	Q. Are you the same Cary G. Featherstone who filed direct, rebuttal and	
13	surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding?	
14	A. Yes, I am. I filed direct testimony in this case on April 3, 2015, sponsoring	
15	Staff's revenue requirement cost of service report ("COS Report") for Kansas City Power &	
16	Light Company's ("KCPL" or "Company") rate case filed on October 30, 2014. I provided	
17	testimony in the COS Report on various topics specifically identified in the report, and on the	
18	topics of off-system sales, jurisdictional allocations and additional amortizations for Iatan 2.	
19	I also filed rebuttal testimony on May 7, 2015, and surrebuttal testimony on June 5, 2015,	
20	regarding regulatory lag, use of deferral mechanisms such as trackers and fuel clauses and	
21	jurisdictional allocations.	

- Q. What is the purpose of your True-up direct testimony?
 - A. The purpose of this testimony is to provide the results of Staff's true-up audits of KCPL pursuant to the Commission's December 12, 2014, Order setting the procedural schedule in this case. The true-up period is through May 31, 2015.

I am sponsoring the True-up Direct Accounting Schedules that are being filed concurrently with this testimony which contain the revenue requirement results of the true-up audit conducted by Staff.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. Would you please summarize your true-up direct testimony?
- A. In its December 12, 2014, *Order Setting Procedural Schedule and Establishing Test Year and Other Procedural Requirements*, the Commission ordered the true-up period for this rate case to be through May 31, 2015. The Commission ordered the use of the twelve months ended March 31, 2014, as the test year, updated for known and measurable changes through December 31, 2014.

The Staff's true-up run supports its recommendations to the Commission for the amount of the rate revenue increases the Commission should order for KCPL. Staff's recommendations are based on its revenue requirement results for KCPL based on actual historical information through the period ending May 31, 2015. This recommendation is in Staff's separately filed True-up Direct Accounting Schedules for KCPL.

This true-up direct testimony presents an overview of Staff's true-up audit and revenue requirement for KCPL. The same Staff members who prepared the rate revenue recommendations presented in Staff's direct testimony in this case performed Staff's true-up revenue requirement calculations. In making its true-up revenue requirement

- recommendations Staff considered all the relevant and material components of the revenue requirement calculation. Broadly, these components are: (1) capital structure and return on investment, (2) rate base investment and (3) income statement results, including revenues and depreciation expense, including income taxes. I provide in this testimony an overview of Staff's true-up work on each of these broad components.
 - Q. What revenue requirement increase is Staff recommending for KCPL?
- A. Staff is recommending a revenue requirement increase for KCPL in a range of \$72.546 million to \$83.073 million, based on the low, mid and high end of Staff's recommended rate of return—9.0%, 9.25% and 9.50%.
- Q. Would you explain the broad components Staff relied on for each of these revenue requirement increase recommendations?
- A. Yes. For its true-up case, Staff used its low, mid and high-end overall rate of return of 7.276%, 7.401% and 7.527% for KCPL. These overall rates of return are based on a return on equity of 9.00 to 9.50% for KCPL (Staff witness Zephania Marevangepo's recommendations). During the true-up period after the December 31, 2014, update period, KCPL had plant additions and transmission, payroll and fuel cost increases along with other cost increases that caused increases in the revenue requirement.

Based on the Commission's December 12, 2014, Order in this case establishing the procedural schedule, Staff used May 31, 2015, as the cutoff date for the true-up audit.

As of the May 31, 2015, true-up cutoff date, KCPL had added to its electric generating system the environmental equipment additions to La Cygne Units 1 and 2 and upgrades to Wolf Creek. Staff declared the environmental equipment upgrades at the La Cygne station in-service as of March 24, 2015, for Unit 2 and April 30, 2015, for Unit 1.

Plant additions and retirements through the end of the true-up period, May 31, 2015, in this case are reflected in the true-up revenue requirements for KCPL. The true-up includes actual payroll and payroll-related benefits through May 31, 2015. It includes medical costs. It includes fuel costs, including fuel commodity price changes and freight price changes. It includes increased fuel costs due to actual price increases and decreases for the commodity and delivery costs. Staff's true-up also includes reasonable and prudent cost increases and decreases through the end of the true-up period of May 31, 2015, that are not specifically included in Staff's direct filing.

- Q. What are the results of Staff's true-up audits?
- A. Staff's updated revenue requirement for the May 31, 2015, true-up is:

	True-up as of May 31, 2015			
Company	Low	Mid	High	
Kansas City Power & Light Company	\$72.546 million	\$77.789 million	\$83.073 million	

The above revenue requirements include the impacts of the non-unanimous, but unopposed, stipulations and agreements reached between KCPL and various parties including Staff.

TRUE-UP

- Q. What rate of returns did Staff use for its true-up recommendations?
- A. Staff's range for rate of return on equity remains at the 9.00% to 9.50%, with a mid-point of 9.25% it proposed in direct testimony. However, Staff updated the capital structure through the May 31, 2015, true-up. The overall rates of return along with the updated capital structure Staff used for KCPL are:

Types of Capital Investment	Percentage of Total Capital Structure	Weighted Cost of Capital- Low	Weighted Cost of Capital- Mid	Weighted Cost of Capital- High
		9.00%	9.25%	9.50%
Common Equity Stock	50.09%	4.508%	4.633%	4.759%
Preferred Stock	0.55%	0.024%	0.024%	0.024%
Long-Term Debt	49.36%	2.744%	2.744%	2.744%
Total	100%	7.276%	7.401%	7.527%

[Source: Zephania Marevangepo's recommendation updating capital structure]

3

2

Q. What are the specific areas of Staff's recommended increase in KCPL's revenue requirement in this true-up case?

5

4

A. The following represent a non-exhaustive list of areas that make up Staff's true-up filing to reflect actual known changes through May 31, 2015, for KCPL:

7

6

• Updated Rate of Return to reflect changes in the capital structure;

8 9 10 Actual plant in Service investments, accumulated depreciation reserve and related depreciation expense as of May 31, 2015, which include investments in the environmental project at La Cygne station and the upgrades to the essential water system at Wolf Creek;

1112

Accumulated deferred income taxes as of May 31, 2015;

13

• Fuel inventories as of May 31, 2015;

14 15 Included changes for revenues to reflect customer levels through May 31, 2015;

16 17 • Fuel costs, including freight rate increase and purchased power costs based on actual prices through May 31, 2015;

18

Updated KCPL's firm bulk power through May 31, 2015;

19 20

• Payroll and payroll related benefit costs reflecting actual employee levels and salary amounts through May 31, 2015;

1 2 3	• The true-up reflects the <i>Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement as to True Up, Depreciation and Other Miscellaneous Issues</i> filed with the Commission on July 1, 2015, by KCPL and Staff;
4 5 6 7 8	• The true-up reflects the <i>Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement as to True Up, Depreciation and Other Miscellaneous Issues</i> filed with the Commission on July 1, 2015, by Kansas City Power & Light Company-Investor (Electric), Midwest Energy Consumers Groupand the Missouri PSC Staff;
9 10 11	• The true-up reflects the <i>NonUnanimous Stipulation and Agreement Regarding Pensions and Other Post-Employment Benefits</i> filed June 26, 2015, by KCPL and Staff;
12 13 14 15 16 17	• The true-up reflects the <i>Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement on certain issues</i> filed with the Commission June 16, 2015, by Office of the Public Counsel, Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, Missouri Division of Energy, Consumers Council of Missouri, United States Department of Energy, Midwest Energy Consumers Group and the Missouri PSC Staff;
18 19	 Income tax expense and related income tax costs consistent with the true-up.
20	Q. Did KCPL and Staff agree to areas to be trued-up?
21	A. Yes. In the July 1, 2015, Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement
22	as to True Up, Depreciation and Other Miscellaneous Issues, a list of items to true-up was
23	identified as follows ¹ :
24	a. Capital Structure
25	b. Cost of Debt
26	c. Plant in Service
27	d. Depreciation Reserve
28	e. Cash Working Capital
29	f. Bad Debt Expense
30	g. Fuel Inventory (Coal, Oil, Lime/Limestone/Ammonia, Powder Activated
31	Carbon, Nuclear)
32 33	h. Wolf Creek refueling (No. 20) i. Regulatory Asset-Demand Side Management
33	1. Regulatory Associ-Demand Side Wallagement

¹ Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement as to True Up, Depreciation and Other Miscellaneous Issues- pages 2 and 3.

1	j. Regulatory Asset - La Cygne Environmental project construction accounting
2	(This item will not be trued up if the Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation
3	and Agreement as to Certain Issues is approved)
4	k. Regulatory Asset - La Cygne Inventory
5	1. Regulatory Liability – SO ₂ Proceeds
6	m. Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes
7	n. Revenues (including LGS/LP rate switchers)
8	o. Off-System Sales
9	p. Transmission Revenues
10	q. Transmission Revenues ROE (This item will not be trued up if the Partial
11	Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement as to Certain Issues is
12	approved)
13	r. CCN Revenues
14	s. Fuel & Purchased Power Expense
15	t. Transmission Expense
16	u. SPP Schedule 1 Administrative Fees
17	v. Iatan 2 O&M Tracker
18	w. Payroll (using Staff's capitalization rate)
19	x. Payroll Taxes
20	y. Other Benefits (Co. Adj. CS-60)
21	z. 401k Expenses
22 23	aa. Pension Expense (True-up numbers contained in Non-Unanimous
23	Stipulation and Agreement Regarding Pensions and Other Post
24	Employment Benefits)
24 25 26	bb. OPEBs (True-up numbers contained in Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
	Agreement Regarding Pensions and Other Post Employment Benefits)
27	cc. Injuries and damages insurance
28	dd. Property insurance
29	ee. Amortization of Demand Side Management Deferral
30	ff. Amortization of Iatan 2 and Common Regulatory Asset
31	gg. IT Roadmap/CIP and cyber security expense
32	hh. Transource CWIP/FERC Incentives
33	ii. Rate Case Expense (Company to update information by August 12, 2015)
34	jj. Commission Assessment
35	kk. Amortization of Rate Case Expense (this is for the amortization from two
36	cases ago)
37	ll. Amortization of SO ₂ Proceeds
38	mm. Amortization of La Cygne Regulatory Asset – Construction Accounting
39	(This item will not be trued up if Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
40	Agreement as to Certain Issues is approved)
41	nn. Amortization of La Cygne Regulatory Asset – Inventory
42	oo. Amortization of Renewable Energy Standards Costs
43	pp. Depreciation & Amortization Expense

1 2 3	qq. Amortization – Limited Term Plant rr. Income Taxes ss. Allocations – Jurisdictional Allocators for meter accounts 370.00 and		
4	370.02		
5	All the items above listed for true-up were included in the revenue requirement		
6	calculation through the May 31, 2015, period and are set out in the Accounting Schedules		
7	filed separately with this testimony.		
8	NON-UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENTS		
9	Q. Have there been any Stipulations and Agreements in these cases that would		
10	impact the revenue requirements of KCPL?		
11	A. Yes. On July 1, 2015, the Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement		
12	as to True Up, Depreciation and Other Miscellaneous Issues was filed with the Commission		
13	to resolve various issues. The following issues from the List of Issues were resolved by this		
14	non-unanimous stipulation:		
15	1. Transition Cost Amortization (Issue XV);		
16	2. Missouri Corporate Franchise Tax (Issue XX);		
17	3. Wolf Creek OPEBs (Issue X);		
18	4. Swissvale/Stillwell and West Gardner (Issue XXIII);		
19 20	5. Transmission Revenue –ROE (Issue XXII);6. La Cygne environmental project construction accounting deferrals		
21	(Issue VIII);		
22	7. Bad Debt Gross-Up (Issue XIII);		
23	8. Wolf Creek Overtime (Issue IX);		
24	9. Vegetation Management (Issue VI) with no tracker;		
25	10. Jurisdictional Cost Allocations (Issue XXI);		
26	11. Affiliate Transactions and Corporate Cost Allocations (Issue XVI);		
27	12. Amortization periods ending before the end of the true-up period		
28	(Issue XI);		
29	13. DOE spent nuclear fuel fees (Issue XII).		

1	In addition to the resolution of the specific issues identified above, an agreement was reached
2	in the July 1, 2015, Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement as to True Up,
3	Depreciation and Other Miscellaneous Issues respecting the following items:
4 5 6 7	B. TRANSITION COST AMORTIZATION FROM CASE NO. ER-2010-0355 KCP&L agrees not to seek recovery of the transition cost amortization authorized in Case No. ER-2010-0355 in any future cases.
8 9 10 11 12 13	C. LA CYGNE ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNTING DEFERRALS The Signatories agree that all issues relating to the La Cygne Environmental project construction accounting deferrals have been resolved and KCP&L agrees not to seek recovery of La Cygne Environmental project construction accounting deferrals authorized in Case No. EU-2014-0255 in any future cases.
14 15 16 17 18	D. JURISDICTIONAL COST ALLOCATIONS The Signatories agree for settlement purposes that Staff's position regarding the jurisdictional demand allocator will be used for calculating KCP&L's revenue requirement in this case and that the jurisdictional allocators for meter accounts 370.00 and 370.02 will be trued up.
19 20 21	E. GREAT PLAINS POWER ("GPP") The GPP portion of Iatan plant balances will not be included in any future KCP&L Missouri rate case.
22 23 24 25 26	F. WOLF CREEK OPEBS The Signatories agree that the Wolf Creek OPEBs cost will be based on the "pay as you go" amount as specified in paragraph 10.d.i. of the NonUnanimous Stipulation and Agreement Regarding Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits filed on June 26, 2015.
27 28 29 30 31 32	G. EXPENSE ACCOUNT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN KCP&L will submit to Staff and interested parties to this case an implementation plan 180 days after the date rates are effective in this case regarding the actions, if any, it will implement to address expense account issues, such as proper account charging, reporting and other issues noted by the Staff in this case.
33 34 35 36 37 38	H. SURVEILLANCE REPORTS KCP&L agrees to continue to provide the Annual Surveillance Report consistent with past practice prior to 2015 in which it has been providing the annual surveillance reporting previously agreed to by KCP&L, other parties including Staff in the November 23, 1987, Order Approving Joint Recommendation in Case Nos. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224 and modified in the

4

5

1

6 7 8

9

10

11

17 18 19

16

20

21 22

23

24 25 26

27 28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

November 6, 1992, Order in Case No. EO-93-143 Order Modifying Joint Recommendation in Case No. EO-93-143, and to provide them to counsel for the MECG, Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers and the Office of the Public Counsel.

I. PROSPECTIVE TRACKING OF REGULATORY ASSET AND LIABILITY RECOVERY

In each future KCP&L general rate case, the Signatories agree that the balance of each amortization relating to regulatory assets or liabilities that remains, after full recovery by KCP&L (regulatory asset) or full credit to KCP&L customers (regulatory liability), shall be applied as offsets to other amortizations which do not expire before KCP&L's new rates from that rate case take effect. In the event no other amortization expires before KCP&L's new rates from that rate case take effect, then the remaining unamortized balance shall be a new regulatory liability or asset that is amortized over an appropriate period of time. For example, the Demand Side Management amortizations, once fully recovered, will be used to offset (reduce) other vintages of DSM amortizations, each reducing other vintages as those become fully recovered and, in the event no other vintages remain to be amortized, the Demand Side Management amortizations will be applied to other amortizations that do not end before new rates take effect.

J. AVERAGE PAYMENT PLAN

The Signatories agree that when the status of a named account holder changes (for example, the account holder dies), a member of the household during the time when the account was in the name of the person whose status has changed and who continues to reside at that premise should neither be denied participation in the level payment plan nor charged a deposit simply because the original named account holder's status has changed such that the original named account holder is no longer responsible for payment. This has been and continues to be KCP&L's policy and KCP&L will ensure that its practices, procedures and call center representative training are aligned so that customers are advised appropriately. Exemplar tariff sheets are appended hereto as Attachment 1 which will be included in the compliance tariff filing in this case.

- Q. Were there other agreements reached that Staff has included in this true-up case?
- A. Yes. On July 1, 2015, a Partial Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement as to True Up, Depreciation and Other Miscellaneous Issues was filed with the Commission. Attached to this non-unanimous stipulation is Schedule A that lists the agreed upon depreciation rates by FERC Uniform System of Account for KCPL's plant accounts.

A.

Yes.

And on June 26, 2015, the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Regarding		
Pensions and Other Post Employment Benefits was filed, by KCPL and Staff which is an		
agreement regarding pensions and other post-employment benefits that has been typically		
been agreed to by the Company and Staff.		
Q. Have all the agreements reached by KCPL and various parties been included in		
the true-up revenue requirement?		
A. Yes. Staff has incorporated the impacts on the revenue requirement		
calculations for KCPL of these agreements. These agreements are those listed in the		
non-exclusive list of true-up items I described earlier.		
Q. Are there any true up items that Staff is still examining for the true-up?		
A. Yes. Staff is currently analyzing true-up information relating to KCPL's		
Information Technology Roadmap ("IT Roadmap") Operation & Maintenance (O&M)		
expense and Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) program. Staff witness Karen Lyons will		
address IT Roadmap O&M and the CIP program in True-Up Rebuttal Testimony.		
Q. Does this conclude your True-up direct Testimony?		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light)	
Company's Request for Authority to)	Case No. ER-2014-0370
Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric)	
Service)	

AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW Cary G. Featherstone and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the attached True-Up Direct Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Cary G. Featherstone

JURAT

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016
Commission Number: 12412070

Notary Public