Exhibit No:

Issues: Business Services

Witness: Sylvia Acosta Fernandez
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC

Missouri

Case No: TO-2005-0035 Date Prepared: January 21, 2005

# SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. D/B/A SBC MISSOURI CASE NO. TO-2005-0035

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SYLVIA ACOSTA FERNANDEZ

St. Louis, Missouri January 21, 2005

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Second Investigation into the State of	)
Competition in the Exchanges of Southwestern Bell	) Case No. TO-2005-0035
Telephone, L.P., d/b/a/ SBC Missouri.	

#### AFFIDAVIT OF SYLVIA ACOSTA FERNANDEZ

STATE OF TEXAS

SS

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO

- I, Sylvia A. Fernandez, of lawful age, being duly sworn, depose and state:
- My name is Sylvia Acosta Fernandez. I am presently Director Voice Packaging for SBC Operations, Inc.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my surrebuttal testimony.
- I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

RHONDA KORCZYNSKI Notary Public, State of Texas My Commission Expires November 21, 2007

Sylvia A. Fernandez

Subscribed and sworn to before this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2005

Chorda Korazgasha Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 11121107

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRO	ODUCT:	ION	1
PURP	OSE		1
	1.	Access To Wholesale Information	1
	2.	The Import of Competitor Advertising Expenditure Data	2
SCHE	DULES		
	Schedu	ıle 1 – Time Warner Business Class Bundle Print Ad, Kansas City Star, March 2004	
	Schedu	ale 2 – Time Warner Business Class Bundle Print Ad, Kansas City Star, August 2004	
	Schedu	ale 3 – EarthLink/Covad Business Bundled Direct Mail Offering	
	Schedu	ile 4 - Covad Press Release Re: Business VoIP Service	
	Schedu	ale 5 – MCI Announcement Of Expanded Broadband Offering	
	Schedu	ale 6 – McLeodUSA's Announcement Of Business VoIP Service	
	Schedu	ale 7 – AT&T Announcement Of Retail Marketing Agreement With Staples, Inc.	

## INTRODUCTION

1

2	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
3	A.	My name is Sylvia Acosta Fernandez and my business address is 530 McCullough, San
4		Antonio, Texas 78215.
5		
6	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME SYLVIA ACOSTA FERNANDEZ THAT PREVIOUSLY
7		FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
8	A.	Yes.
9 10	<u>PURI</u>	<u>POSE</u>
11	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to:
13		1) Assure the parties that I have not seen any of the highly confidential or proprietary
14		wholesale information presented in this case, and that in my position with SBC
15		Missouri, I do not have access to information about SBC's wholesale customers; and to
16		
17		2) Respond to the comments made by PSC Staff member Adam McKinnie concerning
18		the significance of the advertising expenditure data I presented in my Direct Testimony.
19		
20		1. Access To Wholesale Information.
21	Q.	SOCKET TELECOM WITNESS MATT KOHLY STATES IN HIS REBUTTAL
22		TESTIMONY ON PAGE 8 THAT SBC RETAIL MARKETING DIRECTORS
23		"CAN NOW FREELY REVIEW ALL OF THE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1		INFORMATION CONTAINED IN SBC'S TESTIMONY" AND THAT THEY
2		MAY "FIND THIS INFORMATION USEFUL IN FULFILLING THEIR
3		RETAIL RESPONSIBILITIES."
4		IS THIS STATEMENT CORRECT?
5	A.	No.
6		
7	Q.	HAVE YOU BEEN GIVEN ACCESS TO THE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OR
8		PROPRIETARY WHOLESALE INFORMATION FILED IN THIS CASE BY
9		SBC MISSOURI WITNESS CRAIG UNRUH?
10	A.	No.
11		
12	Q.	IN YOUR PRESENT POSITION AS AN SBC RETAIL MARKETING
13		DIRECTOR, DO YOU HAVE ACCESS TO INFORMATION ABOUT SBC'S
14		WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS?
15	A.	No.
16		
17		2. The Import Of Competitor Advertising Expenditure Data.
18	Q.	STAFF WITNESS ADAM MCKINNIE IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, AT P.
19		7, STATES THAT "VERY LITTLE IF ANY FACTUAL INFORMATION
20		ABOUT THE CURRENT AMOUNT OF EFFECTIVE COMPETITION SBC IS
21		FACING CAN BE GLEANED FROM THE AMOUNT OF ADVERTISING ITS

COMPETITORS ARE DOING" AND SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON. DO 1 YOU AGREE WITH HIS CONCLUSION? 2 3 A. No. While I agree that one cannot draw conclusions about specific line losses from 4 such data, that was not my intent. In my Direct Testimony, I offered my perspective as 5 a marketer of SBC business telecommunications services and showed that SBC 6 Missouri faces intense competition, which has increased year over year. I provided the 7 estimate of Missouri-specific advertising expenditures by SBC Missouri's competitors 8 to show the intensity of the competitive marketplace, not just in the major metropolitan areas but statewide. 9 10 11 These advertising expenditures were made by a variety of CLECs of all sizes and across 12 a wide group of exchanges. It shows that competition exists across all exchanges within the coverage area of the advertising. This type of advertising is very effective in 13 14 pointing out to SBC Missouri customers across the state that they can purchase similar 15 services from alternative providers that are functionally equivalent to or substitutable 16 for SBC Missouri's services at comparable rates, terms and conditions. 17 DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. MCKINNIE THAT EFFECTIVE COMPETITION 18 Q. FOR BASIC EXCHANGE SERVICES AND HIGH CAPACITY EXCHANGE 19 20 **SERVICES DOES NOT EXIST?** A. No. SBC faces a very competitive market statewide for all of its business access line 21 22 services. As I indicated in my direct testimony, our CLEC competitors utilize

aggressive marketing strategies (bundling and very attractive monthly rates) and direct, 1 2 focused selling tactics such as direct mail, door to door sales and advertising. 3 For example, Time Warner has been aggressively promoting its Road Runner Business 4 Class Service in the Kansas City metro area where it is the dominant cable TV provider. 5 (Copies of some of Time Warner's print ads are attached as Schedules 1 and 2). 6 7 Similarly, EarthLink and Covad have been jointly marketing various business offerings with High Speed Business DSL Service. (Copies of Direct Mailing from EarthLink and 8 Covad are attached as Schedule 3.) Covad also announced on October 7, 2004, that its 9 10 business VoIP service is now available in the St. Louis and Kansas City markets. (A 11 copy of Covad's news release describing this announcement is attached as Schedule 4.) 12 13 And I see these competitive pressures increasing. For example, early this month, MCI 14 announced that it has added high-speed cable access to its Internet Broadband portfolio 15 of business services, which allows it to provide high-speed broadband service to 16 customers in areas where DSL is not available or who have a preference for cable 17 access. To make this offering, MCI has made arrangements to use the cable facilities of 18 Charter, Cox and Time Warner (a copy of MCI's press release announcing this 19 additional offering is attached as Schedule 5). 20 In late December last year, McLeodUSA announced that it launched a business VoIP 21 service, which it calls Preferred Advantage Dynamic Integrated Access Service. While 22

1 the offering was initially made available to business customers in Denver, Dallas, Detroit and Chicago, McLeodUSA stated that it would make the service available in 35 2 3 of its other markets by the end of the second quarter this year (a copy of McLeodUSA's press release on this announcement is attached as Schedule 6). 4 5 And AT&T has recently expanded the distribution base for its CallVantage VoIP 6 service through retail marketing agreement with Staples, Inc. Under this agreement, 7 Staples is now offering AT&T CallVantage Service in its 1,200 retail stores nationwide 8 and targeting small business and home office workers (a copy of AT&T's press release 9 of this announcement is attached as Schedule 7). 10 11 DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY? 12 Q. Yes. 13 A.