## In the Matter of:

# UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI TARIFFS

# ER-2019-0335 VOL. I

July 24, 2019



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	STATE OF MISSOURI				
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
3					
4					
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
6	Procedural Conference				
7	July 24, 2019				
8	Jefferson City, Missouri				
9	Volume 1				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14	In The Matter Of Union Electric ) Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's ) File No.				
15	Tariffs To Decrease Its Revenues ) ER-2019-0335 For Electric Service )				
16	TOT BICCCITC BETVICE				
17					
18	NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE				
19					
20					
21					
22	REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640				
23	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC				
24					
25					

1	APPEARANCES
2	JAMES B. LOWERY, Attorney at Law Smith Lewis LLP
3	111 South Ninth Street, Suite 200 Columbia, Missouri 65205-0918
4	573.443.3141  FOR: Union Electric Company
5	
6	LEWIS MILLS, Attorney at Law  Bryan Cave
7	221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.556.6627
8	FOR: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
9	DIANA M. VUYLSTEKE, Attorney at Law (By phone) Bryan Cave
10	211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, Missouri 63102
11	314.259.2000  FOR: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
12	
13	DAVID WOODSMALL, Attorney at Law  308 E. High Street, Suite 204
14	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.636.6006
15	FOR: Midwest Energy Consumers Group
16	JOHN COFFMAN, Attorney at Law (By phone) 871 Tuxedo Boulevard
17	St. Louis, Missouri 63119-2044 573.424.6779
18	FOR: Consumers Council of Missouri
19	HENRY B. ROBERSTON, Attorney at Law 319 N. 4th Street, Suite 800
20	St. Louis, Missouri 63102 314.231.4181
21	FOR: Natural Resources Defense Council
22	TIMOTHY OPITZ, Attorney at Law (By phone) 409 Vandiver Drive, Bldg. 5, Suite 205
23	Columbia, Missouri 65202 FOR: Renew Missouri
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	
3	JEFFREY A. KEEVIL, Senior Staff Counsel NICOLE MERS, Assistant Staff Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 800
4	PO Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
5	573.751.4140  FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
6	
7	CALEB HALL, Senior Counsel NATHAN WILLIAMS, Chief Deputy Public Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 650
8	PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
9	573.526.1445 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
10	Also Present: Lisa Kremer, Division of Energy
11	Marty Hyman, Division of Energy
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(July 24, 2019)

2.5

JUDGE DIPPELL: We can go ahead and go on the record. This is Case No. ER-2019-0335, In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service. My name is Nancy Dippell. I'm the regulatory law judge assigned to this matter. And we have come here today for a procedural conference to figure out how this is going to go forward, and I'd like to begin with entries of appearance. Can I start with company?

MR. LOWERY: James B. Lowery, Smith Lewis LLP on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri. The court reporter has the rest of my information.

JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Staff?

MR. KEEVIL: Jeff Keevil and Nicole Mers appearing on behalf of staff of the Public Service Commission, and the court reporter has our contact information.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Public counsel?

MR. HALL: Good morning and thank you, Your Honor. Caleb Hall and Nathan Williams appearing on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel. Our contact information has been previously provided to the court reporter.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy Consumers
2	Group?
3	MR. WOODSMALL: David Woodsmall on behalf of
4	MECG.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council of Missouri?
6	MR. COFFMAN: Yes. This is John Coffman
7	appearing on behalf of the Consumers Council of
8	Missouri, 871 Tuxedo Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri
9	63119.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Renew Missouri Advocates?
11	MS. OPITZ: Good morning. This is Tim Opitz
12	for Renew Missouri Advocates. My address is 409
13	Vandiver Drive, Building 5, Suite 205, Columbia,
14	Missouri 65202.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: And then I have several
16	applicants to intervene who haven't yet been granted
17	intervention, but we'll take that up later. So let me
18	go ahead and get entries of appearance from Division of
19	Energy?
20	MS. KREMER: Judge, the Division does not have
21	counsel present, but we do have two staff members
22	present.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And I don't know if you
24	all could hear that on the phone. Division of Energy
25	doesn't have counsel present, but Mr. Hyman and Ms.

1	Kremer are both present here.
2	Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers?
3	MS. VUYLSTEKE: Diana Vuylsteke, Bryan Cave,
4	LLC, 211 North Broadway, Suite 3600, St. Louis, Missouri
5	63102.
6	MR. MILLS: Also appearing for MIEC, Lewis
7	Mills, and the court reporter has my contact
8	information.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: And the Sierra Club and
10	Natural Resources Defense Council?
11	MR. ROBERTSON: Henry Robertson, Great Rivers
12	Environmental Law Center, 319 North 4th Street, Suite
13	800, St. Louis, Missouri 63102.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there anyone else that
15	needs to make an entry of appearance that maybe hasn't
16	been granted intervention? I'm not seeing anybody else.
17	We have quite a room full for those of you that are on
18	the phone of staff and other counsel, and so forth. So
19	if you have difficulty hearing something, let me know.
20	First thing I wanted to take up was the
21	request to intervene of Sierra Club, Natural Resources
22	Defense Council, MIEC and Division of Energy. Would
23	there be any objection to those interventions?
24	MR. LOWERY: No objection from the company, no
25	objection.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing no objection, I will grant those requests for intervention and I'll issue a short order saying that I did that after this to get you all added to the service list, and so forth.

So I haven't yet suspended this tariff. The Commission didn't do that on its own given that it was a decrease and we did not have any objections to it. But the company's request was that the tariff not actually go into effect until April 1 even though it had an August 2 effective date on it.

MR. LOWERY: Well, Your Honor, in fact, it can't go into effect until April 1 at the absolute earliest because of the provisions of 393.1655 by statute.

JUDGE DIPPELL: And that provision is?

MR. LOWERY: It was adopted in 2018 as part of Senate Bill 564. It's sort of generically known as the rate cap provision. It also has a rate moratorium and it's not a rate increase moratorium. It's a rate change moratorium. That's why you saw that in the application.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I do, in fact, have an order suspending the tariff on for tomorrow's agenda assuming that the Commission takes that up and votes that out.

MR. LOWERY: That's what the company expected

2.5

the Commission would do. 1 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: So there were some objections or responses to the test year that were filed by staff 3 4 and public counsel with regard to the true-up period. 5 Does the company want to respond to those? 6 MR. LOWERY: The company does intend to respond in particular to OPC's -- I think, and we 7 haven't had an opportunity to speak, but I think in 8 9 terms of staff and the company there's probably no 10 daylight between our positions. So we're going to be 11 able to come to agreement on their recommendation as I 12 understand it which I'm sure we'll talk more about. don't know if you want to talk about it on the record. 13 14 We'll talk about it as part of the procedural schedule. 15 As far as OPC, our response to their response will be due on August 1, I believe August 1, and we 16 17 would like the time to be able to provide the Commission 18 a coherent and well thought out response. It's a very 19 short pleading but it's a very impactful pleading in 20 terms of the substantive nature of what they're 21 suggesting. We'd like the time to respond in due course

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. That shouldn't be an issue.

to that which we plan to do on the 1st.

22

23

24

2.5

MR. KEEVIL: Judge, let me jump in here. The

2.5

only issue -- They certainly have the right to respond. That in turn could impact, though, the procedural schedule because how you have the test year, update, true-up scheduled has an impact on the procedural schedule when certain information is exchanged, blah, blah, blah. So I guess I would just ask that as soon as possible we get an order setting the test year update true-up however it's ultimately set.

MR. LOWERY: Well, if I could respond to that, and I don't disagree with you, Jeff, it does affect it. My assumption, and my assumptions have been proven wrong in the past, but my assumption is, as I said, Jeff, I think that we're going to be on the same page with you guys. I think we understand what you're asking for and I think we're okay with what you're asking for, I believe, but we need to talk about it.

My assumption is that staff and the company and perhaps others could file a procedural schedule premised upon our approach, we'll file a response.

Obviously the Commission -- and OPC may want to file their own procedural schedule premised on their view of life here and then the Commission obviously would have to resolve it. I wasn't envisioning that it would prevent at least the company and the staff from filing a recommendation on the procedural schedule premised on

the approach that staff laid out essentially. That was my premise at least.

2.5

work for any of you, but if we need to kind of have contingent procedural schedules like, for instance, if you think the Commission might go with public counsel's true-up period or if the Commission may go with the company's true-up period how that might affect the testimony due dates and that kind of thing. I don't think ultimately it will affect -- The hearing date will probably end up at the end of the schedule like it usually does. So you may want to think about doing that. With that said, what would be a good time to expect a procedural schedule then if you all aren't going to respond until August 1 and say the Commission were to set that true-up date on August -- at its next agenda on August 7?

MR. LOWERY: My recommendation would be that, again premised on company and staff I think will be on the same page on the procedural schedule, I believe that to be the case, we have to talk about it, is that we file by August 1, that we file a response and anybody else that wants to file a response to OPC's filing by August 1 and we also file a procedural schedule by August 1.

1	MD KEEVII. When is August 12				
1	MR. KEEVIL: When is August 1?				
2	MR. LOWERY: It's a Thursday, I believe.				
3	MR. KEEVIL: Next Thursday?				
4	MR. LOWERY: It's a week from Thursday.				
5	MR. KEEVIL: Week from tomorrow. I was going				
6	to say about a week would be adequate, yeah.				
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public counsel, if you can				
8	figure something out within a week, a proposed				
9	procedural schedule?				
10	MR. HALL: Either a predicate procedural				
11	schedule depending on the test year approved by the				
12	Commission or either a joint filing by the parties I				
13	think a week's more than doable.				
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Great.				
15	MR. KEEVIL: Will you issue an order, Judge?				
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: I will. I will issue an order				
17	dealing with the interventions and setting that August 1				
18	as a date for both response to the responses reply to				
19	the responses and for proposed procedural schedules. Is				
20	there anything else that needs to come up?				
21	MR. LOWERY: I was just going to bring up when				
22	we filed the 60-day notice on this case, of course, we				
23	filed the traditional seeking an increase in revenues				
24	and then actually it's a small rate decrease as you				
25	know. I don't know if you want in your order changing				

1	the caption of the case.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: Actually I meant to ask that
3	after I read it this morning. I can certainly do that.
4	Does anybody have an objection to me changing it from
5	increase to decrease?
6	MR. MILLS: Your procedural schedule says
7	decrease.
8	MR. LOWERY: I believe, Your Honor, that we
9	filed our application and we actually did use the word
10	decrease on the application. We weren't really sure
11	what to do, to be honest with you. We have a 60-day
12	notice that says one thing but it's really but I
13	thought maybe officially you might want to change that.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I can do that. That's
15	just clerical. But I'll mention that in my little order
16	so that everybody is clear about the caption of the
17	case. Anything else?
18	MR. KEEVIL: You said the suspension is on for
19	next week. So they are the tariffs are going to be
20	suspended?
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: It's on for tomorrow.
22	MR. KEEVIL: Oh, tomorrow. Okay.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Just a regular suspension.
24	You know, suspending it 120 days and six months brings
25	it to an operation of law date of May 30, I believe.

1	MR. LOWERY: That's what I calculated, yes,
2	Your Honor.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: I brought a copy of the
4	Commission's calendar through that date. Obviously it's
5	pretty blank after November, or after October actually,
6	which is pretty amazing. That doesn't mean you have to
7	fill it up.
8	MR. KEEVIL: We'll fill up the holiday season.
9	How's that?
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah, that's always my
11	favorite. Anyway, the operation of law date is on here
12	and I see that meaning that the Commission needs to
13	issue an order with a 30-day effective date by the 29th
14	of April at the latest. So I would like to see reply
15	briefs no later than April 1.
16	MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, can I address that
17	briefly while we're on the record?
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.
19	MR. LOWERY: The Commission has not been
20	issuing in our rate cases, the last several cases, an
21	order with a 30-day effective date. It's varied from 24
22	was 24, 18, 22, 31 in one case. I'm a little bit
23	concerned about the ability to squeeze everything in if
24	we have quite that much time. And I know I actually
25	don't think We've exchanged some draft schedules. I

don't think either of us were necessarily thinking quite that much time given, you know, given, you know, the size of the utility and all the issues that you tend to have in our cases, and so on. So I'm hoping maybe there's some flexibility there.

2.5

JUDGE DIPPELL: There's always flexibility and I tend to give you guys a lot of flexibility and then find myself scrambling at the last minute and having special agendas and all kinds of stuff to get stuff out with even a 10-day effective date. So I would encourage you to, if you want a decent order, to give me as much time as you can, but I do also want you all to have adequate time to prepare your case because that helps me more than anything if everybody has a chance to actually prepare the case and settle things that don't really need to be decided by the Commission and all of that. So I guess I'm saying there is flexibility. When you're making your schedule, just know that in an ideal world from the judge's perspective that's where we would be.

MR. KEEVIL: Judge, Mr. Lowery indicated we've exchanged some draft dates and everything. Your date is not tremendously far off from our date.

MR. LOWERY: Seven to ten days is what we're talking about. We're not talking about three weeks or anything.

MR. KEEVIL: About a week, week and a half.

2.5

MR. LOWERY: Part of it is, I think, part of it is to build a little time in the schedule that we can meaningfully talk about settling or at least settling a lot of the issues. And there's sort of a chicken and the egg problem in terms of issue in terms of having the data. If there were a leeway of a week or ten days in there, I think that would help us a lot and I think it might give you a cleaner case to deal with.

JUDGE DIPPELL: That being said, the other place where I really need more time than has been being given in these situations is right before the hearing. If I don't have your issues lists and your position statements before the last minute, then there's no way that myself and the commissioners can be prepared going into the hearing.

And if we are prepared going into the hearing, actually understand what the issues are and what's going to be presented, you're going to have a much better outcome no matter what your position is in having the commissioners and myself understand those issues. I really encourage you to take a look at that and give sufficient time for me to update the commissioners and for them to study what your positions and your issues are.

MR. LOWERY: That's fair. We all obviously have to talk, but I know in our drafts we're looking at about a week for statements of position, about ten days to two weeks for issues list. I know -- I think we're all cognizant of that being helpful. So we'll try to -- If you give us a little room on the back end, we'll try to give you a little on the front end.

JUDGE DIPPELL: That would be helpful. Things happen. So don't be shocked if for some reason I tweak it, but I try to go with what you guys suggest as long as it actually makes sense for the Commission.

MR. LOWERY: Thank you.

2.5

MR. KEEVIL: Let me throw one thing out. You may not be aware of it. I don't think most of the judges are. You mentioned the list of issues and position statements. I bring this up because I've seen in some recent orders position statements almost on the top of filing the list of issues, et cetera, et cetera. For some reason getting together the list of issues has -- not in Ameren necessarily but just overall that is always one of the most contentious aspects of any contested hearing at the Commission. I don't know why. But it's been that way for 30 years. I don't think the judges understand the contentious nature sometimes of those list of issues. I just throw that out there since

it's not ex parte, I can say that, we're on the record. 1 JUDGE DIPPELL: It's certainly apparent when I 2 get a list of issues that says did Ameren prove its 3 4 case; that it's pretty apparent that you guys couldn't 5 agree to what the real issues are, but I just encourage 6 you to try to actually be specific about what it is 7 you're disagreeing about because that is part of that 8 having the Commission understand your issues. If you 9 can't even articulate them, I don't know how the 10 Commission can. 11 MR. KEEVIL: You can articulate them. You 12 That's the problem. can't agree. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: It's hard to agree to what the 14 wording is. 15 MR. LOWERY: I'll probably jinx all of us but I don't disagree with what Mr. Keevil said. Generally 16 17 speaking we haven't had huge problems in our rate cases 18 on that issue. On other cases I've had a lot more 19 trouble honestly than in the rate cases. 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: I realize that I've been 21 involved, especially since I've returned to the 22 Commission, I've been involved in other cases that have 23 been more contentious and this is the first big Ameren case I think I've dealt with. 24 2.5 Anyway, is there anything else anybody wants

1	to bring up on the record before I turn it over and let
2	you guys discuss all these lovely things? I don't see
3	anything. Then I will leave it to you guys to talk
4	about the procedural schedules and how you think it
5	might go. I think the phone line is open until noon.
6	So if you need longer than that you may have to do a
7	different line.
8	MR. LOWERY: I would be surprised.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you very much for
10	your attendance and we can go off the record.
11	(Off the record.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
12	and place.
13	Beverly Jean Bentch
14	
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	6	<b>appearance</b> 4:10 5:18 6:15	<b>briefs</b> 13:15
<b>1</b> 7:9,12 8:16 10:15,22,	<b>60-day</b> 11:22 12:11	<b>appearing</b> 4:17,22 5:7 6:6	<b>bring</b> 11:21 16:16 18:1
24,25 11:1,17 13:15	<b>63102</b> 6:5,13	applicants 5:16	<b>brings</b> 12:24
<b>10-day</b> 14:10	<b>63119</b> 5:9	application 7:20	Broadway 6:4
<b>120</b> 12:24	<b>640</b> 19:3,15	12:9,10	brought 13:3
<b>18</b> 13:22	<b>65202</b> 5:14	approach 9:19 10:1	Bryan 6:3
<b>1st</b> 8:22		approved 11:11	build 15:3
	7	<b>April</b> 7:9,12 13:14,15	Building 5:13
2	<b>7</b> 10:17	articulate 17:9,11	<b>C</b>
<b>2</b> 7:10		aspects 16:21	
<b>2018</b> 7:16	8	assigned 4:6	calculated 13:1
<b>2019</b> 4:1	<b>800</b> 6:13	assuming 7:23	Caleb 4:22
<b>205</b> 5:13	<b>871</b> 5:8	assumption 9:11,12,	calendar 13:4
<b>211</b> 6:4		17	<b>cap</b> 7:18
<b>22</b> 13:22	Α	assumptions 9:11	<b>caption</b> 12:1,16 19:8
<b>24</b> 4:1 13:21,22	ability 13:23	attendance 18:10	case 4:3 10:21 11:22 12:1,17 13:22 14:13,
<b>29th</b> 13:13	above-entitled 19:7	<b>August</b> 7:10 8:16 10:15,16,17,22,24,25	15 15:9 17:4,24
3	absolute 7:12	11:1,17	cases 13:20 14:4
	added 7:4	<b>aware</b> 16:14	17:17,18,19,22
<b>30</b> 12:25 16:23	address 5:12 13:16	В	<b>Cave</b> 6:3
<b>30-day</b> 13:13,21	adequate 11:6 14:13		CCR 19:3,15
<b>31</b> 13:22	adopted 7:16	<b>back</b> 16:6	Center 6:12
<b>319</b> 6:12	Advocates 5:10,12	begin 4:9	CERTIFICATE 19:1
<b>3600</b> 6:4	affect 9:10 10:8,10	<b>behalf</b> 4:12,17,23 5:3,	Certified 19:4
<b>393.1655</b> 7:13	agenda 7:22 10:17	7	certify 19:6
	agendas 14:9	Bentch 19:3,15	cetera 16:18
4	agree 17:5,12,13	<b>Beverly</b> 19:3,15	chance 14:14
<b>409</b> 5:12	agreement 8:11	big 17:23	change 7:19 12:13
<b>4th</b> 6:12	ahead 4:2 5:18	Bill 7:17	changing 11:25 12:4
	amazing 13:6	bit 13:22	chicken 15:5
5	Ameren 4:4,12 16:20	blah 9:5,6	cleaner 15:9
<b>5</b> 5:13	17:3,23	blank 13:5	clear 12:16
<b>564</b> 7:17	apparent 17:2,4	Boulevard 5:8	clerical 12:15
JU4 /.1/		briefly 13:17	Club 6:9,21

Coffman 5:6	date 7:10 10:10,16	l	<b>firm</b> 19:4
cognizant 16:5	11:18 12:25 13:4,11,	E	
	13,21 14:10,21,22		flexibility 14:5,6,7,17
coherent 8:18	dates 10:9 14:21	earliest 7:13	foregoing 19:10
Columbia 5:13	David 5:3	<b>effect</b> 7:9,12	forward 4:9
<b>Commission</b> 4:18 7:6,23 8:1,17 9:20,22	daylight 8:10	<b>effective</b> 7:10 13:13, 21 14:10	front 16:7 full 6:17 19:10
10:6,7,15 11:12 13:12, 19 14:16 16:11,22	days 12:24 14:23 15:7 16:3	<b>egg</b> 15:6	
17:8,10,22	<b>deal</b> 15:9	<b>Electric</b> 4:4,5,12	<b>G</b>
Commission's 13:4	dealing 11:17	encourage 14:10 15:22 17:5	Generally 17:16
commissioners 15:15,21,23	dealt 17:24	end 10:11 16:6,7	generically 7:17
company 4:4,10,12	decent 14:11	<b>Energy</b> 5:1,19,24 6:2,	give 14:7,11 15:9,22
6:24 7:25 8:5,6,9 9:17, 24 10:19	decided 14:16	22	16:6,7
company's 7:8 10:8	<b>decrease</b> 7:7 11:24 12:5,7,10	<b>entries</b> 4:9 5:18	good 4:21 5:11 10:13
concerned 13:23	<b>Defense</b> 6:10,22	entry 6:15	grant 7:2
conference 4:8	depending 11:11	Environmental 6:12	granted 5:16 6:16
Consumers 5:1,5,7	Diana 6:3	envisioning 9:23	Great 6:11 11:14
6:2	difficulty 6:19	<b>ER-2019-0335</b> 4:3	Group 5:2
contact 4:18,23 6:7		essentially 10:1	<b>guess</b> 9:6 14:17
contentious 16:21, 24 17:23	<b>Dippell</b> 4:2,6,15,20 5:1,5,10,15,23 6:9,14 7:1,15,21 8:2,23 10:3	<b>exchanged</b> 9:5 13:25 14:21	<b>guys</b> 9:14 14:7 16:10 17:4 18:2,3
contested 16:22	11:7,14,16 12:2,14,21, 23 13:3,10,18 14:6	expect 10:14	Н
contingent 10:5	15:10 16:8 17:2,13,20	expected 7:25	
<b>copy</b> 13:3	18:9		<b>half</b> 15:1
correct 19:10	disagree 9:10 17:16	F	<b>Hall</b> 4:21,22 11:10
Council 5:5,7 6:10,22	disagreeing 17:7	fact 7:11,21	happen 16:9
counsel 4:20,23 5:21,	discuss 18:2	fair 16:1	hard 17:13
25 6:18 8:4 11:7	<b>Division</b> 5:18,20,24 6:22	favorite 13:11	hear 5:24
counsel's 10:6	doable 11:13	figure 4:8 11:8	<b>hearing</b> 6:19 10:10 15:12,16,17 16:22
<b>court</b> 4:13,18,24 6:7 19:4	draft 13:25 14:21	<b>file</b> 9:18,19,20 10:22, 23,24	helpful 16:5,8
create 10:3	drafts 16:2	filed 8:3 11:22,23 12:9	<b>helps</b> 14:13
	<b>Drive</b> 5:13	filing 9:24 10:23	<b>Henry</b> 6:11
D	<b>due</b> 8:16,21 10:9	11:12 16:18	holiday 13:8
<b>d/b/a</b> 4:4,12		fill 13:7,8	honest 12:11
<b>data</b> 15:7		<b>find</b> 14:8	honestly 17:19

Honor 4:22 7:11 12:8	John 5:6	longer 18:6	
13:2,16	joint 11:12	<b>lot</b> 14:7 15:5,8 17:18	N
hoping 14:4	judge 4:2,6,15,20 5:1,	<b>Louis</b> 5:8 6:4,13	Nancy 4:6
<b>How's</b> 13:9	5,10,15,20,23 6:9,14 7:1,15,21 8:2,23,25	lovely 18:2	Nathan 4:22
huge 17:17	10:3 11:7,14,15,16	<b>Lowery</b> 4:11 6:24	<b>Natural</b> 6:10,21
Hyman 5:25	12:2,14,21,23 13:3,10, 18 14:6,20 15:10 16:8	7:11,16,25 8:6 9:9 10:18 11:2,4,21 12:8	nature 8:20 16:24
1	17:2,13,20 18:9	13:1,16,19 14:20,23	necessarily 14:1
	<b>judge's</b> 14:19	15:2 16:1,12 17:15 18:8	16:20
ideal 14:18	judges 16:15,24		Nicole 4:16
impact 9:2,4	july 4:1	M	<b>noon</b> 18:5
impactful 8:19	<b>jump</b> 8:25	<b>made</b> 19:11	<b>North</b> 6:4,12
increase 4:5 7:19 11:23 12:5		<b>make</b> 6:15	<b>notes</b> 19:11
Industrial 6:2		makes 16:11	notice 11:22 12:12
information 4:14,19,	<b>Keevil</b> 4:16 8:25 11:1,	making 14:18	November 13:5
24 6:8 9:5	3,5,15 12:18,22 13:8 14:20 15:1 16:13	matter 4:3,7 15:20	0
instance 10:5	17:11,16	meaning 13:12	
intend 8:6	<b>kind</b> 10:4,9	meaningfully 15:4	<b>objection</b> 6:23,24,25 7:1 12:4
intervene 5:16 6:21	<b>kinds</b> 14:9	meant 12:2	objections 7:7 8:2
intervention 5:17 6:16 7:2	Kremer 5:20 6:1	MECG 5:4	October 13:5
interventions 6:23		members 5:21	Office 4:23
11:17		mention 12:15	officially 12:13
involved 17:21,22	laid 10:1	mentioned 16:15	<b>OPC</b> 8:15 9:20
issue 7:2 8:24 9:1	latest 13:14	<b>Mers</b> 4:16	<b>OPC's</b> 8:7 10:23
11:15,16 13:13 15:6 17:18	law 4:6 6:12 12:25 13:11	Midwest 5:1	<b>open</b> 18:5
issues 14:3 15:5,13,	leave 18:3	MIEC 6:6,22	operation 12:25
18,21,24 16:4,15,18,	leeway 15:7	Mills 6:6,7 12:6	13:11
19,25 17:3,5,8	Lewis 4:11 6:6	minute 14:8 15:14	<b>Opitz</b> 5:11
issuing 13:20	life 9:22	Missouri 4:13 5:5,8,	opportunity 8:8
	list 7:4 16:4,15,18,19,	10,12,14 6:2,4,13 19:5	<b>order</b> 7:3,22 9:7 11:15,16,25 12:15
	25 17:3	Missouri's 4:4	13:13,21 14:11
James 4:11	lists 15:13	months 12:24	<b>orders</b> 16:17
<b>Jean</b> 19:3,15	<b>LLC</b> 6:4 19:5	moratorium 7:18,19,	outcome 15:20
<b>Jeff</b> 4:16 9:10,12	<b>LLP</b> 4:11	morning 4:21 5:11	
jinx 17:15	long 16:10	12:3	

P	<b>prove</b> 17:3	responses 8:3 11:18,	<b>sort</b> 7:17 15:5
<del></del>	proven 9:11		speak 8:8
part 7:16 8:14 15:2	provide 8:17	rest 4:13	speaking 17:17
17:7	provided 4:24		special 14:9
parte 17:1	provision 7:15,18	revenues 4:5 11:23	specific 17:6
parties 11:12	provisions 7:13	Rivers 6:11	squeeze 13:23
<b>past</b> 9:12	<b>public</b> 4:17,20,23 8:4	Robertson 6:11	<b>St</b> 5:8 6:4,13
<b>period</b> 8:4 10:7,8	10:6 11:7	room 6:17 16:6	<b>staff</b> 4:15,17 5:21
personally 19:6	R	<b>RPR</b> 19:3,15	6:18 8:3,9 9:17,24 10:1,19
perspective 14:19			<b>start</b> 4:10
<b>phone</b> 5:24 6:18 18:5	rate 7:18,19 11:24 13:20 17:17,19		<b>State</b> 19:5
<b>place</b> 15:11 19:7,12	read 12:3	<b>schedule</b> 8:14 9:3,5, 18,21,25 10:11,14,20,	statements 15:14
<b>plan</b> 8:22	real 17:5	24 11:9,11 12:6 14:18	16:3,16,17
pleading 8:19	realize 17:20	15:3	statute 7:14
<b>position</b> 15:13,20 16:3,16,17	reason 16:9,19	scheduled 9:4	Stenotype 19:9,11
positions 8:10 15:24	recent 16:17	schedules 10:5 11:19 13:25 18:4	Street 6:12
predicate 11:10	recommendation	scrambling 14:8	<b>study</b> 15:24
premise 10:2	8:11 9:25 10:18	season 13:8	stuff 14:9
premised 9:19,21,25	record 4:3 8:13 13:17	seeking 11:23	substantive 8:20
10:19	17:1 18:1,10,11	Senate 7:17	sufficient 15:23
prepare 14:13,15	regard 8:4	sense 16:11	suggest 16:10
<b>prepared</b> 15:15,17	regular 12:23	service 4:5,17 7:4	suggesting 8:21
present 5:21,22,25	regulatory 4:6	set 9:8 10:16 19:8	<b>Suite</b> 5:13 6:4,12
6:1 19:6	<b>Renew</b> 5:10,12		surprised 18:8
presented 15:19	reply 11:18 13:14	setting 9:7 11:17	suspended 7:5 12:20
pretty 13:5,6 17:4	reporter 4:13,18,25	settle 14:15	suspending 7:22
prevent 9:24	6:7 19:1,4	settling 15:4	12:24
previously 4:24	Reporting 19:5	sheet 19:8	suspension 12:18,23
<b>problem</b> 15:6 17:12	request 6:21 7:8	shocked 16:9	T
problems 17:17	requests 7:2	<b>short</b> 7:3 8:19	
procedural 4:8 8:14	resolve 9:23	<b>Sierra</b> 6:9,21	takes 7:23
9:2,4,18,21,25 10:5, 14,20,24 11:9,10,19	Resources 6:10,21	situations 15:12	talk 8:12,13,14 9:16
12:6 18:4	respond 8:5,7,21 9:1, 9 10:15	size 14:3	10:21 15:4 16:2 18:3
proceedings 19:6,9	response 8:15,18	<b>small</b> 11:24	talking 14:24
proposed 11:8,19	9:19 10:22,23 11:18	Smith 4:11	tariff 7:5,8,22

4	
tariffs 4:4 12:19	U
ten 14:23 15:7 16:3	
tend 14:3,7	ultimately 9:8 10:10
terms 8:9,20 15:6	understand 8:12
test 8:3 9:3,7 11:11	9:14 15:18,21 16:24 17:8
testimony 10:9	<b>Union</b> 4:4,12
thereof 19:8	update 9:3,7 15:23
thing 6:20 10:9 12:12 16:13	utility 14:3
<b>things</b> 14:15 16:8 18:2	v
thinking 14:1	Vandiver 5:13
thought 8:18 12:13	varied 13:21
<b>throw</b> 16:13,25	<b>view</b> 9:21
<b>Thursday</b> 11:2,3,4	<b>votes</b> 7:23
Tiger 19:4	Vuylsteke 6:3
<b>Tim</b> 5:11	
time 8:17,21 10:13	W
13:24 14:2,12,13 15:3, 11,23 19:7,11	wanted 6:20
today 4:7	week 11:4,5,6,8 12:19
tomorrow 11:5 12:21,22	15:1,7 16:3 week's 11:13
tomorrow's 7:22	weeks 14:24 16:4
top 16:18 traditional 11:23	Williams 4:22
	Woodsmall 5:3
transcript 19:11	word 12:9
tremendously 14:22	wording 17:14
trouble 17:19	work 10:4
<b>true</b> 19:10	<b>world</b> 14:18
<b>true-up</b> 8:4 9:4,8 10:7,8,16	wrong 9:11
turn 9:2 18:1	Y
Tuxedo 5:8	year 8:3 9:3,7 11:11
tweak 16:9	
	<b>years</b> 16:23