

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Kansas City Power & Light Greater)	
Missouri Operations Company's Application)	
For Approval of Demand-Side Management)	EO-2012-0009
Programs and for Authority to Establish a)	
Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism)	

**RESPONSE OF WALMART TO MIEC REQUEST
FOR EXTENSION FOR FILING OF REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

COMES NOW, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Walmart") and for its Response to MIEC's Request for a One Week Extension for Filing of Rebuttal Testimony respectfully states as follows:

1. On March 7, 2012, MIEC filed its request for a one week extension for it to file its rebuttal testimony. Walmart supports MIEC's request for extension and asserts that such extension be applied to all parties that file rebuttal testimony.

2. Applying the one week extension to a single party makes little administrative sense. In essence, by granting certain parties an extension, it complicates the procedural schedule by staggering the various deadlines. Certain testimony will be filed on one date while additional testimony, on the same subject, will be filed one week later.

3. In addition, by granting a one week extension to only a single party, the Commission would also presumably be granting an extension for GMO to file its surrebuttal testimony to that testimony. Thus, GMO would be filing certain surrebuttal testimony on one date, with the remainder filed a week later. This procedure is fundamentally unfair in that it would allow GMO to file certain testimony and then further buttress its arguments a week later

with an additional filing. Equity dictates that all parties be treated the same in this case and all filing deadlines be applied uniformly to all parties.

4. Clearly, given that the procedural schedule can accommodate certain parties filing rebuttal testimony a week later, it can also accommodate other parties filing their testimony on the same date. For all these reasons, administrative convenience and equity all dictate that the Commission extend the deadline consistently for all parties.

WHEREFORE, Walmart respectfully requests that the Commission grant all parties a one week extension for the filing of rebuttal testimony.

Respectfully submitted,

WOODSMALL LAW OFFICE

/s/ David Woodsmall

David L. Woodsmall Mo. Bar #40747

807 Winston Court

Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

(573) 797-0005

Facsimile (573) 635-7523

Internet: david.woodsmall@woodsmallllaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR WAL-MART

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have that the foregoing pleading has been served by electronic means on all parties of record as reflected in the records maintained by the Secretary of the Commission through the EFIS system.

David Woodsmall

Dated: March 9, 2012