In the Matter of:

The Empire District Electric Company'e Request to file Tariffs

ER-2019-0374 VOL 6

February 28, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

ER-2019-0374 Vol 6

Г

1	
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	
5	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	
8	Procedural Conference
9	February 28, 2020
10	Jefferson City, Missouri
11	Volume 6
12	
13	In the Matter of:
14	The Empire District Electric) Company's Request for) Authority to File Tariffs) File No. ER-2019-0374
15	Increasing Rates for Electric)
16	Service Provided to Customers) in its Missouri Service Area.)
17	
18	
19	JOHN T. CLARK, Presiding
20	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Shelley L. Mayer, CCR
23	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	MS. WHITNEY PAYNE Department of Economic Development
3	Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street
4	P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
5	573.751.4140 For: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
б	Commission
7	MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS Department of Economic Development
8	Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street
9	P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
10	573.751.4140 For: Office of the Public Counsel
11	
12	MS. DIANA CARTER 428 East Capitol Avenue, #303
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.289.1961
14	For: Empire District Electric Company
15	MR. TIM OPITZ
16	409 Vandiver Drive Building 5, Suite 205
17	Columbia, Missouri 65202 573.825.1796
18	For: Renew Missouri
19	MR. ANDREW LINHARES 3115 South Grand Boulevard, Suite 600
20	St. Louis, Missouri 63118 For: National Housing Trust
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE CLARK: Let's go on the record.
3	Today's date is February the 28 of 2020, and it is
4	currently 11:03 a.m. We are in room 305 of the
5	Governor Office Building. The Commission set aside
6	this time for a procedural conference in the case
7	captioned as In the Matter of the Empire District
8	Electric Company's Request for Authority to File
9	Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service
10	Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area,
11	File No. ER-2019-0374.
12	Good morning. My name is John Clark; I'm
13	the regulatory law judge in this matter. I'm going
14	to begin by asking the attorneys to enter their
15	appearance starting with the Empire District.
16	MS. CARTER: Diana Carter for the Empire
17	District Electric Company, and I've provided my
18	contact information to the court reporter.
19	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Carter. For
20	Commission Staff.
21	MS. PAYNE: Whitney Payne on behalf of
22	the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
23	I've also provided my contact information to the
24	court reporter.
25	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Payne. The

Office of Public Counsel. 1 2 MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams. The 3 court reporter has my information as well. JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Williams. 4 5 For Renew Missouri. 6 MR. OPITZ: Tim Opitz for Renew Missouri. 7 JUDGE CLARK: For National Housing Trust. 8 MR. LINHARES: Andrew Linhares by phone for the National Housing Trust. My address is 3115 9 10 South Grand Boulevard, Suite 600, St. Louis, Missouri 11 63118. 12 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Linhares. I'll note for the record that the National Resources 13 Defense Counsel and Sierra Club as well as another 14 15 attorney were excused from appearing. Are there any other parties present that I haven't mentioned. 16 17 Okay. 18 Now, this procedural conference was 19 called by myself to address Staff's motion to 20 clarify. I'll briefly recap the procedural history 21 as to how we got here. The Office of Public Counsel 22 filed a motion to change the test year or in the 23 alternative, include isolated adjustments for the 24 retirement of the Asbury coal-fired power plant in this rate proceeding. The Commission Staff then 25

1 filed a response indicating that they thought the 2 test year should not be moved, but they were 3 agreeable to having isolated adjustments for known 4 and measurable impacts within this rate proceeding.

Now the Office of Public Counsel filed a 5 motion for reconsideration which the Commission 6 denied and the staff's counsel filed a motion to 7 8 clarify which asked to consider isolated adjustments 9 and stated that proposed isolated adjustments or 10 changes which are presented to the commission during 11 an evidentiary hearing for a determination of whether 12 they're known and measurable or whether or not the test year should be adjusted. And indicated they had 13 14 always seen that as a -- they interpreted that under 15 previous commission cases to mean that this was an 16 evidentiary question, the admission of any evidence 17 is always an evidentiary question, and asked if this, 18 in fact, was a policy change.

And I guess that was based upon the thought that somehow the Commission was being ambiguous in its order. And the commission's order stated that the Commission will not modified the test year nor allow isolated adjustments for Asbury retirement to be addressed in this general rate proceeding, which I think the Commission considered

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

37

1 to be fairly straight forward. And I understand that 2 some of the parties are not happy with the answer 3 they received, but that is the answer the Commission 4 gave.

I also want to point out that in regard 5 6 to a policy change by the commission, Staff's 7 interpretation of prior commission orders do not 8 recreate a commission policy. So there's no policy 9 that's being changed because there was no policy 10 This is a unique situation where Empire created. 11 will be filing a rate case immediately after this 12 one, and so the Commission made the determination that Asbury's retirement is best handled in that 13 proceeding. I know that that goes against case law 14 15 as it was interpreted by the commission staff.

16 If you go back and look at the agenda as 17 to what the chairman said, I would recommend that the parties do that. What he indicated in regard to 18 Asbury's retirement was he did not want this issue to 19 20 be a distraction. And I think the feeling right now with the Commission is that this has become a 21 22 distraction in a way that is exactly what the 23 Commission did not want to have happen.

Now, the parties have been given the opportunity to include items for submission in an

1	AAO, and I've left that as broad and as wide as it
2	can be in regards to what categories or items they
3	want to include. There's a I can't remember off
4	the top of my head, but there is a deadline for that,
5	for submission of those in the procedural schedules.
б	What I would recommend strongly to the parties is
7	that they have a witness at the evidentiary hearing
8	who can explain to the Commission why this retirement
9	is extraordinary and explain the other requirements
10	of an AAO to the Commission. But I am going to
11	stress that that would be for an AAO and not isolated
12	adjustments to be considered in this hearing.
13	Now, with that all being said, if the
14	parties have individual items that they believe are
15	known and measurable now, even though they are
16	outside the true-up test year cutoff period, if they
17	can agree to what those items are and the value of
18	those items, because that's what the Commission does
19	not want to be determining during this hearing,
20	you're welcome to file a stipulation and agreement
21	among the parties in regards to those items. And I'm
22	certain that there are probably some items that
23	Empire might not want to pay interest on. So if
24	there are items that all the parties can agree on,
25	are known and measurable and establish a value for

1	and you want to submit those, I don't think that
2	presents a problem. Are there any questions?
3	MS. PAYNE: Part of Staff's concern with
4	limiting this to an AAO has been that the Company
5	specifically asked for an AAO in regards to
б	operations and maintenance expense. And so when the
7	Commission said that it was open to items to be
8	suggested in an AAO, we are confused as to whether
9	that is limited to operations and maintenance.
10	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. And that was not
11	addressed in the motion to clarify that I remember.
12	I will say that when that was discussed, when the
13	chairman said at agenda that they were going to go
14	with the company solution, it was not understood at
15	that time that operations and maintenance didn't
16	cover everything.
17	MS. PAYNE: Right.
18	JUDGE CLARK: So it's a very open wide
19	AAO. You can submit whatever items or categories you
20	believe should be covered in an AAO. They may not
21	all make it through; they may not all be included,
22	but it's a fairly wide open you're welcome to
23	offer whatever you want. You're not limited to
24	operation and maintenance.
25	MS. PAYNE: Thank you, Judges.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662 40

1	TUDGE GLADK: If the Commission of I'm
1	JUDGE CLARK: If the Commission if I'm
2	wrong and the Commission did mean operation and
3	maintenance, they can trim it back to that.
4	MS. PAYNE: Okay.
5	JUDGE CLARK: Any other questions? I see
6	no hands and I hear nothing. Are there any other
7	matters that need to be addressed by the Commission
8	at this time?
9	MS. PAYNE: I think Staff rests.
10	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Then I will conclude
11	this procedural conference and go off the record.
12	I'd like to thank you all for attending today.
13	(Off the record.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Shelley L. Mayer, a Certified Court Reporter,
3	CCR No. 679, the officer before whom the foregoing
4	transcript of proceedings was taken, do hereby
5	certify that the testimony was taken by me to the
6	best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
7	typewriting under my direction; that I am neither
8	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
9	parties to the action in which this transcript of
10	proceedings was taken, and further, that I am not a
11	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
12	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or
13	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
14	
15	Still Man
16	Shelley Mayer
17	Shelley L. Mayer, CCR
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ER-2019-0374 Vol 6Index: 11:03..Governor

			D iama 05.40
1	appearing 36:15	41:1,5,10	Diana 35:16
	Area 35:10	Club 36:14	discussed 40:12
11:03 35:4	Asbury 36:24 37:23	coal-fired 36:24	distraction 38:20,22
	Asbury's 38:13,19	commission 35:5,20, 22 36:25 37:6,10,15,	District 35:7,15,17
2	attending 41:12	20,22,25 38:3,6,7,8,	E
2020 35:3	attorney 36:15	12,15,21,23 39:8,10, 18 40:7 41:1,2,7	
28 35:3	attorneys 35:14	commission's 37:21	Electric 35:8,9,17
	Authority 35:8		Empire 35:7,15,16
3		company 35:17 40:4, 14	38:10 39:23
305 35:4	B	Company's 35:8	enter 35:14
3115 36:9	back 38:16 41:3	concern 40:3	ER-2019-0374 35:11
	based 37:19	conclude 41:10	establish 39:25
6	begin 35:14	conference 35:6	evidence 37:16
	behalf 35:21	36:18 41:11	evidentiary 37:11,16,
600 36:10	Boulevard 36:10	confused 40:8	17 39:7
63118 36:11	briefly 36:20	considered 37:25	excused 36:15
Α	broad 39:1	39:12	expense 40:6
	Building 35:5	contact 35:18,23	explain 39:8,9
a.m. 35:4	Dunung 33.5	counsel 36:1,14,21	extraordinary 39:9
AAO 39:1,10,11 40:4,	С	37:5,7	F
5,8,19,20		court 35:18,24 36:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
address 36:9,19	called 36:19	cover 40:16	fact 37:18
addressed 37:24 40:11 41:7	captioned 35:7	covered 40:20	fairly 38:1 40:22
adjusted 37:13	Carter 35:16,19	created 38:10	February 35:3
adjustments 36:23	case 35:6 38:11,14	Customers 35:10	feeling 38:20
37:3,8,9,23 39:12	cases 37:15	cutoff 39:16	file 35:8,11 39:20
admission 37:16	categories 39:2 40:19	D	filed 36:22 37:1,5,7
agenda 38:16 40:13	chairman 38:17		filing 38:11
agree 39:17,24	40:13	date 35:3	forward 38:1
agreeable 37:3	change 36:22 37:18	deadline 39:4	
agreement 39:20	38:6	Defense 36:14	G
alternative 36:23	changed 38:9	denied 37:7	gave 38:4
ambiguous 37:21	clarify 36:20 37:8 40:11	determination 37:11	general 37:24
Andrew 36:8		38:12	Good 35:12
appearance 35:15	Clark 35:2,12,19,25 36:4,7,12 40:10,18	determining 39:19	Governor 35:5
	., ., -, -		
	1	I	I

ER-2019-0374 Vol 6 Index: Grand..rests

Grand 36:10		National 36:7,9,13	proceeding 36:25
guess 37:19	J	note 36:13	37:4,25 38:14
·	John 35:12		PROCEEDINGS 35:1
Н	judge 35:2,13,19,25	<u> </u>	proposed 37:9
handled 38:13	36:4,7,12 40:10,18 41:1,5,10	offer 40:23	provided 35:10,17,23
hands 41:6	Judges 40:25	Office 35:5 36:1,21	Public 35:22 36:1,21
happen 38:23		37:5	37:5
happy 38:2	L	open 40:7,18,22	Q
head 39:4	low 25,12,29,14	operation 40:24 41:2	Q
hear 41:6	law 35:13 38:14	operations 40:6,9,15	question 37:16,17
hearing 37:11 39:7,	limited 40:9,23	Opitz 36:6	questions 40:2 41:5
12,19	limiting 40:4	opportunity 38:25	
history 36:20	Linhares 36:8,12	order 37:21	R
Housing 36:7,9	Louis 36:10	orders 38:7	rate 36:25 37:4,24
	Louis 30.10	P	38:11
	М		Rates 35:9
immediately 38:11		Part 40:3	recap 36:20
impacts 37:4	made 38:12	parties 36:16 38:2,18,	received 38:3
include 36:23 38:25 39:3	maintenance 40:6,9, 15,24 41:3	24 39:6,14,21,24 pay 39:23	recommend 38:17 39:6
included 40:21	make 40:21	Payne 35:21,25 40:3,	reconsideration
Increasing 35:9	matter 35:7,13	17,25 41:4,9	37:6
indicating 37:1	matters 41:7	period 39:16	record 35:2 36:13 41:11,13
individual 39:14	measurable 37:4,12 39:15,25	phone 36:8	recreate 38:8
information 35:18,23	39:15,25 mentioned 36:16	plant 36:24	regard 38:5,18
36:3	Missouri 35:10,22	point 38:5	regulatory 35:13
interest 39:23	36:5,6,10	policy 37:18 38:6,8,9	remember 39:3 40:11
interpretation 38:7	modified 37:22	power 36:24	Renew 36:5,6
interpreted 37:14 38:15	morning 35:12	present 36:16	reporter 35:18,24
isolated 36:23 37:3,8,	motion 36:19,22 37:6,	presented 37:10	36:3
9,23 39:11	7 40:11	presents 40:2	Request 35:8
issue 38:19	moved 37:2	previous 37:15	requirements 39:9
items 38:25 39:2,14,	N	prior 38:7	Resources 36:13
17,18,21,22,24 40:7, 19		problem 40:2	response 37:1
. •	Nathan 36:2	procedural 35:6 36:18,20 39:5 41:11	rests 41:9

retirement 36:24	time 35:6 40:15 41:8	
37:24 38:13,19 39:8	today 41:12	
room 35:4	Today's 35:3	
	top 39:4	
S	trim 41:3	
schedules 39:5	true-up 39:16	
Service 35:9,10,22	Trust 36:7,9	
set 35:5		
Sierra 36:14	U	
situation 38:10	understand 38:1	
solution 40:14	understood 40:14	
South 36:10	unique 38:10	
specifically 40:5		
St 36:10	W	
staff 35:20,22 36:25 38:15 41:9	Whitney 35:21	
staff's 36:19 37:7	wide 39:1 40:18,22	
38:6 40:3	Williams 36:2,4	
starting 35:15	wrong 41:2	
stated 37:9,22		
stipulation 39:20	Y	
straight 38:1	year 36:22 37:2,13,23	
stress 39:11	39:16	
strongly 39:6		
submission 38:25 39:5		
submit 40:1,19		
suggested 40:8		
Suite 36:10		
T		
Tariffs 35:9		
test 36:22 37:2,13,22 39:16		
thought 37:1,20		
Tim 36:6		