BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Prehearing Conference

September 2, 2010

Jefferson City, Missouri

Volume 1

In the Matter of

Missouri Gas Energy's)
Tariff Sheets Designed to)
Increase the Rebate Level) File No. GT-2011-0049
for Tank Water Heaters)

NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding DEPUTY REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY: NANCY L. SILVA, CCR TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES
2	LERA SHEMWELL
3	P.O. Box 360
4	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
5	573.751.4140
6	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
7	Commission
8	
9	MARK POSTON
10	Attorney at Law
11	P.O. Box 2230
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
13	573.751.5558
14	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the public
15	
16	SARAH MANGELSDORF
17	Attorney at Law
18	P.O. Box 899
19	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
20	573.751.0052
21	FOR: Missouri Department of Natural Resources
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		APPEARANCES (cont.)
2	TODD	JACOBS
3		Attorney at Law
4		3420 Broadway
5		Kansas City, Missouri 64111
6		816.360.5976
7	FOR:	Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of
8		Southern Union Company
9		
10	DEAN	L. COOPER
11		Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
12		P.O. Box 456
13		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
14		573.635.7166
15	FOR:	Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of
16		Southern Union Company
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead and go on
2	the record.
3	This is Case No. GT-2011-0049 in The
4	Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets
5	Designed to Increase the Rebate Level for Tank
6	Water Heaters.
7	My name's Nancy Dippell. I'm the
8	regulatory law judge assigned to this matter, and
9	I asked you all here today or directed you here
10	today to discuss this case and how it will proceed
11	forward.
12	I'm going to begin with entries of
13	appearance, and if you gave a written entry with
14	your address and stuff, that's not necessarily to
15	repeat on here.
16	If you just want to state your name and
17	who you're representing, that would work. Great.
18	I'll start with staff.
19	MS. SHEMWELL: Good morning, Judge
20	Dippell, and thank you.
21	Lera Shemwell representing the staff of
22	the Missouri Public Service Commission.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: And OPC.
24	MR. POSTON: Marc Poston appearing for
25	Office of the Public Counsel and the public.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: And DNR.
2	MS. MANGELSDORF: Sarah Mangelsdorf
3	appearing for the Missouri Department of Natural
4	Resources.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: And the company.
6	MR. JACOBS: Todd Jacobs from Missouri
7	Gas Energy.
8	MR. COOPER: Dean Cooper also for
9	Missouri Gas Energy.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Well, the
11	first question I have on my list is, Is a
12	September 14 hearing possible? That's what I put
13	in the order, but as sort of a reservation date
14	operating under a 30-day tariff suspension.
15	MR. JACOBS: Judge, we want to inform the
16	Court that we plan to withdraw the tariffs as an
17	issue in this case.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
19	MR. JACOBS: One of the things that was
20	noted by the Commission was that, really, our SGS
21	incentive program is being held up by this issue,
22	and we want to separate the issues and clarify the
23	issue for the Commission, so we plan to do that
24	this week as to withdraw the I'm sorry to
25	file the substitute tariffs, basically, in this

1	case.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
3	MR. JACOBS: So there would be a tariff
4	that would be filed just for the SGS class, and
5	then we would file a separate tariff that would
6	only focus on the increase for that tank water
7	heater, which seems to be the primary issue of
8	Public Counsel.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: And does Public Counsel
10	think that that will sufficiently separate those
11	issues if they handle it in that manner?
12	MR. POSTON: I think so, yeah.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
14	So then you would see proceeding just on
15	the tank water heater issue. Then would the
16	substitute tariffs on the tank water heater then
17	have a longer effective date or what?
18	MR. JACOBS: I think that we would I
19	think that that would be the case. We want the
20	SGS to go into effect immediately, because I don't
21	think there's any issue related to it.
22	And then, really, the question about the
23	tank water heater we would we'd have to set a
24	procedural schedule on that.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

1	MR. JACOBS: And we'd like to move
2	forward fairly quickly with that, if possible.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: And I think the
4	Commission would want to do that, too. For one
5	thing, just looking at the calendar, more great
6	cases and so forth coming after the first of the
7	year, in December and so forth, so it probably
8	would be best to try to handle something like this
9	issue which is a relatively small issue
10	compared to some of those kinds of cases as
11	quickly as possible, if that's possible, because I
12	know you-all are all maybe not MGE, but
13	everybody else in the room is involved in the
14	other rate case issues as well.
15	MS. SHEMWELL: with a single discrete
16	issue. I think that it could go fairly quickly,
17	however, the 14th I'm going to be headed to
18	Washington D.C.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, like I say,
20	I put that in there just to get something on the
21	calendar, but hearing that the tariffs are going
22	to be altered and so forth, I think that can go
23	away and you-all can discuss what dates work good
24	for you.
25	And just think about the kind of evidence

1	then. There were a lot of facts alleged in the
2	pleadings and stuff, and as I was reading them,
3	you know, about whether this rebate is good or bad
4	or indifferent, I'd like to see the evidence to
5	support all of those conclusions and allegations
6	when you come to the Commission to support why you
7	think this rebate amount is just or not.
8	And another issue that came up was about
9	the standard. What exactly I guess I'm a
10	little confused in a tariff of this sort, which
11	isn't actually a rate tariff, what the standard
12	would be.
13	There was a question as to whether the
14	tariff was effective or not effective if the
15	standard the burden would switch from the
16	company to OPC, and I'm not exactly clear on what
17	the legal standard should be for the Commission
18	then determining this, so if you can offer me some
19	guidance on that point along the way, that would
20	be helpful as well.
21	Is there anything else, then, that we
22	need to discuss on the record?
23	(No response.)
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Well, in that
25	case I will look for something from you-all

1	within a week on a procedural schedule.
2	Is that doable, Ms. Shemwell?
3	MS. SHEMWELL: I'm thinking, perhaps, a
4	tariff should be filed first and then we can look
5	at the tariffs, and perhaps within ten days after
6	filing of the filing of the tariffs and
7	recommendations on that.
8	MR. JACOBS: That's fine. I don't know
9	if we need to have another prehearing conference
10	if we can work it out and present the schedule to
11	you and move forward from there.
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I was just trying
13	to figure out when I would expect to see something
14	then. When do you think you'll have the new
15	tariffs filed?
16	MR. JACOBS: Today. I mean, we can get
17	it done this week.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. We'll look for
19	that this week and or at least by Monday or
20	Tuesday. I guess Monday's a holiday.
21	And then if you-all can
22	MS. SHEMWELL: I've got the 17th.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. The 17th for a
24	procedural schedule?
25	MR. POSTON: I want to clarify that

1	refiling. Will that keep this same case or will
2	that cancel out this case and we'd have to file a
3	new motion?
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: I think you can keep it
5	in this case. You might want to just to be on
6	the safe side, you might want to just renew your
7	objection.
8	MR. POSTON: Right.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: Then they file the
10	substitute tariff, and that's clear.
11	MR. POSTON: Okay.
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: But I think we can do
13	those tariff filings and do them in this case
14	without having to open up a new case. They'll get
15	assigned a new tariff number but
16	MR. COOPER: Yeah, we can I don't know
17	if we need to talk about it on the record maybe
18	we can talk about it when we go off the record,
19	but just the sort of the logistical steps to
20	getting that done. It might be worthwhile to talk
21	about a little bit, because presumably if we
22	substitute and there's no objection, then, to the
23	then-existing tariffs, we've got to get somehow to
24	an effective date, I guess, an undoing of the
25	suspension and to hopefully put a quick effective

1	date on those.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: If you-all also will
3	agree to the effective date of the
4	noncontroversial tariff, if you want to expedite
5	that, we can do that.
6	You could just tell me that you have
7	agreed to that and then the Commission could find
8	good cause to expedite that. Even though it's
9	been here for more than 30 days already, it would
10	just be in a little bit different format so
11	but, yeah, you can discuss it, and if you have any
12	questions about the technical stuff, you can call
13	me later, too, if you need to know.
14	If the data center calls you with
15	questions, say, Judge Dippell told us to do it
16	this way.
17	Anything else?
18	(No response.)
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. I appreciate
20	you all coming in and trying to work that out, and
21	I look forward to hearing from you.
22	We can go off the record.
23	(The hearing concluded.)
24	
25	

	TRETE/RETING CONTENENCE 05 02 2010
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, Nancy L. Silva, CCR, within and for the
3	State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the
4	witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing
5	hearing was duly sworn by me; that the testimony
6	of said witness was taken by me to the best of my
7	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting
8	under my direction; that I am neither counsel for,
9	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
10	the action in which this hearing was taken, and
11	further, that I am not a relative or employee of
12	any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
13	thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested
14	in the outcome of the action.
15	
16	
17	
18	Nancy L. Silva, CCR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

	PREHEARING CONFER	TENCE 05 02 2010	
1	ahead 4:1	14	dates 7:23
1 1:10	allegations	cases 7:6,10	days 9:5 11:9
14 5:12	8:5	cause 11:8	Dean 3:10 5:8
14th 7:17	alleged 8:1	CCR 1:24	December 7:7
17th 9:22,23	already 11:9	12:2,18	Department
	altered 7:22	center 11:14	2:21 5:3
2 2	am 12:8,11	CERTIFICATE	DEPUTY 1:21
2 1:8	amount 8:7	12:1	Designed 1:18
2010 1:8	anything 8:21	certify 12:3	4:5
2230 2:11	11:17	City 1:9 2:4,12,19	determining 8:18
3	appearance 4:13	3:5,13	different
30 11:9	APPEARANCES	clarify 5:22	11:10
30-day 5:14	2:1 3:1	9:25	Dippell 1:21
3420 3:4	appearing	class 6:4	4:1,7,20,23
360 2:3	4:24 5:3	clear 8:16	5:1,5,10,18 6:2,9,13,25
300 213	appears 12:4	10:10	7:3,19 8:24
4	appreciate	coming 7:6 11:20	9:12,18,23
456 3:12	11:19	Commission	10:4,9,12 11:2,15,19
	assigned 4:8 10:15	1:1 2:7 4:22	directed 4:9
5 573.635.7166		5:20,23 7:4	direction
3:14	attorney 2:10,17 3:3	8:6,17 11:7	12:8
573.751.0052	12:12	company 3:8,16 5:5	<pre>discrete 7:15</pre>
2:20	away 7:23	8:16	discuss 4:10
573.751.4140		compared 7:10	7:23 8:22 11:11
2:5	<u>B</u> bad 8:3	concluded	Division
573.751.5558 2:13	basically	11:23	3:7,15
2.13	5:25	conclusions 8:5	DNR 5:1
6	begin 4:12		doable 9:2
64111 3:5	best 7:8 12:6	conference 1:7 9:9	done 9:17
65102	bit 10:21	confused 8:10	10:20
2:4,12,19 3:13	11:10	cont 3:1	duly 12:5
J.13	Box 2:3,11,18	Cooper 3:10	
8	3:12	5:8 10:16	<u>E</u> effect 6:20
816.360.5976	Broadway 3:4	counsel 2:14	
3:6	Brydon 3:11	4:25 6:8,9 12:8,12	effective 6:17 8:14
899 2:18	burden 8:15	Court 1:25	10:24,25
		5:16	11:3
ability 12:7	calendar		else 7:13 8:21 11:17
action	7:5,21	D	
12:10,14	cancel 10:2	D.C 7:18	employed 12:9,12
actually 8:11	case 4:3,10	data 11:14	employee
address 4:14	5:17 6:1,19	date 5:13	12:11
agreed 11:7	7:14 8:25 10:1,2,5,13,	6:17 10:24 11:1,3	Energy 3:7,15
			5:7,9

	FREITLANTING CONFET	12.102 03 02 2020	
Energy's 1:17	1:18 4:3	6:11 7:14	Marc 4:24
England 3:11	guess 8:9 9:20 10:24	it's 11:8	MARK 2:9
entries 4:12	guidance 8:19	I've 9:22	matter 1:16 4:4,8
entry 4:13	guitance 0.13		maybe 7:12
everybody	Н	Jacobs 3:2	10:17
7:13	handle 6:11 7:8	5:6,15,19 6:3,18 7:1	mean 9:16
evidence 7:25 8:4	having 10:14	9:8,16	MGE 7:12
exactly	headed 7:17	Jefferson 1:9	Missouri 1:2,9,17
8:9,16	hearing 5:12	2:4,12,19 3:13	2:4,6,12,19,
expect 9:13	7:21	judge 1:21	21 3:5,7,13,15
expedite	11:21,23 12:5,10	4:1,8,19,23	4:4,22
11:4,8	heater	5:1,5,10,15, 18	5:3,6,9 12:3
F	6:7,15,16,23	6:2,9,13,25	Monday 9:19
facts 8:1	Heaters 1:19 4:6	7:3,19 8:24 9:12,18,23	Monday's 9:20
fairly 7:2,16	held 5:21	10:4,9,12	morning 4:19
figure 9:13	helpful 8:20	11:2,15,19	motion 10:3
file 1:18	hereby 12:3	K	move 7:1 9:11
5:25 6:5 10:2,9	holiday 9:20	Kansas 3:5	N
filed 6:4	hopefully	kinds 7:10	name's 4:7
9:4,15	10:25		Nancy 1:21,24
filing 9:6		<u>L</u> later 11:13	4:7 12:2,18 Natural 2:21
filings 10:13	<u>I</u> I'd 8:4	law 1:21	5:3
financially 12:13	I']] 4:18	2:10,17 3:3	necessarily
fine 9:8	I'm 4:7,12	4:8	4:14
first 5:11	5:24 7:17 8:9,16 9:3	least 9:19	neither 12:8
7:6 9:4	immediately	legal 8:17	noncontrovers ial 11:4
focus 6:6	6:20	Lera 2:2 4:21 Let's 4:1	nor 12:9,13
foregoing 12:4	incentive	Let 3 4.1 Level 1:18	noted 5:20
format 11:10	5:21	4:5	
forth	increase 1:18 4:5 6:6	list 5:11	0
7:6,7,22	indifferent	little 8:10	<pre>objection 10:7,22</pre>
forward 4:11	8:4	10:21 11:10	offer 8:18
7:2 9:11 11:21	inform 5:15	LLC 1:25	Office 2:14
	interested 12:13	logistical 10:19	4:25
G	involved 7:13	longer 6:17	Okay 5:18 6:2,13,25
Gas 1:17 3:7,15 4:4	isn't 8:11	lot 8:1	7:19
5:7,9	issue		9:12,18,23 10:11
getting 10:20	5:17,21,23	Mangelsdorf	OPC 4:23 8:16
great 4:17	6:7,15,21 7:9,16 8:8	2:16 5:2	open 10:14
7:5 GT-2011-0049	issues 5:22	manner 6:11	operating
G1 -2011-0043	L		· · ·

	PREHEARING CONFEI	RENCE 09-02-2010	,
5:14	quick 10:25	9:1,10,24	
order 5:13	quickly	seems 6:7	— talk
otherwise 12:13	7:2,11,16	separate 5:22 6:5,10	10:17,18,20
outcome 12:14	R rate 7:14	September 1:8	tank 1:19 4:5 6:6,15,16,23
	8:11	5:12	tariff 1:18
P.C 3:11	reading 8:2	Service 1:1 2:6 4:22	4:4 5:14 6:3,5
P.O 2:3,11,18 3:12	really 5:20 6:22	SGS 5:20 6:4,20	8:10,11,14 9:4
parties 12:9,12	rebate 1:18 4:5 8:3,7	Sheets 1:18 4:4	10:10,13,15 11:4
perhaps 9:3,5	recommendatio	Shemwell 2:2 4:19,21 7:15	tariffs 5:16,25 6:16
plan 5:16,23	record 4:2	9:2,3,22	7:21
pleadings 8:2	8:22 10:17,18	Silva 1:24	9:5,6,15 10:23
point 8:19 possible 5:12	11:22	12:2,18 single 7:15	technical 11:12
7:2,11	reduced 12:7	small 7:9	ten 9:5
Poston 2:9 4:24 6:12	refiling 10:1	somehow 10:23	testimony
9:25 10:8,11	1:21 4:8	sorry 5:24	12:4,5
prehearing 1:7 9:9	related 6:21	sort 5:13 8:10 10:19	thank 4:20 that's 4:14
present 9:10	12:9 relative	Southern	5:12 7:11
Presiding	12:11	3:8,16	9:8 10:10 then-existing
1:21 presumably	relatively 7:9	staff 2:6 4:18,21	10:23
10:21	renew 10:6	standard 8:9,11,15,17	thereafter 12:7
primary 6:7	repeat 4:15	start 4:18	there's 6:21
probably 7:7 procedural	REPORTED 1:24	state 1:2	10:22
6:24 9:1,24	REPORTER 12:1	4:16 12:3	thereto 12:13 They'll 10:14
proceed 4:10	1:25	steps 10:19 stuff 4:14	TIGER 1:25
proceeding 6:14	representing 4:17,21	8:2 11:12	today 4:9,10
PROCEEDINGS	reservation	<pre>substitute 5:25 6:16</pre>	9:16 Todd 3:2 5:6
1:6	5:13	10:10,22	TRANSCRIPT
program 5:21 public 1:1	Resources 2:21 5:4	<pre>sufficiently 6:10</pre>	1:6
2:6,14	response 8:23	support 8:5,6	try 7:8
4:22,25 6:8,9	11:18	suspension	trying 9:12 11:20
	room 7:13	5:14 10:25	Tuesday 9:20
question 5:11	S	Swearengen 3:11	typewriting
6:22 8:13	safe 10:6	switch 8:15	12:7
questions	Sarah 2:16 5:2	sworn 12:5	U
11:12,15	schedule 6:24		undoing 10:24

	PREHEARING	CONFE	KENCE	09-02-2010	
Union 3:8,16					
Volume 1:10					
Washington 7:18					
water 1:19 4:6					
6:6,15,16,23					
we'd 6:23 7:1 10:2					
week 5:24 9:1,17,19					
We'll 9:18					
we've 10:23					
whether 8:3,13					
whose 12:4					
withdraw 5:16,24					
witness 12:4,6					
work 4:17 7:23 9:10 11:20					
worthwhile 10:20					
written 4:13					
Y					
you-all 7:12,23 8:25 9:21 11:2					
you'll 9:14					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					