Exhibit No.:

Issue: Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes,

Income Taxes, Pensions and OPEBS

Witness: James A. Fallert

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.

d/b/a Liberty Utilities Case Nos. GR-2018-0013

Date Testimony Prepared: May 9, 2018

### Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

**Surrebuttal Testimony** 

of

James A. Fallert

On Behalf of

Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities

**May 2018** 



## SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JAMES A. FALLERT

# SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JAMES A. FALLERT LIBERTY UTILITIES BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. GR-2018-0013

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	POSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS	. 1
	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	
	PENSIONS AND OPEBS	
IV.	ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	
V.	REGULATORY LIABILITY FOR TAX RATE REDUCTION	. 4

# SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JAMES A. FALLERT ON BEHALF OF LIBERTY UTILITIES BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. GR-2018-0013

1		I. <u>POSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS</u>
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS AFFILIATION AND BUSINESS
3		ADDRESS.
4	A.	My name is James A. Fallert. I am doing business as James Fallert Consultant LLC and
5		my business address is 3507 Burgundy Way Dr., St. Louis, MO 63129.
6	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF IS YOUR TESTIMONY PRESENTED?
7	A.	Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities ("Company" or
8		"Liberty Utilities").
9	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME JAMES A. FALLERT WHO SUBMITTED REBUTTAL
10		TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF LIBERTY UTILITIES IN THIS PROCEEDING?
11	A.	Yes.
12		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
14	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to address the rebuttal testimony of Office of the Public
15		Counsel ("OPC") witnesses Keri Roth and John Riley in regard to Pensions and OPEBs,
16		Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, and Regulatory Liability for Tax Rate Reductions.
17	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULES IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR
18		TESTIMONY?
19	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Schedules JF-S1 and JF-S2.

#### 1 III. <u>PENSIONS AND OPEBS</u>

$\mathbf{a}$	$\mathbf{\Omega}$		DECODIDE	ODC:	DIDOT TOOLIE	
,		PLEASE.	DRACKIKE.	TIPL 'S	FIRST ISSUE	

- A. In rebuttal testimony, OPC witness Roth pointed out that both Liberty Utilities' and
  Staff's direct filings were based on estimates pending receipt of the 2017 actuarial
  valuation from the Company's actuaries. The proposed adjustments were increases of
- \$66,379 in pension expense and \$135,014 in OPEB expense. OPC disagreed with this
- 7 adjustment but stated that it would update its position when the 2017 actuarial valuation
- 8 was available.

#### 9 Q. HAS THE 2017 ACTUARIAL VALUATION BEEN COMPLETED?

- 10 A. Yes. The results of this valuation have been included by the Company in its true-up
- 11 filing. The resulting adjustments are a decrease of \$14,024 in pension expense and an
- increase of \$292,119 in OPEB expense.

#### 13 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE OPC'S SECOND ISSUE.

- 14 A. OPC witness Roth described the regulatory mechanism prescribed in the Company's
- previous rate case (No. GR-2014-0152) regarding pensions and OPEBs. At the time Ms.
- Roth's testimony was written, OPC did not believe that the Company had provided
- 17 adequate support for the rate base amounts prescribed by that mechanism.

#### 18 Q. HAS SUCH SUPPORT NOW BEEN PROVIDED?

- 19 A. Yes. My rebuttal testimony in this case provided the support for inclusion in rate base of
- the amounts calculated pursuant to the Stipulation and Agreement in Case No. GR-2014-
- 21 0152.

#### 22 Q. HAVE YOU UPDATED THE RATE BASE AMOUNTS BASED ON THE MOST

#### 23 **RECENT AVAILABLE INFORMATION?**

#### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JAMES A. FALLERT

A. Yes. I have updated this calculation to the March 31, 2018 true-up date in this case and more closely aligned the calculation with the accounting followed by the Company. The resulting amounts appropriate for inclusion in rate base are \$760,179 for pensions and \$159,736 for OPEBs. The attached schedules JF-S1 and JF-S2 provide detail regarding these amounts.

#### 6 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?

A. We believe that the Company has now provided the information necessary to allay the concerns expressed by OPC in its rebuttal testimony and that sufficient documentation exists to support the inclusion in cost of service of the expense and rate base amounts discussed herein.

#### IV. ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES

# 12 Q. WHAT WERE OPC'S COMMENTS REGARDING ACCUMULATED 13 DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (ADIT)?

A. OPC witness John Riley observed that ADIT balances will be reduced due to the reduction in the corporate tax rate effective January 1, 2018, and discussed methods of returning this reduction to customers. He notes that amounts and amortization periods have not yet been determined, and suggests that OPC would expect amortization periods of 20 years for protected ADIT and 10 years for unprotected ADIT in the absence of agreement by the parties.

#### 20 Q. PLEASE COMMENT.

11

A. Liberty Utilities agrees that the impact of the change in the federal corporate tax rate should be included in the Company's cost of service and is committed to working with the Staff and OPC to accurately quantify and include the effect of the change in this case. These discussions and analysis are ongoing. It is important that the parties make every effort to avoid a normalization violation in regard to the protected ADIT balances. While the final calculations of amortization periods are unlikely to be completed during the pendency of this case, the best estimate should be applied rather than an arbitrary estimate.

#### 6 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?

A. Yes. The Company recommends that the Commission authorize establishment of a tracker mechanism that would defer any amounts of protected ADIT included in rates over or under the actual amount determined when final calculations are complete. This deferred amount would be returned to customers or the Company, as appropriate, in order to ensure proper recovery of this item and lessen any chance that a normalization violation could be alleged.

#### V. REGULATORY LIABILITY FOR TAX RATE REDUCTION

#### 14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THIS ISSUE.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15 A. In rebuttal testimony, OPC witness John Riley has proposed the establishment of a
16 regulatory liability that would track the impact of the reduction in federal tax rates from
17 the January 1, 2018 effective date of the change until the operation of law date in this
18 case. Under this proposal, the accumulated balance would then be amortized over the
19 next four years.

#### 20 Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON THIS ISSUE?

A. The Company agrees that trackers can be useful regulatory tools in many situations. However, the tracker proposed by OPC in this instance is not appropriate and would not achieve its stated objective.

#### 1 Q. WHAT RATIONALE DOES OPC PROVIDE FOR THIS PROPOSED

#### 2 TRACKER?

- 3 A. Mr. Riley's testimony states the following at page 12, line 19: "This extraordinary event
- 4 will cause Liberty to over earn for the first eight months of this year. This overearning
- *should be recorded and returned to the ratepayer.*"

#### 6 Q. DO YOU AGREE THAT THE COMPANY WILL OVER EARN DURING THIS

#### 7 PERIOD AS A RESULT OF THE REDUCTION IN FEDERAL CORPORATE

#### 8 TAX RATES?

- 9 A. No. The evidence in this case clearly refutes this contention. As it happens, the update
- period in this case of December 31, 2017 coincides with the January 1, 2018 effective
- date of the tax rate change. Thus we have ample evidence in this case of whether OPC's
- 12 contention of over earning is valid.

#### 13 Q. PLEASE CONTINUE.

14 Staff's Cost of Service Report in this case recommended a revenue requirement of A. 15 \$1,292,380 (at page 4), based on calculations from the test year ending June 30, 2017 with certain adjustments through December 31, 2017. This amount should be considered 16 an absolute low since it already incorporates an estimate of the impact of the reduction in 17 corporate tax rates, and does not include any true-up adjustments nor recognition of any 18 19 of Liberty Utilities' opposing viewpoints. Nevertheless, it is greater than Mr. Riley's 20 own calculation of the impact of the tax rate change, which is a reduction in revenue 21 requirement of \$818,117 (page 13, line 14). It is apparent that the tax rate change will 22 not cause the Company to over earn prior to the operation of law date in this case. To the

- 1 contrary, the tax rate change will simply cause the Company to under earn by a smaller
- amount.
- 3 Q. ARE THERE ANY PRECEDENTS REGARDING THIS ISSUE?
- 4 A. Yes. The impact of the tax rate change was included in Spire Missouri's recently
- 5 concluded rate cases (Case Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216).
- 6 Q. HOW WAS THIS ISSUE HANDLED IN THOSE CASES?
- 7 A. The impact of the change in tax rates was implemented with the operation of law date.
- 8 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS REGARDING THIS ISSUE?
- 9 A. Yes. Liberty Utilities has cooperated fully in recognizing the impact of the tax rate
- 10 change in cost of service in this case. OPC's proposed tracker would single out the tax
- rate change while ignoring all of the other evidence in this case and would therefore
- arbitrarily and unfairly reduce revenue requirement in the future.
- 13 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS
- 14 **PROCEEDING?**
- 15 A. Yes.

### Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities

Allocated to

Docket No. GR-2018-0013

Total Allocated to

#### **Qualified Pension Plan Contributions**

Allocated to

Allocated to

				ilocated to	Allocated to			Anocateu to	Total Allocated to		
	To	tal Company	WE	WEMO (5.06%)		MO (34.83%)	NE	MO (25.25%)	N	10 (65.14%)	
2015 Contributions:	\$	594,000.00	\$	30,056.40	\$	206,890.20	\$	149,985.00	\$	386,931.60	
2015 Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$	309,285.00	\$	15,649.82	\$	107,723.97	\$	78,094.46	\$	201,468.25	
Excess Contributions:	\$	284,715.00	\$	14,406.58	\$	99,166.23	\$	71,890.54	\$	185,463.35	
			Α	llocated to	A	Allocated to	F	Allocated to	Tota	al Allocated to	
	To	tal Company	WE	MO (5.11%)	SEI	MO (35.40%)	NE	MO (25.85%)	N	10 (66.36%)	
2016 Contributions:	\$	647,600.00	\$	33,092.36	\$	229,250.40	\$	167,404.60	\$	429,747.36	
2016 Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$	369,898.00	\$	18,901.79	\$	130,943.89	\$	95,618.63	\$	245,464.31	
Excess Contributions:	\$	277,702.00	\$	14,190.57	\$	98,306.51	\$	71,785.97	\$	184,283.05	
										_	
			Α	llocated to	A	Allocated to	F	Allocated to	Tota	al Allocated to	
	To	tal Company	WE	MO (5.04%)	SEI	MO (35.31%)	NE	MO (25.50%)	N	10 (65.85%)	
2017 Contributions:	\$	660,400.00	\$	33,284.16	\$	233,187.24	\$	168,402.00	\$	434,873.40	
2017 Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$	349,891.00	\$	17,634.51	\$	123,546.51	\$	89,222.21	\$	230,403.22	
Excess Contributions:	\$	310,509.00	\$	15,649.65	\$	109,640.73	\$	79,179.80	\$	204,470.18	
			Α	llocated to	A	Allocated to	F	Allocated to	Tota	al Allocated to	
			WEMO (5.04%)		SEMO (34.73%)		NEMO (25.87%)		N	10 (65.64%)	
	To	tal Company	WE	MO (5.04%)	2FI	WIO (34.73/8)	INE	1410 (23.87/8)		(55.5.75)	
2018 Q1 Contributions*:	<b>T</b> 0	184,700.00	<b>WE</b> \$	9,308.88	\$	64,146.31	\$	47,781.89	\$	121,237.08	
2018 Q1 Contributions*: 2018 Q1 Net Periodic Benefit Cost											

<sup>\*</sup> First Quarter payment made 4/13/2018

#### Rate Base

	Т	otal Funding	ı	<b>Net Periodic</b>		Prepaid Pension						
Year	Allocated to MO		E	<b>Benefit Cost</b>		Asset		<b>Allowed In Rates</b>		<b>Regulatory Asset</b>		tal Rate Base
2015	\$	386,931.60	\$	201,468.25	\$	185,463.35	\$	154,350.00	\$	26,857.40	\$	212,320.75
2016		429,747.36		245,464.31		184,283.05	\$	154,350.00	\$	51,935.16	\$	236,218.21
2017		434,873.40		230,403.22		204,470.18	\$	154,350.00	\$	43,350.34	\$	247,820.51
2018 Q1		121,237.08		48,245.40		72,991.68	\$	38,587.50	\$	(9,172.10)	\$	63,819.58
Total	\$	1,372,789.44	\$	725,581.19	\$	647,208.25	\$	501,637.50	\$	112,970.80	\$	760,179.05

Prepaid Pension Asset = Total Funding Allocated to Missouri - Net Periodic Benefit Cost Regulatory Asset = (Net Periodic Benefit Cost - Allowed in Rates) x 57% expense allocation Total Rate Base = Prepaid Pension Asset + Regulatory Asset

#### Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities

Docket No. GR-2018-0013

#### **OPEB Contributions**

2015 Contributions: 2015 Net Periodic Benefit Cost	, , ,		<b>W</b> \$ \$	\$ 57,336.78		Allocated to SEMO (34.83%) \$ 351,640.89 \$ 394,671.97 \$ (43,031.07)		Allocated to NEMO (25.25%) \$ 254,921.98 \$ 286,117.35 \$ (31,195.37)		htal Allocated to MO (65.14%) 657,648.23 738,126.09 (80,477.86)
	Total Company		Allocated to WEMO (5.11%)		Allocated to SEMO (35.40%)		Allocated to NEMO (25.85%)			otal Allocated to MO (66.36%)
2016 Contributions: 2016 Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ \$	974,505.00 1,000,527.00	\$ \$	49,797.21 51,126.93	\$ \$	344,974.77 354,186.56	\$ \$	251,909.54 258,636.23	\$ \$	646,681.52 663,949.72
2010 Net i choule benefit cost	\$	(26,022.00)		(1,329.72)		(9,211.79)		(6,726.69)	<del>-</del> -	(17,268.20)
	Т	otal Company	-	Allocated to EMO (5.04%)		Allocated to EMO (35.31%)		Allocated to EMO (25.50%)		otal Allocated to MO (65.85%)
YTD 2017 Contributions:	\$	988,985.00	\$	49,844.84	\$	349,210.60	\$	252,191.18	\$	651,246.62
2017 Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$	917,781.00	\$	46,256.16	\$	324,068.47	\$	234,034.16	\$	604,358.79
	<u>\$</u>	988,985.00	\$	49,844.84	\$	349,210.60	\$	252,191.18	\$	651,246.62
	Т	otal Company	-	Allocated to EMO (5.04%)		Allocated to EMO (34.73%)		Allocated to EMO (25.87%)		otal Allocated to MO (65.64%)
2018 Q1 Contributions:	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2018 Q1 Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$	268,299.00	\$	13,522.27	\$	93,180.24	\$	69,408.95	\$	176,111.46
Excess Contributions:	\$	(268,299.00)	\$	(13,522.27)	\$	(93,180.24)	\$	(69,408.95)	\$	(176,111.46)

#### Rate Base

	Т	otal Funding		Net Periodic	P	repaid OPEB						
 Year	Allocated to MO		ed to MO Benefit Cost		Asset/(Liability)		Allowed In Rates		Re	gulatory Asset	<b>Total Rate Base</b>	
 2015	\$	657,648.23	\$	738,126.09	\$	(80,477.86)	\$	474,068.00	\$	150,513.11	\$	70,035.25
2016	\$	646,681.52	\$	663,949.72		(17,268.20)	\$	474,068.00	\$	108,232.58	\$	90,964.38
2017	\$	651,246.62	\$	604,358.79		46,887.83	\$	474,068.00	\$	74,265.75	\$	121,153.58
2018 Q1	\$	-	\$	176,111.46		(176,111.46)	\$	118,517.00	\$	53,694.53	\$	(122,416.93)
Total	\$	1,955,576.37	\$	2,182,546.06	\$	(226,969.69)	\$	1,540,721.00	\$	386,705.97	\$	159,736.28

Prepaid OPEB Asset/(Liability) = Total Funding Allocated to Missouri - Net Periodic Benefit Cost Regulatory Asset = (Net Periodic Benefit Cost - Allowed in Rates) x 57% expense allocation Total Rate Base = Prepaid OPEB Asset/(Liability) + Regulatory Asset

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES FALLERT**

STATE OF MISSOURI
COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS )
On the $\frac{9-h}{}$ day of May, 2018, before me appeared James A Fallert, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that he is doing business as James Fallert Consultant LLC and acknowledges that he has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.
Jan a. Fall A
James A. Fallert
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of May, 2018
Notary Public
My commission expires: 10-24-2018  My commission expires: 10-24-2018  My Commission Expires: October 29, 2018  Commission Expires: October 29, 2018  Commission Number: 14406930