```
Page 20
1
                         STATE OF MISSOURI
2
                     PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3
 4
 5
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 6
                       Prehearing Conference
 7
                          January 12, 2004
                      Jefferson City, Missouri
8
                              Volume 5
 9
10
11
   In the Matter of the Request of
                                     )
    Aquila, Inc., d/b/a Aquila Networks -)
12 L&P and Aquila Networks - MPS, to ) Case No. ER-2004-0034
    Implement a General Rate Increase in )
13 Electric Rates.
                                          )
14
15
16
                  KENNARD L. JONES, Presiding,
                       REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
17
18
19
20
    REPORTED BY:
21
    KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR
   ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 21
   APPEARANCES:
1
2
    PAUL A. BOUDREAU, Attorney at Law
    JAMES C. SWEARENGEN, Attorney at Law
3
    DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law
           Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
           312 East Capitol
4
           P.O. Box 456
 5
           Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
           (573)635 - 7166
 6
                   FOR:
                         Aquila, Inc.
 7
    MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law
           Newman, Comley & Ruth
 8
           601 Monroe, Suite 301
 9
           P.O. Box 537
           Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
10
            (573) 634 - 2266
11
                   FOR: City of Kansas City.
12
    SHELLEY A. WOODS, Assistant Attorney General
           P.O. Box 899
13
           Supreme Court Building
                                        65102
           Jefferson City,
                             Missouri
            (573)751-3321
14
15
                   FOR:
                         Missouri Department of Natural
                         Resources.
16
    STUART CONRAD, Attorney at Law
            Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson
17
            3100 Broadway
            1209 Penntower Office Center
18
           Kansas City, MO
                            64111
19
            (816)753 - 1122
20
                   FOR: Sedalia Industrial Energy Users
                             Association
21
                         AG Processing.
22
    MAJ. CRAIG T. PAULSON, Attorney at Law
            Air Force Legal Services Agency
23
            Utility Litigation Team
            AFLSA/ULT
24
            139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1
            Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319
25
            (850)283 - 6350
```

Page 22 JOHN B. COFFMAN, Acting Public Counsel 1 DOUGLAS E. MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel 2 P.O. Box 2230 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 3 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230 (573)751 - 48574 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the Public. 5 6 DANA K. JOYCE, General Counsel STEVEN DOTTHEIM, Chief Deputy General Counsel THOMAS R. SCHWARZ, JR., Deputy General Counsel 7 DENNIS L. FREY, Senior Counsel BRUCE H. BATES, Associate General Counsel 8 NATHAN WILLIAMS, Associate General Counsel 9 DAVID A. MEYER, Associate General Counsel ROBERT FRANSON, Senior Counsel ROBERT BERLIN, Assistant General Counsel 10 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 11 (573)751 - 323412 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public 13 Service Commission. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

		Page 2
	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	JUDGE JONES: Good afternoon. This is a
	3	prehearing conference on Case No. ER-2004-0034, and as a
	4	matter of convenience we're also holding a prehearing
	5	conference in Case No. GR-2004-0072. To my left is Judge
ĺ	6	Ruth, who is the presiding judge in GR-2004-0072.
ļ	7	The Staff of the Commission moved the
	8	Commission to hold this prehearing conference for the
	9	purpose of discussing the effects of AG Processing vs. the
	10	Public Service Commission. This was a case handed down or
	11	remanded, I should say, from the Supreme Court.
	12	I guess the best way to do this is to have
	13	Staff, since you filed the motion, say why you think this
	14	needs to be discussed.
	15	MR. DOTTHEIM: The Staff filed the motion to
	16	explore with the other parties to the three cases, the
	17	electric, gas and steam rate increase cases, what impact, if
	18	any, the Missouri Supreme Court's decision in State Ex
	19	Rel AG Processing, Inc. v Public Service Commission decision
	20	might have.
	21	It's not the situation necessarily where the
	22	Staff has certain procedures that if things are required as
	23	a consequence, the Staff thought that as early as possible
	24	the parties should broach that subject. The Staff does have
	25	some thoughts regarding that, and in addition to convening a
1		

	Page 24
1	prehearing conference to permit the parties to discuss that
2	item, the Staff thinks that possibly and it would like to
3	pursue this with the other parties, and the RLJs may have a
4	view on it that based upon the discussions that occur or
5	that the judges may have, the various parties filing
6	pleadings with the Commission identifying what, if any,
7	impact the various parties believe result could be put
8	before the Commission for the Commission to make some
9	determination.
10	The Staff thought that it was advisable to
11	raise this matter as soon as possible before we got too far
12	into the actual hearing of the cases. If any of the parties
13	have any views that the proceeding should be materially
14	changed because of that October 28th decision of the
15	Missouri Supreme Court, this would afford those parties an
16	opportunity to raise those items.
17	The Staff didn't have necessarily any
18	anticipation that we would stay very long on the record
19	today. At a minimum, the Staff thought that a structured
20	manner, a formal manner for the parties to discuss this item
21	was advisable.
22	At some point, and even today, the judges may
23	have questions for the parties. If the parties either on
24	their own or at the direction of the Commission or the
25	judges make formal filing with the Commission, then the

Associated Court Reporters 573-636-7551 * 888-636-7551

·····

Page 25 1 judges or the Commissioners themselves might deem it appropriate to hold an on-the-record conference with the 2 3 parties to discuss their views as to the impact of that 4 Supreme Court decision on the three rate cases that are 5 pending. 6 So that was the purpose of the Staff filing 7 the motions in the three cases. The Staff, if there are any 8 questions from the Bench, would endeavor to answer those 9 questions as best as possible. 10 But again, the Staff was in particular looking 11 to this prehearing conference as an opportunity for the 12 various parties to discuss amongst themselves their views as to what are the consequences, the results of the AG 13 14 Processing Supreme Court decision on the pending rate cases. 15 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Dottheim. 16 JUDGE RUTH: Can I ask a question, then? Ι 17 just want to make sure I understand. So at this point, 18 Mr. Dottheim, can you identify what issues in each case 19 might be affected by this AG Processing case? 20 MR. DOTTHEIM: You've raised -- I think the 21 way you've just phrased your question is something that I had not necessarily taken a view on. And if I understand 22 23 your question, you're thinking that either the Staff's 24 pleading or as your own thoughts that the Supreme Court 25 decision has an impact on individual issues.

· - ---- · - ·

	Page
1	JUDGE RUTH: It's a question. It's a
2	question. Sorry.
3	MR. DOTTHEIM: It may. It may well have.
4	There's an issue in the case which the company denominates
5	as merger savings, the Staff denominates it as acquisition
6	premium, which has been remanded back to the Commission.
7	That's one issue.
8	The parties in the case may have a view that
9	all the issues, the entirety of the three cases are
10	affected, or they may have a view that only the Aquila
11	Networks Light & Power cases, the electric and the steam and
12	the gas cases of Aquila Networks Light & Power are the only
13	portions of the pending cases that are affected by the
14	Supreme Court decision.
15	The Staff thought that rather than literally
16	raising that for the record that's being taken at this
17	point, that that's something that would be discussed amongst
18	the parties off the record and, as a result of that, the
19	parties would make formal filings with the Commission
20	addressing that issue or issues in whatever detail they
21	think appropriate.
22	JUDGE RUTH: And can you speculate as to when
23	those filings might be made?
24	MR. DOTTHEIM: Well, that's something that the
25	parties can discuss amongst themselves as far as when their

	Page 2
1	schedules would permit and the urgency of putting those
2	matters before the Commission for consideration.
3	The Staff has not had any detailed discussions
4	with any of the parties on this matter. The Staff has had
5	some very limited discussions, but nothing again in any
6	great detail.
7	So at this point the Staff in particular would
8	suggest that the Regulatory Law Judges ask whatever
9	questions they have, but that any detailed discussions occur
10	amongst the parties today off the record and see if there's
11	some consensus for a perceived need of making a filing with
12	the Commission and what schedule might be appropriate.
13	The judges may have a view on that, or
14	possibly the Commissioners themselves may give some
15	indication of that to the judges. If ultimately the view is
16	by all the parties that there is no impact, there is no
17	effect of the recent Missouri Supreme Court decision, the
18	parties could advise the Commission of that.
19	So that that is the Staff's thinking at
20	this point, and the Staff appreciates the judges scheduling
21	this prehearing conference originally last week, even in
22	advance of the prehearing conference today, presumably on
23	the basis that maybe even these discussions might impact, in
24	effect, the prehearing conference. I don't detect that that
25	has occurred as yet, but we're in day one and that may be

Associated Court Reporters 573-636-7551 * 888-636-7551

Page 27

28

Page
something that will arise later this week.
The Staff suggested that we go on the record
today at the hour that we did early this afternoon was an
effort again to try to pull the parties together to have a
discussion of this matter as early as possible.
The Circuit Court last Wednesday issued an
order and mandate remanding the case. So that item also has
been addressed. If there's any if there's any question
as to whether the merger case is back before the Commission,
there's an actual order and mandate remanding the case,
which I have copies of if I suspect any number of counsel
in the room have copies of that. I have additional copies
of the if the judges do not have copies of that document,
it's a one-page document, I can provide a copy at this time
or whenever the judges might wish that be done.
JUDGE RUTH: I have a copy of it. If not
if Judge Jones doesn't, I'll share a copy with him.
Let me ask, then and you may be seated,
Mr. Dottheim is this the general understanding of the
other parties? Do you have any issues you feel need to be
addressed on the record at this time, or instead are you
ready for the next step, go off the record and allow you to
talk among yourselves?
Because if that's the case, what I thought
might be best is ask the parties to actually ask Staff to

	Page 29
1	file a status update in approximately ten days just
2	notifying us of when you would anticipate making any
3	findings or filings. It would simply be that, a status
4	report saying we had our discussions and whatever needs to
5	be filed will be filed in X days.
6	And again, I would suggest that that be a
7	status report be filed in each case. Ten days would make it
8	the 22nd. But this is your opportunity to disagree with
9	that or if you have something else that you want to address
10	on the record. I'll just go down the row.
11	MR. SWEARENGEN: The company's in agreement
12	generally with the procedure and the course of action
13	outlined by Mr. Dottheim. We'd be more than happy to share
14	our views with the other parties in the context of the
15	prehearing conference.
16	JUDGE RUTH: And I'm sorry. We didn't do
17	entries of appearance when we first came in. So would you
18	go ahead and make yours now?
19	MR. SWEARENGEN: James C. Swearengen on behalf
20	of Aquila, Inc.
21	JUDGE RUTH: And Mr. Comley?
22	MR. COMLEY: I'm appearing on behalf of the
23	City of Kansas City.
24	JUDGE RUTH: And do you have any comments with
25	the procedure that we've discussed?

Transcript of Proceedings 1/12/2004 Page 30 1 My understanding is what's -- I MR. COMLEY: 2 have nothing to add between what Mr. Swearengen and Mr. Dottheim said. 3 4 JUDGE RUTH: Mr. Micheel? 5 MR. MICHEEL: Douglas E. Micheel and John B. б Coffman appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public 7 Counsel. 8 JUDGE RUTH: And did you want to comment on what Mr. Dottheim had said or comment on the schedule or 9 10 procedure? 11 MR. MICHEEL: Not at this moment, no. I mean, 12 I think what Mr. Dottheim said was completely consistent 13 with his pleading requesting this prehearing. I don't think, though, that that suggestion should in any way 14 15 preclude any party from filing whatever it chooses to file. JUDGE RUTH: And I did not mean to state in 16 17 any way that I was limiting what parties could file. I had 18 mentioned a status report on the 22nd just to give the 19 Commission an idea if the parties anticipate filing 20 something. 21 MR. MICHEEL: Right. And I didn't think that 22 you were limiting us, Judge. I just wanted to put on the record that I wasn't somehow agreeing to limit anything to 23 24 this procedure. 25 MR. DOTTHEIM: It was not the Staff's

Page 31 intention to limit any of the parties. 1 2 JUDGE RUTH: Okay. I think we all understand that, then. 3 4 Mr. Conrad, would you go ahead and give your 5 entry of appearance? 6 MR. CONRAD: Sure. Stu Conrad for the Sedalia 7 Industrial Energy Users Association, intervenors in this 8 case, as well as AG Processing. 9 With respect to the topic before us, I would 10 like to advise -- and I do have copies for the judges and 11 service copies for the other parties -- that we just a few 12 moments ago did file a motion to dismiss and reject the 13 St. Joseph Light & Power portions of this filing that would 14 include, I would think in its entirety, Judges, the HR case 15 and so much of the ER case as concerns the St. Joe Light & 16 Power area. I'm not sure if I have a full bunch of copies 17 here. We'll try to get by. 18 The upshot of this, not to argue it, but just simply to quickly summarize, is the law is what the law is, 19 20 and there has been no effective merger. If there is no 21 merger, there's no authority to file tariffs, and thus the 22 file and suspend process has not been instituted. 23 In addition to that, we've also asked that the 24 Commission direct its General Counsel's Office to join with 25 us in the appointment of a conservator for the benefit of

Transcript of Proceedings 1/12/2004

· - · ____ ·

1	Page 32
1	the interest of the shareholders of St. Joseph Light & Power
2	Company. The property is owned by someone, and it happens
3	to be them. There is no party in either of these
4	consolidated proceedings, Judge, in the GR docket or for
5	that matter now in the remanded EM docket, that represents
6	that interest.
7	And it seems to me, at a minimum, as an
8	officer of the court I have an ethical obligation to point
9	that out both to Your Honors, to the Commission, and to the
10	Circuit Court. And with that, I think I'll pass the baton
11	to whomever else wants to enter an appearance and make
12	comment.
13	JUDGE RUTH: Was this filed in just the ER and
14	HR case?
15	MR. CONRAD: Yes, ma'am, it is, because it is
16	filed on behalf of my client AG Processing. AG Processing
17	does not receive natural gas service from any Aquila-related
18	entity, of which we are aware.
19	JUDGE RUTH: I want to make sure there aren't
20	any other parties sitting in the back of the room. There
21	are a lot of individuals. We're going to if you came in
22	late, I want your entry of appearance and then a comment if
23	you have any on the proposed schedule for today and then for
24	the filing of the status report.
25	MS. WOODS: Shelley Woods, Assistant Attorney

Page 33 General on behalf of the Missouri Department of Natural 1 2 Resources. And I think the procedure that's been set out by 3 Public -- or by Staff makes perfect sense. 4 MS. RANDLES: Amy Randles, Assistant Attorney 5 General, also representing DNR specifically in the natural 6 gas case, and my response to Mr. Dottheim's proposal is the 7 same. 8 MR. PAULSON: Major Craig Paulson, federal 9 executive agencies. I'm representing the Department of 10 Defense and other federal executive agencies in the electric 11 case, the ER case, and I have no comments at this time. 12 JUDGE RUTH: Thank you. Moving on over, 13 anyone else wish to make an entry of appearance? Looks like that is everyone. 14 15Mr. Dottheim, do you want to make your entry of appearance? I don't think we formally got it on record. 16 17 MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Post Office 18 Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 19 20 JUDGE JONES: I should say on this motion 21 that's been filed, I don't suspect anyone needs more than 22 ten days to respond to this motion? 23 MR. SWEARENGEN: Not having read it, your 24 Honor, I would not necessarily agree with that. We may want 25 more than ten days to respond to it. If so, we will advise

Г

1	Page 34 the Commission and make a request.
2	JUDGE JONES: Okay.
3	MR. SWEARENGEN: Thank you.
4	MR. DOTTHEIM: Also, the Staff would share the
5	view just stated by Mr. Swearengen as far as having the
6	opportunity to request additional time based upon a review
7	of the motion that was just filed by AG Processing.
8	JUDGE JONES: Okay. Is there anything else
9	anyone would like to state on the record?
10	JUDGE RUTH: I have no questions at this time.
11	JUDGE JONES: We'll go off the record, then.
12	Thank you all.
13	WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the
14	prehearing conference was concluded.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

. _ _

·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····	Page 3
Α	26:4 28:3,6,10	27:25 28:5,5,9	broach 23:24	concluded 34:14
a 20:12 21:2,12 22:9	30:19 32:7,8,11	31:8,8,15 32:7	Broadway 21:17	conference 20:6 23:3
23:2,3,4,10,23,25	33:13	34:5,5	BRUCE 22:8	23:5,8 24:1 25:2
24:3,19,19,20	and 20:12 22:5 23:3	ask 25:16 27:8 28:18	Brydon 21:3	25:11 27:21,22,24
25:16,22 26:1,1,8	23:17,25 24:2,3,22	28:25,25	Building 21:13	29:15 34:14
26:10,18 27:11,11	25:22 26:11,11,18	asked 31:23	bunch 31:16	Conrad 21:16,17
27:13 28:4,14,14	26:22 27:1,10,12	Assistant 21:12	but 25:10 27:5,9,25	31:4,6,6 32:15
28:16,17 29:1,3,6	27:20,25 28:7,10	22:10 32:25 33:4	29:8 31:18	consensus 27:11
30:18 31:11,12,16	28:18,22 29:4,6,12	Associate 22:8,8,9	by 20:20 25:19 26:13	consequence 23:23
31:25 32:7,21,22	29:16,18,21,24	ASSOCIATED	27:16 29:13 31:17	consequences 25:13
34:1,6	30:2,5,8,16,21	20:22	32:2 33:2,3 34:5,7	conservator 31:25
acquisition 26:5	31:4,10,10,12,15	Association 21:20	52.2 55.2,5 54.5,7	consideration 27:2
Acting 22:1	31:20,21,22 32:2,7	31:7	C	consistent 30:12
action 29:12	32:9,10,11,13,22	at 21:2,2,3,7,16,22	C 21:2 23:1 29:19	consolidated 32:4
	32:23 33:2,6,10,11	24:19,22,24 25:17	came 29:17 32:21	context 29:14
actual 24:12 28:10 actually 28:25	34:1	26:16 27:7,19 28:3	can 25:16,18 26:22	convenience 23:4
-	answer 25:8	28:14,21 30:11	26:25 28:14	
add 30:2	anticipate 29:2	32:7 33:11 34:10	Capitol 21:4	convening 23:25 COOPER 21:3
addition 23:25 31:23	30:19	Attorney 21:2,2,3,7	case 20:12 23:3,5,10	
additional 28:12	anticipation 24:18	21:12,16,22 32:25	25:18,19 26:4,8	copies 28:11,12,12
34:6	any 23:18 24:6,12,13	33:4		28:13 31:10,11,16
address 29:9	24:17 25:7 27:3,4	authority 31:21	28:7,9,10,24 29:7 31:8,14,15 32:14	copy 28:14,16,17
addressed 28:8,21	27:5,9 28:8,8,11	aware 32:18		could 24:7 27:18
addressing 26:20	28:20 29:2,24	aware 52.16	33:6,11,11	30:17
advance 27:22	30:14,15,17 31:1	B	cases 23:16,17 24:12	counsel 22:1,1,4,6,6
advisable 24:10,21	32:17,20,23	B 22:1 30:5	25:4,7,14 26:9,11	22:7,7,8,8,9,9,10
advise 27:18 31:10	anyone 33:13,21	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26:12,13	28:11 30:7
33:25	34:9	back 26:6 28:9 32:20	CCR 20:21	Counsel's 31:24
AFB 21:24	anything 30:23 34:8	Barnes 21:24	Center 21:18	course 29:12
affected 25:19 26:10		based 24:4 34:6	certain 23:22	court 20:22 21:13
26:13	appearance 29:17 31:5 32:11,22	basis 27:23	changed 24:14	23:11 24:15 25:4
afford 24:15	33:13,16	BATES 22:8	Chief 22:6	25:14,24 26:14
AFLSA/ULT 21:23	APPEARANCES	baton 32:10	chooses 30:15	27:17 28:6 32:8,10
afternoon 23:2 28:3	21:1	be 23:14 24:7,13	Circuit 28:6 32:10	Court's 23:18
AG 21:21 23:9,19		25:19 26:17,23	City 20:7 21:5,9,11	Craig 21:22 33:8
25:13,19 31:8	appearing 29:22	27:12,25 28:15,18	21:11,13,18 22:3	CSR 20:21
32:16,16 34:7	30:6 33:18	28:20,25 29:3,5,5	22:11 29:23,23	
again 25:10 27:5	appointment 31:25	29:6,7,13 32:3	33:18	D
28:4 29:6	appreciates 27:20	because 24:14 28:24	client 32:16	D 23:1
agencies 33:9,10	appropriate 25:2	32:15	Coffman 22:1 30:6	DANA 22:6
Agency 21:22	26:21 27:12	been 26:6 28:8 31:20	Comley 21:7,8 29:21	DAVID 22:9
ago 31:12	approximately 29:1	31:22 33:2,21	29:22 30:1	day 27:25
agree 33:24	Aquila 20:11,11,12	before 24:8,11 27:2	comment 30:8,9	days 29:1,5,7 33:22
agreeing 30:23	21:6 26:10,12	28:9 31:9	32:12,22	33:25
agreement 29:11	29:20	behalf 29:19,22 30:6	comments 29:24	DEAN 21:3
ahead 29:18 31:4	Aquila-related	32:16 33:1,18	33:11	decision 23:18,19
Air 21:22	32:17	being 26:16	Commission 20:2	24:14 25:4,14,25
all 26:9 27:16 31:2	are 23:22 25:4,7,13	believe 24:7	22:13 23:7,8,10,19	26:14 27:17
34:12	26:9,12,13 28:21	Bench 25:8	24:6,8,8,24,25	deem 25:1
allow 28:22	32:18,21	benefit 31:25	26:6,19 27:2,12,18	Defense 33:10
also 23:4 28:7 31:23	area 31:16	BERLIN 22:10	28:9 30:19 31:24	DENNIS 22:7
33:5 34:4	aren't 32:19	best 23:12 25:9	32:9 33:19 34:1	denominates 26:4,5
among 28:23	argue 31:18	28:25	Commissioners 25:1	Department 21:15
amongst 25:12 26:17	arise 28:1	between 30:2	27:14	33:1,9
26:25 27:10	as 23:3,22,23,23	both 32:9	company 26:4 32:2	Deputy 22:6,7
Amy 33:4	24:11,11 25:3,9,9	BOUDREAU 21:2	company's 29:11	detail 26:20 27:6
an 24:15 25:2,11,25	25:11,12,24 26:5,5	Box 21:4,9,12 22:2	completely 30:12	detailed 27:3,9
, , +	26:18,22,25,25	22:10 33:18	concerns 31:15	detect 27:24
			l	1

-

				Page 3
determination 24:9	England 21:3	general 20:12 21:12	34:10	28:14
did 28:3 30:8,16	enter 32:11	22:6,6,7,8,8,9,10	idea 30:19	I'll 28:17 29:10
31:12	entirety 26:9 31:14	28:19 31:24 33:1,5	identify 25:18	32:10
didn't 24:17 29:16	entity 32:18	generally 29:12	identifying 24:6	I'm 29:16,22 31:16
30:21	entries 29:17	get 31:17	if 23:17,22 24:6,12	33:9
direct 31:24	entry 31:5 32:22	give 27:14 30:18	24:23 25:7,22	
direction 24:24	33:13,15	31:4	27:10,15 28:8,8,11	J
disagree 29:8	ER 31:15 32:13	go 28:2,22 29:10,18	28:13,16,17,24	James 21:2 29:19
discuss 24:1,20 25:3	33:11	31:4 34:11	29:9 30:19 31:16	January 20:7
25:12 26:25	ER-2004-0034 20:12	going 32:21	31:20 32:21,22	Jefferson 20:7 21:5
discussed 23:14	23:3	Good 23:2	33:25	21:9,13 22:3,11
26:17 29:25	ethical 32:8	got 24:11 33:16	impact 23:17 24:7	33:18
discussing 23:9	even 24:22 27:21,23	GR 32:4	25:3,25 27:16,23	Joe 31:15
discussion 28:5	everyone 33:14	great 27:6	Implement 20:12	John 22:1 30:5
discussions 24:4	Ex 23:18	GR-2004-0072 23:5	in 20:11,12 23:5,6,18	join 31:24
27:3,5,9,23 29:4	executive 33:9,10	23:6	23:25 25:7,10,18	Jones 20:16 23:2
dismiss 31:12	explore 23:16	guess 23:12	26:4,8,20 27:5,7	25:15 28:17 33:20
DNR 33:5			27:21,23,25 28:12	34:2,8,11
do 23:12 28:13,20	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	29:1,5,7,11,14,17	Joseph 31:13 32:1
29:16,24 31:10	far 24:11 26:25 34:5	H 22:8	30:14,16 31:7,14	JOYCE 22:6
33:15	FEDDERSEN 20:21	had 25:22 27:3,4	31:23,25 32:3,4,5	JR 22:7
docket 32:4,5	federal 33:8,10	29:4 30:9,17	32:13,20,21 33:5	judge 20:16 23:2,5,6
document 28:13,14	feel 28:20	handed 23:10	33:10	25:15,16 26:1,22
does 23:24 32:17	few 31:11	happens 32:2	Inc 20:11 21:6 23:19	28:16,17 29:16,21
doesn't 28:17	file 29:1 30:15,17	happy 29:13	29:20	29:24 30:4,8,16,22
done 28:15	31:12,21,22	has 23:22 25:25 26:6	include 31:14	31:2 32:4,13,19
don't 27:24 30:13	filed 23:13,15 29:5,5	27:3,4,25 28:7	increase 20:12 23:17	33:12,20 34:2,8,10
33:16,21	29:7 32:13,16	31:20,22	indication 27:15	34:11
Dottheim 22:6 23:15	33:21 34:7	have 23:12,20,24	individual 25:25	judges 24:5,22,25
25:15,18,20 26:3	filing 24:5,25 25:6	24:3,5,13,17,23	individuals 32:21	25:1 27:8,13,15,20
26:24 28:19 29:13	27:11 30:15,19	26:3,8,10 27:9,13	Industrial 21:20	28:13,15 31:10,14
30:3,9,12,25 33:15	31:13 32:24	28:4,11,12,12,13	31:7	just 25:17,21 29:1
33:17,17 34:4	filings 26:19,23 29:3	28:16,20 29:9,24	instead 28:21	29:10 30:18,22
Dottheim's 33:6	findings 29:3	30:2 31:10,16 32:8	instituted 31:22	31:11,18 32:13
Douglas 22:1 30:5	Finnegan 21:17	32:23 33:11 34:10	intention 31:1	34:5,7
down 23:10 29:10	first 29:17	having 33:23 34:5	interest 32:1,6	
Drive 21:24 d/b/a 20:11	FL 21:24	hearing 24:12	intervenors 31:7	<u> </u>
u/u/a 20:11	for 21:6,11,15,20	here 31:17	into 24:12	K 20:21 22:6
E	22:4,12 23:8 24:8 24:20,23 25:11	him 28:17	is 23:2,5,6,12 25:21	Kansas 21:11,18
E 22:1 23:1,1 30:5	26:16 27:2,11	his 30:13	27:15,16,16,19	29:23
each 25:18 29:7	28:22 31:6,10,11	hold 23:8 25:2	28:9,19,25 29:8	KELLENE 20:21
early 23:23 28:3,5	31:25 32:4,23,23	holding 23:4	30:1 31:19,19,19	KENNARD 20:16
East 21:4	Force 21:22	Honor 33:24	31:20 32:2,3,15,15	L
effect 27:17,24	formal 24:20,25	Honors 32:9 hour 28:3	33:6,14 34:8	
effective 31:20	26:19	HR 31:14 32:14	issue 26:4,7,20	L 20:16 21:3 22:7
effects 23:9	formally 33:16	IIIX 31.14 3 2:14	issued 28:6	last 27:21 28:6
effort 28:4	FRANSON 22:9	T	issues 25:18,25 26:9 26:20 28:20	late 32:22 later 28:1
either 24:23 25:23	FREY 22:7	I 23:1,11,12 25:16	it 24:2,4,10 25:1	law 20:16 21:2,2,3,7
32:3	from 23:11 25:8	25:16,17,20,21,22	26:3,3,5 28:16	21:16,22 27:8
electric 20:13 23:17	30:15 32:17	27:24 28:11,11,12	29:3,7 30:15,25	31:19,19
26:11 33:10	full 31:16	28:14,16,24 29:6		left 23:5
else 29:9 32:11 33:13		30:1,11,12,13,16	31:18 32:2,7,15,15	
34:8	G	30:17,17,21,22,23	33:16,23,25 item 24:2,20 28:7	Legal 21:22
EM 32:5	G 23:1	31:2,9,10,14,16	items 24:2,20 28:7	Let 28:18 Light 26:11,12 31:13
endeavor 25:8	gas 23:17 26:12	32:8,10,19,22 33:2	its 31:14,24	31:15 32:1
Energy 21:20 31:7	32:17 33:6	33:11,16,20,21,24	it's 23:21 26:1,1	like 24:2 31:10 33:13

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>e</u>		Page
34:9	motion 23:13,15	25:3,6,13 26:9,12	26:25 27:4,10,16	pursue 24:3
limit 30:23 31:1	31:12 33:20,22	26:13,18 27:1,4,11	27:18 28:4,20,25	put 24:7 30:22
limited 27:5	34:7	27:15,17,18,22	29:14 30:17,19	putting 27:1
limiting 30:17,22	motions 25:7	28:5,11,11,12,13	31:1,11 32:20	P.C 21:3
literally 26:15	moved 23:7	28:13,16,19 29:2	party 30:15 32:3	P.O 21:4,9,12 22:2
Litigation 21:23	Moving 33:12	29:12,14,17,20,22	pass 32:10	22:10
long 24:18	MPS 20:12	29:23 30:6,6 31:1	PAUL 21:2	22.10
looking 25:10	Mr 23:15 25:15,18	31:5,13,15,16,18	Paulson 21:22 33:8	Q
Looks 33:13	25:20 26:3,24	31:25,25 32:1,1,3	33:8	
lot 32:21	28:19 29:11,13,19			question 25:16,21,23
L&P 20:12	29:21,22 30:1,2,3	32:8,16,18,20,21	pending 25:5,14	26:1,2 28:8
Loci 20.12		32:22,24 33:1,1,9	26:13	questions 24:23 25:8
M	30:4,5,9,11,12,21	33:13,16,19,19	Penntower 21:18	25:9 27:9 34:10
	30:25 31:4,6 32:15	34:7,13	perceived 27:11	quickly 31:19
made 26:23	33:6,8,15,17,23	off 26:18 27:10	perfect 33:3	
Madison 22:2	34:3,4,5	28:22 34:11	permit 24:1 27:1	<u> </u>
MAJ 21:22	MS 32:25 33:4	Office 21:18 22:4	Peterson 21:17	R 22:7 23:1
Major 33:8	much 31:15	30:6 31:24 33:17	phrased 25:21	raise 24:11,16
make 24:8,25 25:17	my 23:5 30:1 32:16	officer 32:8	pleading 25:24	raised 25:20
26:19 29:7,18	33:6	Okay 31:2 34:2,8	30:13	raising 26:16
32:11,19 33:13,15		on 23:3 24:4,18,23	pleadings 24:6	Randles 33:4,4
34:1	N	25:4,14,22,25 27:4	point 24:22 25:17	rate 20:12 23:17
makes 33:3	N 23:1		-	
making 27:11 29:2		27:13,22 28:2,21	26:17 27:7,20 32:8	25:4,14
	NATHAN 22:8	29:10,19,22 30:6,8	portion 34:13	Rates 20:13
mandate 28:7,10	natural 21:15 32:17	30:9,18,22 32:16	portions 26:13 31:13	rather 26:15
manner 24:20,20	33:1,5	32:23 33:1,12,16	possible 23:23 24:11	read 33:23
MARK 21:7	necessarily 23:21	33:18,20 34:9	25:9 28:5	ready 28:22
materially 24:13	24:17 25:22 33:24	one 26:7 27:25	possibly 24:2 27:14	receive 32:17
matter 20:11 23:4	need 27:11 28:20	one-page 28:14	Post 33:17	recent 27:17
24:11 27:4 28:5	needs 23:14 29:4	only 26:10,12	Power 26:11,12	record 24:18 26:16
32:5	33:21	on-the-record 25:2	31:13,16 32:1	26:18 27:10 28:2
matters 27:2	Networks 20:11,12	opportunity 24:16	preclude 30:15	28:21,22 29:10
may 24:3,5,22 26:3,3	26:11,12	25:11 29:8 34:6	prehearing 20:6	30:23 33:16 34:9
26:8,10 27:13,14	Newman 21:8	or 23:10 24:4,24,24	23:3,4,8 24:1	34:11
27:25 28:18 33:24	next 28:22	25:1,24 26:10,20	25:11 27:21,22,24	recorded 34:13
maybe 27:23	no 20:12 23:3,5			
maybe 27.25 ma'am 32:15	· · · · · ·	27:13 28:15,21	29:15 30:13 34:14	regarding 23:25
me 28:18 32:7	27:16,16 30:11	29:3,9 30:9,9 32:4	premium 26:6	Regulatory 20:16
	31:20,20,21 32:3	33:3	presiding 20:16 23:6	27:8
mean 30:11,16	33:11 34:10	order 28:7,10	presumably 27:22	reject 31:12
mentioned 30:18	not 23:21 25:22 27:3	originally 27:21	procedure 29:12,25	Rel 23:19
merger 26:5 28:9	28:13,16 30:11,16	other 23:16 24:3	30:10,24 33:2	remanded 23:11
31:20,21	30:25 31:16,18,22	28:20 29:14 31:11	procedures 23:22	26:6 32:5
MEYER 22:9	32:17 33:23,24	32:20 33:10	proceeding 24:13	remanding 28:7,10
Micheel 22:1 30:4,5	nothing 27:5 30:2	our 29:4,14	proceedings 20:5	report 29:4,7 30:18
30:5,11,21	notifying 29:2	out 32:9 33:2	32:4	32:24
might 23:20 25:1,19	now 29:18 32:5	outlined 29:13	process 31:22	REPORTED 20:20
26:23 27:12,23	number 28:11	over 33:12	Processing 21:21	REPORTERS 20:22
28:15,25		own 24:24 25:24		
minimum 24:19 32:7	0		23:9,19 25:14,19	representing 33:5,9
	0 23:1	owned 32:2	31:8 32:16,16 34:7	represents 32:5
Missouri 20:1,7 21:5			property 32:2	request 20:11 34:1,6
21:9,13,15 22:3,11	obligation 32:8	<u> </u>	proposal 33:6	requesting 30:13
22:12 23:18 24:15	occur 24:4 27:9	P 23:1	proposed 32:23	required 23:22
27:17 33:1,18,19	occurred 27:25	particular 25:10	provide 28:14	Resources 21:15
MO 21:18	October 24:14	27:7	Public 20:2 22:1,1,4	33:2
moment 30:11	of 20:1,5,11,11	parties 23:16,24	22:5,12 23:10,19	respect 31:9
moments 31:12	21:11,15 22:4,12	24:1,3,5,7,12,15	30:6 33:3,19	respond 33:22,25
Monroe 21:8	23:4,7.9.9 24:12	24:20.23.23 25.3	null 28:4	resnonse 33.6
Monroe 21:8 more 29:13 33:21,25	23:4,7,9,9 24:12 24:12,14,14,24	24:20,23,23 25:3 25:12 26:8,18,19	pull 28:4 purpose 23:9 25:6	response 33:6 result 24:7 26:18

	·····	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Page 38
results 25:13	30:20	24:1,2,4,4,5,10,13	themselves 25:1,12	27:10,22 28:3
review 34:6	soon 24:11	24:14,18,19 25:3,4	26:25 27:14	32:23
Right 30:21	sorry 26:2 29:16	25:6,21,23,24 26:8	then 24:25 25:16	together 28:4
RLJs 24:3	specifically 33:5	26:10,13,15,16,17	28:18 31:3 32:22	too 24:11
ROBERT 22:9,10	speculate 26:22	26:17,18,20,24	32:23 34:11	topic 31:9
room 28:12 32:20	St 31:13,15 32:1	27:8,9,13,15,16,18	there 25:7 27:16,16	TRANSCRIPT 20:5
row 29:10	Staff 22:12 23:7,13	27:19,19,23,24,24	31:20,20 32:3,19	try 28:4 31:17
RPR 2 0:21	23:15,22,23,24	27:25 28:1,2,3,7	32:20 34:8	Tyndall 21:24
Ruth 21:8 23:6	24:2,10,17,19 25:6	28:12,13,15 29:3,6	there's 26:4 27:10	
25:16 26:1,22	25:7,10 26:5,15	29:6,9,9,25 30:14	28:8,8,10 31:21	U
28:16 29:16,21,24	27:3,4,7,20 28:2	30:14,17,21,23	these 27:23 32:3	ultimately 27:15
30:4,8,16 31:2	28:25 33:3,19 34:4	31:3,11,13,23,23	they 26:10,20 27:9	understand 25:17,22
32:13,19 33:12	Staff's 25:23 27:19	32:5,5,6,9,10	things 23:22	31:2
34:10	30:25	33:14,24 34:7	think 23:13 25:20	understanding
	state 20:1 23:18	that's 26:7,16,17,24	26:21 30:12,14,21	28:19 30:1
S	30:16 34:9	28:24 33:2,21	31:2,14 32:10 33:2	update 29:1
S 23:1	stated 34:5	the 20:11,11 22:4,5	33:16	upon 24:4 34:6
said 30:3,9,12	status 29:1,3,7 30:18	22:12 23:6,7,7,7,8	thinking 25:23 27:19	upshot 31:18
same 33:7	32:24	23:9,9,11,12,13,15	thinks 24:2	urgency 27:1
savings 26:5	stay 24:18	23:15,16,16,16,18	this 23:2,8,10,12,13	us 29:2 30:22 31:9
say 23:11,13 33:20	steam 23:17 26:11	23:21,21,23,24,24	24:3,11,15,20	31:25
saying 29:4	step 28:22	24:1,2,3,3,4,5,5,6	25:11,17,19 26:16	Users 21:20 31:7
schedule 27:12 30:9	Steven 22:6 33:17	24:7,8,8,10,12,12	27:4,7,20,21 28:1	Utility 21:23
32:23	Street 22:2	24:12,13,14,17,18	28:3,5,14,19,21	
schedules 27:1	structured 24:19	24:19,20,22,23,23	29:8 30:11,13,24	V
scheduling 27:20	Stu 31:6	24:24,24,24,25,25	31:7,13,18 32:13	v 23:19
SCHWARZ 22:7	STUART 21:16	25:1,2,3,4,6,6,7,7	33:11,20,22 34:10	various 24:5,7 25:12
seated 28:18	subject 23:24	25:7,8,10,11,13,13	THOMAS 22:7	very 24:18 27:5
Sedalia 21:20 31:6	suggest 27:8 29:6	25:13,14,20,23,24	those 24:15,16 25:8	view 24:4 25:22 26:8
see 27:10	suggested 28:2	26:4,4,5,6,8,8,9,9	26:23 27:1	26:10 27:13,15
seems 32:7	suggestion 30:14	26:9,10,11,11,12	though 30:14	34:5
Senior 22:1,7,9	Suite 21:8,24 22:2	26:12,13,13,15,16	thought 23:23 24:10	views 24:13 25:3,12
sense 33:3	summarize 31:19	26:18,18,18,19,24	24:19 26:15 28:24	29:14
service 20:2 22:13	Supreme 21:13	27:1,2,3,4,4,7,8,10	thoughts 23:25	Volume 20:8
23:10,19 31:11	23:11,18 24:15	27:10,12,13,14,15	25:24	vs 23:9
32:17 33:19	25:4,14,24 26:14	27:15,16,17,17,18	three 23:16 25:4,7	
Services 21:22	27:17	27:19,20,20,22,23	26:9	W
set 33:2	sure 25:17 31:6,16	27:24 28:2,2,3,4,6	thus 31:21	W 21:7
share 28:17 29:13	32:19	28:7,9,9,10,12,13	time 28:14,21 33:11	want 25:17 29:9
34:4	suspect 28:11 33:21	28:13,15,19,19,21	34:6,10	30:8 32:19,22
shareholders 32:1	suspend 31:22	28:22,22,24,25	to 20:12 23:5,8,12	33:15,24
Shelley 21:12 32:25	Swearengen 21:2,3	29:8,10,10,11,12	23:12,14,15,16,25	wanted 30:22
should 23:11,24	29:11,19,19 30:2	29:12,14,14,14,22	24:1,1,2,8,10,16	wants 32:11
24:13 30:14 33:20	33:23 34:3,5	29:25 30:6,6,9,18	24:20 25:2,3,3,8	was 23:10 24:10,21
simply 29:3 31:19		30:18,19,22,25	25:11,12,13,17	25:6,10 28:3 30:12
since 23:13	<u> </u>	31:1,6,9,10,11,12	26:6,22 27:15 28:4	30:17,25 32:13
sitting 32:20	T 21:22	31:14,15,15,18,19	28:4,4,9,20,22,25	34:7,14
situation 23:21	taken 25:22 26:16	31:19,21,23,25,25	28:25 29:4,8,9,13	wasn't 30:23
so 25:6,17 27:7,19	talk 28:23	32:1,1,2,4,5,8,9,9	30:2,8,15,16,18,22	way 23:12 25:21
28:7 29:17 31:15	tariffs 31:21	32:10,13,20,20,23	30:23,23 31:1,9,10	30:14,17
33:25	Team 21:23	32:24,24 33:1,2,5	31:12,17,18,19,21	we 24:11,18 28:2,3
some 23:25 24:8,22	ten 29:1,7 33:22,25	33:6,9,10,11,19,19	31:23,24 32:3,7,8	29:4,16,17 31:2,11
27:5,11,14	than 26:15 29:13	34:1,4,4,5,7,9,11	32:9,9,9,11,11,19	32:18 33:16,24,25
somehow 30:23	33:21,25	34:13,13	32:21 33:6,13,15	Wednesday 28:6
someone 32:2	Thank 25:15 33:12	their 24:24 25:3,12	33:22,22,25,25	week 27:21 28:1
something 25:21	34:3,12	26:25	34:6,9	well 26:3,24 31:8
26:17,24 28:1 29:9	that 23:22,23,24,25	them 32:3	today 24:19,22	were 30:22
-	, ,,_			

_

1				Pa
We'd 29:13	yourselves 28:23			
We'll 31:17 34:11	you're 25:23			
we're 23:4 27:25	you've 25:20,21			
32:21				
we've 29:25 31:23	1			
what 23:17 24:6	1 21:24			
25:13,18 27:12	12 20:7		:	
28:24 30:2,9,12,17	1209 21:18			
31:19	139 21:24			
whatever 26:20 27:8	137 21.24			
29:4 30:15	2			
what's 30:1	200 22:2			
	200 22:2 2004 20:7			
when 26:22,25 29:2				
29:17	22nd 29:8 30:18			
whenever 28:15	2230 22:2			
where 23:21	28th 24:14			
WHEREUPON				
34:13	3			
whether 28:9	301 21:8			
which 26:4,6 28:11	3100 21:17			
32:18	312 21:4			
who 23:6	32403-5319 21:24			
whomever 32:11	360 22:10 33:18			
why 23:13				
will 28:1 29:5 33:25	4			
WILLIAMS 22:8	456 21:4			
wish 28:15 33:13				
with 23:16 24:3,6,25	5			
25:2 26:19 27:4,11	5 20:8			
	537 21:9			
28:17 29:8,12,14	573)634-2266 21:10			
29:24 30:13 31:9				
31:24 32:10 33:24	573)635-7166 21:5			
Woods 21:12 32:25	573)751-3234 22:11			
32:25	573)751-3321 21:14			
would 24:2,15,18	573)751-4857 22:3	,		
25:8 26:17,19 27:1				
27:7 29:2,3,6,7,17	6			
31:4,9,13,14 33:24	601 21:8			
34:4,9	64111 21:18			
	650 22:2			
<u>_X</u>	65102 21:9,13 22:11			
X 29:5	33:18			
	65102-0456 21:5			
<u>Y</u>	65102-2230 22:3			
Yes 32:15				
yet 27:25				
you 23:13,13 25:15	816)753-1122 21:19			
25:18 26:22 28:18	850)283-6350 21:25			
28:20,20,21,22	899 21:12			
29:2,9,9,17,24	077 21.12			
30:8,22 31:4 32:21				
32:23 33:12,15				
34:3,12				
your 25:21,23,24				
29:8 31:4 32:9,22				
22.15.22				1
33:15,23 yours 29:18				4