BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

Missouri Public Service Commission

JUL 1 8 2005

HILE

In the Matter of the Future Supply, Delivery and Pricing of the Electric Service Provided by Kansas City Power and Light Company

3

Case No. EW-2004-0596

CONCERNED CITIZENS OF PLATTE COUNTY AND SIERRA CLUB'S RESPONSE TO STIPULATION FILED BY KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT

Come now Concerned Citizens of Platte County ("CCPC") and Sierra Club ("SC") and respond to Kansas City Power and Light's (KCPL) Stipulation filed on March 28, 2005.

1. Concerned Citizens for Platte County ("CCPC") does not support Iatan 2 since there are less expensive alternatives (more efficiency and renewables), less polluting coal alternatives (coal gasification, fluidized bed technology) and protections for our water supply available. CCPC does support the plant upgrades at Iatan and LaCygne. CCPC supports the energy efficiency programs and would like to see a third party examine them for effectiveness and possible implementation. CCPC supports the wind proposals and would like to see a commitment to increasing amounts of renewable energy each year.

2. Sierra Club ("SC") is firmly opposed to any new coal burning generation, as it would saddle the Kansas City region with a very expensive, polluting option that is hazardous to human health for decades to come. SC believes that instituting energy efficiency programs on a large enough scale to eliminate load growth in KCPL's service

Case No(s), Date 6-24

area would be the lowest cost and most desirable course for future electric service in the region. SC submits that such large scale efficiency programs should be operated by a third party with an established record of effectiveness. Additionally, SC submits that KCPL would be acting as a good community partner if it immediately improved the pollution controls which are largely lacking at the LaCygne and Iatan power plants. SC supports KCPL investing in wind generation resources, if done properly and in accordance with best practices, and would like to see a commitment to increasing amounts of renewable energy each year.

1

ā.

Kathleen G. Henry (Mo. Bar No. 39504)

Great Rivers Environmental Law Center 705 Olive Street, Ste. 614 St. Louis. MO 63101 (314) 231-4181 (314) 231-4184 (facsimile) khenry@greatriverslaw.org Attorneys for Concerned Citizens of Platte County and Sierra Club

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct pdf version of the foregoing was sent by email on this 4th day of April, 2005, to the following:

John Coffman<john.coffman@ded.mo.gov>, Dana Joyce <dan.joyce@psc.mo.gov>, Steve Dottheim<steve.dottheim@psc.mo.gov>, James Fischer<ifischerpc@aol.com>, Dean Cooper<dcooper@brydonlaw.com>, Mark Comley<comleym@ncrpc.com>, Duncan Kincheloe<dkincheloe@mpua.org>, Stuart Conrad<stucon@fcplaw.com>, Diana Vuylsteke dmvuylsteke@brvancave.com, Lisa Langeneckert llangeneckert@stolarlaw.com, Shelley Woods shelley.woods@ago.mo.gov.

Kathlen S. Henry Kathleen G. Henry