Exhibit No.: Issue: Sponsoring Party:MoPSC StaffType of Exhibit:Rebuttal TestimonyCase No.:EO-2004-0108Date Testimony Prepared:January 30, 2004

Nuclear Decommissioning Witness: Ronald L. Bible

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION



**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY** 

OF

RONALD L. BIBLE

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMERENUE

## CASE NO. EO-2004-0108

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2004

Missouri Public Service Commission

APR 1 6 2004

Exhibit No. Case No(s). E G 2001 - CAOS Date 3-25-05 Rptr AL

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company, Doing Business as AmerenUE, for an Order Authorizing the Sale, Transfer and Assignment of Certain Assets, Real Estate, Leased Property, Easements and Contractual Agreements to Central Illinois Public Service Company, Doing Business as AmerenCIPS, and, in Connection Therewith, Certain Other Related Transactions

Case No. EO-2004-0108

### AFFIDAVIT OF RONALD L. BIBLE

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss. COUNTY OF COLE )

Ronald L. Bible, is, of lawful age, and on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of <u>4</u> pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Ronald L. Bible

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

day of 2004.

Notary Public

TONI M. CHARLTON NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE My Commission Expires December 28, 2004



1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY				
2	OF				
3	RONALD L. BIBLE				
4	UNION ELECTRIC d/b/a AMERENUE				
5	CASE NO. EO-2004-0108				
6	Q. Please state your name, occupation and business address?				
7	A. My name is Ronald L. Bible. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service				
8	Commission (MoPSC) as the Manager of the Financial Analysis Department. My business				
9	address is 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.				
10	Q. Please describe your educational and professional background?				
11	A. In 1981, I earned a Master of Business Administration degree with an				
12	emphasis in Finance and Investments from the Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville,				
13	Illinois. In 1976, I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Social Science from Colorado State				
14	University, Ft. Collins, Colorado.				
15	Q. What is your work experience?				
16	A. I was employed by Credit Union National Association from 1995 to 1997 and				
17	by American Express from 1991 to 1995 as a Financial and Investment Analyst/Planner.				
18	Prior to that, I was with Voluntary Hospitals of America and Hospital Corporation of				
19	America where I performed statistical and financial analysis. Previous to these positions, I				
20	was an officer in the United States Air Force and was responsible for a unit that provided				
21	statistical analysis.				
22	Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?				
23	A. Yes, I have filed testimony for the following cases:				

## Rebuttal Testimony of Ronald L. Bible

ì

12

1	9	<u>Case No.</u>	Company	Issue
2	• 0	GR-98-140	Missouri Gas Energy	ROE / ROR
3	• 0	GF-98-425	Southern Union	Non-control Investments
4	• E	EC-98-573	St. Joseph Light & Power	ROE / ROR
5	• E	ER-99-247	St. Joseph Light & Power	ROE / ROR
6	• R	P99-485-000	Kansas Pipeline Co.	ROE / ROR
7	• 0	GM-2001-342	Laclede Gas Co.	Reorganization Conditions
8	• E	EC-2002-1	AmerenUE	ROE / ROR
9	• E	EF-2003-0465		Capital Structure/
10 11	• G	GR-2003-0517		Insulation/Ring-fencing ROE / ROR

#### Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this case?

13 Α. My testimony is presented to provide the Staff's comments on Union Electric 14 Company d/b/a AmerenUE's (the Company) application in this case. In particular, the 15 Staff's comments are directed at certain requests in the Company's application seeking 16 Commission approval related to the Company's nuclear decommissioning costs and funds.

17 Q. The Company's application in this case is seeking Commission approval to 18 reallocate a portion of the Callaway Nuclear Power Plant decommissioning cost, previously 19 allocated to Illinois ratepayers, to Missouri ratepayers; and to reallocate a portion of the 20 funds currently in the Illinois jurisdictional sub account of the nuclear decommissioning trust 21 fund to the Missouri jurisdictional sub account. Does the Staff agree with the reallocations 22 proposed by the Company?

23 Α. Yes. The Company proposes to reallocate the Illinois portion of the costs, as 24 well as the Illinois portion of the existing funds to Missouri and Wholesale jurisdictions. The 25 Staff believes that as long as another entity is receiving power from the Callaway plant, that 26 entity incurs a liability for funding the future decommissioning of that plant. Therefore,

Rebuttal Testimony of Ronald L. Bible

allocating a portion of the costs and funds to both the Missouri and Wholesale jurisdictions is 1 appropriate. 2

3 Q. The Company has requested the Commission confirm that the economic and financial input parameters used in the Zone of Reasonableness analysis contained in the 4 5 Direct Testimony of Kevin L. Redhage, continues to be valid and acceptable to the 6 Commission. Does the Staff agree with the Company's request?

7 No. To the Staff's knowledge, the Commission has never confirmed or A. 8 denied that the economic and financial input parameters used in the Zone of Reasonableness 9 analysis contained in the Direct Testimony of Kevin L. Redhage, or any analysis previously submitted by the Company, was either valid or acceptable to the Commission. While the 10 11 Staff and the Company use similar approaches to determine the adequacy of the funding level 12 for its decommissioning trust fund, they have not agreed on the appropriate economic and 13 financial input parameters. Therefore, the Commission has ruled only on the adequacy of the 14 funding levels, not the economic and financial input parameters to the analysis of funding 15 level.

16

Q. Is the Company requesting any ratemaking determination from the 17 Commission in its application with regard to rate of return or capital structure?

18 Α. No. AmerenUE is not requesting and is not seeking such a determination in 19 this case. However, Staff recommends that if the Commission approves the transfer, the 20 transaction should be approved with the condition that nothing in the Commission's order 21 shall be considered a finding by the Commission of the value of this transaction for rate 22 making purposes, and that the Commission reserves the right to consider the rate making 23 treatment to be afforded these transactions and their results in cost of capital, in any later 24 proceeding.

Rebuttal Testimony of Ronald L. Bible

.

1

2

----

5

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes.

---