Exhibit No.:

Issues: Report on Staff's Second

Prudence Review For Cycle 2

of Costs Related to the Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism

Witness: Brad J. Fortson
Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case No.: EO-2019-0376

Date Testimony Prepared: December 20, 2019

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## **COMMISSION STAFF**

## INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

### **ENERGY RESOURCES DEPARTMENT**

## **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

**BRAD J. FORTSON** 

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY. d/b/a Ameren Missouri

**CASE NO. EO-2019-0376** 

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2019

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	BRAD J. FORTSON
5 6	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Ameren Missouri
7	CASE NO. EO-2019-0376
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
9	PRUDENCE REVIEW REPORT2
10	OVERVIEW OF STAFF'S PROPOSED DISALLOWANCES

11

#### 1 **DIRECT TESTIMONY** 2 OF 3 **BRAD J. FORTSON** 4 UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, 5 d/b/a Ameren Missouri CASE NO. EO-2019-0376 6 7 Q. Please state your name and business address. 8 A. Brad Fortson, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, MO 65102. 9 0. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 10 A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission" 11 or "PSC") as a Utility Regulatory Manager. 12 Q. Please describe your educational background and work experience. 13 Please refer to Schedule 1 attached hereto. A. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission? 14 O. 15 Α. Yes, I have. Please refer to Schedule BJF-d1attached hereto for a list of 16 cases in which I have previously filed testimony as well as the issues that I have addressed in 17 testimony. 18 O. Have you participated in the Commission Staff's audit of Union Electric 19 Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri ("Ameren Missouri" or "Company"), concerning the 20 Staff's Prudence review in this proceeding? 21 A. Yes, I have, with the assistance of other members of the Staff. 22 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** 23 Q. Please summarize your direct testimony in this proceeding.

A. I am sponsoring the *Staff's Report of Second MEEIA Prudence Review of Cycle 2 Energy Efficiency Programs* ("Staff Report"), which was originally filed on October 30, 2019, in this case, copies of which (both Public and Confidential) are attached as Schedule BJF-d2 and Confidential Schedule BJF-d3. Staff has conducted a review of all of the Demand-Side Investment Mechanism ("DSIM") components (program costs, gross annual energy and demand savings, interest, earnings opportunity and evaluation measurement and verification) during the review period for Ameren Missouri's energy efficiency programs. My testimony provides an overview of Staff's work in each area.

### PRUDENCE REVIEW AND STAFF REPORT

- Q. Please describe Staff's prudence review.
- A. Staff conducted a review of all of the DSIM components (program costs, gross annual energy and demand savings, interest, earnings opportunity and evaluation measurement and verification) during the review period<sup>2</sup> for Ameren Missouri's energy efficiency programs. As noted in the attached public and confidential Staff Report, Staff provided a description of the component(s) it reviewed, a discussion of its review, a summary of any cost implications and Staff's conclusions based on its review of the component(s). During it's review, and as more fully explained below and in Staff's Report, Staff identified certain areas where it recommended disallowances.
  - Q. Please explain the organizational format of the Staff Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> November 1, 2017 through February 28, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> November 1, 2017 through February 28, 2019.

1	A.	The St	taff Report has been organized by topic as follows:				
2		I.	Executive Summary				
3		II.	Introduction				
4		III.	Energy Efficiency Investment Rate (EEIR) Determination				
5		IV.	Gross Annual Energy and Demand Savings				
6		V.	Interest				
7		VI.	Earnings Opportunity				
8		VII.	Evaluation Measurement and Verification				
9	The EEIR section explains each specific area and/or adjustments made by Staff for						
10	the review period. Signed affidavits for all Staff members who are responsible for a portion						
11	of the Staff Report and for whom those portions constitute direct testimony in this proceeding						
12	are attached to the Staff Report. The individual Staff member(s) responsible for each area of						
13	Staff's direct case and/or adjustment is identified in the Staff Report following the written						
14	discussion he	or she	authored, and is the expert/witness with respect to that section of the				
15	Staff Report.	The St	aff may have a different or additional expert/witness for rebuttal or				
16	surrebuttal tes	stimony	in a given area if this case proceeds to evidentiary hearings.				
17	OVERVIEW	/ <b>OF S</b> 1	TAFF'S PROPOSED DISALLOWANCES				
18	Q.	In its 1	review of Ameren Missouri's DSIM for Case No. EO-2019-0376, has				
19	Staff examine	ed all of	f the components comprising the cost of Ameren Missouri's energy				
20	efficiency programs?						
21	A.	Yes.					
22	Q.	Is Staf	f proposing adjustments as a result of its review?				

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

A. Yes, as proposed in the chart below.

Costs	Explanation of Costs	Di	sallowed Cost	Interest		Total Disallowance	
Travel, Conferences, and Meetings	Page 13	\$	25,010	\$	442	\$	25,452
Membership and Trade Dues	Page 15	\$	19,700	\$	426	\$	20,126
Contract Labor	Page 16	\$	111,035	\$	2,367	\$	113,402
Other Expenses	Page 17	\$	11,695	\$	242	\$	11,937
Throughput Disincentive	Page 22	\$	23,534	\$	0	\$	23,534
Total Disallowed Costs		\$	190,974	\$	3,477	\$	194,451

Q. Are there individual Staff witnesses sponsoring these adjustments?

A. Yes. Staff witness Cynthia M. Tandy provided a detailed explanation for the proposed disallowance as related to: travel, conferences and meetings; membership and trade dues; contract labor; and other expenses starting on page 12 through page 17 of the Staff Report. Staff witness Lisa Wildhaber provided a detailed explanation for the proposed disallowance as related to: throughput disincentive starting on page 21 through page 25 of the Staff Report.

- Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?
- A. Yes, it does.

13

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Second Prudence Review	)	
of the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment	)	Case No. EO-2019-0376
Act (MEEIA) Cycle 2 Energy Efficiency	•)	
Programs of Union Electric Company d/b/a	)	
Ameren Missouri	)	
	)	

#### AFFIDAVIT OF BRAD J. FORTSON

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

**COMES NOW BRAD FORTSON** and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing *Direct Testimony*; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

BRAD J.<del>FO</del>RTSON

### **JURAT**

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2019.

DIANNA L. VAUGHT
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 18, 2023
Commission Number: 15207377

Diama L. Varge